

3. W. Sierpinski, *General topology*, Univ. of Toronto Press, Ontario, 1952, p. 250.
4. ———, *Cardinal and ordinal numbers*, PWN, Warsaw, 1958, p. 376.
5. S. M. Ulam, *Zur Masstheorie in der allgemeinen Mengenlehre*, Fund. Math. **16** (1930), 140.
6. ———, *Problèmes No. 74*, Fund. Math. **30** (1938), 365.
7. ———, *A collection of mathematical problems*, Interscience, New York, 1960, p. 9.

INDIAN STATISTICAL INSTITUTE, CALCUTTA, INDIA

## ON SPHERE-BUNDLES. I

BY I. M. JAMES<sup>1</sup>

Communicated by P. E. Thomas, November 19, 1968

Let  $E$  be an  $(n-1)$ -sphere bundle over a base space  $B$ , with the orthogonal group as structural group. By an *almost-complex structure* on  $E$  we mean a reduction of the structural group to the unitary group. By an  $A$ -structure on  $E$  I mean a fibre-preserving map  $f: E \rightarrow E$  such that  $fx$  is orthogonal to  $x$  for all  $x \in E$ . For example, an almost-complex structure determines such a map through the action<sup>2</sup> of the scalar  $J$  such that  $J^2 = -1$ . Note that  $n$  must be even if an  $A$ -structure exists. When  $E$  is trivial this necessary condition is also sufficient.

I describe  $E$  as *homotopy-symmetric* if  $1 \cong u: E \rightarrow E$ , by a fibre-preserving homotopy, where  $u$  denotes the antipodal map given by  $ux = -x$ . This condition also implies that  $n$  is even. An  $A$ -structure  $f$  on  $E$  determines a fibre-preserving homotopy  $f_t$  ( $t \in I = [0, 1]$ ), where  $f_t x = x \cos \pi t + f(x) \sin \pi t$ , and so  $E$  is homotopy-symmetric. I assert that the converse holds in the stable range,<sup>3</sup> so that we have

**THEOREM 1.** *Let  $B$  be a finite complex such that  $\dim B \leq n-4$ . Then  $E$  admits an  $A$ -structure if and only if  $E$  is homotopy-symmetric.*

A proof can be given as follows. Let  $p: E \rightarrow B$  denote the fibration. Let  $E'$  denote the space of pairs  $(x, y)$ , where  $x, y \in E$ , such that  $px = py$  and such that  $x$  is orthogonal to  $y$ . We fibre  $E'$  over  $E$  with projection  $p'$  given by  $p'(x, y) = x$ . An  $A$ -structure  $f$  on  $E$  determines a cross-section  $f': E \rightarrow E'$ , where  $f'x = (x, fx)$ , and conversely a cross-section determines an  $A$ -structure. Let  $E''$  denote the space of paths  $\lambda$  in  $E$  such that  $p\lambda$  is stationary in  $B$  and such that  $\lambda(0) = \lambda(1)$ . We

<sup>1</sup> Research partly supported by the National Science Foundation.

<sup>2</sup> We recall that the centre of the structural group acts on the bundle.

<sup>3</sup> The stable range, in relation to this problem, is not quite as extensive as the stable range of ordinary theory.

fibre  $E''$  over  $E$  with projection  $p''$  given by  $p''\lambda = \lambda(0)$ . Then  $p''h = p'$ , where  $h: E' \rightarrow E''$  is the map defined by

$$h(x, y)(t) = x \cos \pi t + y \sin \pi t \quad (t \in I).$$

A fibre-homotopy  $f_t$  of 1 into  $u$  determines a cross-section  $f'': E \rightarrow E''$ , where  $f''(x)(t) = f_t(x)$ , and conversely.

Consider the fibre  $S^{n-1}$  of the original fibration  $p: E \rightarrow B$  which contains the basepoint  $e \in E$ . The fibre of  $p': E' \rightarrow E$  over  $e$  can be identified with  $S^{n-2}$ , the equator orthogonal to  $e$ . The corresponding fibre of  $p'': E'' \rightarrow E$  can be identified with  $\Omega(S^{n-1})$ , the space of paths in  $S^{n-1}$  from  $e$  to  $-e$ , so that  $h$  maps  $x \in S^{n-2}$  into the great semicircle through  $x$ . It follows that the Freudenthal suspension can be expressed as the composition

$$\pi_r(S^{n-2}) \xrightarrow{h_*} \pi_r(\Omega(S^{n-1})) \xrightarrow{\theta} \pi_{r+1}(S^{n-1}),$$

where  $\theta$  denotes the Hurewicz isomorphism. Therefore  $h_*$  is injective for  $r < 2n - 5$  and surjective for  $r \leq 2n - 5$ . However

$$\dim E = n - 1 + \dim B \leq 2n - 5,$$

by hypothesis, and so the coefficient homomorphism

$$H^i(E, \pi_j(S^{n-2})) \rightarrow H^i(E, \pi_j(\Omega(S^{n-1}))),$$

induced by  $h_*$  is injective for  $j < i$ , surjective for  $j \leq i$ . Hence it follows by obstruction theory, as in [2], that  $p': E' \rightarrow E$  admits a cross-section if  $p'': E'' \rightarrow E$  does so. This proves Theorem 1.

Now consider the (reduced) Grothendieck group  $\tilde{K}_R(B)$  formed from real vector bundles over  $B$ . If  $E$  is a sphere-bundle over  $B$  we denote by  $[E]$  the class in  $\tilde{K}_R(B)$  of the associated euclidean bundle. I say that an element  $x \in \tilde{K}_R(B)$  is of  $A$ -type if  $x = [E]$  where  $E$  is a sphere-bundle which admits  $A$ -structure. By an argument similar to that used to prove Theorem 1 we obtain

**THEOREM 2.** *Let  $B$  be a finite-dimensional complex. Let  $E$  be an  $(n-1)$ -sphere bundle over  $B$  where  $n$  is even and  $\dim B \leq n-4$ . Then  $E$  admits  $A$ -structure if  $[E]$  is of  $A$ -type.*

From this it is not difficult to show that the elements of  $A$ -type in  $\tilde{K}_R(B)$  form a subgroup. Our main result is a determination of this subgroup as follows. Let

$$T: K_R(B) \rightarrow K_R(SB)$$

denote the homomorphism given by taking the tensor product with the class of the canonical line bundle over the real projective line.

Let  $J$  have its standard meaning, as in [1], for example. Then we have

**THEOREM 3.** *An element  $x \in \tilde{K}_R(B)$  is of  $A$ -type if and only if  $JT(x) = 0$ .*

It is well known<sup>4</sup> that the kernel of  $T$  coincides with the image of the homomorphism

$$\tilde{K}_C(B) \rightarrow \tilde{K}_R(B)$$

given by taking the underlying real vector bundle. It follows that  $T(x) = 0$  if and only if  $x = [E]$ , where  $E$  is a sphere-bundle which admits almost-complex structure. It turns out, after a little calculation, that  $T$  and  $JT$  have the same kernel when  $B$  is a sphere or one of the ordinary projective spaces. However, the kernels are different when  $B$  is the Cayley projective plane, and so there exists a stable bundle over this 16-dimensional manifold which admits an  $A$ -structure but not an almost-complex structure.

Outside the stable range there are some fragmentary results. For example it is shown by G. Whitehead [5] that the tangent sphere-bundle to  $S^n$  admits an  $A$ -structure if and only if  $n = 2$  or  $6$ . I do not know of any manifold where the tangent bundle admits an  $A$ -structure but not an almost-complex structure.

An  $A$ -structure  $f$  determined by an almost-complex structure has the properties that (i)  $uf = fu$ , and (ii)  $f^2 = u$ , where  $u$  denotes the antipodal map as before. It might be interesting to study conditions for the existence of  $A$ -structures with one or both of these properties.

The following is an indication of the proof of Theorem 3. We represent points on the circle  $S$  by complex numbers of unit modulus. Let  $p: E \rightarrow B$  be as before. Consider the space  $\tilde{E}$  formed from  $E \times S$  by identifying  $(x, z)$  with  $(-x, -z)$  for all  $x \in E, z \in S$ . We fibre  $\tilde{E}$  over  $B \times S$  with projection  $\tilde{p}$  given by  $\tilde{p}(x, z) = (px, z^2)$ . The sphere-bundle structure is completed in the obvious way. The vector bundle associated with  $\tilde{E}$  is the tensor product of the vector bundle associated with  $E$  and the canonical line bundle over  $S$ .

Let  $S_+$  denote the upper semicircle of  $S$ . Suppose that  $E$  is homotopy-symmetric. Then there exists a map  $g: E \times S_+ \rightarrow E$  such that  $p(x, z) = px$  for all  $x \in E, z \in S_+$ , and such that

$$g(x, 1) = x = g(-x, -1).$$

We extend  $g$  to a map  $h: E \times S \rightarrow E$  so that  $h(x, z) = h(-x, -z)$ , for all  $x \in E, z \in S$ . Then  $pk = l\tilde{p}$ , where  $k: \tilde{E} \rightarrow E$  is induced by  $h$  and

<sup>4</sup> I understand this version of a result of Bott's can be found in D. W. Anderson's unpublished thesis. An independent proof by R. Wood is also unpublished.

$l: B \times S \rightarrow B$  is the left projection. Since  $k$  maps one of the fibres of  $\tilde{E}$  homeomorphically onto the corresponding fibre of  $E$  it follows that  $\tilde{E}$  is fibre-homotopically equivalent to  $l^*(E)$ . In terms of  $K$ -theory this implies that  $T([E])$  lies in the kernel of  $J$ . When  $E$  is a stable bundle the essential steps of this argument are reversible and so, after using Theorem 1, we arrive at Theorem 3 as asserted. Full details are given in [3].

These results can be extended to (right) vector bundles over  $F$ , where  $F$  denotes the field of real numbers, complex numbers or quaternions. Vector spaces over  $F$  are endowed with the usual inner product (see §20 of [4], for example) so that orthogonality is defined. Let  $E$  be a sphere-bundle over  $B$  with structural group the orthogonal group in the real case, the unitary group in the complex case, the symplectic group in the quaternionic case. By an  $A$ -structure on  $E$  I mean a fibre-preserving map  $f: E \rightarrow E$  such that  $fx$  is orthogonal to  $x$ , in the appropriate sense, for all  $x \in E$ . Let  $D$  denote the unit ball consisting of elements  $z \in F$  such that  $|z| \leq 1$ , and let  $S$  denote the unit sphere, where  $|z| = 1$ . I describe  $E$  as *homotopy-symmetric* if there exists a map  $h: E \times D \rightarrow E$  such that

- (i)  $ph(x, z) = px \quad (x \in E, z \in D)$ ,
- (ii)  $h(x, z) = x \cdot z \quad (x \in E, z \in S)$ .

By arguments similar to those used in the real case it can be shown that the existence of an  $A$ -structure implies homotopy-symmetry, and that the converse holds when  $B$  satisfies similar conditions to those in Theorem 1.

The notion of  $A$ -type is extended to  $\tilde{K}_F(B)$ , in the obvious way. There is no difficulty in generalizing Theorem 2. The generalization of Theorem 3 asserts that the elements of  $A$ -type coincide with the kernel of  $JT$ , where

$$T: \tilde{K}_F(B) \rightarrow \tilde{K}_R(B \wedge P_F(1))$$

is defined by taking the tensor product with the canonical left line bundle<sup>5</sup> over  $P_F(1)$ , the left projective line. Details are given in [3].

We describe an  $A$ -structure  $f: E \rightarrow E$  as *equivariant* if

$$f(xz) = f(x) \cdot z \quad (x \in E, z \in S).$$

Consider the case when  $B$  is a point-space and  $E = S_n$ , the unit sphere in the  $n$ -dimensional vector space over  $F$ . An  $A$ -structure  $f$  on  $S_n$  determines a nonsingular vector field, by taking the tangent at  $x$  to the great circle through  $fx$ . If  $f$  is equivariant we obtain an induced

---

<sup>5</sup> As defined in §4 of [1].

nonsingular vector field on the left  $(n-1)$ -dimensional projective space  $S_n/S$ . Since complex and quaternionic projective spaces have nonzero Euler number it follows that equivariant  $A$ -structures cannot exist unless  $F$  is real.

#### REFERENCES

1. M. F. Atiyah, *Thom complexes*, Proc. London Math. Soc. (3) 11 (1961), 291–310.
2. W. D. Barcus, *Note on cross-sections over CW-complexes*, Quart. J. Math. Oxford (2) 5 (1954), 150–160.
3. I. M. James, *Bundles with special structure. I*, Ann. of Math. (to appear).
4. N. E. Steenrod, *The topology of fibre bundles*, Princeton Univ. Press, Princeton, N. J., 1951.
5. G. W. Whitehead, *Note on cross-sections in Stiefel manifolds*, Comment. Math. Helv. 37 (1963), 239–240.

OXFORD UNIVERSITY, OXFORD, ENGLAND