

# RELATIVE GROTHENDIECK RINGS<sup>1</sup>

BY T. Y. LAM AND I. REINER

Communicated by I. Reiner, January 17, 1969

1. **Introduction.** Let  $H$  be a subgroup of the finite group  $G$ , and let  $\Omega$  be a field of characteristic  $p$ , where we assume  $p \neq 0$  to avoid trivial cases. Form the free abelian group  $\mathcal{Q}$  on the symbols  $[M]$ , where  $M$  ranges over the representatives of a full set of isomorphism classes of finitely generated left  $\Omega G$ -modules (hereafter called " $G$ -modules" for brevity). Let  $\mathcal{B}$  be the subgroup of  $\mathcal{Q}$  generated by all expressions  $[M] - [L] - [N]$ , where

$$0 \rightarrow L \rightarrow M \rightarrow N \rightarrow 0$$

ranges over all  $H$ -split exact sequences of  $G$ -modules. The *relative Grothendieck ring*  $a(G, H)$  is defined as  $\mathcal{Q}/\mathcal{B}$ , acquiring a ring structure by letting  $[M][M'] = [M \otimes_{\Omega} M']$  where  $G$  acts diagonally on the tensor product.

The structure of  $a(G, H)$  has been investigated by the authors in two earlier articles [1], [2]. In the extreme case where  $H = 1$ , the ring  $a(G, 1)$  is just the ring of generalized Brauer characters of  $G$ . On the other hand,  $a(G, G)$  is the representation ring of  $G$ , gotten by considering  $G$ -modules relative to direct sum. In general,  $a(G, K) \cong a(G, H)$  if  $H$  is a Sylow  $p$ -subgroup of  $K$ , and so there is no loss of generality in assuming hereafter that  $H$  is a  $p$ -subgroup of  $G$ .

Let  $k(G, H)$  be the ideal of  $a(G, G)$  spanned by all  $(G, H)$ -projective  $G$ -modules. The *Cartan map*

$$\kappa: k(G, H) \rightarrow a(G, H)$$

is defined by  $[M] \mapsto [M]$ , and as shown in [2],  $\kappa$  is a monomorphism. Furthermore, the cokernel of  $\kappa$  is a  $p$ -torsion abelian group when  $H \Delta G$ .

We have previously established

**THEOREM 1** [1, THEOREMS 3.4 AND 4.4]. *If  $H \Delta G$ , where  $H$  is a cyclic  $p$ -group, then  $a(G, H)$  has a finite free  $\mathbb{Z}$ -basis. Furthermore, if  $G$  is a semidirect product  $H \cdot A$ , then there is a  $\mathbb{Z}$ -isomorphism*

$$a(G, H) \cong a(H, H) \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} a(G, 1).$$

*This isomorphism is in fact a ring isomorphism when  $G$  is the direct product  $H \times A$ .*

<sup>1</sup> This research was partially supported by the National Science Foundation.

**THEOREM 2** [2, THEOREM 3.2]. *Let  $G$  be a semidirect product  $P \cdot K$ , where  $P$  is a normal  $p$ -subgroup of  $G$ , and let  $H$  be any subgroup of  $K$ . Then the restriction map  $a(G, H) \rightarrow a(K, H)$  is a ring isomorphism.*

**THEOREM 3** [2, THEOREM 5.1]. *Let  $G$  be a direct product  $B \times K$ , and let  $H$  be any subgroup of  $K$ . Assume that  $\Omega$  is a splitting field for  $G$  and all of its subgroups. Then there is a ring isomorphism*

$$a(G, H) \cong a(B, 1) \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} a(K, H).$$

**2. New results.** Making use of the above theorems, we have recently obtained several interesting generalizations, as follows.

**THEOREM 4.** *Let  $H$  be a cyclic subgroup of a  $p$ -group  $G$ . Then the restriction map  $a(G, H) \rightarrow a(H, H)$  is a ring isomorphism. The cokernel of the Cartan map  $\kappa$  is a finite  $p$ -group.*

**THEOREM 5.** *Let  $H$  be a normal  $p$ -subgroup of an arbitrary finite group  $G$ , and assume that there exists a set of coset representatives of  $H$  in  $G$  each of which centralizes  $H$ . Then there is a ring isomorphism*

$$a(G, H) \cong a(H, H) \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} a(G, 1),$$

and hence  $a(G, H)$  is  $\mathbb{Z}$ -free.

The proof of Theorem 5 is based on the following special case, interesting in its own right.

**THEOREM 6.** *Let  $H$  be a normal subgroup of the  $p$ -group  $G$ , and suppose there exists a set of centralizing coset representatives of  $H$  in  $G$ . Then the restriction map  $a(G, H) \rightarrow a(H, H)$  is a ring isomorphism.*

By using Theorems 3 and 6, and an argument involving Frobenius functors, one establishes Theorem 5.

**3. The restriction map.** In view of the above results, it is of interest to determine the image of the restriction map

$$\text{res}: a(G, H) \rightarrow a(H, H)$$

in as general a situation as possible. Our main result in this direction is

**THEOREM 7.** *Let  $H$  be a normal  $p$ -subgroup of  $G$ , where either  $G$  is a  $p$ -group, or where more generally the  $p$ -free part of  $[G: H]$  is squarefree. Suppose that the underlying field  $\Omega$  is algebraically closed and of characteristic  $p$ . Let  $T$  be the additive subgroup of  $a(H, H)$  spanned by all self-conjugate  $H$ -modules. Then  $\text{res } a(G, H) = T$ .*

A vital lemma in the proofs of Theorems 6 and 7 is as follows.

LEMMA. *Let  $H$  be a normal  $p$ -subgroup of  $G$ , and suppose that  $G/H$  is either a  $p$ -group or is cyclic. Let  $V$  be any self-conjugate absolutely indecomposable  $H$ -module. Then there exists a  $G$ -module  $M$  such that*

$$(M)_H \cong V \oplus \sum^{\oplus} V_i,$$

*where each  $V_i$  is an  $H$ -module with  $\dim V_i < \dim V$ .*

#### REFERENCES

1. T. Y. Lam and I. Reiner, *Relative Grothendieck groups*, J. Algebra 11 (1969), 213–242.
2. ———, *Reduction theorems for relative Grothendieck rings*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. (to appear).

UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA, BERKELEY, CALIFORNIA 94720 AND  
UNIVERSITY OF ILLINOIS, URBANA, ILLINOIS 61801