SOME THEOREMS ON FACTORIZATION OF MEROMORPHIC FUNCTIONS

BY FRED GROSS

Communicated by M. Gerstenhaber, March 13, 1968

In [3] the author proved

THEOREM 1.1 If f is any entire function of lower order less than $\frac{1}{2}$ and g is entire, then f(g) is periodic if and only if g is.

By means of a result due to Edrei [1] and Ostrovskii [6] it is possible to generalize Theorem 1 to a certain class of meromorphic functions. We begin with

LEMMA 1 (EDREI [1], OSTROVSKII [6]). Let f(z) be meromorphic of lower order $\lambda < \frac{1}{2}$. If $\delta(\infty, f) > 1 - \cos \pi \lambda$, then $|f(re^{i\theta})| \to \infty$, uniformly in θ as $r_n \to \infty$ through a suitable sequence.

Here δ is the Nevanlinna deficiency (see Hayman [5, p. 42]).

THEOREM 2. Let f be meromorphic of lower order λ and let g be entire. If $0 \le \lambda < \frac{1}{2}$ and for some a, $\delta(a, f) > 1 - \cos \pi \lambda$, then f(g) is periodic if and only if g is. If τ is a period of f(g), then g has a period having the same argument as τ .

Sketch of Proof. We assume that f(g) is periodic with period τ having argument α . Let L be the half line $\operatorname{re}^{i\alpha}$ everywhere except near poles of f(g), where we let L loop around them with radius ϵ , ϵ a small positive number. Letting $f^*(z) = 1/(f(z) - a)$ and applying Lemma 1 we see that $|f^*(\operatorname{re}^{i\theta})| \to \infty$, uniformly in θ as $r_n \to \infty$ through a suitable sequence. From the hypotheses of the theorem it follows that f(g) is bounded on L. If g is bounded on L, then as in the proof of Theorem 1 (see [3]) g must be periodic with a period having the same argument as τ . If g is unbounded on L, then f is bounded on g(L) and this leads to a contradiction via Lemma 1.

COROLLARY. If P is a polynomial and f is as in Theorem 2, then f(P) is not periodic.

This Corollary is a partial solution to the more general question suggested in [4]: If f is meromorphic for which polynomials is f(P) periodic?

¹ N. Baker proved an analogue of this theorem for f of order <1/2. See On some results of A. Renyi and C. Renyi concerning periodic entire functions, Acta Sci. Math. (Szeged) 27 (1966), 197-200.

Theorem 2 also yields a generalization of an earlier result mentioned in [4].

THEOREM 3. Let f be meromorphic and g entire. If f(g) is of finite order, has no deficient values and is periodic, with period τ , then either f has no deficient values or g is periodic with a period having the same argument as τ .

Sketch of Proof. By a theorem of Edrei and Fuchs [2] either f is of zero order or g is a polynomial. In the latter case f can certainly not have any deficient values since f(g) does not. In the former case one can apply Theorem 2 and arrive at the desired conclusion.

COROLLARY (SEE [4]). Let f be meromorphic and g entire. If f(g) is elliptic, then f has no deficient values.

This last corollary rules out the possibility of applying the earlier one to resolve the question mentioned in [4]: If P is a polynomial of degree n, where n = 5 or $n \ge 7$ and f is any meromorphic function, then f(g) is not elliptic?

REFERENCES

- 1. A. Edrei, The deficiences of functions of finite lower order, Duke Math. J. 31 (1964), 1-22.
- 2. A. Edrei and W. H. Fuchs, On the zeros of f(g(z)) where f and g are entire functions, J. Analyse Math. 12 (1964), 243-255.
- 3. F. Gross, On factorization of meromorphic functions, Trans Amer. Math. Soc. 131 (1968), 215-221.
- 4. ——, On factorization of elliptic functions, Canad. J. Math. 20 (1968), 486-494.
- 5. W. K. Hayman, *Meromorphic functions*, Oxford Mathematical Monographs, Clarendon Press, Oxford, 1964.
- 6. I. V. Ostrovskii, On the deficiencies of meromorphic functions of lower order less than one, Dokl. Akad. Nauk SSSR 150 (1963), 32-35=Soviet Math. Dokl. 4 (1963), 587-591.

BELLCOMM INCORPORATED, WASHINGTON, D. C.