

CONJUGATIONS ON COMPLEX MANIFOLDS AND EQUIVARIANT HOMOTOPY OF MU ¹

BY PETER S. LANDWEBER

Communicated by Pierre Conner, November 1, 1967

1. Introduction. Let $\rho: \Omega_*^U \rightarrow \mathfrak{N}_*$ denote the natural homomorphism from the stably complex bordism ring into the unoriented bordism ring. Milnor showed in [8] that the image of ρ consists of all squares $([M]_2)^2$ in \mathfrak{N}_* . Since \mathfrak{N}_* is a polynomial algebra over Z_2 , an epimorphism $R: \Omega_{2n}^U \rightarrow \mathfrak{N}_n$ is defined by the condition that $R^2 = \rho$. Milnor made use of the following result of Conner and Floyd [3, p. 64]: if τ is a conjugation on a closed almost complex $2n$ -manifold M , then the fixed point set $F(M)$ is an n -manifold and $[M]_2 = ([F(M)]_2)^2$ in \mathfrak{N}_{2n} , i.e. $R([M]) = [F(M)]_2$. Hence, if a conjugation is present we may regard R as "passage to the fixed point set." We shall develop a bordism theory in which such a "fixed point homomorphism" is a natural feature.

From the homotopy point of view, Ω_*^U coincides with the (stable) homotopy $\pi_*(MU)$ of the Milnor spectrum MU [7]. In fact, the Thom spaces $MU(n)$ carry involutions making it possible to define equivariant homotopy groups $\Omega_{p,q}^U = \pi_{p,q}(MU)$. The details follow.

Give C^m the involution $(z_1, \dots, z_m) \mapsto (\bar{z}_1, \dots, \bar{z}_m)$. Then the Grassmannian $G_n(C^m)$ of n -planes in C^m inherits an involution, as does the classifying space $BU(n) = G_n(C^\infty)$. Moreover, the universal complex n -plane bundle $E^n \rightarrow BU(n)$ inherits an involution which makes E^n a real vector bundle over the real space $BU(n)$ in the sense of Atiyah [1]. Thus $MU(n) = B(E^n)/S(E^n)$ is endowed with an involution fixing the base point. Notice that the corresponding fixed point sets are R^n , $G_n(R^m)$, $BO(n)$ and $MO(n)$.

Following Atiyah [1] let $B^{p,q}$ and $S^{p,q}$ denote the unit ball and unit sphere in a Euclidean space $R^{p,q}$ of dimension $p+q$ carrying an orthogonal involution with fixed point set R^q . If X is a space with involution and fixed base point $*$, let $\pi_{p,q}(X)$ denote the set of equivariant homotopy classes of maps $(B^{p,q}, S^{p,q}) \rightarrow (X, *)$. For $q \geq 2$, $\pi_{p,q}(X)$ is an abelian group.

There are equivariant suspension maps $i_n: MU(n) \wedge (B^{1,1}/S^{1,1}) \rightarrow MU(n+1)$, and so homomorphisms

$$\pi_{p+k, q+k}(MU(k)) \rightarrow \pi_{p+k+1, q+k+1}(MU(k+1)).$$

¹ This research was supported in part by National Science Foundation Grant GP-6567.

Hence we may define

$$(1.1) \quad \Omega_{p,q}^U = \pi_{p,q}(MU) = \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \pi_{p+k,q+k}(MU(k))$$

for integers p, q . There is a forgetful homomorphism ψ , and a fixed point homomorphism ϕ obtained by restriction to the fixed point sets:

$$\Omega_{p+q}^U \xleftarrow{\psi} \Omega_{p,q}^U \xrightarrow{\phi} \mathfrak{N}_q.$$

We shall state a number of results about the groups $\Omega_{p,q}^U$ and the homomorphisms ψ and ϕ . The results of [5], on fixed point free conjugations and the existence of equivariant maps are a by-product of this study. A similar investigation of equivariant stable stems has been made by Bredon [2].

2. The exact sequence. The inclusions $R^{p+k,q+k} \rightarrow R^{p+k+1,q+k}$ give rise to a homomorphism χ so that the diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \Omega_{p+1,q}^U & \xrightarrow{\chi} & \Omega_p^U \\ \phi \searrow & & \swarrow \phi \\ & \mathfrak{N}_q & \end{array}$$

is commutative. The image of χ consists of elements of order 2. As in [6] there is an exact sequence

$$(2.1) \quad \dots \rightarrow \Omega_{p+1,q}^U \xrightarrow{\chi} \Omega_{p,q}^U \xrightarrow{\psi} \Omega_{p+q}^U \xrightarrow{\omega} \Omega_{p+1,q-1}^U \rightarrow \dots$$

It follows from the exact sequence of [6] that $\phi: \Omega_{p,q}^U \rightarrow \mathfrak{N}_q$ is an isomorphism for $p+q < 0$; this gives a basis for induction on $p+q$.

THEOREM 2.2. $\Omega_{p,q}^U$ is a finitely generated abelian group in which all torsion is of order 2. The torsion subgroup is the kernel of $\psi: \Omega_{p,q}^U \rightarrow \Omega_{p+q}^U$.

3. Transversality. Given an equivariant map f from $(B^{p+k,q+k}, S^{p+k,q+k})$ into $(MU(k), *)$, is f equivariantly homotopic to a map g which is transversal to $B U(k) \subset MU(k)$? (As is customary, we approximate $B U(k)$ and $MU(k) - \{*\}$ by smooth manifolds.) That this is not generally true follows from the fact that $\phi: \Omega_{p,q}^U \rightarrow \mathfrak{N}_q$ is an isomorphism for $p+q < 0$.

THEOREM 3.1. If $p \geq q$, each element of $\Omega_{p,q}^U$ is represented by a map $f: (B^{p+k,q+k}, S^{p+k,q+k}) \rightarrow (MU(k), *)$ which is transversal to $B U(k) \subset MU(k)$.

This follows by examination of a more general situation, in the

category of smooth manifolds with involution and smooth equivariant maps. Let $f: M \rightarrow W$ be given, and let V be a closed invariant submanifold of W . We assume that each fixed point set $F(M), F(V), F(W)$ is of uniform dimension. Put $m = \dim M, m' = \dim F(M)$, etc.

LEMMA 3.2. *If $(m - 2m') + (v - 2v') \geq (w - 2w')$, f is equivariantly homotopic to a map g which is transversal to V .*

COROLLARY 3.3. *The diagram*

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \Omega_{n,n}^U & \xrightarrow{\psi} & \Omega_{2n}^U \\ \phi \searrow & & \swarrow R \\ & \mathfrak{N}_n & \end{array}$$

is commutative.

COROLLARY 3.4. *The homomorphism $\phi: \Omega_{p,q}^U \rightarrow \mathfrak{N}_q$ is onto if $p \leq q$ and is zero if $p > q$.*

The sequence

$$(3.5) \quad 0 \rightarrow \Omega_{n+1,n}^U \xrightarrow{\chi} \Omega_{n,n}^U \xrightarrow{\psi} \Omega_{2n}^U \rightarrow 0$$

is exact. I conjecture that $\Omega_{n+1,n}^U = 0$ for all n , and have verified this for $n \leq 4$.

4. **The spectral sequence.** We do not have a complete description of the groups $\Omega_{p,q}^U$. In particular, the extent of the torsion and the image of ψ are not known in general. The difficulties are measured by the spectral sequence of the bigraded exact couple (2.1), which we now write as

$$\dots \rightarrow \Omega_{p+1,q}^U \xrightarrow{\chi} \Omega_{p,q}^U \xrightarrow{\psi} E_{p,q}^1 \xrightarrow{\omega} \Omega_{p+1,q-1}^U \rightarrow \dots$$

where $E_{p,q}^1 = \Omega_{p+q}^U$. The differential $d^r: E_{p-r,q+1}^r \rightarrow E_{p,q}^r$ of the spectral sequence $\{E_{p,q}^r\}$ ($r > 0$) arises from the diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \Omega_{p,q}^U & \xrightarrow{\psi} & E_{p,q}^1 \\ & \downarrow \chi^{r-1} & \\ E_{p-r,q+1}^1 & \xrightarrow{\omega} & \Omega_{p-r+1,q}^U \end{array}$$

We are able to determine d^1 and d^3 ($d^2 = 0$), and so reach the following conclusions.

THEOREM 4.1. (a) If $p \not\equiv q \pmod{4}$, $\Omega_{p,q}^U$ is finite; (b) if $p - q \equiv 4 \pmod{8}$, $\psi: \Omega_{p,q}^U \rightarrow \Omega_{p+q}^U$ has image $2\Omega_{p+q}^U$; (c) if $p \equiv q \pmod{8}$, the image of ψ contains $2\Omega_{p+q}^U + [CP(1)]\Omega_{p+q-2}^U$.

COROLLARY 4.2. If $p \equiv q \pmod{4}$, $\Omega_{p,q}^U$ has the same rank as Ω_{p+q}^U .

The differential $d^1: E_{p-1,q+1}^1 \rightarrow E_{p,q}^1$ is zero if $p \not\equiv q \pmod{4}$, and is multiplication by 2 otherwise. This is proved with K -theory and KR -theory characteristic numbers [4], [9]; notice that the composition $\tilde{K}(S^n) \xrightarrow{r} [KO] \sim (S^n) \xrightarrow{c} \tilde{K}(S^n)$ is zero if $n \not\equiv 0 \pmod{4}$, and is multiplication by 2 otherwise. Thus $E_{p,q}^2 \cong \Omega_{p+q}^U \otimes Z_2$ if $p \equiv q \pmod{4}$, otherwise $E_{p,q}^2 = 0$. Moreover, $E^3 = E^2$. With the help of characteristic numbers, we show that $d^3: E_{p-3,q+1}^3 \rightarrow E_{p,q}^3$ is multiplication by $[CP(1)]$ if $p \equiv q \pmod{8}$, otherwise $d^3 = 0$. Then $E^7 \cong \dots \cong E^4$; I conjecture that $d^7: E_{p-7,q+1}^7 \rightarrow E_{p,q}^7$ is multiplication by the class of the quadric Q^8 if $p \equiv q \pmod{16}$, otherwise d^7 is zero.

REFERENCES

1. M. F. Atiyah, *K-theory and reality*, Quart. J. Math. **17** (1966), 367–386.
2. G. E. Bredon, *Equivariant stable stems*, Bull. Amer. Math. Soc. **73** (1967), 269–273.
3. P. E. Conner and E. E. Floyd, *Differentiable periodic maps*, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1964.
4. ———, *The relation of cobordism to K-theories*, Springer Lecture Notes, Vol. 28, Springer, Berlin, 1966.
5. P. S. Landweber, *Fixed point free conjugations on complex manifolds*, Ann. Math. (to appear).
6. J. Levine, *Spaces with involution and bundles over P^n* , Amer. J. Math. **85** (1963), 516–540.
7. J. W. Milnor, *On the cobordism ring and a complex analogue*, Amer. J. Math. **82** (1960), 505–521.
8. ———, *On the Stiefel-Whitney numbers of complex manifolds and of spin manifolds*, Topology **3** (1965), 223–230.
9. R. E. Stong, *Relations among characteristic numbers. I*, Topology **4** (1965), 267–281.

UNIVERSITY OF VIRGINIA