

COMPACTIFICATION OF STRONGLY COUNTABLE DIMENSIONAL SPACES¹

BY ARLO W. SCHURLE

Communicated by R. H. Bing, May 22, 1967

In this paper all spaces, including compactifications, are separable metrizable. Recall the following definitions. A space X is strongly countable dimensional if X is a countable union of closed finite-dimensional subsets. X is a G_δ space if X is a G_δ -set in each space in which it is topologically embedded. A space Y is a pseudo-polytope if $Y = \Sigma_1 \cup \Sigma_2 \cup \dots$, where each Σ_i is a simplex, $\Sigma_i \cap \Sigma_j$ is either empty or a face of both Σ_i and Σ_j , and $\text{diam } \Sigma_i \rightarrow 0$ as $i \rightarrow \infty$. The term map always denotes a continuous function. Other notation is as in [3] and [8].

In [5] Lelek proved that every G_δ -space X has a compactification dX such that $dX \setminus X$ is a pseudo-polytope. He then raised the question of whether every strongly countable dimensional G_δ space X has a strongly countable dimensional compactification. This paper answers that question in the affirmative. We first state some preliminary propositions.

PROPOSITION 1. *Let $M \subset X$ with $\dim M \leq n$, and let $\{U_i | i=1, 2, \dots\}$ be a sequence of sets open in X and covering M . Then there is a sequence $\{V_i | i=1, 2, \dots\}$ of sets open in X and covering M such that $\text{ord } \{V_i | i=1, 2, \dots\} \leq n+1$ and such that $V_{k(n+1)+j} \subset U_{k+1}$ for $k=0, 1, 2, \dots$ and $j=1, 2, \dots, n+1$.*

PROOF. The proof involves only a slight extension of the argument on page 54 of [2].

PROPOSITION 2. *Let G be an open subset of a totally bounded space Y , and let M_1, M_2, \dots, M_r be relatively closed subsets of G with $\dim M_i = m_i < \infty$ for $i=1, 2, \dots, r$. Let $\epsilon > 0$. Then there is a collection $\{G_i | i=1, 2, \dots\}$ such that $G = \bigcup_{i=1}^{\infty} G_i$ and*

- (i) *Each G_i is open in Y .*
- (ii) *$\{G_i | i=1, 2, \dots\}$ is star-finite.*
- (iii) *$\bar{G}_i \subset G$ for $i=1, 2, \dots$.*

¹ This paper was written in partial fulfillment of the requirements for the degree of Doctor of Philosophy.

- (iv) $\text{diam } G_i < \epsilon$ for $i = 1, 2, \dots$ and $\text{diam } G_i \rightarrow 0$ as $i \rightarrow \infty$.
- (v) $\text{ord} \{G_i \mid G_i \text{ meets } M_1 \cup M_2 \cup \dots \cup M_k\} \leq m_1 + 1 + m_2 + 1 + \dots + m_k + 1$ for $k = 1, 2, \dots, r$.

PROOF. We sketch the proof of this proposition. From page 114 of [4] we get a collection $\{G'_i \mid i = 1, 2, \dots\}$ satisfying (i)–(iv). Open covers satisfying (i)–(iv) and (v) for $k = 1, 2, \dots, r$ are now defined inductively. By Proposition 1 there is a sequence $\{V_i \mid i = 1, 2, \dots\}$ of open sets covering M_1 such that $\text{ord} \{V_i \mid i = 1, 2, \dots\} \leq m_1 + 1$ and $V_{k(n+1)+j} \subset G'_{k+1}$ for $k = 0, 1, 2, \dots$ and $j = 1, 2, \dots, m_1 + 1$. The collection $\{V_i \mid i = 1, 2, \dots\} \cup \{G'_i \setminus M_1 \mid i = 1, 2, \dots\}$ then satisfies (i)–(iv) and (v) for $k = 1$.

Suppose $\{G'_i \mid i = 1, 2, \dots\}$ covers G , satisfies (i)–(iv) and (v) for each $k = 1, 2, \dots, n$. Let $C = M_1 \cup M_2 \cup \dots \cup M_n$. By Proposition 1 there is a sequence $\{V_i \mid i = 1, 2, \dots\}$ of open sets covering $M_{n+1} \setminus C$ such that $\text{ord} \{V_i \mid i = 1, 2, \dots\} \leq m_{n+1} + 1$ and $V_{k(n+1)+j} \subset G'_{k+1} \setminus C$ for $k = 0, 1, 2, \dots$ and $j = 1, 2, \dots, m_{n+1} + 1$. The collection $\{G'_i \setminus (C \cup M_{n+1}) \mid i = 1, 2, \dots\} \cup \{V_i \mid i = 1, 2, \dots\} \cup \{G'_i \mid G'_i \text{ meets } C\}$ satisfies (i)–(iv) and (v) for each $k = 1, 2, \dots, n + 1$. This completes the inductive step and the sketch of the proof.

We are now in a position to prove the first theorem.

THEOREM 1. *Let C be a closed subset of a compact space Y , and let M_1, M_2, \dots, M_r be closed subsets of Y with $\text{dim } M_i = m_i < \infty$ for $i = 1, 2, \dots, r$. Let $\epsilon > 0$. Then there is an ϵ -map $f: Y \rightarrow I^\omega$ such that $f(C) \cap f(Y \setminus C) = \emptyset$, $f|_C$ is a homeomorphism, $f(Y \setminus C)$ is a countable polytope P , and $\text{dim } f(M_i \setminus C) \leq m_1 + 1 + m_2 + 1 + \dots + m_i$ for $i = 1, 2, \dots, r$. Further, $P = \Sigma_1 \cup \Sigma_2 \cup \dots$ where each Σ_i is a simplex and $\text{diam } \Sigma_i \rightarrow 0$ as $i \rightarrow \infty$.*

PROOF. We may assume that $Y \subset I^\omega$ and that the first coordinate of each point of Y is zero. Let $\mathcal{G} = \{G_i \mid i = 1, 2, \dots\}$ be the open cover of $Y \setminus C$ given by Proposition 2 with $\text{diam } G_i < \epsilon/8$ for $i = 1, 2, \dots$. For each i such that $G_i \neq \emptyset$ pick a point $g_i \in G_i$. Then pick points p_i with first coordinate greater than zero such that $d(p_i, g_i) < \min\{1/i, \epsilon/8\}$ and such that $\{p_i \mid i = 1, 2, \dots\}$ is in general position. Let N be the collection of simplexes spanned by finite subsets $\{p_{i_0}, p_{i_1}, \dots, p_{i_n}\}$ where $G_{i_0} \cap G_{i_1} \cap \dots \cap G_{i_n} \neq \emptyset$. The points $\{p_i \mid i = 1, 2, \dots\}$ may be picked in such a way that N is a CW-polytope, and certainly $N \cap Y = \emptyset$. Also, $N = \Sigma_1 \cup \Sigma_2 \cup \dots$ where each Σ_i is a simplex and $\text{diam } \Sigma_i \rightarrow 0$ as $i \rightarrow \infty$. Define $f': Y \rightarrow I^\omega$ by

$$f'(x) = \begin{cases} \text{if } x \in C, \\ \frac{\sum_{i=1}^{\infty} d(x, Y \setminus G_i) p_i}{\sum_{i=1}^{\infty} d(x, Y \setminus G_i)} & \text{if } x \notin C. \end{cases}$$

It is not hard to show that f' is continuous, and that $d(z, f'(z)) < \epsilon/4$ for each $z \in Y$. Triangulate N into simplexes of diameter less than $\epsilon/4$. By a suitable induction, a map $f_1: f'(Y) \cap N \rightarrow N$ may be defined in such a way that $f'(y)$ and $f_1 f'(y)$ are in the same simplexes and $f_1(f'(Y) \cap N)$ is a subpolytope P of N . The map $f: Y \rightarrow I^\omega$ defined by

$$f(z) = \begin{cases} z & z \in C, \\ f_1 f'(z) & z \in Y \setminus C \end{cases}$$

is then an ϵ -map such that $f(C) \cap f(Y \setminus C) = \emptyset$, $f|_C$ is a homeomorphism, and $f(Y \setminus C)$ is the desired polytope P . Finally, let $y \in M_i \setminus C$. By the conditions on the cover \mathfrak{g} , y is in at most $m_1 + 1 + m_2 + 1 + \dots + m_i + 1$ elements of \mathfrak{g} . Thus $f'(y)$, and hence also $f_1 f'(y)$, is in a simplex of dimension not greater than $m_1 + 1 + m_2 + 1 + \dots + m_i$. Since P is a countable polytope, $\dim f(M_i \setminus C) \leq m_1 + 1 + m_2 + 1 + \dots + m_i$. Q.E.D.

Theorem 1 now enables us to prove our main theorem.

THEOREM 2. *Let X be a strongly countable dimensional G_δ space. Then there is a strongly countable dimensional compactification dX of X such that $dX \setminus X$ is a pseudo-polytope.*

PROOF. Let $X = F_1 \cup F_2 \cup \dots$ where F_i is closed and $\dim F_i = m_i < \infty$ for $i = 1, 2, \dots$. By a result of Hurewicz [1] there is a compactification cX of X such that $\dim \overline{F_i}^{cX} = m_i$ for $i = 1, 2, \dots$. Let $n_i = m_1 + 1 + m_2 + 1 + \dots + m_i$. Since X is a G_δ space, $cX \setminus X = Y_1 \cup Y_2 \cup \dots$ where each Y_i is compact and $Y_i \subset Y_{i+1}$ for $i = 1, 2, \dots$. Let $Y_0 = \emptyset$. By Theorem 1 there is a $1/i$ -map $f_i: Y_i \rightarrow I^\omega$ such that $f_i(Y_{i-1}) \cap f_i(Y_i \setminus Y_{i-1}) = \emptyset$, $f_i|_{Y_{i-1}}$ is a homeomorphism, $f_i(Y_i \setminus Y_{i-1})$ is a countable polytope P , and $\dim f_i(\overline{F_k}^{cX} \cap (Y_i \setminus Y_{i-1})) \leq n_k$ for $k = 1, 2, \dots, i$.

Decompose cX into sets $f_i^{-1}(z)$ for $z \in f_i(Y_i \setminus Y_{i-1})$ and into individual points $x \in X$. Let the quotient space be dX and let $f: cX \rightarrow dX$ be the quotient map. It may be shown that the decomposition of cX is upper semicontinuous, so that f is a closed map. Hence dX is a

compactification of X . Further, it is easily shown that there is a uniformly continuous homeomorphism $g_i: f_i(Y_i \setminus Y_{i-1}) \rightarrow f(Y_i \setminus Y_{i-1})$. Since $f_i(Y_i \setminus Y_{i-1})$ is a countable polytope for $i=1, 2, \dots$, $dX \setminus X$ is a pseudo-polytope.

To show that dX is strongly countable dimensional it is enough to show that \overline{F}_i^{dX} is strongly countable dimensional for $i=1, 2, \dots$. Fix a positive integer k . Since f is a closed map, $\overline{F}_k^{dX} = f(\overline{F}_k^{cX}) = F_k \cup \bigcup_{i=1}^{\infty} f(\overline{F}_k^{cX} \cap (Y_i \setminus Y_{i-1}))$. Also, $f(\overline{F}_k^{cX} \cap Y_{k-1}) \subset f(cX \setminus X) = dX \setminus X$, so $f(\overline{F}_k^{cX} \cap Y_{k-1})$ is strongly countable dimensional. Let $C_n = \bigcup_{j=n-k}^n f(\overline{F}_k^{cX} \cap (Y_j \setminus Y_{j-1}))$ for $n=k, k+1, \dots$ and let $D_k = \bigcup_{j=k}^{\infty} C_j$. Each C_j is closed in D_k . Further, $\dim C_k = \dim f(\overline{F}_k^{cX} \cap (Y_k \setminus Y_{k-1})) \leq n_k$. Suppose $\dim C_i \leq n_k$. Then $C_{i+1} = C_i \cup f(\overline{F}_k^{cX} \cap (Y_{i+1} \setminus Y_i))$, C_i is closed in C_{i+1} , and $\dim f(\overline{F}_k^{cX} \cap (Y_{i+1} \setminus Y_i)) \leq n_k$, so $\dim C_{i+1} \leq n_k$. Therefore $\dim D_k \leq n_k$, and $\dim D_k \cup F_k \leq n_k + m_k + 1$. $D_k \cup F_k$ is open in \overline{F}_k^{dX} , so by Proposition 2 $D_k \cup F_k = \bigcup_{i=1}^{\infty} G_{ki}$, where $\overline{G}_{ki}^{dX} \subset D_k \cup F_k$ for $i=1, 2, \dots$. Hence $\dim \overline{G}_{ki}^{dX} \leq n_k + m_k + 1$, and \overline{F}_k^{dX} is strongly countable dimensional. Q.E.D.

Sklyarenko gives an example in [9] which shows that being a G_δ space is a necessary hypothesis in Theorem 2.

BIBLIOGRAPHY

1. W. Hurewicz, *Über Einbettung separabler Räume in gleichdimensionale kompakte Räume*, Monatshefte für Mathematik und Physik **37** (1930), 199–208.
2. W. Hurewicz and H. Wallman, *Dimension theory*, Princeton Univ. Press, Princeton, N. J., 1941.
3. J. L. Kelley, *General Topology*, Van Nostrand, Princeton, N. J., 1955.
4. Casimir Kuratowski, *Topologie*. I, 3rd ed., Monogr. Mat. Warsaw, 1952.
5. A. Lelek, *On dimension of remainders in compact extensions*, Soviet Math. Dokl. **6** (1965), 136–140.
6. K. Menger, *Über umfassendste n -dimensionale Mengen*, Proc. Ned. Akad. Wetenschap. **29** (1926), 1125–1128.
7. J. Nagata, *On the countable sum of zero-dimensional metric spaces*, Fund. Math. **48** (1960), 1–14.
8. ———, *Modern dimension theory*, Wiley, New York, 1965.
9. E. G. Sklyarenko, *On dimensional properties of infinite-dimensional spaces*, Amer. Math. Soc. Translations (2) **21** (1962), 35–50.

UNIVERSITY OF KANSAS