## AN ISOMORPHISM PRINCIPLE IN GENERAL TOPOLOGY

BY J. DE GROOT

Communicated by V. Klee, December 16, 1966

Introduction. To practically every topological space T of importance (including metrizable and locally compact Hausdorff spaces) one can let correspond (essentially by interchanging compact and closed sets) an "antispace"  $T^*$  which conversely determines T. If, for example, T is Hausdorff but not compact,  $T^*$  will be  $T_1$ , compact and superconnected (every open set is connected). One sacrifices the Hausdorff property but gains e.g. compactness. Furthermore the topology of  $T^*$  is weaker than that of T. This destroys the belief, generally held, that non-Hausdorff spaces are of minor or no importance. On the contrary, one could even say that they are "more elegant," since they perform the same job with a weaker topology.

Philosophically, the consequences seem to be of interest. If R denotes "time" (the real line),  $R^*$  has the same topology as R on every bounded closed interval. However  $R^*$  is compact. Time becomes unbounded but finite in the sense of compact. We have potential but no actual infinity.

A remark by J. M. Aarts (in our joint work on cocompactness) initiated this note.

**Preliminaries.** Let X be a set and  $\{G\}$  a family of subsets G of X, closed under finite unions and arbitrary intersections. We do not assume the (usual) convention that X and  $\emptyset$  are necessarily members of  $\{G\}$ . A pair  $T_-=(X, \{G\})$  is called a (topological) minusspace, where  $\{G\}$  indicates the family of closed sets of  $T_-$ . One can, of course, extend every  $T_-$  to a topological space T by adding X and  $\emptyset$  as closed sets.

A subset S of  $T_{-}$  is called *squarecompact relative* to  $T_{-}$ , if for every family  $\{C_{\alpha}\}$  of compact subsets  $C_{\alpha}$  of  $T_{-}$ , for which  $\{S \cap C_{\alpha}\}$  is centered (that is the intersection of finitely many  $S \cap C_{\alpha}$  is non-empty), the intersection of all  $S \cap C_{\alpha}$  is nonempty.

One can prove:

- (i) The intersection of a compact and a squarecompact set is both compact and squarecompact.
- (ii) The union of finitely many and the intersection of any number of squarecompact sets is squarecompact.
- (iii) If in  $T_{-}$  every compact set is closed, then every closed set is squarecompact.

A topological space is called a *c-space* if the closed sets are exactly those sets for which the intersection with every compact closed set is compact. This notion is different from the well-known notion of a *k*-space (compactly generated space). However, every *k*-space is a *c*-space and for those spaces in which compact sets are closed, both notions coincide.

The following notions are equivalent for a topological space T:

- (a) It is a c-space.
- (b) Compact sets are closed and squarecompact sets are closed.
- (c) Firstly, the intersection of a compact and a squarecompact set is closed; secondly, a set G is closed in T iff  $G \cap C$  is closed for all compact sets C of T.
- (d) Firstly, the intersection of two compact sets is compact; Secondly, G is closed, iff  $G \cap C$  is compact for all compact C.

Which spaces are c-spaces?

- (iv) In every locally compact topological space (that is, every point has arbitrarily small compact neighborhoods) or in every space satisfying the first axiom of countability the following properties are equivalent:
  - (a) Every compact set is closed,
  - (b) The space is a c-space,
  - (c) The space is Hausdorff.

Antispaces. Let X be a set,  $T_-=(X, \{G\}, \{C\})$ ,  $T_-^*=(X, \{C\}, \{G\})$  two minusspaces over X, where  $\{G\}$  denotes the family of all closed sets G and  $\{C\}$  the family of all compact sets C in  $T_-$ , while  $\{C\}$  are the closed sets of  $T_-^*$  and  $\{G\}$  the compact sets of  $T_-^*$ . So the identity map of X onto itself maps the closed (compact) sets of X onto the compact (closed) sets of  $T_-^*$ . Such a pair is called an antipair and  $T_-$  and  $T_-^*$  are called antispaces (of each other). A space  $T_-$  is an antispace, if there exists a  $T_-^*$  as indicated. Observe that antispaces determine each other. If in  $T_-$  the compact sets coincide with the closed sets,  $T_-$  and  $T_-^*$  coincide as e.g. is the case for compact Hausdorff spaces.

EXAMPLE. If X is any set, and T the discrete space over X, then  $T^*$  is determined by the cofinite topology on X.

THEOREM. A minusspace is an antispace, iff the closed sets coincide with the squarecompact sets. The topological antispaces T are exactly the c-spaces.

Observe that the *compact* anti(minus) spaces  $T^*$  pair off with the *topological* antispaces T. A minusspace is a compact antispace, iff every closed set is compact and the squarecompact sets coincide with

the closed sets. Notice that according to (iv), most spaces of importance in mathematics are c-spaces.

A category of antispaces T and onto continuous mappings f corresponds to the category of spaces  $T^*$  and onto mappings  $f^*$  ( $f^*$  defined by the requirement, that the inverse of any compact image set is compact). This sets up an isomorphism as mentioned in the title.

University of Amsterdam and University of Florida

## SOME EXPLICIT POLYNOMIAL APPROXIMATIONS IN THE COMPLEX DOMAIN

BY T. J. RIVLIN

Communicated by R. C. Buck, January 20, 1967

1. Let f(z) be continuous on a compact set, B, in the complex plane. Then if B contains more than n points f(z) has a unique best uniform approximation out of the polynomials of degree n. That is, if  $P_n$  is the set of polynomials of degree at most n, there exists  $p_n^* \in P_n$  satisfying

(1) 
$$||f - p_n^*|| < ||f - p||$$

for all  $p \in P_n$ ,  $p \neq p_n^*$ , the norm being the uniform norm. It is an instance of a result due to Kolmogorov (see Meinardus [2; p. 15], for example) that  $p_n^* \in P_n$  satisfies (1) if, and only if,

(2) 
$$\min_{z \in F} \operatorname{Re}[f(z) - p_n^*(z)] \bar{p}(\bar{z}) \leq 0, \quad p \in P_n,$$

where

(3) 
$$E = \{ z / | f(z) - p_n^*(z) | = ||f - p_n^*|| \}.$$

Let us put

$$\rho_n(f;B) = \|f - p_n^*\|.$$

In [1] Al'per showed that

(5) 
$$\rho_n(z^s/(z^p-a^p);K_R) = R^{pk+p+s}/(|a|^{2p}-R^{2p})|a|^{pk}$$