

## DELPHIC SEMIGROUPS

BY DAVID G. KENDALL

Communicated by M. Loeve, July 25, 1966

A delphic semigroup shall be a topological commutative semigroup which is Hausdorff and possesses a neutral element and satisfies the three conditions (A–C) below. In formulating these we require some terminology: a triangular array is a system  $u(i, j)$  ( $i=1, 2, \dots$ ;  $j=1, 2, \dots, i$ ) of elements of the semigroup; the  $i$ th marginal product is the element

$$u(i, 1)u(i, 2) \cdots u(i, i);$$

an array is said to converge to an element  $u$  when the  $i$ th marginal product converges to  $u$ ; an element is said to be infinitely divisible when it possesses a  $k$ th root for each  $k \geq 2$ .

- (A) There exists a continuous homomorphism  $\Delta$  from the semigroup into the additive semigroup of nonnegative reals, such that  $\Delta(u) = 0$  if and only if  $u$  is the neutral element.
- (B) The set  $\{u' : u' | u\}$  of factors of any given element  $u$  is compact.
- (C) If a triangular array converges to  $u$ , and if the array satisfies the condition  $\Delta(u(i, j)) \rightarrow 0$  as  $i \rightarrow \infty$  uniformly for  $1 \leq j \leq i$ , then  $u$  is infinitely divisible.

As a nontrivial example we mention here only the multiplicative semigroup of positive renewal sequences; for the complete details of this and other examples, as well as for the proofs of the following theorems, reference should be made to [1] and [2].

The first property of a delphic semigroup is that every infinitely divisible element  $u$  can be represented as a limit as in (C). Next, it can be shown that the elements of such a semigroup can be partitioned into three exhaustive and mutually exclusive classes; the elements in the first class are indecomposable; those in the second class are decomposable but possess an indecomposable factor; those in the third class are infinitely divisible and possess no indecomposable factor. Finally it can be shown that an arbitrary element of such a semigroup possesses at least one representation in the form

$$u = v(1)v(2) \cdots w,$$

where the  $v$ 's are indecomposable and  $w$  is infinitely divisible and possesses no indecomposable factor. There are not more than countably many  $v$ 's; there may be none.

The formulation and study of this axiomatic system was suggested by the discovery [1] that Khintchine's factorization theorems for the convolution semigroup of probability distributions on  $R$  can be extended to the semigroup of renewal sequences, among others.

## REFERENCES

1. D. G. Kendall, *Renewal sequences and their arithmetic*, Proc. Loutraki Symposium on Probabilistic Methods in Analysis, Springer, Berlin, (to appear in 1966).
2. ———, *Delphic semi-groups, infinitely divisible regenerative phenomena, and the arithmetic of  $p$ -functions*, (in preparation).

CHURCHILL COLLEGE,  
CAMBRIDGE, ENGLAND.

---

## AN ALGEBRAIC CONJUGACY INVARIANT FOR MEASURE PRESERVING TRANSFORMATIONS<sup>1</sup>

BY WILLIAM B. ARVESON

Communicated by P. R. Halmos, August 1, 1966

Let  $T$  be an invertible, ergodic, measure-preserving transformation of a separable, nonatomic probability space  $(X, \mathfrak{B}, m)$ , and let  $U$  be the induced unitary operator acting in  $L^2(X, \mathfrak{B}, m)$ . Let  $\mathfrak{A}(T)$  be the Banach algebra generated by the multiplication algebra and the nonnegative powers of  $U$ . It is shown that, if  $S$  is another such transformation, then  $S$  and  $T$  are conjugate if, and only if,  $\mathfrak{A}(S)$  and  $\mathfrak{A}(T)$  are unitarily equivalent. Thus, the conjugacy problem for ergodic transformations is equivalent to multiplicity theory for the algebras  $\mathfrak{A}(T)$ . While much remains to be learned about these operator algebras, similar ones have been studied in [5] and [1]. Finally,  $\mathfrak{A}(T)$  can be realized concretely as an algebra of operator-valued analytic functions in the unit disc.

In §2 we describe generalizations of the  $C^*$ -algebra constructed in §1; it turns out that pathology appears as soon as the group involved fails to be amenable, and only in that case.

Full details and further developments will appear elsewhere.

**1. The algebras  $\mathfrak{A}$  and  $\mathfrak{B}$ .** For definiteness, we assume all transformations act on the unit interval, are Borel measurable, and pre-

---

<sup>1</sup> This research was supported by the U. S. Army Research Office (Durham).