

9. ———, *On nonlinear P -compact operators in Banach space with applications to constructive fixed point theorems*, J. Math. Anal Appl. (to appear).

10. E. Rothe, *Zur Theorie der topologischen Ordnung und der Vektorfelder in Banachschen Raumen*, Compositio Math. 5 (1937), 177–197.

11. J. Schauder, *Der Fixpunktsatz in Functionalraumen*, Studia Math. 2 (1930), 171–180.

12. M. Shinbrot, *A fixed point theorem and some applications*, Arch. Rational. Mech. Anal. 17 (1964), 255–271.

UNIVERSITY OF CHICAGO

A PERTURBATION LEMMA

BY KARL GUSTAFSON¹

Communicated by F. Browder, September 29, 1965

1. **Introduction.** We will prove the following lemma and investigate some of its implications: namely, a short proof by Goldberg [1] of the basic perturbation theorem of Kato [2], avoiding previous homotopy arguments; an extension of results of Trotter and Nelson [3] for semigroup generators; and a criterion for well-posed perturbed problems in spaces that are not necessarily complete. For further references and more information, see [1], [2], and [3].

Throughout this paper all operators are linear with domains subspaces of a normed linear space X and ranges subspaces of a normed linear space Y . If an operator B perturbs an operator T , we assume that $D(B) \supset D(T)$.

In this section, the spaces need not be complete.

LEMMA 1. *Let T^{-1} and B be bounded operators with $\|B\| < \|T^{-1}\|^{-1}$. Then*

$$(1.1) \quad \dim Y/\text{Cl}(R(T)) = \dim Y/\text{Cl}(R(T + B)).$$

PROOF.² We use the known result (e.g., see [1] for a proof) that if $\|B\| < \|T^{-1}\|^{-1}$, then

$$(1.2) \quad \dim Y/\text{Cl}(R(T + B)) \leq \dim Y/\text{Cl}(R(T)).$$

¹ Partially supported by a NATO postdoctoral fellowship.

² Concerning this little result, let $\|B\| < \alpha\|T^{-1}\|^{-1}$. The author appreciates discussions with Dr. Seymour Goldberg, who proved it for $\alpha = 1/2$ in his lectures. The main trick in the proof can be seen for the case $\alpha = 3/4$. The author also appreciates the aid of Mr J. Kuttler in extending the result from $\alpha = 3/4$ to $\alpha = 7/8$.

From (1.2) we see that the proof of (1.1) reduces to showing

$$(1.3) \quad \dim Y/\text{Cl}(R(T)) \leq \dim Y/\text{Cl}(R(T + B)).$$

The trick in showing (1.3) is to perturb and unperturb T successively by fractions of B of just the right size, using (1.2) at each stage.

We first note that $\|B\| < \|T^{-1}\|^{-1}$ implies that there exists some integer $n > 0$ such that $\|B\| < [(2^n - 1)/2^n] \cdot \|T^{-1}\|^{-1}$. We now let $c_k = (2^{n-k})/(2^n - 1)$ for $k = 1, \dots, n$. For convenience, we also let $c_0 = 0$. We now claim that

$$(1.4) \quad \begin{aligned} \dim Y / \text{Cl} \left(R \left[T + \left(\sum_{k=0}^{m-1} c_k \right) B \right] \right) \\ \leq \dim Y / \text{Cl} \left(R \left[T + \left(\sum_{k=0}^m c_k \right) B \right] \right) \end{aligned}$$

for each $m = 1, \dots, n$. We use (1.2), with a perturbation by $-c_m B$, to show that (1.4) holds, since

$$(1.5) \quad \begin{aligned} \|-c_m B\| &< [(2^{n-m})/(2^n - 1)] \cdot [(2^n - 1)/2^n] \cdot \|T^{-1}\|^{-1} \\ &= 2^{-m} \|T^{-1}\|^{-1}, \end{aligned}$$

and noting that $\sum_{k=0}^m c_k = [2^n/(2^n - 1)] \cdot [(2^m - 1)/2^m]$, we have for $x \in D(T)$

$$(1.6) \quad \begin{aligned} \frac{\left\| \left(T + \left(\sum_{k=0}^m c_k \right) B \right) x \right\|}{\|x\|} &\geq \frac{\|Tx\|}{\|x\|} - \left(\sum_{k=0}^m c_k \right) \frac{\|Bx\|}{\|x\|} \\ &> [1 - (2^m - 1)/2^m] \cdot \|T^{-1}\|^{-1} \\ &= 2^{-m} \|T^{-1}\|^{-1}. \end{aligned}$$

From (1.5) and (1.6) we have $\|-c_m B\| < \|(T + (\sum_{k=0}^m c_k) B)^{-1}\|^{-1}$, which by (1.2) is sufficient for (1.4) to hold for each $m = 1, \dots, n$. Combining these n inequalities then yields (1.3).

2. Perturbation theory. In this section we assume that both X and Y are complete spaces. We first state some known definitions.

An operator T is called normally solvable (n.s.) if it is closed and has closed range. If the kernel of T is closed, the minimum modulus is defined by $\gamma(T) = \inf_{x \in D(T), x \notin N(T)} [\|Tx\|/d(x, N(T))]$. We also have the three indices $\alpha(T) = \dim N(T)$, $\beta(T) = \dim Y/R(T)$, and if either $\alpha < \infty$ or $\beta < \infty$, $\kappa(T) = \alpha(T) - \beta(T)$.

Goldberg [1] has employed Lemma 1 to give a short proof of a

perturbation theorem of Kato [2]; roughly, that if T is n.s. and B is bounded and small, then $T+B$ is n.s. and certain relations hold between the indices of T and those of $T+B$. We now state for future reference the known extended version of this theorem, wherein B is only required to be T -bounded.

THEOREM (PERTURBATION). *Let T be n.s. and possess index κ . Let B satisfy*

$$(2.1) \quad \|Bx\| \leq a\|Tx\| + b\|x\|$$

for all $x \in D(T)$, where $b + a\gamma(T) < \gamma(T)$. Then $T+B$ is n.s., $\alpha(T+B) \leq \alpha(T)$, $\beta(T+B) \leq \beta(T)$, and $\kappa(T+B) = \kappa(T)$.

To illustrate the role that Lemma 1 can play in such a context, we note that if T also possesses a bounded inverse and $\|B\| < \gamma(T) \equiv \|T^{-1}\|^{-1}$, Lemma 1 states that

$$(2.2) \quad \kappa(T) = -\beta(T) = -\beta(T+B) = \kappa(T+B).$$

3. Semigroup generators. We now prove the following:

THEOREM 2. *Let A be the infinitesimal generator of a contraction semigroup on the Banach space X , and let B be a dissipative operator with $D(B) \supset D(A)$. If there exist constants a and b , with $a < 1$, such that for all $\phi \in D(A)$,*

$$(3.1) \quad \|B\phi\| \leq a\|A\phi\| + b\|\phi\|,$$

then $A+B$ is the infinitesimal generator of a contraction semigroup.

REMARK. The above result, as an extension of an earlier result by Trotter, is obtained by Nelson [3] under the additional condition that $a < \frac{1}{2}$. For definitions, references, and applications to the Schrödinger equation and semigroup generation, see [3]. For our purposes, we will use: (i) Nelson's form of the Hille-Yosida-Phillips Theorem, characterizing a densely defined operator T as the infinitesimal generator of a contraction semigroup if and only if it is dissipative and there exists λ_0 such that $\lambda > \lambda_0$ implies that λ is in the resolvent set of T ; (ii) any dissipative operator T satisfies $\|(\lambda - T)\phi\| \geq \lambda\|\phi\|$ for all $\phi \in D(T)$ and all real λ ; and (iii) the dissipative operators form a convex cone.

PROOF OF THEOREM 2. By the above remark, $A+B$ is dissipative and $(\lambda - A - B)^{-1}$ is continuous for any positive λ . The remainder of the proof thus consists of showing the existence of some λ_0 such that for $\lambda > \lambda_0$, $R(\lambda - A - B) = X$.

We will first show that for $a < \frac{1}{2}$, Theorem 2 is a direct corollary of the above-stated perturbation theorem. Then by a device motivated by Lemma 1, we will extend the result to $a < 1$.

Suppose $a < \frac{1}{2}$. Then for any operator A and positive λ ,

$$(3.2) \quad a\|A\phi\| + b\|\phi\| \leq a\|(\lambda - A)\phi\| + (a\lambda + b)\|\phi\|.$$

Now let $\lambda > \lambda_0 = \max\{\lambda_0(A), b/(1-2a)\}$. Then since A is dissipative,

$$(3.3) \quad \begin{aligned} b' + a\gamma(\lambda - A) &\equiv (a\lambda + b) + a\|(\lambda - A)^{-1}\|^{-1} \\ &< (1 - a)\lambda + a\|(\lambda - A)^{-1}\|^{-1} \\ &\leq (1 - a)\|(\lambda - A)^{-1}\|^{-1} + a\|(\lambda - A)^{-1}\|^{-1} \\ &= \gamma(\lambda - A), \end{aligned}$$

which by the perturbation theorem and A 's properties yields that

$$(3.4) \quad R(\lambda - A - B) = R(\lambda - A) = X.$$

Suppose $\frac{1}{2} \leq a < 1$. Then $a < (2^m - 1)/2^m$ for some integer m . Let $\alpha = 2^{m-1}/(2^m - 1)$ and note that $\alpha a < \frac{1}{2}$. From (3.1) we have

$$(3.5) \quad \alpha\|B\phi\| \leq \alpha a\|A\phi\| + \alpha b\|\phi\|$$

and thus $A + \alpha B$ is the infinitesimal generator of a contraction semigroup. From (3.1) we also have

$$(3.6) \quad \begin{aligned} \alpha\|B\phi\| &\leq \alpha a \left\| \left(A + \alpha \left(\sum_{j=0}^{k-1} 2^{-j} \right) B \right) \phi \right\| \\ &\quad + \frac{1}{2} \alpha \left(\sum_{j=0}^{k-1} 2^{-j} \right) \|B\phi\| + \alpha b\|\phi\| \end{aligned}$$

for $k = 1, \dots, m - 1$. Since $\sum_{j=0}^{k-1} 2^{-j} = (2^k - 1)/(2^{k-1})$, (3.6) gives

$$(3.7) \quad 2^{-k}\alpha\|B\phi\| \leq \alpha a \left\| \left(A + \alpha \left(\sum_{j=0}^{k-1} 2^{-j} \right) B \right) \phi \right\| + \alpha b\|\phi\|,$$

which at each step yields $[A + \alpha(\sum_{j=0}^k 2^{-j})B]$ as an infinitesimal generator of a contraction semigroup, which for $k = m - 1$ is the desired result for $A + B$.

COROLLARY 3. *Under the conditions of Theorem 2, except with $a < a_1$, $c(A + dB)$ is the infinitesimal generator of a contraction semigroup for all $c \geq 0$ and all $0 \leq d \leq 1/a_1$.*

4. Well-posed problems. Since Lemma 1 holds for spaces that are not necessarily complete, it would appear to be useful in other ways,

such as the following inference of well-posed (uniqueness, stability, existence) perturbations from well-posed base problems.

EXAMPLE 4. Let T^{-1} and B be bounded, $\|B\| < \|T^{-1}\|^{-1}$, and $R(T) = Y$. If $R(T+B)$ is closed, then the equation

$$(4.1) \quad (T + B)x = y$$

is well-posed.

PROOF. The uniqueness and stability follow from (1.6) when $m = n$ there. The existence of a solution follows from (1.1) and $R(T+B)$ closed, which imply that $R(T+B) = Y$.

Although the main feature of the example is that the spaces need not be complete, we may observe that if X is complete, the conclusion of the example is

$$(4.2) \quad R(T + B) \text{ closed} \Leftrightarrow T + B \text{ closed} \Leftrightarrow \text{well-posed (4.1)}$$

Furthermore, the hypotheses of the example imply that T is closed; hence if $D(B)$ is closed, it follows that $T+B$ is closed.

REFERENCES

1. Seymour Goldberg, *Unbounded linear operators with applications*, McGraw-Hill, New York (to appear).
2. T. Kato, *Perturbation theory for nullity, deficiency, and other quantities of linear operators*, J. Analyse Math. **6** (1958), 261–321.
3. Edward Nelson, *Feynman integrals and the Schrödinger equation*, J. Mathematical Phys. **5** (1964), 332–343.

UNIVERSITY OF MARYLAND AND
BATTELLE INSTITUTE, GENEVA, SWITZERLAND