

## TRIGONOMETRIC SERIES WITH POSITIVE PARTIAL SUMS

BY Y. KATZNELSON

Communicated by W. Rudin, April 12, 1965

The following problem was proposed by J. E. Littlewood about 15 years ago: Let  $S(x) = \sum_{-\infty}^{\infty} c_n e^{inx}$  be a trigonometric series having the property that all its partial sums are positive. Is such a series necessarily a Fourier series? The purpose of this note is to show that such is not the case. It is well known that such a series must be a Fourier-Stieltjes series, and, as was shown by H. Helson, even the weaker condition

$$(1) \quad \int |S_n(x)| dx < \text{const.}, \quad \left( S_n(x) = \sum_{-n}^n c_j e^{jix} \right)$$

implies  $c_n = o(1)$  (cf. Zygmund [2, p. 286]). It has been shown by Mary Weiss [1] that condition (1) does not imply that  $S(x)$  is a Fourier series.

**LEMMA 1.** *There exists a constant  $\alpha > 0$  such that for every  $\epsilon > 0$  there exists a real valued trigonometric polynomial  $P_\epsilon(x)$ , with vanishing constant coefficient, having the properties:*

- (i)  $|\hat{P}(j)| < \epsilon$ ,
- (ii)  $P_\epsilon(x) > \alpha$  on a set of measure  $> \alpha$ ,
- (iii) *The absolute values of the partial sums of  $P_\epsilon(x)$  are less than  $1/2$ .*

**PROOF.** There exists a constant  $C$  such that  $|(1/\sqrt{N}) \sum_1^N e^{in \log n} e^{inx}| < C$  (cf. Zygmund [2, p. 199]). Take  $N > \epsilon^{-2}$  and  $P_\epsilon(x) = \text{Re}((1/2C\sqrt{N}) \sum_1^N e^{in \log n} e^{inx})$ . Properties (i) and (iii) are obvious. Property (ii) follows from the fact that

$$\|P_\epsilon\|_{L^2} = \frac{1}{2\sqrt{(2)C}}, \quad \sup |P_\epsilon(x)| \leq \frac{1}{2}.$$

We shall also need the following lemma:

**LEMMA 2.** *Let  $f_j(x)$  be real valued trigonometric polynomials satisfying:*

- (a)  $\hat{f}(0) = 0$ ,
- (b)  $f_j(x) > \epsilon$  on a set of measure  $> \alpha$ ,
- (c)  $|f_j(x)| < 1/2$ .

*Then, if  $\lambda_j \rightarrow \infty$  fast enough, the product*

$$(2) \quad \prod_1^\infty (1 - f_j(\lambda_j x))$$

converges weakly to a singular measure.

PROOF. Our first condition on the growth of  $\lambda_n$  is:

$$(3) \quad \lambda_n > 3 \text{ times the degree of } \prod_1^{n-1} (1 - f_j(\lambda_j x))$$

which implies that the constant term of  $\prod_1^n (1 - f_j(\lambda_j x))$  is 1 for all  $n$ . Since the partial products are positive, this implies that the (formal) product (2) is a Fourier-Stieltjes series of a positive measure  $\mu$ . All that we have to do now is follow the lines of the proof of Theorem V.7.6, p. 209 in Zygmund [2]: We notice first that the partial products  $\prod_1^n (1 - f_j(\lambda_j x))$  are partial sums of  $S(d\mu)$  followed by long gaps. As is well known, this implies  $\prod_1^n (1 - f_j(\lambda_j x)) \rightarrow \phi(x)$  a.e. where  $\phi(x)dx$  is the absolutely continuous part of  $\mu$ ; but if  $\lambda_n$  grows fast enough (b) implies that the only limit  $\prod_1^n (1 - f_j(\lambda_j x))$  can converge to a.e. is zero.

THE EXAMPLE. We take  $S(x) = \prod_1^\infty (1 - P_{\epsilon_j}(\lambda_j x))$ .

The  $P_{\epsilon_j}$  are the polynomials defined in Lemma 1, with

$$(4) \quad 0 < \epsilon_j < 2^{-j-2} \left\| \prod_1^{j-1} (1 - P_{\epsilon_k}(\lambda_k x)) \right\|_A^{-1}$$

(where  $\|g\|_A = \sum |\hat{g}(n)|$ ) and  $\lambda_j \rightarrow \infty$  rapidly enough so that

(a)  $\lambda_j > 3$  times the degree of  $\prod_1^{j-1} (1 - P_{\epsilon_k}(\lambda_k x))$  and

(b)  $S(x)$  is the Fourier-Stieltjes series of a singular measure (Lemma 2).

From (a) above it follows that a partial sum of  $S(x)$  has necessarily the form  $\prod_1^q (1 - P_{\epsilon_j}(\lambda_j x))$  times a partial sum of  $(1 - P_{\epsilon_{q+1}}(\lambda_{q+1} x))$  plus two groups of terms each having the form

$$P_{\epsilon_{q+1}}(k)e^{ikx} \text{ times some terms from } \prod_1^q (1 - P_{\epsilon_j}(\lambda_j x)).$$

By (iii)  $\prod_1^q (1 - P_{\epsilon_j}(\lambda_j x)) > 2^{-q}$  and the partial sums of  $(1 - P_{\epsilon_{q+1}}(\lambda_{q+1} x))$  are  $> 1/2$  and by (4) the sum of the remaining terms is bounded by  $2^{-q-2}$ , hence the partial sums of  $S(x)$  are positive.

REFERENCES

1. M. Weiss, *On a problem of Littlewood*, J. London Math. Soc. **34** (1959), 217-221.
2. A. Zygmund, *Trigonometric series*, Vol. 1, University Press, Cambridge, 1959.

STANFORD UNIVERSITY