

# VARIATIONAL METHODS FOR NONLINEAR ELLIPTIC EIGENVALUE PROBLEMS

BY FELIX E. BROWDER

Communicated September 24, 1964

In the present note, we give a simple general proof for the existence of solutions of the following two types of variational problems:

PROBLEM A. *To minimize  $\int_{\Omega} F(x, u, \dots, D^m u) dx$  over a subspace  $V$  of  $W^{m,p}(\Omega)$ .*

PROBLEM B. *To minimize  $\int_{\Omega} F(x, u, \dots, D^m u) dx$  for  $u$  in  $V$  with  $\int_{\Omega} G(x, u, \dots, D^{m-1} u) dx = c$ .*

The solution of the first problem yields a weak solution of a corresponding elliptic boundary-value problem for the Euler-Lagrange equation

$$(1) \quad Au = \sum_{|\alpha| \leq m} (-1)^{|\alpha|} D^{\alpha} F_{p^{\alpha}}(x, u, \dots, D^m u) = 0.$$

From the solution of the second problem, we obtain a solution under corresponding boundary conditions of the nonlinear eigenvalue problem.

$$(2) \quad Au = \lambda \left\{ \sum_{|\beta| \leq m-1} (-1)^{|\beta|} G_{p^{\beta}}(x, u, \dots, D^{m-1} u) \right\} = \lambda Bu, \quad \lambda \in R^1.$$

In §1, we give a complete self-contained treatment of the existence of minima of functionals on reflexive Banach spaces, a treatment which extends and strengthens earlier studies by Lusternik, E. Rothe, Vainberg, and others (see [6], [11], [12], [14], [15]). In §2, we apply the results of §1 to Problems A and B, above. In the case of Problem A, we strengthen and simplify results of Morrey [10] and Smale [13]. The relation of the resulting existence theorem for the solution of the variational boundary-value problem for equation (1) to those obtained by the writer in [2], [3], [4] by operator methods (as well as unpublished results of Leray and Lions) and the results of Višik [16] using other analytical methods, is discussed in detail in [5]. Special cases of the eigenvalue problem treated in Problem B have been treated for  $A$  linear by Levinson [7] with  $A = \Delta$  on  $R^2$ , and by Berger [1] for general linear  $A$ .

**1. Abstract variational problems.** Let  $V$  be a real Banach space. Strong convergence in  $V$  is denoted by  $\rightarrow$ , weak convergence by  $\rightharpoonup$ . We consider two functions

$$\begin{aligned} \Phi: V \times V &\rightarrow R^1, \\ g: V &\rightarrow R^1, \end{aligned}$$

and define  $f: V \rightarrow R^1$  by  $f(v) = \Phi(v, v)$ ,  $v \in V$ .

The function  $\Phi$  is said to be *semi-convex* if both of the following conditions hold:

(a) For each  $v$  in  $V$  and  $c$  in  $R^1$ , the subset  $W_{c,v}$  of  $V$  given by

$$(3) \quad W_{c,v} = \{u \mid u \in V, \Phi(u, v) \leq c\}$$

is convex.

(b) For each bounded set  $B$  of  $V$  and each sequence  $\{v_j\}$  in  $V$  with  $v_j \rightarrow v$ ,  $\Phi(u, v_j) \rightarrow \Phi(u, v)$  uniformly for  $u$  in  $B$ . For fixed  $v$  in  $V$ ,  $\Phi(\cdot, v)$  is continuous in the strong topology of  $V$ .

**THEOREM 1.** Let  $V$  be a reflexive Banach space,  $\Phi$  a semi-convex real function on  $V \times V$ . Let  $f(v) = \Phi(v, v)$  for  $v$  in  $V$ , and let  $C$  be a weakly closed bounded subset of  $V$ . Then  $f$  is bounded from below on  $C$  and assumes its minimum on  $C$ .

**PROOF OF THEOREM 1.** We may choose a sequence  $\{u_j\}$  from the bounded weakly closed set  $C$  such that

$$f(u_j) = \Phi(u_j, u_j) \rightarrow c_0 = \underset{u \in C}{\text{g.l.b.}} f(u),$$

while

$$u_j \rightarrow u_0, \quad u_0 \in C.$$

By property (b) of semi-convexity and the boundedness of  $C$ ,

$$\Phi(u_j, u_j) - \Phi(u_0, u_j) \rightarrow 0.$$

Hence

$$\Phi(u_0, u_j) \rightarrow c_0.$$

Let  $c$  be any real number with  $c > c_0$ . Then for  $j \geq j_c$ ,  $u_j \in W_{c,u_0}$  as defined by equation (3) above.  $W_{c,u_0}$  is convex by property (a), and is closed by the second part of property (b) of semi-convexity. Hence  $W_{c,u_0}$  is weakly closed. Since  $u_j \rightarrow u_0$ ,  $u_0 \in W_{c,u_0}$ , i.e.,  $\Phi(u_0, u_0) \leq c$ . Since  $c$  was any number  $> c_0$ , it follows that  $c_0 > -\infty$  and  $f(u_0) = c_0$ . Q.E.D.

As corollaries of Theorem 1, we have the following:

**THEOREM 2.** Let  $\Phi$  be a semi-convex real function on  $V \times V$ , where  $V$  is a reflexive B-space, and for  $v$  in  $V$ , let  $f(v) = \Phi(v, v)$ . If  $f(v) \rightarrow +\infty$  as  $\|v\| \rightarrow +\infty$ , then  $f$  assumes a minimum on  $V$ .

PROOF OF THEOREM 2. Set  $C = \{v \mid \|v\| \leq R\}$  for  $R$  sufficiently large.

THEOREM 3. Let  $V$  be a reflexive  $B$ -space,  $\Phi$  a real semi-convex function on  $V \times V$ , and  $f(v) = \Phi(v, v)$  for  $v$  in  $V$ . Let  $g$  be a weakly continuous real function on  $V$ . Let  $C = \{u \mid g(u) = c\}$  for a fixed  $c$  in  $R^1$  and suppose that  $f(u) \rightarrow +\infty$  as  $\|u\| \rightarrow +\infty$  on  $C$ . Then  $f$  assumes a minimum on  $C$ .

PROOF OF THEOREM 3.  $C \cap \{u \mid \|u\| \leq R\}$  is weakly closed and bounded for all  $R > 0$ .

Let  $V^*$  be the adjoint space of  $V$ ,  $(w, u)$  the pairing between  $w$  in  $V^*$  and  $u$  in  $V$ .

If  $g: V \rightarrow R^1$ ,  $g$  is said to be differentiable at  $v_0$  in  $V$  if there exists an element  $g'(v_0)$  in  $V^*$  such that for all  $h$  in  $V$

$$g(v_0 + h) = g(v_0) + (g'(v_0), h) + \epsilon(h)$$

where  $\epsilon(h) = o(\|h\|)$  as  $\|h\| \rightarrow 0$ . If  $\Phi: V \times V \rightarrow R^1$ ,  $\Phi$  is differentiable at  $(v_1, v_2)$  if there exists a pair  $w_1, w_2$  in  $V^*$  such that

$$\Phi(v_1 + h_1, v_2 + h_2) = \Phi(v_1, v_2) + (w_1, h_1) + (w_2, h_2) + o(\|h_1\| + \|h_2\|),$$

and we set  $w_1 = \Phi'_1(v_1, v_2)$ ,  $w_2 = \Phi'_2(v_1, v_2)$ . If  $\Phi$  is differentiable at  $(v_0, v_0)$  and  $f(v) = \Phi(v, v)$ , then  $f$  is differentiable at  $v_0$  and

$$f'(v_0) = \Phi'_1(v_0, v_0) + \Phi'_2(v_0, v_0).$$

THEOREM 4. Let  $V$  be a Banach space,  $f$  and  $g$  two real functions on  $V$  with  $f$  and  $g$  differentiable at  $v_0$ ,  $g'(v_0) \neq 0$ . If  $f$  has a local minimum at  $v_0$  with respect to the set  $C = \{v \mid g(v) = g(v_0)\}$ , then there exists  $\lambda$  in  $R^1$  such that  $f'(v_0) = \lambda g'(v_0)$ .

PROOF OF THEOREM 4. Let  $V_1 = \{v \mid v \in V, (g'(v_0), v) = 0\}$ , and choose  $u_0$  in  $V$  such that  $(g'(v_0), u_0) = 1$ . If  $v$  is any element of  $V_1$  with  $\|v\| = 1$  and  $\epsilon$  and  $r$  are real numbers with  $|\epsilon|, |r|$  sufficiently small, then

$$f(v_0) \leq f(v_0 + \epsilon v + r u_0)$$

provided that  $g(v_0) = g(v_0 + \epsilon v + r u_0)$ . We know that

$$\begin{aligned} g(v_0 + \epsilon v + r u_0) &= g(v_0) + \epsilon(g'(v_0), v) + r(g'(v_0), u_0) + s(\epsilon, r, v) \\ &= g(v_0) + r + s(\epsilon, r, v), \end{aligned}$$

where for each fixed  $v$  in  $V_1$ ,  $s(\epsilon, r, v) = o(|\epsilon| + |r|)$ . Consider  $r$  on the interval  $[-\frac{1}{2}|\epsilon|, +\frac{1}{2}|\epsilon|]$ , and the quantity  $r + s(\epsilon, r, v)$  with  $\epsilon \neq 0$  and  $v$  fixed. For  $|\epsilon|$  sufficiently small,  $r + s(\epsilon, r, v)$  is negative at the left endpoint, positive at the right, and continuous in  $r$ . We may

choose a value of  $r(\epsilon, v)$  in the interval to make  $r+s(\epsilon, r, v)=0$ , and hence  $|r(\epsilon, v)|=o(|\epsilon|)$ . For this choice of  $r$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned} f(v_0) &\leq f(v_0 + \epsilon v + r u_0) \\ &= f(v_0) + \epsilon(f'(v_0), v) + r(f'(v_0), u_0) + o(|\epsilon| + |r|) \end{aligned}$$

so that

$$\epsilon(f'(v_0), v) \geq -o(|\epsilon|), \quad |\epsilon| \rightarrow 0.$$

Hence  $(f'(v_0), v)=0$  for all  $v$  in  $V_1$  and  $f'(v_0)=\lambda g'(v_0)$ , for some  $\lambda$  in  $R^1$ .

REMARK. In [6] and [14], Theorem 4 is called Lusternik's principle and proofs are given for special cases.

**THEOREM 5.** *Let  $V$  be a reflexive Banach space,  $\Phi$  a semi-convex real function on  $V \times V$ ,  $g$  a weakly continuous real function on  $V$ ,  $f(v)=\Phi(v, v)$  for  $v$  in  $V$ . Suppose that  $f$  and  $g$  are differentiable on  $V$ , that for a given constant  $c$  in  $R^1$  the set  $C=\{v|g(v)=c\}$  is nonempty, and that  $g'(v) \neq 0$  for  $v$  in  $C$ . Suppose further that  $f(v) \rightarrow +\infty$  as  $\|v\| \rightarrow +\infty$  on  $C$ , then there exists  $v_0$  in  $C$  and  $\lambda$  in  $R^1$  such that  $f'(v_0)=\lambda g'(v_0)$ .*

Theorem 5 is an immediate consequence of Theorems 3 and 4.

A useful complement to Theorem 5 is the following:

**THEOREM 6.** *A sufficient condition for condition (a) for semi-convexity to hold is that  $\Phi$  be everywhere differentiable on  $V \times V$  and that  $\Phi'_1(u, v)$  be monotone in  $u$  for fixed  $v$ , i.e., for all  $u_0, u_1$  in  $V$ ,*

$$(\Phi'_1(u_1, v) - \Phi'_1(u_0, v), u_1 - u_0) \geq 0.$$

**PROOF OF THEOREM 6.** Let  $u_0, u_1$ , and  $v$  be elements of  $V$ ,  $0 \leq \lambda \leq 1$ . Let  $u_\lambda = \lambda u_1 + (1-\lambda)u_0$ . To prove condition (a), it suffices to show  $\Phi(u, v)$  convex in  $u$  for fixed  $v$ . Set

$$h(\lambda) = \Phi(u_\lambda, v) - \lambda \Phi(u_1, v) - (1-\lambda)\Phi(u_0, v).$$

It suffices to show that  $h(\lambda) \leq 0$  for  $0 \leq \lambda \leq 1$ . Since  $h(0)=h(1)=0$ , it suffices to show that  $h'(\lambda)$  is nondecreasing on the interval. However,

$$h'(\lambda) = (\Phi'_1(u_\lambda, v), u_1 - u_0) - \Phi(u_1, v) + \Phi(u_0, v),$$

so that for  $\lambda < \xi$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} h'(\xi) - h'(\lambda) &= (\Phi'_1(u_\xi, v) - \Phi'_1(u_\lambda, v), u_1 - u_0) \\ &= (\xi - \lambda)^{-1}(\Phi'_1(u_\xi, v) - \Phi'_1(u_\lambda, v), u_\xi - u_\lambda) \geq 0. \quad \text{Q.E.D.} \end{aligned}$$

REMARK. Connections between monotonicity of the gradient and convexity of the functional have been remarked in Minty [9] and

implicitly in Vaĭnberg and Kachurovski [15]. Monotone operators between  $V$  and  $V^*$  have been studied in Browder [2], [4] and Minty [8].

2. **Nonlinear eigenvalue problems.** We adopt the notation of [2] and [3] in general, except that all our functions will be real- rather than complex-valued. Let  $\Omega$  be a bounded, smoothly bounded open set in  $R^n$ ,  $n \geq 1$ ,  $D^\alpha$  the elementary differential operator  $(\partial/\partial x_1)^{\alpha_1} \cdots (\partial/\partial x_n)^{\alpha_n}$ . We assume that we are given positive integers  $r$  and  $m$ , a real number  $p$  with  $1 < p < \infty$ , and a closed subspace  $V$  of the reflexive Banach space  $W^{m,p}(\Omega)$  of  $r$ -vector functions  $u$  on  $\Omega$  such that  $D^\alpha u \in L^p(\Omega)$  for all  $\alpha$  with  $|\alpha| \leq m$ . Let  $\langle, \rangle$  denote the natural inner product in  $R^r$  and for two real-valued  $r$ -vector functions  $u$  and  $v$  on  $\Omega$ , set

$$[u, v] = \int_{\Omega} \langle u(x), v(x) \rangle dx,$$

where the integration is taken with respect to Lebesgue  $n$ -measure.

Let  $\zeta = \{\zeta_\alpha \mid |\alpha| = m\}$  and  $\psi = \{\psi_\xi \mid |\xi| \leq m-1\}$  be elements of the real vector spaces  $R^N$  and  $R^M$ , respectively, where for each  $\alpha$  and  $\xi$ ,  $\zeta_\alpha$  and  $\psi_\xi$  are real  $r$ -vectors. We assume that we are given two functions

$$F(x, \psi, \zeta), \quad G(x, \psi)$$

defined on  $\Omega \times R^M \times R^N$  and  $\Omega \times R^M$ , respectively, measurable in  $x$  and  $C^1$  in  $(\psi, \zeta)$  or  $\psi$ . We let  $F_\alpha, F_\xi$ , and  $G_\xi$  denote the appropriate partial gradients of the functions  $F$  and  $G$  with respect to  $\zeta_\alpha$  and  $\psi_\xi$ .

We suppose that  $F$  and  $G$  satisfy the following system of inequalities:

$$(I) \quad \begin{aligned} |F(x, \psi, \zeta)| &\leq c(\eta) \left\{ g(x) + |\zeta|^p + \sum_{|\xi| \leq m-1} |\psi_\xi|^{p_\xi} \right\}, \\ |G(x, \psi)| &\leq c(\eta) \left\{ g(x) + \sum_{|\xi| \leq m-1} |\psi_\xi|^{p_\xi} \right\}, \end{aligned}$$

where  $g \in L^p(\Omega)$ ,  $p_\xi$  are exponents satisfying the inequalities

$$(n - p(m - |\xi|))p_\xi < np \quad \text{if } n - p(m - |\xi|) > 0$$

and  $c(\eta)$  is a continuous function of  $\eta = \{\psi_\xi \mid |\xi| < n/p - m\}$ .

For each  $u$  in  $V$ , let

$$\zeta(u) = \{D^\alpha u \mid |\alpha| = m\}, \quad \psi(u) = \{D^\xi u \mid |\xi| \leq m-1\}.$$

Then the functionals

$$\Phi(u, v) = \int_{\Omega} F(x, \psi(v), \zeta(u)) dx$$

and

$$g(u) = \int_{\Omega} G(x, \psi(u)) dx$$

are well defined and continuous on  $V \times V$  and  $V$ , respectively,  $g$  is weakly continuous on  $V$ , and  $\Phi$  satisfies condition (b) for semi-convexity. If we assume further that:

$$\begin{aligned} |F_{\alpha}(x, \psi, \zeta)| &\leq c(\eta) \left\{ g_1(x) + |\zeta|^{p-1} + \sum_{|\xi| \leq m-1} |\psi_{\xi}|^{p'\xi} \right\}, \\ \text{(II)} \quad |F_{\beta}(x, \psi, \zeta)| &\leq c(\eta) \left\{ g_1(x) + |\zeta|^{q_{\beta}} + \sum_{|\xi| \leq m-1} |\psi_{\xi}|^{q_{\xi\beta}} \right\}, \\ |G(x, \psi)| &\leq c(\eta) \left\{ g_1(x) + \sum_{|\xi| \leq m-1} |\psi_{\xi}|^{q_{\xi\beta}} \right\}, \end{aligned}$$

where  $g_1 \in L^{p'-1}(\Omega)$  and  $p'_{\xi}$ ,  $q_{\beta}$ , and  $q_{\xi\beta}$  are exponents satisfying the inequalities

$$\begin{aligned} (n - p(m - |\xi|))p'_{\xi} &\leq n(p - 1), \quad \text{if } n - p(m - |\xi|) > 0, \\ (n - p(m - |\xi|))q_{\xi\beta} &\leq n(p - 1) + p(m - |\beta|), \\ nq_{\beta} &\leq n(p - 1) + p(m - |\beta|) \end{aligned}$$

then the functionals  $\Phi$  and  $g$  are everywhere once differentiable with

$$\begin{aligned} (\Phi'_1(v_1, v_2), u) &= \sum_{|\alpha|=m} [F_{\alpha}(x, \psi(v_2), \zeta(v_1)), D^{\alpha}u], \\ (\Phi'_2(v_1, v_2), u) &= \sum_{|\xi| \leq m-1} [F_{\xi}(x, \psi(v_2), \zeta(v_1)), D^{\xi}u], \\ (g'(v), u) &= \sum_{|\xi| \leq m-1} [G_{\xi}(x, \psi(v)), D^{\xi}u]. \end{aligned}$$

If we assume that each  $F_{\alpha}$  is itself differentiable in  $\zeta$  and that the following semi-ellipticity condition holds:

$$\text{(III)} \quad \sum_{|\alpha|, |\beta|=m} \langle F_{\alpha\beta}(x, \psi, \zeta) \eta_{\alpha}, \eta_{\beta} \rangle \geq 0,$$

for all  $\psi$  in  $R^M$ ,  $x$  in  $\Omega$ , and  $\zeta$  and  $\eta$  in  $R^N$  (where  $F_{\alpha\beta}$  is the gradient of  $F_{\alpha}$  with respect to  $\zeta_{\beta}$ , which we assume to exist); then  $\Phi$  will satisfy the monotonicity condition of Theorem 6 and thereby condition (a) for semi-convexity.

Applying Theorem 2, we have:

**THEOREM 7.** *If  $F$  satisfies the inequalities imposed on it in (I), (II), and (III) and if  $f(v) = \Phi(v, v) \rightarrow +\infty$  as  $\|v\| \rightarrow +\infty$ , then  $f$  has a minimum on  $V$  which is a variational solution in the sense of [2] of the Euler-Lagrange equation*

$$Au = \sum_{|\beta| \leq m} (-1)^{|\beta|} D^\beta F_\beta(x, \psi(u), \zeta(u)) = 0.$$

Applying Theorem 5, we have:

**THEOREM 8.** *If  $F$  and  $G$  satisfy (I), (II), and (III), if the set  $C = \{v \mid v \in V, g(v) = c\}$  is nonempty and  $g'(v) \neq 0$  on  $C$ , and if, finally,  $f(v) \rightarrow +\infty$  as  $\|v\| \rightarrow +\infty$  on  $C$ , then  $f$  has a minimum on  $C$  which is a variational solution of the appropriate boundary-value problem for the eigenvalue problem*

$$Au = \lambda Bu = \lambda \left\{ \sum_{|\xi| \leq m-1} (-1)^{|\xi|} D^\xi G_\xi(x, \psi(u)) \right\}.$$

We complete our considerations with the following:

**THEOREM 9.** (a) *If  $V$  is  $W_0^{m,p}(\Omega)$ , the closure of  $C_c^\infty(\Omega)$  in  $W^{m,p}(\Omega)$ , the boundary conditions in Theorems 7 and 8 are those of the homogeneous Dirichlet problem.*

(b) *Condition (III) can be replaced by the weaker integral condition*

$$\sum_{|\alpha|, |\beta| = m} [F_{\alpha\beta}(x, \psi(v_1), \zeta(v_2)) D^\alpha u, D^\beta u] \geq -c \|u\|_{m-1,p}^p.$$

(c) *Theorem 8 can be specialized to hold under the following more intuitive restrictions than (I) and (II): namely,  $|F| < c\{1 + |\zeta|^p + |\psi|^p\}$ ,  $|F_\alpha| + |F_\xi| \leq c\{1 + |\zeta|^{p-1} + |\psi|^{p-1}\}$ ,  $G = u^q$  with  $q < np(n - pm)^{-1}$  for  $n > pm$  and  $G$  an arbitrary continuous function of  $u$  for  $n < pm$ .*

The regularity of the solutions of Theorems 7 and 8 can be derived from known results for linear and mildly nonlinear equations  $A$  as well as for the case  $m = 1, r = 1$ .

### BIBLIOGRAPHY

1. M. Berger, *A nonlinear elliptic eigenvalue problem*, Dissertation, Yale University, New Haven, Conn., May 1964.
2. F. E. Browder, *Nonlinear elliptic boundary value problems*, Bull. Amer. Math. Soc. **69** (1963), 862-874.
3. ———, *Nonlinear elliptic problems. II*, Bull. Amer. Math. Soc. **70** (1964), 299-302.
4. ———, *Nonlinear elliptic boundary value problems. II*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. (to appear).

5. ———, *Existence and uniqueness theorems for solutions of nonlinear boundary value problems*, Proc. Sympos. Appl. Math. Vol. 17, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, R. I. (to appear).
6. M. A. Krasnoselski, *Topological methods in the theory of nonlinear integral equations*, GITTL, Moscow, 1956 (English transl., Pergamon Press, New York, 1964).
7. N. Levinson, *Positive eigenfunctions for  $u + \lambda f(u) = 0$* , Arch. Rational Mech. Anal. 11 (1962), 258–272.
- 8 G. J. Minty, *On a "monotonicity" method for the solution of nonlinear equations in Banach spaces*, Proc. Nat. Acad. Sci. U.S.A. 50 (1963), 1038–1041.
9. ———, *On the monotonicity of the gradient of a convex function*, Pacific J. Math. 14 (1964), 243–247.
10. C. B. Morrey, Jr., *Multiple integral problems in the calculus of variations and related topics*, Ann. Scuola Norm. Sup. Pisa 14 (1960), 1–61.
11. E. H. Rothe, *Gradient mappings and extrema in Banach spaces*, Duke Math. J. 15 (1948), 421–431.
12. ———, *A note on the Banach spaces of Calkin and Morrey*, Pacific J. Math. 3 (1953), 493–499.
13. S. Smale, *Morse theory and a nonlinear generalization of the Dirichlet problem*, Ann. of Math. (to appear).
14. M. M. Vainberg, *Variational methods for investigation of nonlinear operators*, GITTL, Moscow, 1956 (English transl., Holden Day Co., 1964).
15. M. M. Vainberg and R. I. Kachurovski, *On the variational theory of nonlinear operators and equations*, Dokl. Akad. Nauk SSSR 129 (1959), 1199–1202.
16. M. I. Višik, *Quasilinear strongly elliptic systems of differential equations having divergence form*, Trudy Moskov. Mat. Obšč. 12 (1963), 125–184.

UNIVERSITY OF CHICAGO