

# ON THE STRUCTURE OF SEMI-NORMAL OPERATORS<sup>1</sup>

BY C. R. PUTNAM

Communicated by P. R. Halmos, July 7, 1963

1. **Preliminaries.** Only bounded operators on a Hilbert space  $\mathfrak{H}$  of elements  $x$  will be considered. If  $A$  is self-adjoint with the spectral resolution

$$(1) A = \int \lambda dE(\lambda),$$

and if  $\mathfrak{S}_a = \mathfrak{S}_a(A)$  denotes the set of elements  $x$  for which  $\|E(\lambda)x\|^2$  is an absolutely continuous function of  $\lambda$ , then  $\mathfrak{S}_a$  is a subspace; cf. [2, p. 240], [3, p. 436] and [6, p. 104]. If  $\mathfrak{S} = \mathfrak{S}_a$ , then  $A$  is called absolutely continuous. The one-dimensional Lebesgue measure of the spectrum of a self-adjoint operator  $A$  will be denoted by  $\text{meas sp}(A)$ .

An operator  $T$  on  $\mathfrak{H}$  is called semi-normal if

$$(2) TT^* - T^*T \equiv D \geq 0 \text{ or } D \leq 0.$$

There will be proved the following result concerning such an operator.

2. **Theorem.** *If  $T$  satisfies (2) and if  $\mathfrak{M} = \mathfrak{M}_T$  is the smallest subspace of  $\mathfrak{H}$  reducing  $T$  and containing the range of  $D$ , then*

$$(3) T + T^* \text{ is absolutely continuous on } \mathfrak{M},$$

*and, if  $\mathfrak{M}^\perp$  denotes the orthogonal complement of  $\mathfrak{M}$  (so that  $\mathfrak{M}^\perp$  also reduces  $T$ ), then*

$$(4) T \text{ is normal on } \mathfrak{M}^\perp.$$

*In addition,*

$$(5) 2\pi\|D\| \leq \|T - T^*\| \text{ meas sp}(T + T^*),$$

*and the inequality (5) is optimal in the sense that there exist examples with  $D \neq 0$  for which (5) becomes an equality.*

As a consequence, if  $T$  is semi-normal but not normal, then  $\mathfrak{S}_a(T + T^*) \neq 0$ , a result which can also be concluded from [4, Corollary 3, p. 1029], where the symbol " $<$ " should be replaced by " $\neq$ ." (This same Corollary, incidentally, also implies the result proved by Andô [1] that a completely continuous semi-normal operator  $T$  must be normal. In fact, if  $T$  is completely continuous, so also are  $T^*$  and  $T + T^*$ . But the spectrum of  $T + T^*$  clearly must be of measure zero.)

If  $\theta$  is real and  $T(\theta) = e^{i\theta}T$ , then (2) is unchanged if  $T$  is replaced by  $T(\theta)$ . Also, it is clear that the set  $\mathfrak{M}_{T(\theta)}$  is independent of  $\theta$ . It follows that (3), (4) and (5) remain valid if, in each instance,  $T$  is

---

<sup>1</sup> This work was supported by the National Science Foundation research grant NSF-G18915.

replaced by  $T(\theta)$ . In particular then, relations (3) and (5) become assertions concerning the absolute continuity and spectra of both the real and the imaginary parts of a semi-normal operator  $T$ .

The proof of the Theorem will depend upon results proved in [5] and which will be stated here, in a form convenient for application, as a

LEMMA. Let  $H$  and  $J$  be self-adjoint operators and suppose that

$$(6) \quad HJ - JH = iC, \text{ where } C \geq 0 \text{ or } C \leq 0.$$

Then,

$$(7) \quad \mathfrak{L} \subset \mathfrak{S}_a(H),$$

where  $\mathfrak{L}$  denotes the smallest subspace reducing both  $H$  and  $J$  and also containing the range of  $C$ . Furthermore,

$$(8) \quad \pi \|C\| \leq \|J\| \text{ meas sp}(H).$$

It is clear from the symmetry of the condition (6) that (7) and (8) remain true if  $H$  and  $J$  are interchanged.

**3. Proof of the Theorem.** Let  $T$  be represented as

(9)  $T = H + iJ$ , where  $H = (T + T^*)/2$  and  $J = (T - T^*)/2i$ , so that (2) and (6) are equivalent by virtue of (9) and

$$(10) \quad D = 2C.$$

It is clear that the space  $\mathfrak{L}$  of the Lemma must then coincide with the space  $\mathfrak{M}$  of the Theorem. Relations (3) and (5) now follow respectively from (7) and (8), while relation (4) is a consequence of the fact that  $\mathfrak{M}^\perp$  is contained in the null space of  $D$ . An example involving finite interval Hilbert transforms was given in [5] for which the hypothesis of the Lemma is fulfilled and for which (8) becomes an equality (with  $C \neq 0$ ). This result in turn yields, by virtue of (9) and (10), an example in which equality holds in (5) and  $D \neq 0$ .

#### REFERENCES

1. T. Andô, *On hyponormal operators*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. 14 (1963), 290-291.
2. T. Kato, *On finite-dimensional perturbations of self-adjoint operators*, J. Math. Soc. Japan 9 (1957), 239-249.
3. S. T. Kuroda, *On the existence and the unitary property of the scattering operator*, Nuovo Cimento (10) 12 (1959), 431-454.
4. C. R. Putnam, *On commutators and Jacobi matrices*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. 6 (1956), 1026-1030.
5. ———, *Commutators, absolutely continuous spectra, and singular integral operators* (to appear).
6. P. R. Halmos, *Introduction to Hilbert space*, Chelsea, New York, 1951.