## THE COHOMOLOGY OF GROUP EXTENSIONS

BY L. S. CHARLAP<sup>1</sup> AND A. T. VASQUEZ<sup>2</sup>

Communicated by Deane Montgomery, May 21, 1963

1. Introduction. Suppose  $\Pi$  is a group with a finitely generated abelian normal subgroup M and let  $\Phi = \Pi/M$ , i.e.  $\Pi$  satisfies the exact sequence

(\*) 
$$0 \to M \to \Pi \to \Phi \to 1.$$

The isomorphism class of  $\Pi$  is determined by (A) the groups M and  $\Phi$ , (B) the structure of M as a  $\Phi$ -module, and (C) a cohomology class  $a \in H^2(\Phi; M)$  which describes the extension (cf. [1]). In principle then it should be possible to compute  $H^*(\Pi)$ , the cohomology ring of  $\Pi$ , from the above information. Practically, however, this seems to be impossible in general even if we assume known the cohomology of M and  $\Phi$ . Our objective here is to solve an approximation to this problem.

The Hochschild-Serre spectral sequence [2] provides us with a sequence of differential rings  $(E_r, d_r)$   $(r=1, 2, \cdots)$  which approximate the ring  $H^*(\Pi)$  and such that  $E_{r+1} = H(E_r, d_r)$ . Hochschild and Serre computed  $E_2$  and found that  $E_2^{p,q} \cong H^p(\Phi; H^q(M))$ . So  $E_2$  depends only on (A) and (B) and is therefore a rather crude approximation to  $H^*(\Pi)$ . We determine  $d_2$  (and hence  $E_3$ ) in terms of (A), (B), and (C). Hochschild and Serre found  $d_2$  on  $E_2^{*,1}$  ("the first row"), and our results can be thought of as a generalization of theirs. We assume we have coefficients in a field F although the results are valid in somewhat greater generality.

In §2 we generalize a technique in [2] and define two new spectral sequences  $\hat{E}_r$  and  $\overline{E}_r$  and a cup product pairing from  $\hat{E}_r \otimes \overline{E}_r$  to  $E_r$ . The problem of computing  $d_2$  in  $E_2$  is reduced to computing  $\hat{d}_2$  on a sequence of classes  $f^n \in \hat{E}_2^{n,0}$ , and then the value of  $d_2$  on a class in  $E_2^{n,p}$  is equal to the cup product of  $b^n = \hat{d}_2(f^n)$  and an appropriate class in  $\overline{E}_2^{0,p}$ .

In §3 we assume that (\*) splits or equivalently that a=0. In this case the entire spectral sequence ( $\mathfrak{E}_r$ ,  $\mathfrak{d}_r$ ) depends only on (A) and (B). The classes  $v^n = \mathfrak{d}_2(f^n)$  obtained in this case are called characteristic classes of the  $\Phi$ -module M. They provide some measure of the difference between the cohomology of the split extension  $\Phi \cdot M$  and that of the direct product  $\Phi \times M$ .

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Work supported by Air Force Office of Scientific Research.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> Work supported by a National Science Foundation Postdoctoral Fellowship.

§4 shows that the general case can be obtained from the special case by adding a correction term to  $v^n$ , i.e.  $b^n = v^n + a^n$ . The correction term  $a^n$  is determined by a and Pontryagin multiplication in  $H_*(M)$ .

Proofs and applications will appear in subsequent papers. We have used these results (especially Remark 2 in §3) to compute the cohomology of certain flat Riemannian manifolds.

We wish to thank Armand Borel for several stimulating conversations.

2. We will omit writing the coefficient group when it is the field F. Since M is a  $\Phi$ -module,  $H_n(M)$  and  $H^n(M)$  are also  $\Phi$ -modules and if we consider M to act on them trivially, they become  $\Pi$  modules in a natural way. We fix n, and let  $(E_r, d_r)$  (respectively  $(\hat{E}_r, \hat{d}_r)$ ; respectively  $(\bar{E}_r, \bar{d}_r)$ ) be the spectral sequence for (\*) with coefficients F (respectively  $H_n(M)$ ; respectively  $H^n(M)$ ). There is a canonical isomorphism  $\theta: E_2^{p,n} \to \bar{E}_2^{p,0}$  since

$$H^p(\Phi;H^n(M))\cong H^p(\Phi;H^0\left(M;H^n(M)\right)).$$

Since  $H^n(M) \cong \operatorname{Hom}(H_n(M), F)$ , evaluation gives a pairing from  $H_n(M) \otimes H^n(M)$  to F which induces a cup product pairing from  $\hat{E}^{p,q}_r \otimes \overline{E}^{s,t}_r$  to  $E^{p+s,q+t}_r$ . Now

$$\hat{E}_2^{0,n} \cong H^0(\Phi; H^n(M; H_n(M))) \cong \operatorname{Hom}_{\Phi}(H_n(M), H_n(M)).$$

Let  $f^n \in \hat{E}_2^{0,n}$  correspond to the identity map.

LEMMA A. Let  $u^n \in E_2^{p,n}$ . Then

$$u^n = f^n \cup \theta(u^n).$$

3. Let  $\Phi \cdot M$  be the split extension, i.e.  $\Phi \cdot M$  satisfies the split exact sequence

$$(**) 0 \to M \to \Phi \cdot M \leftrightarrows \Phi \to 1.$$

Let  $(\mathfrak{E}_r, \mathfrak{d}_r)$  be the spectral sequence for (\*\*) with coefficients  $H_n(M)$ . Since the second term of the spectral sequence is independent of the extension,  $\hat{E}_2 \cong \mathfrak{E}_2$ , and we can consider  $f^n \in \mathfrak{E}_2^{0,n}$ .

DEFINITION. Let  $v^n = b_2(f^n) \in \mathbb{G}_2^{2,n-1} = \hat{E}_2^{2,n-1}$ . We call  $v^n$  the *n*th characteristic class of the  $\Phi$ -module M.

Remarks. (1)  $v^1$  is always 0.

(2) If  $\Phi$  is a cyclic group of prime order, and M is torsionfree as an abelian group, then  $v^n=0$  for all n. The proof of this apparently difficult fact uses the Z[M]-free resolution of Z described in [4] and the knowledge of the indecomposable  $\Phi$ -modules [3].

- (3) If  $\Phi$  is  $Z_2$  and M is  $Z_8$  and the generator of  $\Phi$  takes a generator of M into five times itself, then  $v^2 \neq 0$ .
- (4) Since  $v^n$  depends only on the  $\Phi$ -module M, it is not surprising that  $v^n$  can be defined without reference to a spectral sequence.
- 4. Returning to the general case (\*), recall  $a \in H^2(\Phi; M)$   $\cong H^2(\Phi; H_1(M; Z))$ . Let  $\chi: Z \to F$  send 1 into 1.  $\chi$  induces  $\chi_*: H^2(\Phi; H_1(M; Z)) \to H^2(\Phi; H_1(M))$ . Let  $a' = \chi_*(a)$ . Now Pontryagin multiplication gives a homomorphism  $H_1(M) \otimes H_{n-1}(M) \to H_n(M)$ , or, equivalently a homomorphism  $H_1(M) \to \operatorname{Hom}(H_{n-1}(M), H_n(M))$   $\cong H^{n-1}(M; H_n(M))$ . We define  $a^n \in H^2(\Phi; H^{n-1}(M; H_n(M))) = \hat{E}_2^{2n-1}$  to be the image of -a' under this coefficient homomorphism.

LEMMA B.  $\hat{d}_2(f^n) = b_2(f^n) + a^n$ , i.e.

$$b^n = v^n + a^n.$$

THEOREM.  $d_2(u^n) = b^n \cup \theta(u^n) = (a^n + v^n) \cup \theta(u^n)$ .

PROOF. Using Lemma A we have

$$d_2(u^n) = \bar{d}_2(f^n) \cup \theta(u^n) + (-1)^n f^n \cup \hat{d}_2(\theta(u^n)).$$

Since  $\theta(u^n) \in \overline{E}_2^{p,0}$ , Lemma B completes the proof.

## REFERENCES

- 1. H. Cartan and S. Eilenberg, *Homological algebra*, Princeton Univ. Press, Princeton, N. J., 1956, p. 302.
- 2. G. Hochschild and J.-P. Serre, The cohomology of group extensions, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. 74 (1953), 110.
- 3. I. Reiner, Integral representations of cyclic groups of prime order, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. 8 (1957), 142.
- 4. J. Tate, Homology of noetherian rings and local rings, Illinois J. Math. 1 (1957), 14.

COLUMBIA UNIVERSITY,

University of California, Berkeley and Institute for Advanced Study