

fies (F) with  $\{(A_1, B_1), \dots, (A_k, B_k)\}$  as a class of order-pairs. The  $2k+1$  integers  $k^2, \dots, k^2+2k$  are reversed by  $\rho$ , but two of them must fall in the same set  $A_i$ . This is a contradiction.

Therefore  $G$  is a proper subgroup of  $S_\infty$ .

HARVARD UNIVERSITY

### ON THE ISOMORPHISM PROBLEM FOR BERNOULLI SCHEMES

BY J. R. BLUM<sup>1</sup> AND D. L. HANSON<sup>2</sup>

Communicated by J. L. Doob, November 27, 1962

1. DEFINITION 1. A Bernoulli scheme  $(E, \Omega, \mathfrak{F}, P, T)$  is a probability space together with a transformation  $T$ , where

- (i)  $E = \{1, \dots, n\}$  for some positive integer  $n$ , or  $E = \{1, 2, \dots\}$ ,
- (ii)  $\Omega = \{\omega = (\dots, \omega_{-1}, \omega_0, \omega_1, \dots) \mid \omega_i \in E \text{ for all } i\}$ ,
- (iii)  $\mathfrak{F}$  is the smallest  $\sigma$ -algebra containing all sets  $A_i^k = \{\omega \mid \omega_i = k\}$ ,
- (iv)  $q_k > 0$  is defined for  $k \in E$  with  $\sum_{k \in E} q_k = 1$ ,  $P$  is the product measure on  $\mathfrak{F}$  defined by  $P\{A_i^k\} = q_k$  for all  $i$ ,
- (v)  $T$  is the shift transformation defined on  $\Omega$ , i.e.,  $T\omega = \omega'$  if and only if  $\omega'_i = \omega_{i+1}$  for all  $i$ .

We shall sometimes refer to a Bernoulli scheme as a  $(q_1, \dots, q_n)$ -scheme or a  $(q_1, q_2, \dots)$ -scheme depending upon whether  $E = \{1, \dots, n\}$  or  $E = \{1, 2, \dots\}$ .

DEFINITION 2. Two Bernoulli schemes  $(E, \Omega, \mathfrak{F}, P, T)$  and  $(E', \Omega', \mathfrak{F}', P', T')$  are said to be *isomorphic modulo sets of measure zero* (or simply *isomorphic*) if there exist sets  $D \in \mathfrak{F}, D' \in \mathfrak{F}'$  and a mapping  $\phi: D \rightarrow D'$  such that

- (i)  $TD = D$ ,
- (ii)  $\phi: D \rightarrow D'$  is one-to-one and onto,
- (iii)  $\phi(T\omega) = T'(\phi\omega)$  for all  $\omega \in D$ ,
- (iv) if  $A \subset D$  then  $A \in \mathfrak{F}$  if and only if  $\phi A \in \mathfrak{F}'$ ,
- (v) if  $A \subset D$  and  $A \in \mathfrak{F}$  then  $P(A) = P'(\phi A)$ ,
- (vi)  $P(D) = 1$ .

DEFINITION 3. The *entropy* of a  $(q_1, \dots, q_n)$ -scheme  $[(q_1, q_2, \dots)$ -scheme] is given by

<sup>1</sup> Research supported by the National Science Foundation, Grant NSF G-21219.

<sup>2</sup> Research done under the auspices of the United States Atomic Energy Commission.

$$h = - \sum_i q_i \log q_i.$$

For a detailed discussion of entropy see, e.g., Halmos [1]. It is well known that entropy is an invariant with respect to isomorphism, i.e., any two isomorphic Bernoulli schemes have the same entropy. It is not known whether entropy is a complete invariant, that is, whether two Bernoulli schemes with the same entropy are isomorphic.

In this note we state a theorem which gives conditions under which two Bernoulli schemes are isomorphic. This generalizes results due to Meshalkin [2]. Below is a sketch of Meshalkin's work.

2. Consider a  $(q_1, \dots, q_n)$ -scheme and a  $(p_1, \dots, p_m)$ -scheme. Let  $p$  be a positive integer, let  $k_1, \dots, k_m$  be non-negative integers, and let  $M = \sum_{\alpha} k_{\alpha} p_{\alpha}$ . Meshalkin calls the  $(p_1, \dots, p_m)$ -scheme a  $(p, M)$ -factor scheme of the  $(q_1, \dots, q_n)$ -scheme provided there exist disjoint subsets  $I_1, \dots, I_m$  of  $\{1, \dots, n\}$  such that

- (i)  $i \in I_{\alpha}, j \in I_{\alpha}$  implies  $q_i = q_j, \alpha = 1, \dots, m,$
- (ii)  $p_{\alpha} = \sum_{i \in I_{\alpha}} q_i = p^{k_{\alpha}} q_j$  for  $j \in I_{\alpha}, \alpha = 1, \dots, m.$

THEOREM (MESHALKIN). Consider a  $(q_1, \dots, q_n)$ -scheme with entropy  $h$ . Then

- (i) any of its  $(p, M)$ -factor schemes has entropy  $h - M \log p,$
- (ii) for fixed  $p$  and  $M$  any two  $(p, M)$ -factor schemes are isomorphic.

COROLLARY. A  $(q_1, \dots, q_n)$ -scheme and a  $(p_1, \dots, p_m)$ -scheme are isomorphic provided

- (i) they have equal entropy, and
- (ii) there exist a positive integer  $p$  and non-negative integers  $k_1, \dots, k_m, r_1, \dots, r_m$  such that for all  $i$  and  $j$  with  $1 \leq i \leq n, 1 \leq j \leq m$  the equations  $q_i = p^{-k_i}$  and  $p_j = p^{-r_j}$  hold.

3. DEFINITION 4. Let  $(\Omega, \mathfrak{F})$  be a measurable space. A maximal partition of  $(\Omega, \mathfrak{F})$  is a partition of  $\Omega$  into measurable disjoint sets such that every measurable subset of  $\Omega$  is the union of sets in the partition.

DEFINITION 5. Let  $E$  be as above and let  $\Sigma$  be the  $\sigma$ -algebra of all subsets of  $E$ . Let  $P$  be a probability measure defined on  $\Sigma$  which assigns positive probability to each nonempty subset of  $E$ . Let  $\Sigma_0 \subset \Sigma_1 \subset \Sigma$  be  $\sigma$ -algebras, let  $\Pi = (p_1, p_2, \dots)$  be a finite or infinite sequence of positive numbers with  $\sum p_i = 1,$  and let  $0 < \alpha \leq 1.$   $\Sigma_1$  is a simple decomposition of  $\Sigma_0$  of weight  $\alpha$  with respect to  $\Pi$  if there exist  $A_1, A_2, \dots; B_1, B_2, \dots; C_1, C_2, \dots$  all subsets of  $E$  such that

- (i)  $\cup_i B_i = \cup_i C_i = B$  and  $P(B) = \alpha,$
- (ii)  $\{A_1, A_2, \dots; B_1, B_2, \dots\}$  is a maximal partition of  $(E, \Sigma_0),$

(iii)  $\{A_1, A_2, \dots; B_1C_1, B_1C_2, \dots; B_2C_1, B_2C_2, \dots; \dots\}$  is a maximal partition of  $(E, \Sigma_1)$ ,

(iv)  $P\{B_iC_j\}/P(B_i) = p_j$  for all  $i$  and  $j$ .

We shall refer to  $B$  as the *base* of the decomposition, and to  $C_i$  as the  *$i$ th compartment* of the decomposition.

DEFINITION 6.  $\Sigma_1$  is a decomposition of  $\Sigma_0$  of weight  $\alpha$  with respect to  $\Pi$  if there exists a finite or infinite sequence of triples  $\{(\Sigma^i, B^i, \beta^i)\}$ ,  $i = 1, 2, \dots$ , with  $\Sigma^i$  a  $\sigma$ -algebra of subsets of  $E$ ,  $B^i$  a subset of  $E$ , and  $\beta^i$  a positive number for each  $i$  such that

(i)  $\Sigma^i$  is a simple decomposition of  $\Sigma^{i-1}$  of weight  $\beta^i$  and base  $B^i$  with respect to  $\Pi$  ( $\Sigma^0 = \Sigma_0$ ),

(ii)  $B^i \subset B^{i-1}$  for  $i \geq 2$ ,

(iii)  $\sum_i \beta^i = \alpha < \infty$ ,

(iv)  $\Sigma_1$  is the smallest  $\sigma$ -algebra containing each  $\Sigma^i$ .

Let  $D$  be a finite or denumerably infinite well ordered set (ordered by  $\ll$ ) with initial element  $i_1$ .

DEFINITION 7.  $\Sigma$  is a  $[D, \{\Pi_i, \alpha_i\}]$  decomposition of  $\Sigma_0$  if for each  $i \in D$  there exist sub- $\sigma$ -algebras  $\mathfrak{A}_i$  and  $\mathfrak{B}_i$  of  $\Sigma$  such that

(i)  $\mathfrak{A}_i$  is a decomposition of  $\mathfrak{B}_i$  of weight  $\alpha_i$  with respect to  $\Pi_i$ ,

(ii)  $\mathfrak{B}_i$  is the smallest  $\sigma$ -algebra containing each  $\mathfrak{A}_j$  and  $j \ll i$ ,

(iii)  $\Sigma$  is the smallest  $\sigma$ -algebra containing each  $\mathfrak{A}_i$ ,

(iv)  $\Sigma_0 = \beta_{i_1}$ ,

(v) each  $e \in E$  is in only a finite number of compartments of simple decompositions.

Now let  $(E, \Omega, \mathfrak{F}, P, T)$  and  $(E', \Omega', \mathfrak{F}', P', T')$  be Bernoulli schemes. Then  $P$  and  $P'$  may be considered as probability measures on the  $\sigma$ -algebras  $\Sigma$  and  $\Sigma'$  consisting of all subsets of  $E$  and  $E'$  respectively. Let  $\Sigma_0 = \{\emptyset, E\}$  and  $\Sigma'_0 = \{\emptyset, E'\}$ , where  $\emptyset$  is the empty set.

THEOREM. If there exists a well ordered set  $D$  and a sequence  $\{\Pi_i, \alpha_i\}$  such that  $\Sigma$  and  $\Sigma'$  are  $[D, \{\Pi_i, \alpha_i\}]$  decompositions of  $\Sigma_0$  and  $\Sigma'_0$  respectively then the two Bernoulli schemes are isomorphic.

The proof of the theorem will be given elsewhere, together with some applications of the theorem.

#### REFERENCES

1. P. R. Halmos, *Entropy in ergodic theory*, mimeographed notes, University of Chicago, Chicago, Ill., 1959.
2. L. D. Meshalkin, *A case of isomorphism of Bernoulli schemes*, Dokl. Akad. Nauk SSSR 128 (1959), 31-44. (Russian)

UNIVERSITY OF NEW MEXICO AND  
SANDIA CORPORATION