SIMULTANEOUS APPROXIMATION AND ALGEBRAIC INDEPENDENCE OF NUMBERS

BY WOLFGANG M. SCHMIDT

Communicated by Paul T. Bateman, April 14, 1962

1. The result. Write $||\xi||$ for the distance of a real number ξ to the nearest integer. Given a polynomial f in any number of variables, put L(f) for the sum of the absolute values of its coefficients. Our main result is the following

THEOREM. Let $f(x_1, \dots, x_n)$ be a nonzero polynomial with integral coefficients and of degree d_k in x_k $(k=1, \dots, n)$. Let Q>0 and $q\neq 0$ be integers and ξ_1, \dots, ξ_n reals satisfying

(1)
$$|q| \leq Q, \qquad |\xi_k q| \leq Q \qquad (k=1, \cdots, n)$$

and

$$(2) \|\xi_k q\| \leq \|\xi_1 q\|^{d_1} \cdot \cdot \cdot \|\xi_{k-1} q\|^{d_{k-1}} Q^{1-d_1-\cdots-d_n} [d_k 2^{d_k+\cdots+d_n+k} L(f)]^{-1}$$

$$(k=1, 2, \cdots, n).^1$$

Then

$$|f(\xi_1, \dots, \xi_n)| \geq ||\xi_1 q||^{d_1} \dots ||\xi_n q||^{d_n} q^{-d_1 - \dots - d_n} 2^{-n}.$$

Generalizing a well-known result of Liouville [1] for n=1 (for further references, see [4]), we obtain a

Sufficient condition for algebraic independence. Let ξ_1, \dots, ξ_n be an n-tuple of reals such that to every d>0 there is an integer q with

$$(4) 0 < ||\xi_k q|| < (||\xi_1 q|| \cdots ||\xi_{k-1} q||)^d q^{1-nd} (k = 1, 2, \cdots, n).$$

Then ξ_1, \dots, ξ_n are algebraically independent (over the rationals).

This is true since (2) can be satisfied with

$$Q \ge \max(q, |\xi_1 q|, \cdots, |\xi_n q|)$$

and for every f, if (4) can be satisfied for every d.

For example, the numbers $\xi_1, \, \xi_2, \, \cdots$ defined by

$$\xi_k = \sum_{t=1}^{\infty} 2^{-(kt)!}$$
 $(k = 1, 2, \cdots)$

are independent.² It suffices to show that ξ_1, \dots, ξ_n are independent

¹ For k=1, the product of the $\|\xi_i q\|$'s means 1.

² A similar system of algebraically independent numbers was given by von Neumann [3]. Actually von Neumann's system has the power of the continuum.

Added in proof. Using our criterion and the sequence $q_t = 2^{(t^t)}$ one can show that the numbers $\xi(x) = \sum_{t=1}^{\infty} 2^{-t} t^{t+x} 1$, $0 \le x < 1$, are algebraically independent. This example (with $0 < x \le 1$) is due to H. Kneser (Bull. Soc. Math. Belg. 12 (1960), 23-27).

for fixed n. Take a positive integer h with n! | h and put $q_h = 2^{h!}$. Then

$$||\xi_k q_h|| = 2^{h!} \sum_{t=h/k+1}^{\infty} 2^{-(kt)!}$$
 $(k = 1, \dots, n),$

which yields

$$2^{h!-(h+k)!} < ||\xi_k q_h|| < 2^{1+h!-(h+k)!} \quad (k=1,\dots,n)$$

and

$$(\|\xi_1 q_k\| \cdot \cdot \cdot \|\xi_{k-1} q_k\|)^d q_h^{-nd} > 2^{-nd(h+k-1)[-ndh]} \qquad (k = 1, \cdot \cdot \cdot, n).$$

Hence q_h for h > h(d) satisfies our condition, and the assertion is proved.

2. The proof. Let Q>0, $q\neq 0$, p_1, \dots, p_n be integers such that

$$|q| \leq Q, \qquad |p_1| \leq Q, \cdots, |p_n| \leq Q.$$

Let f be a polynomial as described in the theorem, and put $L_{\mathbf{Q}}(f) = L(f(Qx_1, \dots, Qx_n))$.

We define now recursively a set of 2n+1 polynomials

$$f_n, g_n, \cdots, f_1, g_1, f_0$$

as follows:

$$f_n(x_1, \cdots, x_n) = q^{d_1+\cdots+d_n}f(x_1/q, \cdots, x_n/q);$$

if $f_k(x_1, \dots, x_k)$ is already defined, let δ_k be the largest non-negative integer such that $(x_k - p_k)^{\delta_k} | f_k(x_1, \dots, x_k)$, and then put

$$g_k(x_1, \dots, x_k) = (x_k - p_k)^{-\delta_k} f_k(x_1, \dots, x_k)$$

and

$$f_{k-1}(x_1, \dots, x_{k-1}) = g_k(x_1, \dots, x_{k-1}, p_k).$$

It is clear that these polynomials are $\neq 0$.

From the definition,

$$L_Q(f_n) = \sum_{i_1} \cdots \sum_{i_n} |a_{i_1 \cdots i_n}| q^{d_1 + \cdots + d_n - i_1 - \cdots - i_n} Q^{i_1 + \cdots + i_n}$$

and hence

(5)
$$L_Q(f_n) \leq Q^{d_1+\cdots+d_n}L(f).$$

Assume next that, say,

$$f_k(x_1, \dots, x_k) = \sum_{i_1=0}^{d_1} \dots \sum_{i_k=0}^{d_k} a(k)_{i_1 \dots i_k} x_1^{i_1} \dots x_k^{i_k}$$

and

$$g_k(x_1, \dots, x_k) = \sum_{i_1=0}^{d_1} \dots \sum_{i_k=0}^{d_k} b(k)_{i_1 \dots i_k} x_1^{i_1} \dots x_k^{i_k}.$$

It is then clear from the definition of g_k in terms of f_k that, for every choice of i_1, \dots, i_{k-1} ,

$$\sum_{i_k=0}^{d_k} a(k)_{i_1 \dots i_k} x_k^{i_k} \equiv (x_k - p_k)^{\delta_k} \sum_{i_k=0}^{d_k} b(k)_{i_1 \dots i_k} x_k^{i_k}.$$

An inequality of Mahler [2] states that

$$\prod_{\sigma=1}^{s} L(g_{\sigma}) \leq 2^{d} L \left(\prod_{\sigma=1}^{s} g_{\sigma} \right),$$

where $g_1(x)$, \cdots , $g_s(x)$ are polynomials in one variable and d is the degree of their product. Putting s=2, $g_1(x)=(Qx-p_k)^{\delta_k}$, $g_2(x)=\sum_{i_k=0}^{d_k}b(k)_{i_1\cdots i_k}Q^{i_k}x^{i_k}$ and applying this inequality, we find

$$\sum_{i_{k}=0}^{d_{k}} |b(k)_{i_{1}...i_{k}}| Q^{i_{k}} \leq 2^{d_{k}} \sum_{i_{k}=0}^{d_{k}} |a(k)_{i_{1}...i_{k}}| Q^{i_{k}}.$$

This we multiply by $Q^{i_1+\cdots+i_{k-1}}$ and sum over all allowed i_1, \cdots, i_{k-1} . The result so obtained is that³

$$(6) L_Q(g_k) \leq 2^{d_k} L_Q(f_k).$$

Successive application of (5), (6), and the easily obtained formula

$$(7) L_Q(f_{k-1}) \le L_Q(g_k)$$

yields

(8)
$$L_{Q}(g_{k}) \leq 2^{d_{k}+\cdots+d_{n}}Q^{d_{1}+\cdots+d_{n}}L(f)$$
 $(k = n, \cdots, 1).$

Let now $\epsilon_1, \dots, \epsilon_n$ be real numbers, and assume that the former inequalities for q and p_k are replaced by the stronger formulae

$$(9) |q| \leq Q, |p_k| \leq Q, |p_k + \epsilon_k| \leq Q (k = 1, \dots, n).$$

It follows then from the mean value theorem that

$$\Delta_{k} \equiv g_{k+1}(p_{1} + \epsilon_{1}, \dots, p_{k} + \epsilon_{k}, p_{k+1} + \epsilon_{k+1})$$

$$- g_{k+1}(p_{1} + \epsilon_{1}, \dots, p_{k} + \epsilon_{k}, p_{k+1})$$

$$= \epsilon_{k+1} \frac{\partial}{\partial x_{k+1}} g_{k+1}(p_{1} + \epsilon_{1}, \dots, p_{k} + \epsilon_{k}, x_{k+1}) \big|_{x_{k+1} = p_{k+1} + \theta \epsilon_{k+1}},$$

³ A reader not familiar with Mahler's inequality should have no difficulty proving $L_Q(g_k) \le c_1(f) L_Q(f_k)$. This yields a theorem where the expression in brackets in (2) is replaced by $c_2(f)$, and it yields our condition for independence.

where θ is a certain constant satisfying $0 < \theta < 1$, so that also $|x_{k+1}| \le Q$. Thus we find that

$$\left| \Delta_k \right| \leq \left| \epsilon_{k+1} \right| d_{k+1} Q^{-1} L_Q(g_{k+1})$$

and hence by (8),

$$|\Delta_k| \leq |\epsilon_{k+1}| Q^{d_1+\cdots+d_{n-1}} d_{k+1} 2^{d_{k+1}+\cdots+d_n} L(f).$$

Assume now that $|\epsilon_k| \leq 1$ $(k=1, \dots, n)$ and that

$$(11) \quad \left| \epsilon_{k} \right| \leq \left| \epsilon_{1} \right|^{d_{1}} \cdot \cdot \cdot \left| \epsilon_{k-1} \right|^{d_{k-1}} Q^{1-d_{1}-\cdots-d_{n}} \left[d_{k} 2^{d_{k}+\cdots+d_{n}+k} L(f) \right]^{-1}$$

$$(k = 1, \cdots, n).$$

We are going to show

$$(12) |f_k(p_1+\epsilon_1,\cdots,p_k+\epsilon_k)| \ge |\epsilon_1|^{d_1}\cdots |\epsilon_k|^{d_k}2^{-k}$$

$$(k=0,1,\cdots,n).$$

The formula is true for k=0 since $|f_0| \ge 1$. If it is true for some k < n, then by (10) and (11),

$$|g_{k+1}(p_1 + \epsilon_1, \dots, p_{k+1} + \epsilon_{k+1}) - f_k(p_1 + \epsilon_1, \dots, p_k + \epsilon_k)|$$

$$\leq 2^{-1} |f_k(p_1 + \epsilon_1, \dots, p_k + \epsilon_k)|,$$

hence

$$| f_{k+1}(p_1 + \epsilon_1, \dots, p_{k+1} + \epsilon_{k+1}) |$$

$$= | \epsilon_{k+1} |^{\delta_{k+1}} | g_{k+1}(p_1 + \epsilon_1, \dots, p_{k+1} + \epsilon_{k+1}) |$$

$$\geq 2^{-k-1} | \epsilon_1 |^{d_1} \dots | \epsilon_k |^{d_k} | \epsilon_{k+1} |^{\delta_{k+1}},$$

and (12) is true for k+1.

The proof of the theorem is now immediate. Assume (1) and (2) to be satisfied. Put $\xi_k q = p_k + \epsilon_k$ $(k = 1, \dots, n)$, where p_k is integral and $|\epsilon_k| = ||\xi_k q||$. Then (1) yields (9) because Q and the p_k 's are integral, and (2) gives (11). We obtain

$$\left| q^{d_1+\cdots+d_n}f(\xi_1, \cdots, \xi_n) \right| = \left| f_n(p_1+\epsilon_1, \cdots, p_n+\epsilon_n) \right|$$

$$\geq \left| \epsilon_1 \right|^{d_1} \cdots \left| \epsilon_n \right|^{d_n} 2^{-n}.$$

thereby proving our theorem.

I am grateful to Professor Mahler for simplifying my original proof.

REFERENCES

- 1. J. Liouville, Sur les classes très etendus de quantites dont la valeur n'est algebriques, etc., C. R. Acad. Sci. Paris 18 (1844), 883-885, 910-911.
- 2. K. Mahler, An application of Jensen's formula to polynomials, Mathematika 7 (1960), 98-100.
- 3. J. von Neumann, Ein System algebraisch unabhängiger Zahlen, Ann. of Math. 99 (1928), 134-141.
 - 4. Th. Schneider, Einführung in die transzendenten Zahlen, Springer, Berlin, 1957.

COLUMBIA UNIVERSITY