

ON A PROBLEM OF P. A. SMITH¹

BY J. C. SU

Communicated by Deane Montgomery, March 31, 1961

1. Introduction. Throughout this note, Z_2 denotes the group of integers mod 2 and cohomology means the Alexander-Wallace-Spanier cohomology with coefficients in Z_2 . By a *cohomology projective n -space* we mean a compact Hausdorff space Y whose cohomology ring $H^*(Y)$ is isomorphic to that of the real projective n -space. In [2], Smith proved that if Z_2 acts effectively on the real projective n -space such that the fixed point set $F(Z_2)$ is nonempty, then $F(Z_2)$ has exactly two components A_1 and A_2 , where A_i is a cohomology projective n_i -space ($i = 1, 2$) and $n_1 + n_2 = n - 1$. Smith then asked whether the result is true if the real projective n -space is replaced by a cohomology projective n -space. The purpose of this note is to give a positive answer to the question.

We wish to point out that the inclusion of ring structure in the definition of a cohomology projective n -space is indispensable as we may see from the following example. Let Y be the one-point union of a 1-sphere S^1 and a 2-sphere S^2 . Clearly $H^*(Y)$ as a group is the same as the cohomology group of a projective plane. Let T be a generator of Z_2 and define the action of T on Y such that on S^i it is the reflexion with respect to the diameter passing through the point of contact. Then the fixed point set consists of three isolated points.

2. A construction. The proof of Smith's theorem in [2] has used the fact that a projective n -space admits an n -sphere as its two-folded covering space. It is therefore quite natural to expect that a cohomology projective n -space Y admits a cohomology n -sphere as its two-folded covering space. In the following we give a construction of such a cohomology n -sphere which is very similar to the construction of a covering space of a pathwise connected, locally pathwise connected, and locally pathwise simply connected space, with the dual of $H^1(Y)$ playing the role of fundamental group.

Let Y be a connected compact Hausdorff space and let $\alpha \in H^1(Y)$ be a nonzero element. Let $f: Y^2 \rightarrow Z_2$ be a 1-cocycle representing α ; then there exists an open covering \mathcal{U} of Y such that

$$f(y_0, y_2) = f(y_0, y_1) + f(y_1, y_2) \quad \text{whenever } y_0, y_1, y_2 \in V \in \mathcal{U}.$$

¹ The research of this paper is supported by U. S. Army Research Office under Contract No. DA 36-034-ORD-2970. The author also wishes to express his debt to Professor C. T. Yang for his helpful suggestions.

Fix a point $b \in Y$. By a \mathcal{U} -chain with base point b we mean a finite sequence $(y_i)_{i=0}^n$ of points of Y such that $y_0 = b$ and $\{y_{i-1}, y_i\}$ is contained in some $V \in \mathcal{U}$ for all $i = 1, 2, \dots, n$, the set of all \mathcal{U} -chains with base point b is denoted by \mathfrak{X} . Two \mathcal{U} -chains $(y_i)_{i=0}^n$ and $(y'_j)_{j=0}^m$ are said to be equivalent if

$$(i) \quad y_n = y'_m,$$

$$(ii) \quad \sum_{i=1}^n f(y_{i-1}, y_i) = \sum_{j=1}^m f(y'_{j-1}, y'_j).$$

The quotient set of \mathfrak{X} under this equivalence relation is denoted by X and the equivalence class of $(y_i)_{i=0}^n$ is denoted by $[y_i]_{i=0}^n$.

Now we topologize X as follows. Let $x = [y_i]_{i=0}^n \in X$ and $\mathfrak{R}(y_n)$ be a base of neighborhood of y_n such that every $B(y_n) \in \mathfrak{R}(y_n)$ is contained in some $V \in \mathcal{U}$. To each $B(y_n) \in \mathfrak{R}(y_n)$, we define

$$B^*(x) = \left\{ [y'_j]_{j=0}^m \mid y'_m \in B(y_n), \sum_{i=1}^n f(y_{i-1}, y_i) + f(y_n, y'_m) + \sum_{j=1}^m f(y'_{j-1}, y'_j) = 0 \right\}.$$

It is easily verified that X is made a Hausdorff space with

$$\mathfrak{R}(x) = \{ B^*(x) \mid B(y_n) \in \mathfrak{R}(y_n) \}$$

as a base of neighborhoods of x .

Define a map $\pi: X \rightarrow Y$ by $\pi([y_i]_{i=0}^n) = y_n$, it is straightforward to verify that π is well-defined and is a local homeomorphism of X onto Y .

Obviously, to each $y \in Y$, $\pi^{-1}(y)$ has at most two points. We now claim that it has exactly two points. To see this, it suffices to consider the case when $y = b$. Since $[b]$ is one point of $\pi^{-1}(b)$, all we have to do is to exhibit a \mathcal{U} -chain $(y_i)_{i=0}^n$ with $y_0 = y_n = b$ and $\sum_{i=1}^n f(y_{i-1}, y_i) = 1$. Suppose such a chain does not exist, then we can define a 0-cochain $g: Y \rightarrow Z_2$ by $g(y) = \sum_{i=1}^n f(y_{i-1}, y_i)$, where $(y_i)_{i=0}^n$ is any \mathcal{U} -chain with base point b with $y_n = y$. Such a chain exists in view of the connectedness of Y and g is clearly well-defined. Now if $\{y, y'\} \in V \in \mathcal{U}$, we have

$$g(y') - g(y) = \sum_{i=1}^n f(y_{i-1}, y_i) + f(y, y') - \sum_{i=1}^n f(y_{i-1}, y_i) = f(y, y').$$

But this means $f - \delta g$ has empty support, contradicting the assumption that $\alpha \neq 0$.

Now let T be the generator of Z_2 and define the action of T by exchanging the two points in $\pi^{-1}(y)$ for each $y \in Y$. We clearly obtain

a free action of Z_2 on the compact Hausdorff space X with $Y = X/Z_2$. Define a 0-cochain $h: X \rightarrow Z_2$ by

$$h([y_i]_{i=0}^n) = \sum_{i=1}^n f(y_{i-1}, y_i).$$

A similar argument as above shows that $\pi^*(\alpha)$ is the cohomology class of δh .

Suppose that now Y is a cohomology projective n -space and that α is the generator of the cohomology ring $H^*(Y)$. We claim that X is a cohomology n -sphere. As seen in [1], we have the exact Smith-Gysin sequence

$$\dots \rightarrow H^k(Y) \xrightarrow{\pi^*} H^k(X) \xrightarrow{\tau_*} H^k(Y) \xrightarrow{\delta^*} H^{k+1}(Y) \rightarrow \dots$$

Since $\pi^*(\alpha) = 0$ and π^* is a ring homomorphism, it follows that $\pi^*: H^k(Y) \rightarrow H^k(X)$ is trivial for all $k > 0$. This is enough to conclude that

$$H^k(X) = \begin{cases} Z_2, & k = 0, n, \\ 0, & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

3. Main theorem.

THEOREM. *If Z_2 acts effectively on a cohomology projective n -space Y such that the fixed point set $F(Z_2)$ is nonempty, then $F(Z_2)$ has exactly two components A_1 and A_2 where each A_i is a cohomology projective n_i -space ($i = 1, 2$) and $n_1 + n_2 = n - 1$.*

PROOF. Let S be the generator of Z_2 . In the construction of X given in the last section, we may choose the base point b in $F(Z_2)$ and we may assume that \mathfrak{U} is S -invariant (i.e. $S(V) \in \mathfrak{U}$ for all $V \in \mathfrak{U}$). It follows that S maps \mathfrak{U} -chains with base point b into themselves or S induces a transformation on \mathfrak{X} . Observe that S also induces an automorphism S^* on $H^1(Y)$; hence we must have $S^*(\alpha) = \alpha$. It is easily seen that this fact implies that S maps equivalent \mathfrak{U} -chains into themselves, in other words S induces a transformation \hat{S} on the space X which is clearly compatible with π (i.e. $\pi \circ \hat{S} = S \circ \pi$). This means we have an action of the group $Z_2 \times Z_2$ on a cohomology n -sphere X . The rest of the proof is word by word the same as given in [2].

REFERENCES

1. P. E. Conner and E. E. Floyd, *Fixed point free involutions and equivariant maps*, Bull. Amer. Math. Soc. vol. 66 (1960) pp. 416-441.
2. P. A. Smith, *New results and old problems in finite transformation groups*, Bull. Amer. Math. Soc. vol. 66 (1960) pp. 401-415.