

RESEARCH PROBLEMS

1. Richard Bellman: *Dynamic programming.*

Solve

$$f(x, y) = \text{Max} \left[\begin{array}{l} p_1[r_1x + f((1-r_1)x, y)], \\ q_1[s_1y + f(x, (1-s_1)y)], \\ p_2[r_2x + s_2y + f((1-r_2)x, (1-s_2)y)] \end{array} \right], \quad x, y \geq 0,$$

where $0 < p_1, p_2, q_1, r_1, r_2, s_1, s_2 < 1$; see Proc. Nat. Acad. Sci. U.S.A. vol. 39 (1953) pp. 1077-1082; vol. 38 (1952) pp. 716-719. (Received September 23, 1954.)

2. Richard Bellman: *Probability theory.*

Let $\{Z_k\}$ be a sequence of random matrices having a common probability distribution, say p , of being A and $(1-p)$ of being B , where A and B are two matrices having all positive elements. Let $X_N = \prod_{k=1}^N Z_k$, and $x_{ij}(N)$ be the ij th element in X_N . Determine the limiting distribution of $\log x_{ij}(N)$, suitably normalized. See Proc. Nat. Acad. Sci. U.S.A. vol. 39 (1953) and Rand Paper 398, to appear in a forthcoming issue of Duke Math. J. (Received September 23, 1954.)

3. Richard Bellman: *Analysis.*

Let $\prod_{t=0}^{\infty} (1 - x^k y^t)^{-1} = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} q_n(x, y) t^n$. Obtain a formula for $q_n(x, y)$. (Received September 23, 1954.)

4. Richard Bellman: *Number theory.*

Let $f(x)$ be an irreducible polynomial with integer coefficients and the property that $f(x) > x$ for $x \geq a$. Prove that the sequence $\{x_n\}$ defined by the recurrence relation $x_{n+1} = f(x_n)$, $x_0 = a$, an integer, cannot represent primes for all large n . See Bull. Amer. Math. Soc. vol. 53 (1947) pp. 778-779. (Received October 2, 1954.)

5. Richard Bellman: *Number theory.*

Let x be a rational number greater than one, and let $[y]$ denote, as customary, the greatest integer contained in y . Prove that $[x^n]$ cannot be prime for all large n . (Received October 2, 1954.)

6. Richard Bellman: *Number theory.*

Prove that $\sum_{n=1}^N d(n^3+2) \sim cN \log N$ as $N \rightarrow \infty$. See Duke Math. J. vol. 17 (1950) pp. 159-168. (Received October 2, 1954.)

7. A. D. Wallace: *Manifolds with multiplication.*

Let M be a compact connected manifold without boundary and provided with a continuous associative multiplication such that $MM = M$. Does the following hold: either (i) M is a group or (ii) $xy = y$ for each x, y or $xy = x$ for each x, y ? It is known that (i) holds if we replace " $MM = M$ " by "there is a two-sided unit"; see Summa Brasil. Math. vol. 3 (1953) pp. 43-55. (Received October 4, 1954.)

8. A. D. Wallace: *Fixed points for topological lattices.*

A topological lattice is a Hausdorff space X together with two maps (continuous

functions) $\wedge: X \times X \rightarrow X$ and $\vee: X \times X \rightarrow X$ satisfying the usual conditions. Let X be a compact connected topological lattice. (1) Does X have the fixed point property? (2) If X is also metric, is X an absolute retract in the sense of Borsuk? It is clear that (2) implies (1) when X is metric. Easy examples show that (2) fails if X is not metric. These conjectures are supported by numerous special cases (unpublished) as well as by the fact that X has to be trivial in the sense of cohomology for any coefficient group; see Summa Brasil. Math. vol. 3 (1953) pp. 43–55. (Received October 4, 1954.)

9. A. D. Wallace: *Two problems on topological semi-groups.*

Let S be a clan. That is, S is a compact connected Hausdorff space together with a continuous associative multiplication with two-sided unit. (1) If S is finite-dimensional and a homogeneous space, is S a group? This is known to hold if also S is a manifold (Summa Brasil. Math. vol. 3 (1953) pp. 43–55) or if S is indecomposable (Math. J. Okayama Univ. vol. 3 (1953) pp. 1–3). (2) Let K be the smallest nonvoid subset of S such that $SK \subset K \subset KS$. If S is an AR (ANR), is K an AR (ANR)? It is easy to affirm this if K meets the center of S (using an unpublished result of R. J. Kock) since K is then a retract of S . In this case K is a group and hence is a zero for S if S is an AR. (Received October 4, 1954.)

10. A. D. Wallace: *Differentiability of continuous multiplications.*

Let S be a clan, that is, let S be a compact connected Hausdorff space together with a continuous associative multiplication with two-sided unit. Let S be topologically contained in R^n , let u be the unit of S , let $H(u)$ be the maximal subgroup of S containing u (Anais Acad. Brasil. Ci. vol. 25 (1953) pp. 335–336), and let F be the boundary of S relative to R^n . It is known (Math. J. Okayama Univ. vol. 3 (1953) pp. 23–28) that $H(u) \subset F$. If $H(u) = F$, it follows from an unpublished result of R. H. Bing, together with well-known results on topological groups, that $H(u)$ is a Lie group. Assume that this is so. (1) Can the multiplication be assumed differentiable on all of S ? (2) If T is the quotient space of S mod $H(u)$, is T a differentiable manifold with boundary? (Received October 4, 1954.)

11. A. D. Wallace: *An addition theorem for cohomology.*

Let X be a compact Hausdorff space, let $X = X_1 \cup X_2$ with X_1 and X_2 closed, and let H^n be the n -dimensional cohomology group over a fixed abelian group. Suppose that $h \in H^n(X_1 \cap X_2)$ is such that $i^*h = 0$ if $i: A \rightarrow X_1 \cap X_2$ is the inclusion map and A is any closed proper subset of $X_1 \cap X_2$. Assume also that h is not extendable to $H^n(X_1)$ but is extendable to $H^n(B)$ if B is a closed proper subset of X_1 which includes $X_1 \cap X_2$. If, finally, a similar condition holds for X_2 , is it true that $H^{n+1}(X_1 \cap X_2) \neq 0$? An analogue of this result is known to hold for homology and is easy to prove. (Received October 4, 1954.)

12. Dieter Gaier: *A problem on entire functions.*

Let $f(z)$ be an entire function with $|f(z)| \leq Ae^{B|z|}$, and assume that $\lim_{z \rightarrow \infty} f(z) = 0$ for $z \rightarrow \infty$ along a path C . Is it true that then also $\lim_{z \rightarrow \infty} f'(z) = 0$ for $z \rightarrow \infty$ along C ? The case that C is a straight line was considered in Math. Zeit. vol. 58 (1953) p. 454. (Received October 18, 1954.)