

## A REFINEMENT OF PELLET'S THEOREM

MORRIS MARDEN

1. **Introduction.** S. Lipka<sup>1</sup> has recently announced a refinement of the classic theorem of Cauchy that all the zeros of the polynomial

$$(1.1) \quad f(z) = a_0 + a_1z + \cdots + a_nz^n, \quad a_n \neq 0,$$

lie in the circle  $|z| \leq r$ , where  $r$  is the positive root of the real equation

$$(1.2) \quad F_n(z) = |a_0| + |a_1|z + \cdots + |a_{n-1}|z^{n-1} - |a_n|z^n = 0.$$

Lipka's refinement consists in replacing the circle  $|z| = r$  by a curve  $G(r_0, r; n, \alpha_0)$  which bounds a gear-wheel region. This region is formed by deleting from the circle  $|z| \leq r$  the points common to the annular ring  $0 < r_0 < |z| \leq r$  and to the  $n$  sectors

$$(1.3) \quad \frac{\alpha_0}{n} - \frac{\pi}{2n} + \frac{2\pi k}{n} \leq \arg z \leq \frac{\alpha_0}{n} + \frac{\pi}{2n} + \frac{2\pi k}{n},$$

$k=0, 1, \dots, n-1$ . In these formulas  $r_0$  is the positive root of the equation

$$(1.4) \quad \Phi_n(z) = |a_1| + |a_2|z + \cdots + |a_{n-1}|z^{n-2} - |a_n|z^{n-1} = 0$$

and  $\alpha_0 = \arg a_0/a_n$ .

Now, the Cauchy theorem is but a special case of the following theorem due to Pellet.<sup>2</sup>

**PELLET'S THEOREM.** *If the polynomial*

$$(1.5) \quad f(z) = a_0 + a_1z + \cdots + a_pz^p + \cdots + a_nz^n, \quad a_p \neq 0,$$

*is such that the real polynomial*

$$(1.6) \quad F_p(z) = |a_0| + |a_1|z + \cdots + |a_{p-1}|z^{p-1} - |a_p|z^p \\ + |a_{p+1}|z^{p+1} + \cdots + |a_n|z^n$$

Presented to the Society, September 3, 1947; received by the editors August 22, 1947.

<sup>1</sup> S. Lipka, Monatshefte für Mathematik und Physik vol. 50 (1944) pp. 209-221.

<sup>2</sup> A. Pellet, Bull. Sci. Math. vol. 5 (1881) pp. 393-395. The converse to this theorem was discussed by J. L. Walsh, Ann. of Math. vol. 26 (1924-1925) pp. 59-64 and A. Ostrowski, Bull. Amer. Math. Soc. vol. 47 (1941) pp. 742-746. See also M. Marden, *The geometry of the zeros of a polynomial in a complex variable*, chap. 7, to be published as a volume of Mathematical Surveys.

has two positive zeros  $r$  and  $R$  with  $r < R$ , then  $f(z)$  has exactly  $p$  zeros in or on the circle  $|z| \leq r$  and no zeros in the annular ring  $r < |z| < R$ .

It is Pellet's theorem which we propose to refine as indicated in the following theorem.

**THEOREM 1.1.** *Under the hypotheses of Pellet's Theorem the polynomial*

$$(1.7) \quad \Phi_p(z) = |a_1| + |a_2|z + \dots + |a_{p-1}|z^{p-2} - |a_p|z^{p-1} + |a_{p+1}|z^p + \dots + |a_n|z^{n-1}$$

has also two positive zeros  $r_0$  and  $R_0$  with

$$(1.8) \quad r_0 < r < R < R_0.$$

Furthermore,  $f(z)$  has exactly  $p$  zeros in or on the curve  $G(r_0, r; p, \alpha_0)$  where  $\alpha_0 = \arg a_0/a_p$  and no zeros between the curves  $G(r_0, r; p, \alpha_0)$  and  $G(R, R_0; p, \alpha_0 + \pi)$ .

Theorem 1.1 will be proved in §2 and applied in §3 to the refinement of various known bounds on the zeros of a polynomial. Finally, the theorem will be generalized in §4, first by replacing the polynomial  $\Phi_p(z)$  by the polynomial  $\Phi_{kp}(z) = F_p(z) - |a_k|z^k$  and secondly by replacing the polynomial  $f(z)$  by a power series.

**2. Proof of Theorem 1.1.** Let us first prove the existence of the roots  $r_0$  and  $R_0$  of equation  $\Phi_p(z) = 0$  and the validity of inequality (1.8). Since  $r$  and  $R$  are the positive zeros of  $F_p(z)$ , it follows from (1.6) that, for any sufficiently small positive number  $\epsilon$ ,

$$(2.1) \quad F_p(\rho) < 0 \quad \text{if } r + \epsilon \leq \rho \leq R - \epsilon.$$

In view of the equation

$$(2.2) \quad F_p(z) = |a_0| + z\Phi_p(z),$$

the zeros  $r$  and  $R$  of  $F_p(z)$  satisfy the relations

$$(2.3) \quad \Phi_p(r) = -|a_0|/r < 0, \quad \Phi_p(R) = -|a_0|/R < 0.$$

When taken together with the facts that

$$(2.4) \quad \Phi_p(0) > 0, \quad \Phi_p(\infty) > 0,$$

the relations (2.3) imply the existence of two positive zeros  $r_0$  and  $R_0$  of  $\Phi_p(z)$  and the validity of inequality (1.8), as well as the inequality

$$(2.5) \quad \Phi_p(\rho) < 0$$

for  $r_0 + \epsilon \leq \rho \leq R_0 - \epsilon$ .

Let us now set  $z = \rho e^{i\theta}$  and

$$(2.6) \quad a_k/a_p = A_k e^{\alpha k i}, \quad k = 0, 1, \dots, n.$$

In this notation, the real part of  $\rho^p f(z)/a_p z^p$  is

$$(2.7) \quad \begin{aligned} \operatorname{Re} [\rho^p f(z)/a_p z^p] &= \sum_{j=0}^{p-1} A_j \rho^j \cos [(p-j)\theta - \alpha_j] + \rho^p \\ &+ \sum_{j=p+1}^n A_j \rho^j \cos [(j-p)\theta + \alpha_j] \end{aligned}$$

and the inequalities (2.1) and (2.5) become

$$(2.8) \quad \rho^p > A_0 + A_1 \rho + \dots + A_{p-1} \rho^{p-1} + A_{p+1} \rho^{p+1} + \dots + A_n \rho^n$$

for  $r + \epsilon \leq \rho \leq R - \epsilon$ , and

$$(2.9) \quad \rho^p > A_1 \rho + A_2 \rho^2 + \dots + A_{p-1} \rho^{p-1} + A_{p+1} \rho^{p+1} + \dots + A_n \rho^n$$

for  $r_0 + \epsilon \leq \rho \leq R_0 - \epsilon$ .

On substituting from inequality (2.8) into (2.7), we find

$$(2.10) \quad \begin{aligned} \operatorname{Re} (\rho^p f(z)/a_p z^p) &> \sum_{j=0}^{p-1} A_j \rho^j \{ \cos [(p-j)\theta - \alpha_j] + 1 \} \\ &+ \sum_{j=p+1}^n A_j \rho^j \{ \cos [(j-p)\theta + \alpha_j] + 1 \} \geq 0 \end{aligned}$$

for  $r + \epsilon \leq \rho \leq R - \epsilon$ . On substituting from inequality (2.9) into (2.7), we find

$$(2.11) \quad \begin{aligned} \operatorname{Re} (\rho^p f(z)/a_p z^p) &> A_0 \cos (p\theta - \alpha_0) \\ &+ \sum_{j=1}^{p-1} A_j \rho^j \{ \cos [(p-j)\theta - \alpha_j] + 1 \} \\ &+ \sum_{j=p+1}^n A_j \rho^j \{ \cos [(j-p)\theta + \alpha_j] + 1 \} \end{aligned}$$

for  $r_0 + \epsilon \leq \rho \leq R_0 - \epsilon$ . The right side of (2.11) is surely non-negative if  $\theta$  is such that  $\cos (p\theta - \alpha_0) \geq 0$ , that is, such that

$$-\frac{\pi}{2} + 2\pi k \leq p\theta - \alpha_0 \leq \frac{\pi}{2} + 2\pi k,$$

where  $k$  is an integer; that is, if

$$\frac{\alpha_0}{p} - \frac{\pi}{2p} + \frac{2\pi k}{p} \leq \theta \leq \frac{\alpha_0}{p} + \frac{\pi}{2p} + \frac{2\pi k}{p}, \quad k = 0, 1, \dots, p.$$

In other words,

$$(2.12) \quad \operatorname{Re} (\rho^p f(z) / a_p z^p) > 0$$

and hence  $f(z) \neq 0$  at all points  $z$  between the curves  $G(r_0, r; p, \alpha_0)$  and  $G(R, R_0; p, \alpha_0 + \pi)$ .

Inequality (2.12) also may be used to show that in or on the curve  $G(r_0, r; p, \alpha_0)$ , there are exactly  $p$  zeros of  $f(z)$ . For, let us consider the net change  $\Delta_{G_\epsilon} \arg w$  in the argument of the point  $w = [\rho^p f(z) / a_p z^p]$  as  $z$  describes counterclockwise the curve  $G_\epsilon = G(r_0 + \epsilon, r + \epsilon; p, \alpha_0)$  where  $\epsilon$  is a small positive number. Since  $\operatorname{Re} (w) > 0$ ,  $w$  describes a closed curve entirely in the right-half  $w$ -plane. That is,  $\Delta_{G_\epsilon} \arg w = 0$  on this curve. This means that the function  $w$  has as many zeros as poles in the curve  $G_\epsilon$  and this, in turn, means that  $f(z)$  has precisely  $p$  zeros in  $G_\epsilon$  for every sufficiently small positive  $\epsilon$ .

**3. Applications.** Let us first apply Theorem 1.1 to the class of polynomials

$$(3.1) \quad f(z) = b_0 e^{i\beta_0} + (b_1 - b_0) e^{i\beta_1} z + \dots \\ + (b_m - b_{m-1}) e^{i\beta_m} z^m - b_m e^{i\beta_{m+1}} z^{m+1}$$

where the  $b_j$  are real numbers such that

$$(3.2) \quad b_{p-1} < b_{p-2} < \dots < b_0 < 0 < b_m < b_{m-1} < \dots < b_p.$$

The corresponding polynomials  $F_p(z)$  and  $\Phi_p(z)$  are

$$(3.3) \quad F_p(z) = -b_0 + (b_0 - b_1)z + \dots + (b_{p-2} - b_{p-1})z^{p-1} \\ - (b_p - b_{p-1})z^p + (b_p - b_{p+1})z^{p+1} + \dots \\ + (b_{m-1} - b_m)z^m + b_m z^{m+1},$$

$$(3.4) \quad \Phi_p(z) = (b_0 - b_1) + \dots + (b_{p-2} - b_{p-1})z^{p-2} \\ - (b_p - b_{p-1})z^{p-1} + (b_p - b_{p+1})z^p + \dots \\ + (b_{m-1} - b_m)z^{m-1} + b_m z^m.$$

On defining

$$(3.5) \quad g(z) = b_0 + b_1 z + \dots + b_m z^m,$$

we may write

$$F_p(z) = (z - 1)g(z), \quad z\Phi_p(z) = b_0 + g(z)(z - 1).$$

Clearly  $F_p(1) = 0$ . Since  $F_p(1 + \delta) = \delta g(1 + \delta)$ , then for  $\delta$  sufficiently small  $g(1) > 0$  implies that  $F_p(1 + \delta) > 0$  or  $< 0$  according as  $\delta > 0$  or  $< 0$  and  $g(1) < 0$  implies that  $F_p(1 + \delta) < 0$  or  $> 0$  according as  $\delta > 0$  or

<0. That is, using the notation of Theorem 1.1, we see that

$$\begin{aligned} r_0 < r < 1 = R < R_0 & \quad \text{if } g(1) > 0, \\ r_0 < r = 1 < R < R_0 & \quad \text{if } g(1) < 0, \\ \alpha_0 = \beta_0 - \beta_p + \pi. & \end{aligned}$$

We thereby conclude that the following is true.

**THEOREM 3.1.** *Let  $f(z)$ ,  $\Phi_p(z)$  and  $g(z)$  denote the polynomials (3.1), (3.4) and (3.5) respectively. Then, if  $g(1) > 0$ ,  $f(z)$  has exactly  $p$  zeros in the curve  $G(r_0, 1; p, \beta_0 - \beta_p + \pi)$  and  $g(z)$  has  $p$  zeros in the curve  $G(r_0, 1; p, \pi)$ . If  $g(1) < 0$ ,  $f(z)$  has  $p$  zeros in or on the curve  $G(r_0, 1; p, \beta_0 - \beta_p + \pi)$  and  $g(z)$  has  $p - 1$  zeros in or on the curve  $G(r_0, 1; p, \pi)$ .*

An analogous result for  $g(z)$  with, however, curve  $G(r_0, 1; p, \pi)$  replaced by the circle  $|z| = 1$  was first stated by Berwald.<sup>3</sup> His result was a generalization of the *Takeya-Eneström*<sup>4</sup> theorem that all the zeros of the real polynomial (3.5) with  $0 < b_0 < b_1 < \dots < b_n$  lie in or on the unit circle  $|z| = 1$ . Our analogy to the *Takeya-Eneström* theorem will be included in the following theorem.

**THEOREM 3.2.** *Every polynomial of the form*

$$f(z) = \sum_{j=0}^n (b_j - b_{j-1})e^{i\theta_j}z^j, \quad b_{-1} = b_n = 0 < b_0 < b_1 < \dots < b_{n-1},$$

*has all of its zeros in or on the curve  $G(r_0, 1; n, \beta_0 - \beta_n + \pi)$  where  $r_0$  is the positive root of the equation*

$$\Phi_n = (b_1 - b_0) + (b_2 - b_1)z + \dots + (b_{n-1} - b_{n-2})z^{n-2} - b_{n-1}z^{n-1} = 0.$$

*Furthermore, every polynomial of the form*

$$g(z) = b_0 + b_1z + \dots + b_{n-1}z^{n-1}, \quad 0 < b_0 < b_1 < \dots < b_{n-1},$$

*has all of its zeros in or on the curve  $G(r_0, 1; n, \pi)$ .*

This theorem may be derived from Theorem 3.1 indirectly by a limiting process or directly by the same methods as used for Theorem 3.1.

In our next application, we shall use Theorem 1.1 just in the case  $p = n$ . This restriction is made only to simplify the statement of results, since a similar application may be made when  $p$  is an arbitrary integer,  $0 < p \leq n$ . The result to be proved is the following.

<sup>3</sup> L. Berwald, *Math. Zeit.* vol. 37 (1933) pp. 61-76.

<sup>4</sup> S. *Takeya*, *Tōhoku Math. J.* vol. 2 (1912) pp. 140-142 and G. *Eneström*, *Ibid.* vol. 18 (1920) pp. 34-36.

**THEOREM 3.3.** *Let  $\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \dots, \lambda_n$  and  $\mu_1, \mu_2, \dots, \mu_{n-1}$  be any two sets of numbers such that*

$$\sum_{j=1}^n (1/\lambda_j) = 1, \quad \sum_{j=1}^{n-1} (1/\mu_j) = 1; \quad 0 < \mu_j \leq \lambda_j, \quad j = 1, 2, \dots, n - 1.$$

*For the polynomial  $f(z) = a_0 + a_1z + \dots + a_nz^n$ , let*

$$(3.6) \quad M = \max [\lambda_k | a_{n-k} | / | a_n | ]^{1/k}, \quad k = 1, 2, \dots, n,$$

$$(3.7) \quad M_0 = \max [\mu_k | a_{n-k} | / | a_n | ]^{1/k}, \quad k = 1, 2, \dots, n - 1.$$

*Then all the zeros of  $f(z)$  lie in or on the curve  $G(M_0, M; n, \alpha_0)$ , where  $\alpha_0 = \arg (a_0/a_n)$ .*

From (3.6) and (3.7), obviously  $0 < M_0 < M$ . Also,

$$\lambda_k | a_{n-k} | \leq | a_n | M^k, \quad \mu_k | a_{n-k} | \leq | a_n | M_0^k$$

and thus

$$(3.8) \quad \sum_{k=1}^n | a_{n-k} | M^{n-k} \leq \sum_{k=1}^n (1/\lambda_k) | a_n | M^n = | a_n | M^n,$$

$$(3.9) \quad \sum_{k=1}^{n-1} | a_{n-k} | M_0^{n-k} \leq \sum_{k=1}^{n-1} (1/\mu_k) | a_n | M_0^n = | a_n | M_0^n.$$

An equality in (3.8) would imply that  $M$  is the positive root  $r$  of the equation (1.2) whereas an inequality in (3.8) would imply that  $M > r$ . Likewise, an equality in (3.9) would imply that  $M_0$  is the positive root  $r_0$  of the equation (1.4) whereas an inequality in (3.9) would imply that  $M_0 > r_0$ . Since by Theorem 1.1 all the zeros of  $f(z)$  lie in or on the curve  $G(r_0, r; n, \alpha_0)$ , they surely all lie in or on the curve  $G(M_0, M; n, \alpha_0)$ .

Theorem 3.3 whose proof we have just completed is a refinement of the result due to Fujiwara<sup>5</sup> that all the zeros of  $f(z)$  lie in or on the circle  $|z| \leq M$ .

As a simple application of Theorem 3.3, let us take  $\lambda_j = n$  for  $j = 1, 2, \dots, n$  and  $\mu_j = n - 1$  for  $j = 1, 2, \dots, n - 1$ . We obtain thereby the following corollary.

**COROLLARY 3.3a.** *For the polynomial  $f(z) = a_0 + a_1z + \dots + a_nz^n$  let  $N = \max [n | a_{n-k}/a_n | ]^{1/k}, k = 1, 2, \dots, n$ , and  $N_0 = \max [(n-1) | a_{n-k}/a_n | ]^{1/k}, k = 1, 2, \dots, n - 1$ . Then all the zeros of  $f(z)$  lie in or on the curve  $G(N_0, N; n, \alpha_0)$  where  $\alpha_0 = \arg (a_0/a_n)$ .*

<sup>5</sup> M. Fujiwara, Tôhoku Math. J. vol. 10 (1916) pp. 167-171.

As another simple application of Theorem 3.3, let us take

$$\lambda_k = \sum_{j=0}^{n-1} |a_j| / |a_{n-k}|, \quad k = 0, 1, 2, 3, \dots, n,$$

$$\mu_k = \sum_{j=1}^{n-1} |a_j| / |a_{n-k}|, \quad k = 0, 1, 2, \dots, n-1.$$

Clearly,

$$\sum_{j=1}^n 1/\lambda_k = 1, \quad \sum_{j=1}^{n-1} 1/\mu_j = 1.$$

Here

$$M = \max \left[ \sum_{j=0}^{n-1} |a_j| / |a_n| \right]^{1/k} = \lambda_0 \quad \text{or} \quad \lambda_0^{1/n}$$

according as  $\lambda_0 > 1$  or  $< 1$ , and

$$M_0 = \max \left[ \sum_{j=1}^{n-1} |a_j| / |a_n| \right]^{1/k} = \lambda_0 \quad \text{or} \quad \mu_0^{1/n}$$

according as  $\mu_0 > 1$  or  $< 1$ . We thereby obtain the following corollary.

**COROLLARY 3.3b.** *For the polynomial  $f(z) = a_0 + a_1z + \dots + a_nz^n$ , let*

$$\lambda_0 = \sum_{j=0}^{n-1} |a_j| / |a_n| \quad \text{and} \quad \mu_0 = \sum_{j=1}^{n-1} |a_j| / |a_n|.$$

*Let  $\gamma = \lambda_0$  or  $\lambda_0^{1/n}$  according as  $\lambda_0 > 1$  or  $< 1$ , and let  $\delta = \mu_0$  or  $\mu_0^{1/n}$  according as  $\mu_0 > 1$  or  $< 1$ . Then all the zeros of  $f(z)$  lie in or on the curve  $G(\delta, \gamma; n, \alpha_0)$  where  $\alpha_0 = \arg a_0/a_n$ .*

**4. Generalizations.** Let us define  $\Psi_{kp}(z) = F_p(z) - |a_k|z^k$ ,  $k \neq p$ . Since  $\Psi_{0p}(z) = z\Phi_p(z)$ , the positive zeros of  $\Phi_p(z)$  are also the positive zeros of  $\Psi_{0p}(z)$ . By modifying somewhat the details of proof of Theorem 1.1, we may prove the following generalization.

**THEOREM 4.1.** *Under the hypotheses of Pellet's Theorem the polynomial*

$$\Psi_{kp}(z) = F_p(z) - |a_k|z^k, \quad k \neq p, a_k \neq 0,$$

*has also two positive zeros  $r_k$  and  $R_k$  with  $r_k < r < R < R_k$ . Furthermore  $f(z)$  has exactly  $p$  zeros in or on the curve  $G(r_k, r; p-k, \alpha_k)$  where  $\alpha_k = \arg(a_k/a_p)$  and none between the curves  $G(r_k, r; p-k, \alpha_k)$  and  $G(R, R_k; p-k, \alpha_k + \pi)$ .*

Our final generalization will consist in replacing the polynomial  $f(z)$  of Theorem 4.1 by a power series.

**THEOREM 4.2.** *If the power series*

$$f(z) = a_0 + a_1z + \cdots + a_pz^p + \cdots, \quad a_k a_p \neq 0,$$

*having a radius of convergence of  $\rho, 0 < \rho \leq \infty$ , is such that each polynomial*

$$F_{np}(z) = |a_0| + |a_1|z + \cdots + |a_{p-1}|z^{p-1} - |a_p|z^p \\ + |a_{p+1}|z^{p+1} + \cdots + |a_n|z^n$$

*with  $n \geq N > p$  has a positive zero  $r^{(n)}, r^{(n)} \leq \rho_1 < \rho$ , then the function  $F_p(z) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} F_{np}(z)$  has a positive zero  $r < \rho$ ; the function*

$$\Psi_{kp}(z) = F_p(z) - |a_k|z^k, \quad k \neq p,$$

*has a positive zero  $r_k, r_k < r < \rho$ , and the function  $f(z)$  has exactly  $p$  zeros in or on the curve  $G(r_k, r; p-k, \alpha_k)$  and hence in the curve  $G(r_k, \rho; p-k, \alpha_k)$ .*

This theorem results from Theorem 4.1 on the use of the Hurwitz theorem that within its circle of convergence a non-constant power series  $f(z) = \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} a_j z^j$  has as zeros the limit points of the zeros of the polynomials  $f_n(z) = \sum_{j=0}^n a_j z^j$ .

If  $F_{np}(z)$  has two positive zeros in  $|z| < \rho$ , we may choose  $r^{(n)}$  as the smaller one. Letting

$$\Psi_{nkp}(z) = F_{np}(z) - |a_k|z^k,$$

we see that  $\Psi_{nkp}(z)$  has a positive zero  $r_k^{(n)}, r_k^{(n)} < r^{(n)}$ . Clearly, the power series  $F_p(z)$  and  $\Psi_{kp}(z)$  have the same radius  $\rho$  of convergence and have respectively the positive zeros  $r = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} r^{(n)}$  and  $r_k = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} r_k^{(n)}$ , with  $r_k < r < \rho$ . Now, given any small positive  $\epsilon$ , we can find an  $N > 0$  such that the circle of radius  $\epsilon$  drawn about the point  $z=r$  will contain  $r^{(n)}$  for all  $n \geq N$  and the circle of radius  $\epsilon$  drawn about  $z=r_k$  will contain  $r_k^{(n)}$  for all  $n \geq N$ . This means that in or on the curve  $G(r_k + \epsilon, r + \epsilon; p-k, \alpha_k)$ , which for any sufficiently small positive  $\epsilon$  is contained in the circle  $|z| < \rho$ , lie exactly  $p$  zeros of each polynomial  $f_n(z)$  for all  $n \geq N$ . Since a circle of radius  $\epsilon$  about any zero of  $f(z)$  in  $|z| < \rho$  contains a zero of each  $f_n(z)$ ,  $n \geq N$ , it follows that in or on the curve  $G(r_k + \epsilon, r + \epsilon; p-k, \alpha_k)$  lie exactly  $p$  zeros of  $f(z)$ . Since  $\epsilon$  is an arbitrary, small positive number, it follows that exactly  $p$  zeros of  $f(z)$  lie in or on the curve  $G(r_k, r; p-k, \alpha_p)$  as stated in Theorem (4.2).