

## ON THE LOWER ORDER OF INTEGRAL FUNCTIONS

S. M. SHAH

Let  $f(z) = \sum_0^\infty a_n z^n$  be an integral function of order  $\rho$ . It is known that<sup>1</sup>

$$(1) \quad \limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{n \log n}{\log \{1/|a_n|\}} = \rho = \limsup_{r \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\log \log M(r)}{\log r} \quad (0 \leq \rho \leq \infty).$$

A similar result for the lower<sup>2</sup> order  $\lambda$ , namely

$$\liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{n \log n}{\log \{1/|a_n|\}} = \lambda = \liminf_{r \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\log \log M(r)}{\log r},$$

does not always hold. In fact for

$$\exp(z^2) + \exp(z) = 2 + z + z^2 \left( \frac{1}{1!} + \frac{1}{2!} \right) + \dots,$$

$$\liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{n \log n}{\log \{1/|a_n|\}} = 1$$

whereas  $\lambda = \rho = 2$ .

We prove here the following theorem.

**THEOREM 1.** *If  $f(z) = \sum_0^\infty a_n z^n$  is an integral function of order  $\rho$  and lower order  $\lambda$  ( $0 \leq \lambda \leq \infty$ ) then*

$$(2) \quad \lambda \geq \liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{n \log n}{\log \{1/|a_n|\}} \geq \liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\log n}{\log |a_n/a_{n+1}|}.$$

**COROLLARY 1.**<sup>3</sup>

$$(3) \quad \liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\log |a_n/a_{n+1}|}{\log n} \leq \liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\log \{1/|a_n|\}}{n \log n} = \frac{1}{\rho} \leq \frac{1}{\lambda} \\ \leq \limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\log \{1/|a_n|\}}{n \log n}; \leq \limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\log |a_n/a_{n+1}|}{\log n}.$$

Received by the editors April 4, 1946, and, in revised form, May 3, 1946.

<sup>1</sup> E. C. Titchmarsh, *Theory of functions*, pp. 253-254; E. T. Copson, *Theory of functions of a complex variable*, pp. 175-178.

<sup>2</sup> For the definition, and so on, see (i) J. M. Whittaker, *The lower order of integral functions*, J. London Math. Soc. vol. 8 (1933) pp. 20-27; (ii) S. M. Shah, *The lower order of the zeros of an integral function* (II), Proceedings of the Indian Academy of Sciences (A) vol. 21 (1945) pp. 162-164.

<sup>3</sup> Cf. a similar result (1) in S. M. Shah, *The maximum term of an entire series*, Mathematics Student vol. 10 (1942) pp. 80-82.

COROLLARY 2. If  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} n \log n / \log \{1/|a_n|\} = L$  where  $0 \leq L < \infty$  then  $f(z) = \sum_0^\infty a_n z^n$  is an integral function of regular growth<sup>4</sup> and of order  $L$ .

THEOREM 2. If (i)  $f(z) = \sum_0^\infty a_n z^n$  is an integral function of order  $\rho$  and lower order  $\lambda$  ( $0 \leq \lambda \leq \infty$ ) such that (ii)  $|a_n/a_{n+1}|$  is a nondecreasing function of  $n$  for  $n > n_0$ , then

$$(4) \quad \lambda = \liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{n \log n}{\log \{1/|a_n|\}} = \liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\log n}{\log |a_n/a_{n+1}|},$$

$$(5) \quad \rho = \limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\log n}{\log |a_n/a_{n+1}|}.$$

We note that the hypothesis (ii) of Theorem 2 does not imply that  $f(z)$  is of regular growth. In fact we have the following theorem.

THEOREM 3. There exists an integral function  $f(z) = \sum_0^\infty a_n z^n$  for which (i)  $a_n > 0$ , (ii)  $a_n/a_{n+1}$  is a steadily increasing function of  $n$ , and (iii)  $\rho > \lambda$ .

An interesting application of these results can be made to the series  $F(z) = \sum_0^\infty a_n \epsilon_n z^n$  where  $\{\epsilon_n\}$  are a set of numbers such that  $|\epsilon_n| = 1$  or 0 and such that  $\sum_0^\infty a_n \epsilon_n z^n$  consists of an infinite number of terms.  $F(z)$  is an integral function. Let its order be  $\rho(F)$  and lower order be  $\lambda(F)$ . Since

$$M(r, f) \geq |a_n| r^n \geq |a_n \epsilon_n| r^n$$

for every  $n$  and  $r$ , and so if  $\mu(r)$  denotes the maximum term,  $M(r, f) \geq \mu(r, F)$ . Hence

$$(6) \quad \lambda(f) \geq \lambda(F); \quad \rho(f) \geq \rho(F).$$

If  $|a_n/a_{n+1}| = \psi(n)$  (say) is a nondecreasing function of  $n$  then

$$(7) \quad \lambda(f) = \liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{n \log n}{\log \{1/|a_n|\}} \leq \limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{n \log n}{\log \{1/|a_n \epsilon_n|\}} = \rho(F)$$

and so we have the following theorem.

THEOREM 4. If  $f(z) = \sum_0^\infty a_n z^n$  is an integral function of order  $\rho$  and of lower order  $\lambda$  and is such that  $|a_n/a_{n+1}|$  is a nondecreasing function of  $n$  for  $n > n_0$ , then  $F(z) = \sum_0^\infty a_n \epsilon_n z^n$  is of order  $\rho(F) \geq \lambda$ .

For instance every function  $F = \sum_0^\infty \epsilon_n z^n / n!$  is of order 1.

An example, to illustrate the point that by an appropriate choice

<sup>4</sup> Cf. G. Valiron, *Lectures on the general theory of integral functions*, pp. 41-44.

of  $\epsilon_n$  the order  $\rho(F)$  of  $F(z) = \sum a_n \epsilon_n z^n$  can be made equal to any number  $x$  where  $\lambda(f) \leq x \leq \rho(f)$ , is given in the proof of Theorem 3.

The function  $\exp z = \sum_0^\infty z^n/n!$  for which  $\psi(n)$  is an increasing function of  $n$  is bounded on the real negative axis and the series

$$F(z) = z - \frac{z^3}{3!} + \frac{z^5}{5!} - \dots$$

is bounded on the real axis. If  $\psi(n)$  is increasing sufficiently rapidly then we prove that  $f(z)$  and  $F(z)$  are not bounded on any line  $\arg z = \alpha$  ( $0 \leq \alpha \leq 2\pi$ ). In fact we have the following theorem.

**THEOREM 5.** *If  $f(z) = \sum_0^\infty a_n z^n$  is an integral function of lower order  $\lambda$  such that  $|a_n/a_{n+1}| \geq \vartheta^2 |a_{n-1}/a_n|$  for  $n > n_0$  then*

$$(8) \quad \limsup_{r \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\log \log m(r, f)}{\log r} \geq \lambda; \quad \limsup_{r \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\log \log m(r, F)}{\log r} \geq \lambda$$

where  $m(r, f) = \min_{|z|=r} |f(z)|$  and  $\vartheta = 2 \cdot 2$ .

**LEMMA.**  $a_n$  is any sequence of real or complex numbers such that<sup>5</sup>

$$(i) \quad |a_n| < 1 \quad \text{for } n > n_0.$$

Let

$$\begin{aligned} \theta(n) &= \frac{\log \{1/|a_n|\}}{n \log n}; & \phi(n) &= \frac{\log |a_n/a_{n+1}|}{\log n}; \\ \alpha &= \liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} \theta(n); & \gamma &= \liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} \{1/\phi(n)\}; \\ \beta &= \limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \theta(n); & \delta &= \limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \{1/\phi(n)\}; \\ A &= \liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} \theta(n); & C &= \liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} \{1/\theta(n)\}; \\ B &= \limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \theta(n); & D &= \limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \{1/\theta(n)\}; \end{aligned}$$

then

$$(9) \quad \alpha \leq A = 1/D; \quad 1/C = B \leq \beta; \quad C \geq \gamma.$$

(ii) If<sup>5</sup> further  $\psi(n)$  is a nondecreasing function of  $n$  for  $n \geq N$  and  $\psi(N) \geq 1$  then

$$(10) \quad C = \gamma = 1/\beta; \quad D = \delta = 1/\alpha.$$

The proof of (9) is straightforward and omitted.

<sup>5</sup> Some of the relations in (9) and (10) hold under less restrictive conditions.

PROOF OF (10). By hypothesis (ii),  $\alpha, \beta, \gamma$  and  $\delta$  are non-negative and  $\beta = 1/\gamma, \alpha = 1/\delta$ . We prove  $B \geq \beta$ . Suppose first  $0 < \beta < \infty$ . Then

$$\psi(n) > n^{\beta-\epsilon} \quad \text{for } n = N_1, N_2, \dots, N_p, \dots$$

Let  $N_1 > \max\{n_0, N\}$ . Then

$$\left| \frac{1}{a_n} \right| = k(N_1)\psi(N_1 + 1) \cdots \psi(n - 1),$$

$$\theta(n) = o(1) + \frac{\log \psi(N_1 + 1) + \cdots + \log \psi(n - 1)}{n \log n}.$$

Let  $n = [N_p \log^2 N_p] + 1$ . Then

$$\theta(n) \geq o(1) + \frac{(n - N_p) \log N_p^{\beta-\epsilon}}{n \log n}.$$

Hence  $B \geq \beta$  which holds also when  $\beta = 0$ . If  $\beta$  be infinite the above argument with an arbitrary large number instead of  $\beta - \epsilon$  gives that  $B = \infty$ . Hence from (9) we get that  $B = \beta$  and so  $C = \gamma = 1/\beta$ . The second relation in (10) follows similarly.

PROOF OF THEOREM 1. Since  $\sum a_n$  is convergent,  $|a_n| < 1$  for  $n > n_0$ . As  $C \geq \gamma$  we need prove  $\lambda \geq C$  only. Suppose first  $0 < C < \infty$ . Then

$$\frac{n \log n}{\log \{1/|a_n|\}} > C - \epsilon,$$

$$|a_n| > n^{-n/(C-\epsilon)},$$

for all  $n \geq N(\epsilon)$ .

Let  $r_n = 2n^{1/(C-\epsilon)}$ . If  $r_n \leq r \leq r_{n+1}$  ( $n > N$ ) then

$$M(r) \geq |a_n| r^n \geq |a_n| r_n^n > n^{-n/(C-\epsilon)} \exp(n \log r_n) = \exp(n \log 2).$$

Hence  $\log M(r) \geq \log 2 \{(r/2)^{C-\epsilon} - 1\}$  for all large  $r$  and so  $\lambda \geq C$ , which holds when  $C = 0$ . If  $C = \infty$ , the above argument shows that  $\lambda = \infty$ .

Corollary 1 follows from (1), (2) and (9), and Corollary 2 from (1) and (2). The example given at the beginning of the paper shows that  $f(z)$  may be of regular growth and  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \{n \log n / \log \{1/|a_n|\}\}$  may not exist.

PROOF OF THEOREM 2. Let  $\mu(r)$  denote the maximum term,  $\nu(r)$  its rank. By hypothesis (ii),  $\psi(n) > \psi(n-1)$  for an infinity of  $n$ ; for if otherwise  $\psi(n) = \psi(n+1) = \cdots$  ad inf for  $n > p$ , say, and hence the radius of convergence of the series  $\sum a_n z^n$  would be finite.  $\psi(n)$  tends to infinity with  $n$ .

When  $\psi(n) > \psi(n-1)$  the term  $a_n z^n$  becomes a maximum term

and we have  $\mu(r) = |a_n| r^n$ ,  $\nu(r) = n$  for  $\psi(n-1) \leq r < \psi(n)$ . Now  $\lambda = \liminf_{r \rightarrow \infty} \log \nu(r) / \log r$ . Suppose first that  $0 < \lambda < \infty$ . Then  $\nu(r) > r^{\lambda-\epsilon}$  for  $r > R = R(\epsilon)$ . Let  $|z| = r > R$  and let  $a_{m_1} z^{m_1}$  and  $a_{m_2} z^{m_2}$  ( $m_1 > n_0$ ;  $\psi(m_1-1) > R$ ) be two consecutive terms so that  $m_1 \leq m_2 - 1$  and let  $m_1 < n \leq m_2$ . Since  $a_{m_1} z^{m_1}$  is maximum term we have  $\nu(r) = m_1$  for  $\psi(m_1-1) \leq r < \psi(m_1)$ . Hence for every  $r$  in this interval  $m_1 = \nu(r) > r^{\lambda-\epsilon}$ . In particular  $m_1 > \{\psi(m_1) - C\}^{\lambda-\epsilon}$  where  $C = \min\{1, ((\psi(m_1) - \psi(m_1-1))/2)\}$ . Further we have

$$\psi(m_1) = \psi(1 + m_1) = \dots = \psi(n - 1).$$

Hence

$$\begin{aligned} \psi(n_0 + 1) \dots \psi(n - 1) &= \left| \frac{a_{n_0+1}}{a_n} \right| \leq \{\psi(n - 1)\}^{n-n_0-1} \\ &< \{C + m_1^{1/(\lambda-\epsilon)}\}^{n-n_0-1} \\ &< K(n_0) 2^{n(n-n_0-1)/(\lambda-\epsilon)}. \end{aligned}$$

Hence for all large  $n$

$$\left| \frac{1}{a_n} \right| < K_1(n_0) 2^n \cdot n^{(n-n_0-1)/(\lambda-\epsilon)}$$

and so

$$(11) \quad C \geq \lambda$$

which holds when  $\lambda = 0$ . If  $\lambda = \infty$  the above argument gives  $C = \infty$ . Hence from (2),  $\lambda = C$  and so from (10) we get (4); and from (1) and (10) we have (5).

PROOF OF THEOREM 3. Let  $n_1 = 2$ ,  $n_{s+1} = n_s^4$  ( $s = 1, 2, 3, \dots$ ),

$$r_1 = 1, \quad r_m = m \quad \text{for } n_s \leq m < n_s^2$$

$$r_m = n_{s+1} - \frac{n_{s+1} - m}{\{(n_{s+1})!\}^{(n_{s+1})!}} \quad \text{for } n_s^2 \leq m < n_{s+1},$$

$s = 1, 2, 3, \dots$ , and let

$$f(z) = 1 + \sum_1^\infty \frac{z^n}{r_1 r_2 \dots r_n}.$$

Then  $a_n > 0$  and  $a_n/a_{n+1} = r_{n+1}$  which is a steadily increasing function of  $n$ . Also

$$\theta(n) = \frac{\log r_1 + \dots + \log r_n}{n \log n}.$$

Hence

$$\theta(n_{s+1}) \sim \frac{(n_s^4 - n_s^2) \log(n_s^4)}{4n_s^4 \log n_s} \sim 1,$$

$$\theta([n_s^2 \log n_s]) \sim \frac{(n_s^2 \log n_s - n_s^2) \log(n_s^4) + O(n_s^2 \log n_s)}{n_s^2 \log n_s^4 \log \{n_s^2 \log n_s\}} \sim 2.$$

It is easily seen that  $\limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \theta(n) = 2$ ;  $\liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} \theta(n) = 1$ . Hence  $f(z)$  is an integral function of order 1 and lower order 1/2. Let now

$$\epsilon_m = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{when } m = [n_s^2 \log n_s] \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases} \quad (s = 1, 2, 3, \dots)$$

Then

$$F(z) = \sum_1^\infty a_n \epsilon_n z^n = \sum_1^\infty \frac{\epsilon_n z^n}{r_1 r_2 \dots r_n}$$

is an integral function of order 1/2. If

$$\epsilon_m = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{when } m = n_s \\ 0 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases} \quad (s = 1, 2, 3, \dots)$$

then  $F(z)$  is of order 1. Let  $1/2 < x < 1$  and  $\epsilon_m = 1$  when  $m = [\exp(4x \log n_s)]$  ( $s = 1, 2, 3, \dots$ ) and zero otherwise; then  $F(z)$  is of order  $x$ .

PROOF OF THEOREM 5. Let  $|\epsilon_n| = 1$  for  $n = N_1, N_2, \dots, N_p, \dots$  ( $N_1 > n_0$ ). We write  $N_p = N$ . Let  $R_N = \vartheta\psi(N-1)$  and  $|z| = R_N = R$ .

$$\mu(r, f) = |a_N| r^N = \mu(r, F) \quad \text{for } \psi(N-1) \leq r < \psi(N)$$

and  $R$  lies inside this interval.

$$\begin{aligned} |f(z)| &= \left| \sum_0^{N-1} a_n z^n + a_N z^N + \sum_{N+1}^\infty a_n z^n \right| \\ &\geq \mu(R, f) - \left| \sum_0^{N-1} a_n z^n \right| - \left| \sum_{N+1}^\infty a_n z^n \right|. \end{aligned}$$

Now

$$\begin{aligned} \left| \sum_0^{N-1} a_n z^n \right| &\leq |a_{N-1}| R^{N-1} + \dots \\ &\leq \mu(R) \left\{ \frac{1}{\vartheta} + \frac{1}{\vartheta^4} + \frac{1}{\vartheta^9} + \dots + \frac{1}{\vartheta^{(N-n_0-2)^2}} + o(1) \right\} \\ &\leq \mu(R) \left\{ \frac{1}{\vartheta} + \frac{1}{\vartheta^4} + \frac{1}{\vartheta^9} + \dots \text{ ad inf} \right\} + \frac{\mu(R)}{10^{10}} \end{aligned}$$

for all large  $N$ .

$$\left| \sum_{N+1}^{\infty} a_n z^n \right| \leq |a_{N+1}| R^{N+1} + \dots \\ \leq \mu(R) \left\{ \frac{1}{\theta} + \frac{1}{\theta^4} + \frac{1}{\theta^9} + \dots \right\}.$$

Hence for all large  $R$

$$|f(z)| > \frac{\mu(R, f)}{10000}.$$

Similarly

$$|F(z)| > \frac{\mu(R, f)}{10000}.$$

Hence  $f$  and  $F$  are not bounded on any line  $\arg z = \alpha$ .

Since

$$\liminf_{r \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\log \log \mu(r, f)}{\log r} = \lambda$$

the theorem follows.

*Added in proof.* A short note containing a part of each of the Theorems 1, 2, and 3 appeared in J. Indian Math. Soc. vol. 9 (1945) pp. 50–54.

MUSLIM UNIVERSITY