

ON THE REPRESENTATIONS, $N_3(n^2)$ ¹

C. D. OLDS

1. Introduction. Let the symbol $N_r(n)$ denote the number of representations of the positive integer n in the form $n = x_1^2 + x_2^2 + \cdots + x_r^2$, where x_1, x_2, \cdots, x_r are positive or negative integers or zero. We will agree to count the two representations $n = x_1^2 + x_2^2 + \cdots + x_r^2$, $n = y_1^2 + y_2^2 + \cdots + y_r^2$, as distinct unless simultaneously $x_\nu = y_\nu$, $\nu = 1, 2, \cdots, r$. Notice that in a given representation the signs of the roots, as well as their arrangement, are relevant. A zero square, however, is supposed to have only one root.

In a letter written in 1884 to Ch. Hermite, T. J. Stieltjes² proved by means of elliptic functions that if $n = p^k$, $p \equiv 1 \pmod{8}$, p prime, then $N_3(n^2) = 6p^k$. Later in 1907, A. Hurwitz³ stated without proof that if

$$(1) \quad n = 2^k m = 2^k PQ, \quad P = \prod_{\nu=1}^r p_\nu^{a_\nu}, \quad Q = \prod_{\nu=1}^s q_\nu^{b_\nu},$$

where each p_ν is a prime $\equiv 1 \pmod{4}$, and each q_ν is a prime $\equiv 3 \pmod{4}$, then

$$(2) \quad N_3(n^2) = 6P \prod_{\nu=1}^s \left[q_\nu^{b_\nu} + 2 \frac{q_\nu^{b_\nu} - 1}{q_\nu - 1} \right].$$

This result is also implicitly contained in Stieltjes' letter mentioned above.

In 1940, G. Pall⁴ showed that (2) could be derived arithmetically by an application of certain divisibility properties of the Lipschitz integral quaternions. It is the purpose of this paper to give a simple arithmetical proof of (2) by a method which has been evolved from the study of a paper by Hurwitz⁵ in which he derived the analogous formula for $N_5(n^2)$.⁶

¹ This is the first part of a paper presented to the Society April 6, 1940, under the title *On the number of representations of the square of an integer as the sum of an odd number of squares*.

² T. J. Stieltjes, "Lettre 45," *Correspondence d'Hermite et de Stieltjes*, vol. 1, Paris, 1905, pp. 89-94.

³ A. Hurwitz, *Mathematische Werke*, vol. 2, Basel, 1933, p. 751.

⁴ G. Pall, *Transactions of this Society*, vol. 47 (1940), pp. 487-500. See also G. Pall, *Journal of the London Mathematical Society*, vol. 5 (1930), pp. 102-105. In this paper Pall gives analytical proofs of the formula for $N_r(cn^2)$, $r = 3, 5, 7, 11$, c an integer.

⁵ A. Hurwitz, *Comptes Rendus de l'Académie des Sciences*, Paris, vol. 98 (1884), pp. 504-507; *Mathematische Werke*, vol. 2, pp. 5-7. Notice that Hurwitz makes use of certain results announced by Liouville and some formulas of Stieltjes.

⁶ The author wishes to acknowledge the assistance rendered him by Professor J. V. Uspensky.

2. **Two lemmas.** The arithmetical derivation of (2) depends upon the following propositions:

LEMMA 1. *Let $f(n)$ be an arbitrary arithmetical function, and suppose that $f(nn') = f(n)f(n')$ for any two integers n, n' , and that $f(n) \neq 0$ for all n . If*

$$F(n) = \sum_{d|n} f(d)$$

where, in particular, $f(1) = F(1) = 1$, then

$$(3) \quad F(nn') = \sum_{d|n, n'} \mu(d)f(d)F(n/d)F(n'/d),$$

where $\mu(n)$ is the Möbius function,⁷ and the summation extends over all divisors common to both n and n' . If we agree to set $F(x) = 0$ if x is not equal to an integer, then the summation can be extended to $d = 1, 2, \dots$ ending with a number greater than n or n' .

PROOF. Set $n = p_1^{\alpha_1} p_2^{\alpha_2} \dots p_r^{\alpha_r}$, $n' = p_1^{\beta_1} p_2^{\beta_2} \dots p_r^{\beta_r}$, where $\alpha_\nu \geq 0$, $\beta_\nu \geq 0$, and p_1, p_2, \dots, p_r are distinct primes. Then it follows from the definition of $F(n)$ that

$$F(p_\nu^{\alpha_\nu + \beta_\nu}) = F(p_\nu^{\alpha_\nu})F(p_\nu^{\beta_\nu}) - f(p_\nu)F(p_\nu^{\alpha_\nu - 1})F(p_\nu^{\beta_\nu - 1}),$$

for $\nu = 1, 2, \dots, r$, and for $\alpha_\nu \geq 0$, $\beta_\nu \geq 0$. It is also clear that $F(p^\alpha q^\beta) = F(p^\alpha)F(q^\beta)$ provided p and q are distinct primes. Hence

$$\begin{aligned} F(nn') &= \prod_{\nu=1}^r F(p_\nu^{\alpha_\nu + \beta_\nu}) = \prod_{\nu=1}^r [F(p_\nu^{\alpha_\nu})F(p_\nu^{\beta_\nu}) - f(p_\nu)F(p_\nu^{\alpha_\nu - 1})F(p_\nu^{\beta_\nu - 1})] \\ &= \sum_{d=1, 2, 3, \dots} \mu(d)f(d)F(n/d)F(n'/d). \end{aligned}$$

The second lemma we need is derived as follows: Let $f(x) = f(-x)$ be an arbitrary even function defined for integral values of x ; then it is possible to show by purely arithmetical reasoning that⁸

$$(4) \quad \sum_{(a)} [f(d' - d'') - f(d' + d'')] = \sum_{(b)} d[f(0) - f(2d)],$$

⁷ The Möbius function is defined as follows: $\mu(1) = 1$, $\mu(n) = 0$ if n has a squared factor; $\mu(p_1 p_2 \dots p_r) = (-1)^r$, if all the primes p_1, p_2, \dots, p_r are different.

⁸ M. J. Liouville, *Journal de Mathématique*, (2), vol. 3 (1858), p. 194. Although this identity was first proved arithmetically by T. Pepin, *Journal de Mathématique*, (4), vol. 4 (1888), p. 94, it is more convenient to refer to the exposition in Uspensky and Heaslet, *Elementary Number Theory*, New York, 1939, p. 462.

the summations extending respectively over all positive integral solutions of the equations

- (a) $2n = d'\delta' + d''\delta'' = s' + s'', d', \delta', d'', \delta''$ odd,
- (b) $n = d\delta, \delta$ odd.

If in (4) we replace $f(x)$ by $(-1)^{x/2}f(x)$, x even, we obtain after a few simple reductions,

$$(5) \quad \sum_{(a)} (-1)^{(\delta'-1)/2 + (\delta''-1)/2} [f(d' - d'') + f(d' + d'')] = \sum_{(b)} d [f(2d) - (-1)^d f(0)].$$

Now define two arithmetical functions $\sigma_k(n)$ and $\rho_k(n)$ as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} \sigma_k(n) &= \sum_{d|n} d^k, & \sigma_0(n) &= \sigma(n), & 1 \leq d \leq n; \\ \rho_k(n) &= \sum_{n=d\delta, \delta \text{ odd}} (-1)^{(\delta-1)/2} d^k, & \rho_0(n) &= \rho(n), \end{aligned}$$

where in the second function the summation extends over all positive integral solutions d, δ of the equation $n = d\delta$, where δ is odd.

On setting $f(x) = 1$ in (5), and supposing that n is odd ($= m$) we obtain the following.

LEMMA 2.

$$\sum_{2m=s'+s''} \rho(s')\rho(s'') = \sigma_1(m),$$

where the summation extends over all positive, odd integers s', s'' , satisfying the equation $2m = s' + s''$.

3. **The formula for $N_3(n^2)$.** Using the definition of n given by (1), and noticing that $N_3(2^{2k}m^2) = N_3(m^2)$, we see that we need only seek an expression for the number of solutions of the equation $m^2 = x^2 + y^2 + z^2$. Since $m^2 \equiv 1 \pmod{4}$, then one of the roots of this equation must be odd, while the other two must be even. Denote by R the number of solutions in which x is even. Then it is a simple matter to verify that

$$N_3(m^2) = \frac{3}{2}R.$$

On the other hand, R can be expressed by the sum

$$R = \sum_{\nu=0, \pm 1, \pm 2, \dots} N_2(m^2 - 4\nu^2),$$

which is extended over all integers ν rendering the argument non-negative. Knowing this, and using the well known result that⁹

$$N_2(n) = 4\rho(n), \quad n \geq 1,$$

we obtain at once

$$\begin{aligned} N_3(n^2) &= N_3(m^2) = \frac{3}{2} \sum_{\nu=0, \pm 1, \pm 2, \dots} N_2(m^2 - 4\nu^2) \\ &= \frac{3}{2} \cdot 4 \sum_{\nu=0, \pm 1, \pm 2, \dots} \rho(m^2 - 4\nu^2) \\ &= 6 \sum_{\nu=0, \pm 1, \pm 2, \dots} \rho((m - 2\nu)(m + 2\nu)) = 6 \sum_{2m=a+b} \rho(ab), \end{aligned}$$

where the last sum extends over all positive odd integers a, b which satisfy the equation $2m = a + b$.

The problem is now reduced to the evaluation of the expression $\sum \rho(ab)$. To this end we use Lemma 1. Define $f(n)$ as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} f(n) &= 0 \text{ if } n \text{ is even,} \\ f(n) &= (-1)^{(n-1)/2} \text{ if } n \text{ is odd.} \end{aligned}$$

Then $f(nn') = f(n)f(n')$ for any two integers n, n' as required. For odd n

$$F(n) = \sum_{d|n} f(d) = \sum_{d|n} (-1)^{(d-1)/2} = \sum_{n=d\delta, \delta \text{ odd}} (-1)^{(\delta-1)/2} = \rho(n).$$

Setting $n = ab$, we obtain from Lemma 1,

$$\rho(ab) = \sum_{d=1, 3, 5, \dots} \mu(d) (-1)^{(d-1)/2} \rho(a/d) \rho(b/d),$$

where $\rho(x) = 0$ if x is not an integer. It follows that

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{2m=a+b} \rho(ab) &= \sum_{2m=a+b} \sum_{d=1, 3, 5, \dots} \mu(d) (-1)^{(d-1)/2} \rho(a/d) \rho(b/d) \\ &= \sum_{d=1, 3, 5, \dots} \mu(d) (-1)^{(d-1)/2} \sum_{2m=a+b} \rho(a/d) \rho(b/d). \end{aligned}$$

Now let d be any common divisor of a and b , and set $a = \alpha d, b = \beta d, \alpha, \beta$ odd. Then, using Lemma 2, we see that

$$\sum_{2m=a+b} \rho(a/d) \rho(b/d) = \sum_{2m/d=\alpha+\beta} \rho(\alpha) \rho(\beta) = \sigma_1(m/d), \quad m/d \text{ odd.}$$

Consequently,

⁹ For an arithmetical proof of this result see, for example, Hardy and Wright, *The Theory of Numbers*, Oxford, 1938, p. 241.

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{2m=a+b} \rho(ab) &= \sum_{d|m} \mu(d)(-1)^{(d-1)/2} \sigma_1(m/d) \\ &= \left[\sum_{d|P} \mu(d)(-1)^{(d-1)/2} \sigma_1(P/d) \right] \\ &\quad \cdot \left[\sum_{d|Q} \mu(d)(-1)^{(d-1)/2} \sigma_1(Q/d) \right], \quad m = PQ. \end{aligned}$$

Now using the properties of $\mu(n)$, and being careful of the sign of $(-1)^{(d-1)/2}$ according as $d|P$ or $d|Q$, we can easily show, if we notice that $\sigma_1(p^\alpha) = (p^{\alpha+1} - 1)/(p - 1)$, p prime, that

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{d|P} \mu(d)(-1)^{(d-1)/2} \sigma_1(P/d) &= \prod_{\nu=1}^r [\sigma_1(p_\nu^{a_\nu}) - \sigma_1(p_\nu^{a_\nu-1})] \\ &= \prod_{\nu=1}^r \left[\frac{p_\nu^{a_\nu+1} - 1}{p_\nu - 1} - \frac{p_\nu^{a_\nu} - 1}{p_\nu - 1} \right] \\ &= \prod_{\nu=1}^r p_\nu^{a_\nu} = P. \end{aligned}$$

Likewise,

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{d|Q} \mu(d)(-1)^{(d-1)/2} \sigma_1(Q/d) &= \prod_{\nu=1}^s [\sigma_1(q_\nu^{b_\nu}) + \sigma_1(q_\nu^{b_\nu-1})] \\ &= \prod_{\nu=1}^s \left[\frac{q_\nu^{b_\nu+1} - 1}{q_\nu - 1} + \frac{q_\nu^{b_\nu} - 1}{q_\nu - 1} \right]. \end{aligned}$$

Combining these two results, we obtain the required expression for $N_3(n^2)$, namely,

$$N_3(n^2) = 6P \prod_{\nu=1}^s \left[q_\nu^{b_\nu} + 2 \frac{q_\nu^{b_\nu} - 1}{q_\nu - 1} \right].$$