

$$(11) \quad KM \equiv 0 \pmod{2^{n-1} \cdot 9}.$$

Conversely (11) implies (9). Since (9) holds for the modulus  $2^{n-2} \cdot 9M$ , it follows similarly that (11) holds for the modulus  $2^{n-2} \cdot 9$  with  $M = 2^{n-4}M_1$ . Hence (11) will be true for the given modulus if  $M = 2^{n-3}M_1$ . This supplies a proof by induction that (8) is a universal form for every  $n \geq 4$ .

If, in addition,\*  $M$  is divisible by every prime  $p$  where  $3 < p \leq n$ , we satisfy the necessary condition given by Dickson† for the form (8) to represent at least one set of  $n$  primes. The proof of the sufficiency of this condition still remains a challenge to the ingenuity of number theorists.

NEW YORK, N. Y.

---

## RINGS AS GROUPS WITH OPERATORS

C. J. EVERETT, JR.

**1. Introduction.** A module  $M$  ( $0, a, b, \dots$ ) is a commutative group, additively written. Every correspondence of  $M$  onto itself, or part of itself, such that  $a \rightarrow a', b \rightarrow b'$  implies  $a+b \rightarrow a'+b'$  defines an *endomorphism* of  $M$ . An endomorphism may be regarded as an operator  $\theta$  on  $M$  subject to the postulates (i)  $\theta a = a'$  is uniquely defined as an element of  $M$ , (ii)  $\theta(a+b) = \theta a + \theta b$ , ( $a, b \in M$ ). In particular, there exist a null operator  $0$  ( $0M = 0$ ) and a unit operator  $\epsilon$  ( $\epsilon a = a, a \in M$ ). Designate by  $\Omega_M$  the set of all such operators,  $0, \epsilon, \alpha, \beta, \dots$ . It is well known that if operations of  $\oplus$  and  $\odot$  be defined in  $\Omega_M$  by  $(\theta + \eta)a = \theta a + \eta a$  and  $(\theta \eta)a = \theta(\eta a)$ , ( $a \in M$ ),  $\Omega_M$  forms a ring with unit element  $\epsilon$  (*endomorphism ring* of  $M$ ).‡ The equation  $\theta = \eta$  means  $\theta a = \eta a$  (all  $a \in M$ ). A ring  $R(M)$  is called a ring over  $M$  in case  $M$  is the additive group of  $R(M)$ . Correspondence of a set  $P$  onto a set  $Q$  (many-one) is written  $P \sim Q$ ; if specifically one-one,  $P \cong Q$ . Corresponding operations in  $P, Q$  preserved under the map are indicated in parentheses; for example,  $P \sim Q (+)$ . If a set  $T$  has the property that  $TP$  is defined in  $P, TQ$  in  $Q$ , and if, under a correspondence  $P \sim Q, p \rightarrow q$  implies  $tp \rightarrow tq$  ( $t \in T, p \in P, q \in Q$ ), we write  $P \sim Q (T)$  ( $T$ -operator correspondence). If  $R$  is a ring, the two-sided ideal  $N$  of elements  $z$  of  $R$  such that  $zr = 0$  (all  $r \in R$ ), is called the left annulling ideal of  $R$ .

---

\* For example, replace  $6M$  in (8) by  $2^w n! M$ , ( $w \geq n - 3$ ).

† Loc. cit., p. 156.

‡ van der Waerden, *Moderne Algebra*, vol. 1, 2d edition, p. 146.

2. **Fundamental theorems.** We prove first the following theorem:

**THEOREM 1.** *If  $R(M)$  is a ring over  $M$ , there exists in  $\Omega_M$  a subring  $\Gamma$  such that*

$$R(M) \sim \Gamma (\oplus, \odot; \Gamma),$$

*this correspondence being one-one if and only if  $N = (0)$  for  $R(M)$ .\**

For  $R(M)$  consists of the elements of  $M$  on which a multiplication has been defined so that (i)  $ab \in M$ , (ii)  $a(b+c) = ab+ac$ , (iii)  $(a+b)c = ac+bc$ , (iv)  $(ab)c = a(bc)$ . By (i), every  $a$  of  $M$  defines a map of  $M$  into  $M$  which by (ii) is an endomorphism. Hence to every  $a$  of  $M$  corresponds an operator  $\alpha$  of  $\Omega_M$ . Let  $\Gamma$  be the set of all such  $\alpha$ , whence  $R(M) \sim \Gamma$ , where  $a \rightarrow \alpha$  is defined by  $ag = \alpha g$  (all  $g \in M$ ). We have that  $a+b \rightarrow \alpha+\beta$ ,  $ab \rightarrow \alpha\beta$  and  $\gamma a \rightarrow \gamma\alpha$  from the following:

$$\begin{aligned} (a+b)h &= ah + bh = \alpha h + \beta h = (\alpha + \beta)h, \\ (ab)h &= a(bh) = a(\beta h) = \alpha(\beta h) = (\alpha\beta)h, \\ (\gamma a)h &= (ga)h = g(ah) = (\gamma\alpha)h, \end{aligned} \quad \text{all } h \in M.$$

Since, under the correspondence,  $N \rightarrow 0$ , proof of the theorem is complete.

**THEOREM 2.** *If in  $\Omega_M$  there exists a subring  $\Gamma$  such that  $M \sim \Gamma (\oplus; \Gamma)$  then there exists a ring  $R(M)$  over  $M$  such that*

$$R(M) \sim \Gamma (\oplus, \odot; \Gamma).$$

We define  $ab = \alpha b$ . Then

- (1)  $a(b+c) = \alpha(b+c) = ab + \alpha c = ab + ac,$
- (2)  $(a+b)c = (\alpha + \beta)c = \alpha c + \beta c = ac + bc,$
- (3)  $(ab)c = (\alpha b)c = (\alpha\beta)c = \alpha(\beta c) = \alpha(bc) = a(bc),$

and  $M$  with this multiplication is a ring  $R(M)$ . Since  $ab = \alpha b \rightarrow \alpha\beta$ , the theorem follows.

**COROLLARY.** *If  $M \sim \Gamma (\oplus)$ ,  $\Gamma$  a submodule of  $\Omega_M$ , there exists a (non-associative) ring  $R^*(M)$  over  $M$ , where  $ab$  is defined as  $\alpha b$ , ( $a \rightarrow \alpha$ ).*

The relation between associativity of  $R(M)$  and the  $\Gamma$ -operator character of the correspondence seems to indicate a point of departure for the study of rings with associativity not assumed.

\* In case  $N \neq (0)$ , there exists a ring  $R_1 \supset R$  for which  $N_1 = (0)$ ; thus  $R$  is always isomorphic with a subring of the endomorphism ring of some module. See, for example, A. A. Albert, *Modern Higher Algebra*, University of Chicago Press, 1937, p. 22, Theorem 5.

3. **On linear algebras.** Let  $V$  be a vector space of  $n$  dimensions over a field  $F$ . Elements of  $V$  satisfy

$$\begin{pmatrix} \alpha_1 \\ \vdots \\ \alpha_n \end{pmatrix} = (\alpha_i) = \sum \alpha_i d_i, \quad (\alpha_i) + (\beta_i) = (\alpha_i + \beta_i), \quad \alpha(\alpha_i) = (\alpha\alpha_i).$$

It is well known\* that every  $F$ -operator endomorphism of  $V$  ( $v \rightarrow v'$  implies  $\alpha v \rightarrow \alpha v'$ ) is represented by an  $n \times n$  matrix over  $F$  operating on  $V$ . For under such a map,  $d_i \rightarrow \sum \alpha_{ji} d_j$ , and

$$v = \sum \alpha_i d_i \rightarrow \sum (\sum \alpha_i \alpha_{ji}) d_j = Av,$$

where  $A$  is the matrix  $(\alpha_{ji})$ . Now a linear associative algebra of order  $n$  over the field  $F$  is simply a ring  $A(V)$  over  $V$  subject to the axioms (i)  $\alpha(uv) = u(\alpha v)$  and (ii)  $\alpha(uv) = (\alpha u)v$ . Condition (i) requires that the endomorphism defined by the multiplier  $u$  be an  $F$ -operator map, that is,  $uv = Uv$ , where  $U$  is a matrix of the type just indicated. Hence in the correspondence of Theorem 1,  $u \rightarrow U$ ; and by (ii),  $\alpha u \rightarrow \alpha U$ , ( $\alpha \in F$ ). Thus

$$A(V) \sim \Gamma (\oplus, \odot; \Gamma, F)$$

where  $\Gamma$  is a subalgebra of the total  $n \times n$  matrix algebra  $\mathcal{M}$  over  $F$ . This correspondence (which is the classical one) is biunique if and only if the left annulling ideal  $N$  of  $A(V)$  is  $(0)$ , a much weaker condition than the possession of unit element usually required. The  $\Gamma$ -operator property of the correspondence is significant in the light of the following remark, which is in part a result of Theorem 2:

*If  $V \sim \Gamma (\oplus; \Gamma, F)$ ,  $\Gamma$  any subalgebra of  $\mathcal{M}$ , then there exists an algebra  $A(V)$  over  $V$  such that*

$$A(V) \sim \Gamma (\oplus, \odot; \Gamma, F).$$

That not every matrix representation of an algebra possesses the  $\Gamma$ -operator property is evinced by the example

$$A(V): \begin{pmatrix} \alpha_1 \\ \alpha_2 \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} \alpha_1 \\ \alpha_2 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \beta_1 \\ \beta_2 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} \alpha_1 & \beta_1 \\ \alpha_1 & \beta_2 \end{pmatrix},$$

for

$$\begin{pmatrix} \beta_1 \\ \beta_2 \end{pmatrix} \cong \begin{pmatrix} \beta_1 & \beta_2 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} (\oplus, \odot)$$

but the relation

\* See van der Waerden, loc. cit., vol. 2, p. 111.

$$\begin{pmatrix} \alpha_1 & \alpha_2 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \beta_1 \\ \beta_2 \end{pmatrix} \rightarrow \begin{pmatrix} \alpha_1 & \alpha_2 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \beta_1 & \beta_2 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

does not hold. However

$$\begin{pmatrix} \beta_1 \\ \beta_2 \end{pmatrix} \sim \Gamma \equiv \begin{pmatrix} \beta_1 & 0 \\ 0 & \beta_1 \end{pmatrix} (\oplus, \odot; \Gamma).$$

**4. Reduction theorems for finite rings.** Let  $M$  be a module of order  $m = p_1^{a_1} \cdots p_n^{a_n}$ . Then  $M = B_1 + \cdots + B_n$  is a direct sum,  $B_i$  of order  $p_i^{a_i}$ , containing all elements of period dividing  $p_i^{a_i}$ . Moreover,  $B_i = C_{i1} + \cdots + C_{i l_i}$ , where  $C_{ij}$  is cyclic of order  $p_i^{b_{ij}}$ ,  $\sum_{j=1}^{l_i} b_{ij} = a_i$ . The endomorphism ring  $\Omega_M$  of  $M$  is a direct sum of endomorphism rings of the  $B_i$ :

$$\Omega_M = \Omega_1 + \cdots + \Omega_n,$$

$\Omega_i$  a two-sided ideal in  $\Omega_M$ ,  $\Omega_i \cap \Omega_j = \delta_{ij} \Omega_j$ ,  $\Omega_i \Omega_j = \delta_{ij} \Omega_i^2$ . Further, if  $B = C_1 + \cdots + C_{l_i}$ ,  $C_j$  of order  $p^{b_j}$ , be represented as a vector space

$$\begin{pmatrix} x_1 \\ \vdots \\ x_{l_i} \end{pmatrix}, \quad x_j \pmod{p^{b_j}}, \quad b_1 \leq \cdots \leq b_{l_i},$$

then  $\Omega_B$  may be represented\* by the ring of all matrices  $(\beta_{jk}) = (\alpha_{jk} p^{b_j - b_k})$ ,  $p^{b_j - b_k}$  defined as 1 for  $j < k$ ,  $\beta_{jk}$  reduced  $(\text{mod } p^{b_j})$ . Thus if  $M$  is represented as a vector space,  $\Omega_M$  is a ring of matrices with blocks along the diagonal, the  $\Omega_i$ -blocks having the  $(\beta_{jk})$  structure described.†

**THEOREM 3.** *If  $M \sim \Gamma \subset \Omega_M (\oplus; \Gamma)$ , then  $\Gamma = \Gamma_1 + \cdots + \Gamma_n$ , a direct sum of two-sided ideals in  $\Gamma$ , and*

$$B_i \sim \Gamma_i \subset \Omega_i (\oplus; \Gamma_i).$$

Let  $\Gamma_i$  be the map of  $B_i$ . Then  $\Gamma_i$  is a two-sided ideal in  $\Gamma$ , and every  $\gamma \in \Gamma$  is a sum of  $\gamma_i \in \Gamma_i$ . Moreover  $\Gamma_i \subset \Omega_i$ . For let  $b_i \rightarrow \lambda_i \in \Gamma_i$ , ( $\lambda_i = (\theta_1 + \cdots + \theta_n)$ ,  $\theta_i \in \Omega_i$ ). Since  $b_i \in B_i$ ,

$$p_i^{a_i} b_i = 0 \rightarrow p_i^{a_i} (\theta_1 + \cdots + \theta_n) = 0.$$

Hence  $p_i^{a_i} \theta_j = 0$ , ( $j = 1, \cdots, n$ ). From the structure of  $\Omega_i$  already indicated,  $\theta_j = 0$ , ( $j \neq i$ ). Thus  $\Gamma$  is a direct sum.

\* K. Shoda, *Über die Automorphismen einer endlichen Abelschen Gruppe*, *Mathematische Annalen*, vol. 100 (1928), p. 676.

† Note that  $B$  is admissible relative to  $\Omega_M$ , that is,  $\Omega_M B_i \subset B_i$ .

**THEOREM 4.** *If  $M = B_1 + \dots + B_n$ ,  $B_i \sim \Gamma_i (\oplus; \Gamma_i)$ ,  $\Gamma_i$  a subring of  $\Omega_i$ , then  $\Gamma = \Gamma_1 + \dots + \Gamma_n$  is direct,  $\Gamma_i$  a two-sided ideal in  $\Gamma$ , and*

$$M \sim \Gamma \subset \Omega_M (\oplus; \Gamma).$$

Since  $\Gamma_i \subset \Omega_i$ ,  $\Gamma$  is a direct sum, and  $\Gamma_i$  is a two-sided ideal in  $\Gamma$ . Define  $M \sim \Gamma$  by  $m = b_1 + \dots + b_n \rightarrow \gamma_1 + \dots + \gamma_n$  (where  $b_i \rightarrow \gamma_i$ ). Then addition is preserved. Let  $\rho \in \Gamma$ ,  $\rho = \mu_1 + \dots + \mu_n$ , ( $\mu_i \in \Gamma_i$ ). Then

$$\begin{aligned} \rho m &= \rho b_1 + \dots + \rho b_n = \mu_1 b_1 + \dots + \mu_n b_n \rightarrow \mu_1 \gamma_1 + \dots + \mu_n \gamma_n \\ &= (\mu_1 + \dots + \mu_n)(\gamma_1 + \dots + \gamma_n). \end{aligned}$$

**THEOREM 5.** *Every ring over  $M = B_1 + \dots + B_n$  is a direct sum of rings over the  $B_i$ ; hence to construct all rings over  $M$  it is only necessary to construct all rings over the  $B_i$ .*

**5. On elementary modules.**  $M$  is said to be elementary in case there exists an isomorphism

$$M \cong \Omega_M (\oplus; \Omega_M).$$

**THEOREM 6.**  *$M$  is elementary if and only if there exists a ring with unit element,  $R(M)$  over  $M$ , such that every endomorphism of  $M$  is defined by a left multiplier of  $R(M)$ .*

For if  $M$  is elementary, there exists a ring  $R(M)$  such that

$$R(M) \cong \Omega_M (\oplus, \odot; \Omega_M)$$

where  $ab$  is defined as  $\alpha b$ , ( $a \leftarrow \alpha$ ). Let  $m \rightarrow \theta m$  be an endomorphism of  $M$ . In the above isomorphism let  $t \leftarrow \theta$ . Then  $tm = \theta m$ , ( $t \in R(M)$ ). Conversely, if  $R(M)$  is of this type,

$$R(M) \cong \Gamma \subset \Omega_M (\oplus, \odot; \Gamma),$$

and if one assumes  $\theta \in \Omega_M$ , there exists a  $t \in R(M)$  such that  $ta = \theta a$ , ( $a \in M$ ). Hence  $\theta \in \Gamma$  and  $\Gamma = \Omega_M$ ; whence  $M$  is elementary.

**COROLLARY.** *The modules of rational numbers, and of rational integers  $C$  (the infinite cyclic group) are elementary.*

For it is readily shown that the only solution of the functional equation  $\Phi = (a + b) = \Phi(a) + \Phi(b)$  in the field of rationals and the ring of integers is of the type  $\Phi(a) = ra$  where  $r$  is a multiplier of the domain.

**COROLLARY.** *The only rings  $R(C)$  over  $C$  are given by the multiplication  $a \cdot b$ , defined as any fixed positive integral multiple of the ordinary product  $ab$  in the ring of rational integers.*

To define a ring  $R(C)$  we must obtain a homomorphism

$$C \sim \Gamma (\oplus; \Gamma)$$

where  $\Gamma$  is a subring of  $\Omega_C$ , setting  $a \cdot b = \alpha b$  ( $a \rightarrow \alpha$ ). But  $\Omega_C$  is the ordinary ring of rational integers, its only subrings being principal ideals  $\{m\}$ . Hence we must have

$$C \sim \{m\} (\oplus; \{m\})$$

where  $1 \rightarrow m, a \rightarrow ma$ .

**THEOREM 7.** *If  $M$  is elementary, the units of  $\Omega_M$  are in the centrum of  $\Omega_M$ .\**

For the endomorphism  $\sigma^{-1}\Omega_M\sigma$  of the additive group of  $\Omega_M$  ( $\sigma$  a unit) must be defined by a ring multiplier  $\rho: \sigma^{-1}\Omega_M\sigma = \rho\Omega_M$ . Then in particular  $\sigma^{-1}\epsilon\sigma = \rho\epsilon$  and  $\rho = \epsilon$ .

**COROLLARY.** *A vector space  $V$  of order greater than or equal to 2 is not elementary.*

For there always exist nonsingular matrices not commutative with the total matrix algebra, and hence not in the centrum of  $\Omega_V$ .

**THEOREM 8.** *A finite module  $M$  is elementary if and only if it is cyclic.*

For a cyclic  $M$ ,  $\Omega_M$  is represented by the  $n \times n$  matrices  $(\delta_{ij}\alpha_j), \alpha_j \pmod{p_j^{a_j}}$ . Hence under

$$\begin{pmatrix} \alpha_1 \\ \cdot \\ \cdot \\ \cdot \\ \alpha_n \end{pmatrix} \rightarrow \begin{pmatrix} \alpha_1 & & 0 \\ & \cdot & \\ & & \cdot \\ 0 & & \alpha_n \end{pmatrix},$$

$M$  is elementary. If there are repeated primes in the type of  $M$ , then the order of  $\Omega_M$  is greater than that of  $M$  and  $M$  is not elementary (see §4).

Thus the rings  $R(M)$  over elementary finite  $M$  are completely known,  $(\alpha_i)(\beta_i)$  being defined as  $(\gamma_i\alpha_i\beta_i), (0 \leq \gamma_i < p_i^{a_i})$ .

UNIVERSITY OF WISCONSIN

---

\* A stronger theorem holds: *If  $M$  is elementary, its endomorphism ring is commutative.*