NOTE ON THE PERIOD OF A MARK IN A FINITE FIELD

BY MORGAN WARD

1. *Introduction.* If p is a fixed prime, and

$$F(x) = x^k - c_1x^{k-1} - \cdots - c_k,$$

where $c_1, \cdots, c_k$ are rational integers, is a polynomial which is irreducible modulo p, the period of a mark α associated with the polynomial $F(x)$ in the finite field $\mathcal{J}$ of order p^k is fundamental not only in the theory of finite fields,* but also in many allied arithmetical investigations involving recurring series.†

Our information about the actual value of this period is disappointingly meagre beyond the well known facts that it is a divisor of p^k-1 and that there actually exist polynomials $F(x)$ for which the period equals p^k-1. I prove here the following additional result.

THEOREM. *Let τ denote the period of a mark α associated with the irreducible polynomial $F(x)$ modulo p in the finite field $\mathcal{J}$ of order p^k, and let ω be the least positive value of n such that α^n is congruent to a rational integer modulo p.‡ Then $\tau=\delta\theta\omega$, where θ is the exponent to which norm α belongs modulo p, while δ is an integer dividing the greatest common divisor of k and $p-1$, and multiplying the greatest common divisor of θ and the integer $\sigma=(p^k-1)/(\omega(p-1))$.*

* See, for example, Dickson, *Linear Groups*, 1901, Chapters 1–3.

† If $\Omega_{n+k}=c_1\Omega_{n+k-1}+\cdots+c_k\Omega_n$ is the difference equation associated with the polynomial $F(x)$, the period of α is the period modulo p of every sequence of rational integers satisfying the difference equation. (See Ward, Transactions of this Society, vol. 35 (1933), pp. 600–628, and the references given there.) The period of α is also the rank of apparition of the prime p for the number $\Delta_n=\pm\text{Res}\{x^n-1, F(x)\}$ studied recently by D. H. Lehmer and others. (Annals of Mathematics, (2), vol. 34 (1933), pp. 461–479.)

‡ In the case $k=2$, ω is the rank of apparition of the prime p for the Lucas function U_n associated with the polynomial $x^2-c_1x-c_2$ (D. H. Lehmer, Annals of Mathematics, (2), vol. 31 (1930), p. 422). In the general case, ω has been termed the restricted period of $F(x)$ modulo p (R. D. Carmichael, Quarterly Journal of Mathematics, vol. 48 (1920), p. 354).

2. *Proof of the Theorem.* We write as usual $a|b$ for a divides b, and (a, b) for the greatest common divisor of a and b. Denote the roots of $F(x)=0$ in the finite field $\mathfrak{J}$ by $\alpha, \alpha^p, \cdots, \alpha^{p^{k-1}}$. Then

$$\text{norm}\,\alpha \equiv \alpha^q \ \ (p),$$

where $q=1+p+p^2+\cdots+p^{k-1}$.

As in the theorem, let ω denote the least positive value of n such that α^n is congruent to a rational integer modulo p. Then every other such n is readily seen to be divisible by ω. In particular,

$$\sigma = q/\omega = (p^k - 1)/(\omega(p-1))$$

is a rational integer, and

$$\text{norm}\,\alpha \equiv M^\sigma \ \ (p),$$

where $\alpha^\omega \equiv M\ (p)$, $(1 \leqq M \leqq p-1)$.

Let λ be the exponent to which M belongs modulo p, θ the exponent to which norm α belongs modulo p, and τ the period of α in $\mathfrak{J}$. Then

$$\tau = \delta\theta\omega, \tag{1}$$

where $\delta=(\lambda, \sigma)$.

For since $\alpha^{\lambda\omega} \equiv M^\lambda \equiv 1\ (p)$, $\tau|\lambda\omega$, and since α^τ is congruent to a rational integer modulo p, $\omega|\tau$. Therefore, $\tau=\nu\omega$, where $\nu|\lambda$. Then $\alpha^\tau=\alpha^{\nu\omega}\equiv M^\nu\equiv 1\ (p)$, so that $\nu|\lambda$. Hence $\nu=\lambda$, $\tau=\lambda\omega$.

Now write $\lambda=\delta\lambda'$, $\sigma=\delta\sigma'$, where $(\lambda, \sigma)=\delta$, $(\lambda', \sigma')=1$. Then $(\text{norm }\alpha)^{\lambda'}\equiv M^{\lambda'\sigma}=M^{\lambda\sigma'}\equiv 1\ (p)$, so that $\theta|\lambda'$. Moreover, we have $M^{\theta\sigma}\equiv(\text{norm }\alpha)^\theta\equiv 1\ (p)$, so that $\lambda|\theta\sigma$, $\lambda'\delta|\theta\delta\sigma'$, $\lambda'|\theta\sigma'$, $\lambda'|\theta$. Therefore $\lambda'=\theta$ and $\lambda=\delta\lambda'=\delta\theta$, $\tau=\lambda\omega=\delta\theta\omega$. Finally,

$$(\theta, \sigma) \mid \delta \mid (k, p-1). \tag{2}$$

For since $\theta|\lambda$, $(\theta, \sigma)|(\lambda, \sigma)=\delta$, and since

$$q = ((p-1+1)^k - 1)/(p-1) \equiv k\ (p-1),$$

we have $(q, p-1)=(k, p-1)$. Therefore, since $\delta|\lambda|p-1$ and $\delta|\sigma|q$, $\delta|(q, p-1)$, it follows that $\delta|(k, p-1)$. Equations (1) and (2) give us our theorem.

3. *Conclusion.* To illustrate the theorem, consider the Fibo-

nacci series 0, 1, 1, 2, 3, 5, 8, 13, $\cdots$ giving the values of the Lucas function U_n associated with the polynomial x^2-x-1. This polynomial is irreducible modulo 13, so that the period of the Fibonacci series modulo 13 gives the period of the mark α associated with x^2-x-1 in the finite field of order 13^2. We have $\omega=7$, norm $\alpha=-1$, $\theta=2$, $k=2$, $\sigma=2$, $p-1=12$. Hence (2) becomes $(2, 2) \mid \delta \mid (2, 12)$, so that $\delta=2$. Hence the period is 28, which is easily verified directly. It seems quite difficult to determine the exact value of δ in all cases.*

California Institute of Technology

ON A PROBLEM OF KNASTER AND ZARANKIEWICZ†

BY J. H. ROBERTS

Knaster and Zarankiewicz have proposed the following problem:‡ "Does every continuum A contain a subcontinuum B such that $A-B$ is connected?" Knaster has shown,§ by an example in 3-space, that the answer is in the negative. In the present paper an example is given of a *plane* continuum M such that every non-degenerate proper subcontinuum of M disconnects M.

The point sets considered in this paper all lie in a plane.

Definition of $F(C; X, Y; \epsilon)$. Let C be any simple closed curve, X and Y distinct points of C, and ϵ any positive number. There exists a finite set of points $A_1, A_2, \cdots, A_n$, $(n>2)$, such that (a) $A_1+A_2+\cdots+A_n$ contains $X+Y$, (b) $A_1, A_2, \cdots, A_n$ lie on C in the order $A_1A_2\cdots A_nA_1$, and (c) A_i and A_{i+1} (subscripts are to be reduced modulo n) are the end points of an arc t_i of diameter $<\epsilon$ which is a subset of C not containing A_{i+2}. There exists a set of mutually exclusive arc segments $v_1, v_2, \cdots, v_n$ lying within C such that v_i+t_i is a simple closed curve w_i of diameter $<\epsilon$. Let J denote the simple closed curve

* See the discussion at the close of my paper, Transactions of this Society, vol. 33 (1931), p. 165.

† Presented to the Society, December 1, 1933.

‡ Fundamenta Mathematicae, vol. 8 (1926), Problem 42, p. 376.

§ B. Knaster, *Sur un continu que tout sous-continu divise*, Proceedings of the Polish Mathematical Congress, 1929, p. 59.