

ON A DEFINITIVE PROPERTY OF
THE COVARIANT.

BY MR. C. J. KEYSER.

(Read before the American Mathematical Society at the Meeting of
April 29, 1899.)

THE general homogeneous entire polynomial of degree n in k variables x may be denoted by

$$F_n(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_k) \equiv \sum c_{e_1 e_2 \dots e_k} x_1^{e_1} x_2^{e_2} \dots x_k^{e_k}$$

where $e_1 + e_2 + \dots + e_k = n$. Let

$$\varphi_n(\xi_1, \xi_2, \dots, \xi_k) \equiv \sum \gamma_{e_1 e_2 \dots e_k} \xi_1^{e_1} \xi_2^{e_2} \dots \xi_k^{e_k}$$

represent the polynomial into which F is converted by the substitutions

$$\begin{aligned} x_1 &= \lambda_{11}\xi_1 + \lambda_{12}\xi_2 + \dots + \lambda_{1k}\xi_k, \\ x_2 &= \lambda_{21}\xi_1 + \lambda_{22}\xi_2 + \dots + \lambda_{2k}\xi_k, \\ \dots & \dots \dots \dots \dots \dots \dots \dots \dots \\ x_k &= \lambda_{k1}\xi_1 + \lambda_{k2}\xi_2 + \dots + \lambda_{kk}\xi_k, \end{aligned}$$

where the λ 's are subject to a single restriction: their determinant D shall not assume the value zero.

If there is such an entire homogeneous polynomial

$$\psi_m(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_k) \equiv \sum h_{e_1 e_2 \dots e_k} x_1^{e_1} x_2^{e_2} \dots x_k^{e_k},$$

where $e_1 + e_2 + \dots + e_k = m$ and where each coefficient h is an entire homogeneous polynomial of degree p in the coefficients c of F , that

$$\psi_m(\xi_1, \xi_2, \dots, \xi_k) \equiv M \cdot \psi_m(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_k),$$

the γ 's entering the left member of the identity as the c 's enter ψ_m of the right member, then $\psi_m(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_k)$ is named covariant or invariant of F according as $m > 0$ or $= 0$.

Supposing such a function ψ to exist, it remains to determine the nature of the factor M . The ξ 's and the γ 's being linear respectively in the x 's and the c 's, the two members of the identity in question are, apart from the factor M , each of degree m in the x 's and of degree p in the c 's. It follows that M is a function of the λ 's only. M is, more-

over, rational since ϕ is an entire polynomial and the equations of transformation are linear.

We may, then, write $M \equiv P_1 : P_2$, where the P 's are homogeneous entire polynomials in the λ 's and contain no common factor. Suppose first that M is not identically equal to a constant k' . If P_1 be not of the form $k_1 D^p$, then the λ 's may be so chosen as to reduce P_1 to zero without causing either P_2 or D to vanish; for, if not, the locus $P_1 = 0$ would be a component of the locus $P_2 \cdot D = 0$, which is impossible inasmuch as D is not factorable and P_1 and P_2 have no factor in common. But if the λ 's be chosen as indicated, $M = 0$ and consequently $\phi_m(\xi_1, \xi_2, \dots, \xi_k)$ is seen to be identically zero, a result incompatible with the original supposition that the c 's are entirely arbitrary. In like manner, if P_2 is not of the form $k_2 D^p$, it is possible by a suitable choice of the λ 's to cause P_2 to vanish without reducing either P_1 or D to zero; but under this hypothesis covariants could not exist, for, on multiplying by P_2 , the left member and hence the right member of the identity would be identically zero. Finally, if $M = k'$, then we may write $M = k' D^p$. Accordingly M must be of the form $k'' \cdot D^p$. By means of the transformation $x_1 = \xi_1, x_2 = \xi_2, \dots, x_k = \xi_k$, it is readily found that $k'' = 1$. It thus appears that, under the definition, either no covariant exists or the factor M is of the form D^p .

In vol. I. of Jordan's *Cours d'Analyse* is found a proof of this proposition, in which the argument turns on the reversibility of the substitutions. A second demonstration, by Professor E. B. Elliott, occurs in vol. 16 of the *Messenger of Mathematics* and in his Introduction to the algebra of quantics. Here M is shown to be homogeneous, its degree in the λ 's is determined, and then by help of the reversibility of the transformation a partial differential equation connecting M with its derivatives with respect to the λ 's is obtained, whence the form of M is readily ascertained. In still a third proof by Professor Thomas S. Fiske, in vol. 19 of the journal just cited, the proposition defining the form of M is derived as a corollary from the converse there established of the multiplication theorem for determinants: A rational entire function having n^2 arguments and subject to the same law of multiplication as a determinant is a power of a determinant.