

A NOTE ON RELATIONS BETWEEN HOM-MALCEV ALGEBRAS AND HOM-LIE-YAMAGUTI ALGEBRAS

DONATIEN GAPARAYI*

Ecole Normale Supérieure, BP 6983 Bujumbura, Burundi

A. NOUROU ISSA†

Département de Mathématiques, Université d'Abomey-Calavi,
01 BP 4521 Cotonou 01, Bénin

(Communicated by Mamadou Mboup)

Abstract. A Hom-Lie-Yamaguti algebra, whose ternary operation expresses through its binary one in a specific way, is a multiplicative Hom-Malcev algebra. Any multiplicative Hom-Malcev algebra over a field of characteristic zero has a natural Hom-Lie-Yamaguti structure.

AMS Subject Classification: 17D10; 17D99.

Keywords: Malcev algebra, Lie-Yamaguti algebra (i.e. generalized Lie triple system, Lie triple algebra), Hom-Lie-Yamaguti algebra, Hom-Malcev algebra.

1 Introduction and Results

1.1 Introduction

Motivated by quasi-deformations of Lie algebras of vector fields, including q -deformations of Witt and Virasoro algebras, Hom-Lie algebras were introduced in [5]. The intensive development of the theory of Hom-algebras started by the introduction of Hom-associative algebras in [12], where it is shown that the commutator algebra of a given Hom-associative algebra is a Hom-Lie algebra. Since then, various types of Hom-algebras were introduced and investigated (see, e.g., [1], [2], [3], [6], [11]-[13], [22]-[24] and references therein). We refer to [5], [11], [12], [20], [21] for fundamentals on Hom-algebras.

A rough description of a Hom-type algebra is that it is a generalization of a given type of algebras by twisting its defining identity (identities) by a linear self-map in such a way that, when

*E-mail address: gapadona@yahoo.fr

†E-mail address: woraniss@yahoo.fr

the twisting map is the identity map, one recovers the original type of algebras (in [21] a general strategy to twist a given algebraic structure into its corresponding Hom-type via an endomorphism is given). Following this pattern, a number of binary, n -ary or binary-ternary algebras are twisted into their Hom-version. For instance, by twisting alternative algebras, Hom-alternative algebras are introduced in [11], and the notion of a Hom-Malcev algebra is introduced in [22], where it is shown that the commutator Hom-algebra of a Hom-alternative algebra is a Hom-Malcev algebra (this is the Hom-analogue of the Malcev's result stating that alternative algebras are Malcev-admissible). In fact, Malcev algebras were introduced by A.I. Mal'tsev in [14] (calling them Moufang-Lie algebras) as commutator algebras of alternative algebras and also as tangent algebras to local smooth Moufang loops. The terminology "Malcev algebra" is introduced in [16], where a systematic study of such algebras is undertaken.

All vector spaces and algebras throughout will be over a ground field \mathbb{K} of characteristic 0.

1.2 Definitions and Results

A *Malcev algebra* [14] is a nonassociative algebra A with an anticommutative binary operation " $[\cdot, \cdot]$ " satisfying the *Malcev identity*

$$J(x, y, [x, z]) = [J(x, y, z), x] \quad (1.1)$$

for all x, y, z in A , where $J(x, y, z) = \cup_{(x,y,z)}[[x, y], z]$ denotes the *Jacobian* and $\cup_{(x,y,z)}$ means the sum over cyclic permutation of x, y, z . A *Hom-Malcev algebra* [22] is a *Hom-algebra* $(A, [\cdot, \cdot], \alpha)$ such that its binary operation " $[\cdot, \cdot]$ " is anticommutative and that the *Hom-Malcev identity*

$$J_\alpha(\alpha(x), \alpha(y), [x, z]) = [J_\alpha(x, y, z), \alpha^2(x)] \quad (1.2)$$

holds for all x, y, z in A , where $J_\alpha(x, y, z) = \cup_{(x,y,z)}[[x, y], \alpha(z)]$ is the *Hom-Jacobian*. Observe that $J_\alpha(x, y, z)$ is completely skew-symmetric in its three variables and when the *twisting map* α is the identity map, $\alpha = id$, then the Hom-Malcev algebra $(A, [\cdot, \cdot], \alpha)$ reduces to the Malcev algebra $(A, [\cdot, \cdot])$. The Hom-Malcev algebra $(A, [\cdot, \cdot], \alpha)$ is said to be *multiplicative* if $\alpha([x, y]) = [\alpha(x), \alpha(y)]$, for all x, y in A . We assume in this paper that all Hom-algebras are multiplicative. In [7] an identity, equivalent to the Hom-Malcev identity (1.2), is pointed out (see also section 2).

As for binary algebras, n -ary algebras can be twisted into their Hom-version (see, e.g., [1], [23], [24]). Other interesting types of algebras are binary-ternary algebras, i.e. algebras with one (or more) binary operation and one (or more) ternary operation. A well-known class of such algebras is the one of Lie-Yamaguti algebras which are introduced by K. Yamaguti [17] as a generalization of Lie triple systems (this motivates the name "generalized Lie triple systems" used in [17] for these algebras; in [8] they are called "Lie triple algebras" and the terminology "Lie-Yamaguti algebras" is introduced in [9] to call these algebras). It turns out that the operations of a Lie-Yamaguti algebra characterize the torsion and curvature tensors of the Nomizu's canonical connection on reductive homogeneous spaces [15].

A *Lie-Yamaguti algebra (LYA)* $(A, *, \{, \cdot, \cdot\})$ is a vector space A together with a binary operation $*$: $A \times A \rightarrow A$ and a ternary operation $\{, \cdot, \cdot\}$: $A \times A \times A \rightarrow A$ such that

- (LY1) $x * y = -y * x$,
- (LY2) $\{x, y, z\} = -\{y, x, z\}$,
- (LY3) $\cup_{(x,y,z)}[(x * y) * z + \{x, y, z\}] = 0$,

$$\text{(LY4)} \cup_{(x,y,z)} \{x * y, z, u\} = 0,$$

$$\text{(LY5)} \{x, y, u * v\} = \{x, y, u\} * v + u * \{x, y, v\},$$

$$\text{(LY6)} \{x, y, \{u, v, w\}\} = \{\{x, y, u\}, v, w\} + \{u, \{x, y, v\}, w\} \\ + \{u, v, \{x, y, w\}\},$$

for all u, v, w, x, y, z in A .

One observes that if $x * y = 0$, for all x, y in A , then $(A, *, \{, \}, \alpha)$ reduces to a *Lie triple system* $(A, \{, \}, \alpha)$ and if $\{x, y, z\} = 0$ for all x, y, z in A then one gets a Lie algebra $(A, *)$. Motivated by recent developments of the theory of Hom-algebras, Theorem 2.3 in [21] is extended to the study of a twisted deformation of *Akivis algebras* (see references in [6]) which constitutes a very general class of binary-ternary algebras. It is proved ([6], Corollary 4.5) that every Akivis algebra A can be twisted into a *Hom-Akivis algebra* via an endomorphism of A (this is the first extension of Theorem 2.3 in [21] to the category of binary-ternary Hom-algebras). Following this line, Hom-Lie-Yamaguti algebras are introduced in [3] as a twisted generalization of Lie-Yamaguti algebras (the cohomology theory and representation theory of Hom-Lie-Yamaguti algebras are recently developed in [10] and [25]).

A *Hom-Lie-Yamaguti algebra* (Hom-LYA for short) [3] is a quadruple $(A, *, \{, \}, \alpha)$ in which A is a \mathbb{K} -vector space, “ $*$ ” a binary operation and “ $\{, \}$ ” a ternary operation on A , and $\alpha : A \rightarrow A$ a linear map such that

$$\text{(HLY1)} \alpha(x * y) = \alpha(x) * \alpha(y),$$

$$\text{(HLY2)} \alpha(\{x, y, z\}) = \{\alpha(x), \alpha(y), \alpha(z)\},$$

$$\text{(HLY3)} x * y = -y * x,$$

$$\text{(HLY4)} \{x, y, z\} = -\{y, x, z\},$$

$$\text{(HLY5)} \cup_{(x,y,z)} [(x * y) * \alpha(z) + \{x, y, z\}] = 0,$$

$$\text{(HLY6)} \cup_{(x,y,z)} \{x * y, \alpha(z), \alpha(u)\} = 0,$$

$$\text{(HLY7)} \{\alpha(x), \alpha(y), u * v\} = \{x, y, u\} * \alpha^2(v) + \alpha^2(u) * \{x, y, v\},$$

$$\text{(HLY8)} \{\alpha^2(x), \alpha^2(y), \{u, v, w\}\} = \{\{x, y, u\}, \alpha^2(v), \alpha^2(w)\} \\ + \{\alpha^2(u), \{x, y, v\}, \alpha^2(w)\} \\ + \{\alpha^2(u), \alpha^2(v), \{x, y, w\}\},$$

for all u, v, w, x, y, z in A .

Note that the conditions (HLY1) and (HLY2) mean the multiplicativity of $(A, *, \{, \}, \alpha)$. Examples of Hom-LYA could be found in [3], [4].

Remark. (i) If $\alpha = Id$, then the Hom-LYA $(A, *, \{, \}, \alpha)$ reduces to a LYA $(A, *, \{, \})$ (see (LY1)-(LY6)).

(ii) If $x * y = 0$, for all $x, y \in A$, then $(A, *, \{, \}, \alpha)$ is a multiplicative Hom-Lie triple system $(A, \{, \}, \alpha^2)$ and, subsequently, a multiplicative ternary Hom-Nambu algebra since any Hom-Lie triple system is automatically a ternary Hom-Nambu algebra (see [23] for Hom-Lie triple systems and [1] for Hom-Nambu algebras).

(iii) If $\{x, y, z\} = 0$ for all $x, y, z \in A$, then the Hom-LYA $(A, *, \{, \}, \alpha)$ becomes a Hom-Lie algebra $(A, *, \alpha)$.

It is shown ([3], Corollary 3.2) that every LYA $(A, *, \{, \})$ can be twisted into a Hom-LYA via an endomorphism of $(A, *, \{, \})$.

The relationships between LYA and Malcev algebras are investigated by K. Yamaguti in [18], [19]. In [18] (Theorem 1.1), relying on a result in [16] (Proposition 8.3), it is proved that the Malcev identity is equivalent to (LY5) in an anticommutative algebra over a field of characteristic not 2 or

3 with “{, ,}” defined in a specific way. Moreover, any Malcev algebra over a field of characteristic not 2 has a natural LYA structure ([18], proof of Theorem 2.1). Besides, when the ternary operation of a given LYA expresses in a specific way through its binary one, then such a LYA reduces to a Malcev algebra ([19], Theorem 1.1).

The purpose of this note is the study of the twisted version of K. Yamaguti’s results relating Malcev algebras and LYA ([18], [19]) that is, in a similar way, we shall relate Hom-Malcev algebras and Hom-LYA. We stress that although the analogue of Yamaguti’s results are shown below to hold in the Hom-algebra setting, the methods used in the proofs of these results still cannot be reported in the case of Hom-algebras. So we proceed otherwise as it could be seen in what follows.

Our investigations are based on the trilinear composition

$$\{x, y, z\} := xy * \alpha(z) - yz * \alpha(x) - zx * \alpha(y), \quad (1.3)$$

where “*” will denote the binary operation of either the given Hom-Malcev algebra or the Hom-LYA and juxtaposition is used in order to reduce the number of braces i.e., e.g., $xy * \alpha(z)$ means $(x * y) * \alpha(z)$. We shall prove:

Theorem 1.1. *Let $(A, *, \{, \}, \alpha)$ be a Hom-LYA. If its ternary operation “{, ,}” expresses through its binary one “*” as in (1.3) for all x, y, z in A , then $(A, *, \alpha)$ is a multiplicative Hom-Malcev algebra.*

Theorem 1.2. *Let $(A, *, \alpha)$ be a multiplicative Hom-Malcev algebra. If define on $(A, *, \alpha)$ a ternary operation by (1.3), then A has a Hom-LYA structure.*

The next section is devoted to the proofs of Theorems 1.1 and 1.2. Some other results are also mentioned.

2 Proofs

In [7] it is proved that, in an anticommutative Hom-algebra $(A, [,], \alpha)$, the Hom-Malcev identity (1.2) is equivalent to the identity

$$J_\alpha(\alpha(x), \alpha(y), [u, v]) = [J_\alpha(x, y, u), \alpha^2(v)] + [\alpha^2(u), J_\alpha(x, y, v)] - 2J_\alpha(\alpha(u), \alpha(v), [x, y]) \quad (2.1)$$

so that (2.1) can also be taken as a defining identity of Hom-Malcev algebras (a proof of the equivalence between (1.2) and (2.1) is the gist of [7]). Now we write (1.3) in an equivalent suitable form as

$$\{x, y, z\} = -J_\alpha(x, y, z) + 2xy * \alpha(z). \quad (2.2)$$

Proof of Theorem 1.1. Observe that (2.2) and multiplicativity imply

$$\{\alpha(x), \alpha(y), z\} = -J_\alpha(\alpha(x), \alpha(y), z) + 2\alpha(xy * z). \quad (2.3)$$

Then, putting (2.3) in **(HLY7)**, we get

$$-J_\alpha(\alpha(x), \alpha(y), u * v) = -J_\alpha(x, y, u) * \alpha^2(v) + \alpha^2(u) * (-J_\alpha(x, y, v)) + (2xy * \alpha(u)) * \alpha^2(v) + \alpha^2(u) * (2xy * \alpha(v))$$

$$-2\alpha(xy * uv)$$

and this last equality is written as

$$J_\alpha(\alpha(x), \alpha(y), u * v) = J_\alpha(x, y, u) * \alpha^2(v) + \alpha^2(u) * J_\alpha(x, y, v) \\ - 2J_\alpha(\alpha(u), \alpha(v), x * y),$$

which is (2.1). Therefore $(A, *, \alpha)$ is a Hom-Malcev algebra. \square

Remark. For $\alpha = Id$, the ternary operation (2.2) reduces to the ternary operation, defined by the relation (1.4) in [18], that is considered in Malcev algebras. Thus Theorem 1.1 above is the Hom-analogue of the result of K. Yamaguti [18], which is the converse of a result of A.A. Sagle ([16], Proposition 8.3). The Hom-version of the Sagle's result is the following

Proposition 2.1. *Let $(A, *, \alpha)$ be a multiplicative Hom-Malcev algebra and define on $(A, *, \alpha)$ a ternary operation by (2.2). Then*

$$\{\alpha(x), \alpha(y), u * v\} = \{x, y, u\} * \alpha^2(v) + \alpha^2(u) * \{x, y, v\} \quad (2.4)$$

for all u, v, x, y in A .

Proof. We write the identity (2.1) as

$$-J_\alpha(\alpha(x), \alpha(y), u * v) = -J_\alpha(x, y, u) * \alpha^2(v) + \alpha^2(u) * (-J_\alpha(x, y, v)) \\ + 2J_\alpha(\alpha(u), \alpha(v), x * y)$$

i.e.

$$-J_\alpha(\alpha(x), \alpha(y), u * v) = -J_\alpha(x, y, u) * \alpha^2(v) + \alpha^2(u) * (-J_\alpha(x, y, v)) \\ + 2\alpha(u * v) * \alpha(x * y) + 2(\alpha(v) * xy) * \alpha^2(u) \\ + 2(xy * \alpha(u)) * \alpha^2(v)$$

or

$$-J_\alpha(\alpha(x), \alpha(y), u * v) + 2\alpha(x * y) * \alpha(u * v) \\ = (-J_\alpha(x, y, u) + 2(xy * \alpha(u))) * \alpha^2(v) \\ + \alpha^2(u) * (-J_\alpha(x, y, v) + 2(xy * \alpha(v))).$$

This last equality (according to (2.2) and using multiplicativity) means that

$$\{\alpha(x), \alpha(y), u * v\} = \{x, y, u\} * \alpha^2(v) + \alpha^2(u) * \{x, y, v\}$$

and therefore the proposition is proved. \square

Observe that (2.4) is just **(HLY7)** in the definition of a Hom-LYA. Combining Theorem 1.1 and Proposition 2.1, we get the following

Corollary 2.2. *In an anticommutative Hom-algebra $(A, *, \alpha)$, the Hom-Malcev identity (1.2) is equivalent to (2.4), with “ $\{, \}$ ” defined by (2.2). \square*

The untwisted counterpart of Corollary 2.2 is Theorem 1.1 in [18].

Proof of Theorem 1.2. We must prove the validity in $(A, *, \alpha)$ of the set of identities **(HLY1)**-**(HLY8)**. In the transformations below, we shall use the complete skew-symmetry of the Hom-Jacobian $J_\alpha(x, y, z)$ in $(A, *, \alpha)$.

The multiplicativity of $(A, *, \alpha)$ implies **(HLY1)** and **(HLY2)**. The skew-symmetry of “ $*$ ” is

(HLY3) and it implies $\{x, y, z\} = -\{y, x, z\}$ which is **(HLY4)**. Next,

$$\begin{aligned}
 J_\alpha(x, y, z) + \cup_{(x,y,z)}\{x, y, z\} &= J_\alpha(x, y, z) - J_\alpha(x, y, z) + 2xy * \alpha(z) \\
 &- J_\alpha(y, z, x) + 2yz * \alpha(x) - J_\alpha(z, x, y) + 2zx * \alpha(y) \\
 &= -J_\alpha(y, z, x) - J_\alpha(z, x, y) + 2xy * \alpha(z) + 2yz * \alpha(x) \\
 &+ 2zx * \alpha(y) \\
 &= -2J_\alpha(x, y, z) + 2J_\alpha(x, y, z) \\
 &= 0
 \end{aligned}$$

so we get **(HLY5)**. Now consider $\cup_{(x,y,z)}\{x * y, \alpha(z), \alpha(u)\}$ and note that, by (2.2), we have

$$\begin{aligned}
 \{x * y, \alpha(z), \alpha(u)\} &= -J_\alpha(x * y, \alpha(z), \alpha(u)) + 2(xy * \alpha(z)) * \alpha^2(u), \\
 \{y * z, \alpha(x), \alpha(u)\} &= -J_\alpha(y * z, \alpha(x), \alpha(u)) + 2(yz * \alpha(x)) * \alpha^2(u), \\
 \{z * x, \alpha(y), \alpha(u)\} &= -J_\alpha(z * x, \alpha(y), \alpha(u)) + 2(zx * \alpha(y)) * \alpha^2(u).
 \end{aligned}$$

Then

$$\begin{aligned}
 \cup_{(x,y,z)}\{x * y, \alpha(z), \alpha(u)\} &= -J_\alpha(x * y, \alpha(z), \alpha(u)) - J_\alpha(y * z, \alpha(x), \alpha(u)) \\
 &- J_\alpha(z * x, \alpha(y), \alpha(u)) + 2J_\alpha(x, y, z) * \alpha^2(u).
 \end{aligned}$$

We know [7] that the identity (2.1) is equivalent to the identity

$$J_\alpha(\alpha(x), \alpha(y), x * z) = J_\alpha(x, y, z) * \alpha^2(x)$$

(see (1.2)) defining Hom-Malcev algebras [22]. Then, by (2.1),

$$\begin{aligned}
 J_\alpha(x * y, \alpha(z), \alpha(u)) &= J_\alpha(x, z, u) * \alpha^2(y) + \alpha^2(x) * J_\alpha(y, z, u) \\
 &- 2J_\alpha(z * u, \alpha(x), \alpha(y)), \\
 J_\alpha(y * z, \alpha(x), \alpha(u)) &= J_\alpha(y, x, u) * \alpha^2(z) + \alpha^2(y) * J_\alpha(z, x, u) \\
 &- 2J_\alpha(x * u, \alpha(y), \alpha(z)), \\
 J_\alpha(z * x, \alpha(y), \alpha(u)) &= J_\alpha(z, y, u) * \alpha^2(x) + \alpha^2(z) * J_\alpha(x, y, u) \\
 &- 2J_\alpha(y * u, \alpha(z), \alpha(x)).
 \end{aligned}$$

Therefore

$$\begin{aligned}
 \cup_{(x,y,z)}\{x * y, \alpha(z), \alpha(u)\} &= -2J_\alpha(x, z, u) * \alpha^2(y) - 2\alpha^2(x) * J_\alpha(y, z, u) \\
 &- 2J_\alpha(y, x, u) * \alpha^2(z) + 2J_\alpha(z * u, \alpha(x), \alpha(y)) \\
 &+ 2J_\alpha(x * u, \alpha(y), \alpha(z)) + 2J_\alpha(y * u, \alpha(z), \alpha(x)) \\
 &+ 2J_\alpha(x, y, z) * \alpha^2(u).
 \end{aligned}$$

Now, observe that by the identity

$$\begin{aligned}
 J_\alpha(\alpha(w), \alpha(y), x * z) + J_\alpha(\alpha(x), \alpha(y), w * z) &= \\
 J_\alpha(w, y, z) * \alpha^2(x) + J_\alpha(x, y, z) * \alpha^2(w) & \tag{2.5}
 \end{aligned}$$

(see (8) in [22]) which is shown [22] to be equivalent to (1.2), we have

$$\begin{aligned}
 -2J_\alpha(y, x, u) * \alpha^2(z) + 2J_\alpha(y * u, \alpha(z), \alpha(x)) + 2J_\alpha(x, y, z) * \alpha^2(u) &= \\
 2J_\alpha(\alpha(u), \alpha(x), z * y) &
 \end{aligned}$$

so that

$$\begin{aligned}
 \cup_{(x,y,z)}\{x * y, \alpha(z), \alpha(u)\} &= -2J_\alpha(x, z, u) * \alpha^2(y) - 2\alpha^2(x) * J_\alpha(y, z, u) \\
 &+ 2J_\alpha(\alpha(u), \alpha(x), z * y) + 2J_\alpha(z * u, \alpha(x), \alpha(y))
 \end{aligned}$$

$$+2J_\alpha(x * u, \alpha(y), \alpha(z)).$$

Next, by (2.1), we have

$$\begin{aligned} 2J_\alpha(z * y, \alpha(u), \alpha(x)) &= -J_\alpha(x * u, \alpha(y), \alpha(z)) + \alpha^2(x) * J_\alpha(y, z, u) \\ &\quad + \alpha^2(u) * J_\alpha(x, z, y), \\ 2J_\alpha(z * u, \alpha(x), \alpha(y)) &= -J_\alpha(x * y, \alpha(z), \alpha(u)) + J_\alpha(x, z, u) * \alpha^2(y) \\ &\quad + \alpha^2(x) * J_\alpha(y, z, u) \end{aligned}$$

so that

$$\begin{aligned} \cup_{(x,y,z)}\{x * y, \alpha(z), \alpha(u)\} &= -2J_\alpha(x, z, u) * \alpha^2(y) - 2\alpha^2(x) * J_\alpha(y, z, u) \\ &\quad - J_\alpha(x * u, \alpha(y), \alpha(z)) + \alpha^2(x) * J_\alpha(y, z, u) \\ &\quad + \alpha^2(u) * J_\alpha(x, z, y) - J_\alpha(x * y, \alpha(z), \alpha(u)) \\ &\quad + J_\alpha(x, z, u) * \alpha^2(y) + \alpha^2(x) * J_\alpha(y, z, u) \\ &\quad + 2J_\alpha(x * u, \alpha(y), \alpha(z)) \\ &= -J_\alpha(x, z, u) * \alpha^2(y) + \alpha^2(u) * J_\alpha(x, z, y) \\ &\quad + J_\alpha(x * u, \alpha(y), \alpha(z)) - J_\alpha(x * y, \alpha(z), \alpha(u)). \end{aligned}$$

By (2.5), we note that

$$\begin{aligned} J_\alpha(x * u, \alpha(y), \alpha(z)) - J_\alpha(x * y, \alpha(z), \alpha(u)) \\ = -J_\alpha(y, z, x) * \alpha^2(u) - J_\alpha(u, z, x) * \alpha^2(y). \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, from the last expression of $\cup_{(x,y,z)}\{x * y, \alpha(z), \alpha(u)\}$ above, we get

$$\begin{aligned} \cup_{(x,y,z)}\{x * y, \alpha(z), \alpha(u)\} &= -J_\alpha(x, z, u) * \alpha^2(y) + \alpha^2(u) * J_\alpha(x, z, y) \\ &\quad - J_\alpha(y, z, x) * \alpha^2(u) - J_\alpha(u, z, x) * \alpha^2(y) \\ &= 0 \end{aligned}$$

and thus **(HLY6)** holds.

The checking of **(HLY7)** is given by the proof of Proposition 2.1 above.

Finally we check the validity of **(HLY8)** for $(A, *, \alpha)$. We have

$$\begin{aligned} &\{\{x, y, u\}, \alpha^2(v), \alpha^2(w)\} + \{\alpha^2(u), \{x, y, v\}, \alpha^2(w)\} + \{\alpha^2(u), \alpha^2(v), \{x, y, w\}\} \\ &= \{x, y, u\}\alpha^2(v) * \alpha^3(w) - \alpha^2(v)\alpha^2(w) * \alpha(\{x, y, u\}) - \alpha^2(w)\{x, y, u\} * \alpha^3(v) \\ &\quad + \alpha^2(u)\{x, y, v\} * \alpha^3(w) - \{x, y, v\}\alpha^2(w) * \alpha^3(u) - \alpha^2(w)\alpha^2(u) * \alpha(\{x, y, v\}) \\ &\quad + \alpha^2(u)\alpha^2(v) * \alpha(\{x, y, w\}) - \alpha^2(v)\{x, y, w\} * \alpha^3(u) - \{x, y, w\}\alpha^2(u) * \alpha^3(v) \text{ (by (1.3))} \\ &= (\{x, y, u\} * \alpha^2(v) + \alpha^2(u) * \{x, y, v\}) * \alpha^3(w) + \alpha^2(u)\alpha^2(v) * \alpha(\{x, y, w\}) \\ &\quad - (\{x, y, v\} * \alpha^2(w) + \alpha^2(v) * \{x, y, w\}) * \alpha^3(u) - \alpha^2(v)\alpha^2(w) * \alpha(\{x, y, u\}) \\ &\quad - (\{x, y, w\} * \alpha^2(u) + \alpha^2(w) * \{x, y, u\}) * \alpha^3(v) - \alpha^2(w)\alpha^2(u) * \alpha(\{x, y, v\}) \\ &= \{\alpha(x), \alpha(y), u * v\} * \alpha^3(w) + \alpha^2(u * v) * \{\alpha(x), \alpha(y), \alpha(w)\} \\ &\quad - \{\alpha(x), \alpha(y), v * w\} * \alpha^3(u) - \alpha^2(v * w) * \{\alpha(x), \alpha(y), \alpha(u)\} \\ &\quad - \{\alpha(x), \alpha(y), w * u\} * \alpha^3(v) - \alpha^2(w * u) * \{\alpha(x), \alpha(y), \alpha(v)\} \\ &\quad \text{(by (2.4) and multiplicativity)} \\ &= \{\alpha^2(x), \alpha^2(y), uv * \alpha(w)\} - \{\alpha^2(x), \alpha^2(y), vw * \alpha(u)\} \\ &\quad - \{\alpha^2(x), \alpha^2(y), wu * \alpha(v)\} \text{ (by (2.4))} \\ &= \{\alpha^2(x), \alpha^2(y), \{u, v, w\}\} \text{ (by (1.3))} \end{aligned}$$

and thus we get **(HLY8)**.

This completes the proof. \square

The untwisted version of Theorems 1.1 and 1.2 above is proved by K. Yamaguti in [18], [19]. One observes that Yamaguti's proofs are quite different of our proofs above in the situation of Hom-algebras. It could be of some interest to know in which extent the Yamaguti's approach can be applied in the Hom-algebra setting.

References

- [1] H. Ataguema, A. Makhlouf and S.D. Silvestrov, Generalization of n -ary Nambu algebras and beyond, *J. Math. Phys.* **50** (2009), no.8, 083501.
- [2] S. Attan and A.N. Issa, Hom-Bol algebras, *Quasigroups Rel. Syst.* **21** (2013), 131-146.
- [3] D. Gaparayi and A.N. Issa, A twisted generalization of Lie-Yamaguti algebras, *Int. J. Algebra* **6** (2012), no.7, 339-352.
- [4] D. Gaparayi and A.N. Issa, Hom-Lie-Yamaguti structures on Hom-Leibniz algebras, *Extracta Math.* **28** (2013), no.1, 1-12.
- [5] J.T. Hartwig, D. Larsson and S.D. Silvestrov, Deformations of Lie algebras using σ -derivations, *J. Algebra* **295** (2006), 314-361.
- [6] A.N. Issa, Hom-Akivis algebras, *Comment. Math. Univ. Carolinae* **52** (2011), no.4, 485-500.
- [7] A.N. Issa, On identities in Hom-Malcev algebras, *Int. Elect. J. Algebra* **17** (2015), 1-10.
- [8] M. Kikkawa, Geometry of homogeneous Lie loops, *Hiroshima Math. J.* **5** (1975), 141-179.
- [9] M.K. Kinyon and A. Weinstein, Leibniz algebras, Courant algebroids and multiplications on reductive homogeneous spaces, *Amer. J. Math.* **123** (2001), 525-550.
- [10] Y. Ma, L. Chen and J. Lin, One-parameter formal deformations of Hom-Lie-Yamaguti algebras, *J. Math. Phys.* **56** (2015), no. 1, 011701.
- [11] A. Makhlouf, Hom-alternative algebras and Hom-Jordan algebras, *Int. Elect. J. Algebra* **8** (2010), 177-190.
- [12] A. Makhlouf and S.D. Silvestrov, Hom-algebra structures, *J. Gen. Lie Theory Appl.* **2** (2008), 51-64.
- [13] A. Makhlouf and S.D. Silvestrov, Hom-algebras and Hom-coalgebras, *J. Alg. Appl.* **9** (2010), no.4, 553-589.
- [14] A.I. Mal'tsev, Analytic loops, *Mat. Sb.* **36** (1955), 569-576.
- [15] K. Nomizu, Invariant affine connections on homogeneous spaces, *Amer. J. Math.* **76** (1954), 33-65.
- [16] A.A. Sagle, Malcev algebras, *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.* **101** (1961), 426-458.
- [17] K. Yamaguti, On the Lie triple system and its generalization, *J. Sci. Hiroshima Univ. Ser. A-I* **21** (1957/1958), 155-160.
- [18] K. Yamaguti, Note on Malcev algebras, *Kumamoto J. Sci. Ser. A* **5** (1962), 203-207.

- [19] K. Yamaguti, On the theory of Malcev algebras, *Kumamoto J. Sci. Ser. A* **6** (1963), 9-45.
- [20] D. Yau, Enveloping algebra of Hom-Lie algebras, *J. Gen. Lie Theory Appl.* **2** (2008), no.2, 95-108.
- [21] D. Yau, Hom-algebras and homology, *J. Lie Theory* **19** (2009), no.2, 409-421.
- [22] D. Yau, Hom-Maltsev, Hom-alternative, and Hom-Jordan algebras, *Int. Elect. J. Algebra* **11** (2012), 177- 217.
- [23] D. Yau, On n-ary Hom-Nambu and Hom-Nambu-Lie algebras, *J. Geom. Phys.* **62** (2012), 506-522.
- [24] D. Yau, On n-ary Hom-Nambu and Hom-Maltsev algebras, *arXiv:1004.4795*.
- [25] T. Zhang, Representations and cohomologies of Hom-Lie-Yamaguti algebras, *arxiv:1503.06392*.