

**DEFORMING SYMPLECTOMORPHISMS
OF COMPLEX PROJECTIVE SPACES
BY THE MEAN CURVATURE FLOW**

IVANA MEDOŠ & MU-TAO WANG

Abstract

We apply the mean curvature flow to deform symplectomorphisms of $\mathbb{C}\mathbb{P}^n$. In particular, we prove that, for each dimension n , there exists a constant Λ , explicitly computable, such that any Λ -pinched symplectomorphism of $\mathbb{C}\mathbb{P}^n$ is symplectically isotopic to a biholomorphic isometry.

1. Introduction

It was proposed in [14] to use the mean curvature flow to study the structure of the symplectomorphism group of a symplectic manifold (M, ω) . Consider the graph of a symplectomorphism $f : M \rightarrow M$ as an embedded submanifold $\Sigma = \{(x, f(x)) \mid x \in M\}$ of the product manifold $M \times M$. Σ can be viewed as a Lagrangian submanifold with respect to the symplectic structure $\pi_1^*\omega - \pi_2^*\omega$ on $M \times M$ where π_i is the projection from $M \times M$ to the i th factor, $i = 1, 2$. Suppose that M is endowed with a compatible Kähler metric such that ω is the Kähler form. The volume of Σ with respect to the product metric naturally defines a function on the symplectomorphism group of M which is symmetric with respect to the inverse operation $f \mapsto f^{-1}$. This provides a variational approach to study the topology of this infinite-dimensional group. The critical point of the volume function corresponds to minimal Lagrangian submanifolds, and the mean curvature flow is the negative gradient flow. By Smoczyk [10], it is known that being Lagrangian is preserved by the mean curvature flow when M is equipped with a Kähler-Einstein metric. Therefore, if Σ remains graphical along the mean curvature flow, the flow in turn gives a symplectic isotopy of f .

In this article, we apply this idea to the complex projective space $\mathbb{C}\mathbb{P}^n$ with the Fubini-Study metric and prove that a pinched symplectomorphism (see Definition 1) is symplectically isotopic to a biholomorphic isometry along the mean curvature flow.

Received 7/28/2009.

Denote by g and ω the Fubini-Study metric and the associated Kähler form on $\mathbb{C}\mathbb{P}^n$, respectively. Recall that a diffeomorphism f of $\mathbb{C}\mathbb{P}^n$ is a symplectomorphism if $f^*\omega = \omega$.

Definition 1. Let Λ be a constant ≥ 1 . A symplectomorphism f of $\mathbb{C}\mathbb{P}^n$ is said to be Λ -pinched if

$$(1.1) \quad \frac{1}{\Lambda^2}g \leq f^*g \leq \Lambda^2g.$$

The precise statement of the pinching theorem is the following.

Theorem 1. For each positive integer n there exists a constant $\Lambda(n) > 1$, such that if $f : \mathbb{C}\mathbb{P}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{C}\mathbb{P}^n$ is a Λ -pinched symplectomorphism for some $1 < \Lambda < \Lambda(n)$, then:

- 1) The mean curvature flow Σ_t of the graph of f in $\mathbb{C}\mathbb{P}^n \times \mathbb{C}\mathbb{P}^n$ exists smoothly for all $t \geq 0$.
- 2) Σ_t is the graph of a symplectomorphism f_t for each $t \geq 0$.
- 3) f_t converges smoothly to a biholomorphic isometry of $\mathbb{C}\mathbb{P}^n$ as $t \rightarrow \infty$.

The mean curvature flow forms a smooth one-parameter family of symplectomorphisms or a symplectic isotopy. Therefore the following holds.

Corollary 1. For each positive integer n , there exists a constant $\Lambda(n)$, such that if f is a Λ -pinched symplectomorphism of $\mathbb{C}\mathbb{P}^n$ for some $1 < \Lambda < \Lambda(n)$, then f is symplectically isotopic to a biholomorphic isometry.

This theorem generalizes a previous theorem of the second author for Riemann surfaces in which no pinching condition is required.

Theorem 2. [12, 16] Let $(\Sigma^1, g_1, \omega_1)$ and $(\Sigma^2, g_2, \omega_2)$ be two diffeomorphic compact Riemann surfaces with Riemannian metrics g_1 and g_2 of the same constant curvature c . Suppose Σ is the graph of a symplectomorphism $f : \Sigma^1 \rightarrow \Sigma^2$ and Σ_t is the mean curvature flow in the product space $\Sigma^1 \times \Sigma^2$ with initial surface $\Sigma_0 = \Sigma$. Then Σ_t remains the graph of a symplectomorphism f_t along the mean curvature flow. The flow exists smoothly for all time, and Σ_t converges smoothly to a minimal Lagrangian submanifold as $t \rightarrow \infty$.

In Theorem 2, the long-time existence for any c and the smooth convergence for $c > 0$ were proved in [12]. The smooth convergence for $c \leq 0$ was established in Theorem 1.1 of [16]. Using a different method, Smoczyk [11] proved the theorem when $c \leq 0$ assuming an angle condition. The existence of the limiting minimal Lagrangian surface was proved earlier using variational method by Schoen [7] (see also [5]). In this case the symplectomorphism is indeed an area-preserving map.

The boundary value problem for minimal area-preserving maps has been studied by Wolfson [18] and Brendle [1].

A theorem of Smale states that the isometry group $SO(3)$ of S^2 is a continuous deformation retract of the oriented diffeomorphism group of $S^2 = \mathbb{C}\mathbb{P}^1$, and Theorem 2 gives a new proof of this theorem. The deformation retract provided by the mean curvature flow is indeed smooth. We are informed by Prof. McDuff that it was proved by Gromov [2] that the biholomorphic isometry group of $\mathbb{C}\mathbb{P}^2$ is a deformation retract of its symplectomorphism group. It seems that no similar result is known for $\mathbb{C}\mathbb{P}^n$ when $n > 2$.

The proof is divided into several steps:

Step 1. We make several observations about singular values and singular vectors of symplectomorphisms. We also discuss the geometric properties of graphs of symplectomorphisms of Kähler-Einstein manifolds, as well as the setup of our problem. (See §2.)

Step 2. We claim that Σ_t remains the graph of a symplectomorphism f_t as long as the flow exists smoothly. We study the evolution of the Jacobian of the projection map $\pi_1 : \Sigma_t \rightarrow M$ (denoted by $*\Omega$) and prove that positivity is preserved by the maximum principle. This justifies the claim by the implicit function theorem. (See §3.1 and §3.2.)

Step 3. We apply the blow up analysis to bound the second fundamental form of Σ_t for each $t > 0$, and show that there is no finite time singularity. (See §3.3.)

Step 4. We study the long-time behavior of the evolution and use a comparison principle to show that the pinching condition is improved (by the curvature property of $\mathbb{C}\mathbb{P}^n$) and that the pull-back metric f^*g is approaching g as $t \rightarrow \infty$.

Step 5. We prove that the second fundamental form of Σ_t is uniformly bounded in t as $t \rightarrow \infty$. This gives the smooth convergence in the theorem.

Step 4 and 5 are done in §3.4.

Acknowledgments. The authors would like to thank Prof. Dusa McDuff for particularly valuable discussions and suggestions. The second author would like to thank Mr. Bang Xiao for pointing out several typos in a previous version and an anonymous referee for suggestions in improving the presentation. The authors are partially supported by National Science Foundation Grant DMS 0605115 and DMS 0904281.

2. Preliminaries

2.1. Singular values of symplectic linear maps between vector spaces. Let (V, g) and (\tilde{V}, \tilde{g}) be $2n$ -dimensional real inner-product spaces, with almost complex structures J and \tilde{J} , respectively, compatible with the corresponding inner products. Then $\omega(\cdot, \cdot) = g(J\cdot, \cdot)$ and

$\tilde{\omega} = \tilde{g}(\tilde{J}\cdot, \cdot)$ are symplectic forms on V and \tilde{V} . Recall that a linear map $L : (V, \omega) \rightarrow (\tilde{V}, \tilde{\omega})$ is said to be symplectic if

$$(2.1) \quad \omega(u, v) = \tilde{\omega}(L(u), L(v))$$

for any $u, v \in V$. In this context, the condition is equivalent to

$$(2.2) \quad L^* \tilde{J} L = J,$$

where $L^* : \tilde{V} \rightarrow V$ is the adjoint operator of L with respect to the inner products on \tilde{V} and V .

For such L , we define $E : V \rightarrow \tilde{V}$ to be the map $E = L[L^*L]^{-\frac{1}{2}}$. Since L is an isomorphism, L^*L is a positive definite self-adjoint automorphism of V and the square root of L^*L is well-defined.

Lemma 1. *E is an isometry which intertwines with J and \tilde{J} , i.e.,*

$$\tilde{J}E = EJ.$$

In other words, E is a symplectic isometry.

Proof. E is an isometry since

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{g}(Eu, Ev) &= \tilde{g}(L[L^*L]^{-\frac{1}{2}}u, L[L^*L]^{-\frac{1}{2}}v) = g(L^*L[L^*L]^{-\frac{1}{2}}u, [L^*L]^{-\frac{1}{2}}v) \\ &= g([L^*L]^{\frac{1}{2}}u, [L^*L]^{-\frac{1}{2}}v) \\ &= g([L^*L]^{-\frac{1}{2}}[L^*L]^{\frac{1}{2}}u, v) \\ &= g(u, v) \end{aligned}$$

for any $u, v \in V$. Let $P = [L^*L]^{\frac{1}{2}}$, so that $E = LP^{-1}$. $-JP^{-1}J$ and P are both positive definite ($-JP^{-1}J = J^{-1}P^{-1}J$ is positive definite since P^{-1} is and since J is an orthogonal operator), and, by the symplectic condition (2.2), their squares are equal:

$$\begin{aligned} (-JP^{-1}J)^2 &= -JL^{-1}(L^*)^{-1}J \\ &= -L^* \tilde{J} \tilde{J} L \\ &= P^2. \end{aligned}$$

It follows that $-JP^{-1}J = P$. By using the symplectic condition $L^* \tilde{J} L = J$ and the fact that $P = L^*LP^{-1}$, we obtain the desired result:

$$\begin{aligned} -JP^{-1}J = P &\Rightarrow -JP^{-1}J = L^*LP^{-1} \\ &\Rightarrow -(L^*)^{-1}JP^{-1}J = LP^{-1} \\ &\Rightarrow -\tilde{J}LP^{-1}J = LP^{-1} \\ &\Rightarrow -\tilde{J}EJ = E. \end{aligned}$$

Finally, the last equality implies $E^* \tilde{J} E = J$, so E is in fact a symplectic isometry (condition (2.2)). q.e.d.

Let (v_1, \dots, v_{2n}) be a basis of V that diagonalizes L^*L . L^*L is the positive definite matrix

$$L^*L = \begin{pmatrix} \lambda_1^2 & 0 & \dots & & 0 \\ 0 & \lambda_2^2 & & & \\ \vdots & & \ddots & & \\ & & & \lambda_{2n-1}^2 & \\ 0 & \dots & & 0 & \lambda_{2n}^2 \end{pmatrix}$$

with respect to this basis, for some $\lambda_i > 0$, $i = 1, \dots, 2n$.

Then, by construction, $L(v_i) = \lambda_i E(v_i)$; in other words,

$$L = \begin{pmatrix} \lambda_1 & 0 & \dots & & 0 \\ 0 & \lambda_2 & & & \\ \vdots & & \ddots & & \\ & & & \lambda_{2n-1} & \\ 0 & \dots & & 0 & \lambda_{2n} \end{pmatrix}$$

with respect to the bases (v_1, \dots, v_{2n}) and $(E(v_1), \dots, E(v_{2n}))$, and thus λ_i are the singular values of L .

Lemma 2. *Let λ_i be the singular values of L and let v_i be the associated singular vectors, i.e., $L(v_i) = \lambda_i E(v_i)$. Then*

$$(\lambda_i \lambda_j - 1)g(Jv_i, v_j) = 0.$$

Proof. By the symplectic condition (2.1) and Lemma 1,

$$\begin{aligned} g(Jv_i, v_j) &= \tilde{g}(\tilde{J}L(v_i), L(v_j)) = \lambda_i \lambda_j \tilde{g}(\tilde{J}E(v_i), E(v_j)) \\ &= \lambda_i \lambda_j \tilde{g}(E(Jv_i), E(v_j)) \\ &= \lambda_i \lambda_j g(Jv_i, v_j). \end{aligned}$$

q.e.d.

Lemma 3. *If α is a singular value of L , then so is $\frac{1}{\alpha}$. Moreover, if $V(\alpha)$ denotes the subspace of singular vectors corresponding to a singular value α , then*

$$\dim V(\alpha) = \dim V\left(\frac{1}{\alpha}\right),$$

and J restricts to an isomorphism between $V(\alpha)$ and $V\left(\frac{1}{\alpha}\right)$.

Proof. The first statement is a consequence of Lemma 2. Indeed, let (v_1, \dots, v_{2n}) be the basis described in the lemma. Then for each $i \in \{1, \dots, 2n\}$ there exists some $j \in \{1, \dots, 2n\}$ such that $g(Jv_i, v_j) \neq 0$ since Jv_i is a nonzero vector. Then, by the lemma, it follows that $\lambda_i \lambda_j = 1$.

The second statement is trivial if $\alpha = 1$. Assume that $\alpha \neq 1$, and let $\dim V(\alpha) = k$, $\dim V\left(\frac{1}{\alpha}\right) = l$. By renumbering indexes, we may assume that v_1, \dots, v_k span $V(\alpha)$ (so that $\lambda_1 = \dots = \lambda_k = \alpha$). We claim that Jv_1, \dots, Jv_k belong to $V\left(\frac{1}{\alpha}\right)$. Fix any $1 \leq i \leq k$ and consider Jv_i . Let

V' be the orthogonal complement of $V(\frac{1}{\alpha})$ such that $V = V(\frac{1}{\alpha}) \oplus V'$. Take any $v_m \in V'$ for $1 \leq m \leq 2n$, and thus we have $Lv_m = \lambda_m v_m$ for $\lambda_m \neq \frac{1}{\alpha}$. Lemma 2 implies $g(Jv_i, v_m) = 0$ for any such v_m , and therefore Jv_i is in the orthogonal complement of V' , or $V(\frac{1}{\alpha})$ for each $i = 1, \dots, k$. Moreover, Jv_1, \dots, Jv_k are linearly independent because v_1, \dots, v_k are. It follows that $k \leq l$. The same argument applies to $V(\frac{1}{\alpha})$, and it follows that $k \geq l$.

We conclude that $k = l$ and that J restricts to an isomorphism from $V(\alpha)$ to $V(\frac{1}{\alpha})$. q.e.d.

Remark 1. *The preceding lemma implies that V splits into a direct sum of singular subspaces of the following form:*

$$(2.3) \quad V = V(1)^{k_0} \oplus V(\alpha_1)^{k_1} \oplus V\left(\frac{1}{\alpha_1}\right)^{k_1} \oplus \dots \oplus V(\alpha_s)^{k_s} \oplus V\left(\frac{1}{\alpha_s}\right)^{k_s},$$

where s is the total number of distinct singular values of L greater than 1, α_i are distinct singular values of L greater than 1, $i = 1, \dots, s$, and the superscripts represent dimension, $k_0 \geq 0$ and $k_j > 0$ for $j = 1, \dots, s$.

Proposition 1. *Let $L : (V, \omega) \rightarrow (\tilde{V}, \tilde{\omega})$ be a symplectic linear map, where V and \tilde{V} are real vector spaces of dimension $2n$ equipped with almost complex structures J and \tilde{J} and inner products g and \tilde{g} compatible with the respective complex structures, and where $\omega = g(J\cdot, \cdot)$, $\tilde{\omega} = \tilde{g}(\tilde{J}\cdot, \cdot)$. Then there exists an orthonormal basis of V with respect to which*

$$(2.4) \quad J = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -1 & \dots & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & \dots & 0 \\ \vdots & & \ddots & \\ 0 & \dots & 0 & -1 \\ 0 & \dots & 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

and

$$(2.5) \quad L^*L = \begin{pmatrix} \lambda_1^2 & 0 & \dots & & 0 \\ 0 & \lambda_2^2 & & & \\ \vdots & & \ddots & & \\ & & & \lambda_{2n-1}^2 & \\ 0 & \dots & & 0 & \lambda_{2n}^2 \end{pmatrix}$$

where $\lambda_{2i-1}\lambda_{2i} = 1$, for $i = 1, \dots, n$.

Proof. Lemma 3 and (2.3) imply that it is sufficient to find a basis satisfying (2.4) of the subspaces $V(\alpha) \oplus V(\frac{1}{\alpha})$ for each singular value $\alpha \neq 1$, as well as of $V(1)$ if 1 is a singular value of L .

Assume that there is a singular value $\alpha \neq 1$, and let $k = \dim V(\alpha)$. We choose an arbitrary basis u_1, \dots, u_k of this space. Then Ju_1, \dots, Ju_k is a basis of $V(\frac{1}{\alpha})$. Putting these bases together provides a basis of

$V(\alpha) \oplus V(\frac{1}{\alpha})$ satisfying (2.4). Moreover, since u_1, \dots, u_k are singular vectors of L with singular value α , and Ju_1, \dots, Ju_k are singular values of L with singular value $\frac{1}{\alpha}$, it follows that $(u_1, Ju_1, u_2, Ju_2, \dots, u_k, Ju_k)$ is the desired basis.

If a singular value is equal to 1 (i.e., if $k_0 > 0$ in (2.3)), any basis of $V(1)$ satisfying (2.4) suffices. q.e.d.

Since the image of an orthonormal basis under an isometry is also an orthonormal basis, we obtain the following corollary.

Corollary 2. *Let $E : V \rightarrow \tilde{V}$ be the isometry $E = L[L^*L]^{-\frac{1}{2}}$. If (a_1, \dots, a_{2n}) is a basis of V satisfying the properties of Proposition 1, and if $(\tilde{a}_1, \dots, \tilde{a}_{2n})$ is the orthonormal basis $(E(a_1), \dots, E(a_{2n}))$ of \tilde{V} , then we have the following:*

(a)

$$\tilde{J} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -1 & \dots & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & \dots & 0 \\ \vdots & & \ddots & \\ 0 & \dots & 0 & -1 \\ 0 & \dots & 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

with respect to $(\tilde{a}_1, \dots, \tilde{a}_{2n})$.

(b) L is diagonalized with respect to these bases, with diagonal values ordered in pairs whose product is 1:

$$L = \begin{pmatrix} \lambda_1 & 0 & \dots & & 0 \\ 0 & \lambda_2 & & & \\ \vdots & & \ddots & & \\ & & & \lambda_{2n-1} & \\ 0 & \dots & & 0 & \lambda_{2n} \end{pmatrix}$$

with $\lambda_{2i-1}\lambda_{2i} = 1$, for $i = 1, \dots, n$.

Proof. Part (a) follows from Proposition 1 and Lemma 1. Part (b) follows from the fact that $L(a_i) = \lambda_i E(a_i)$. q.e.d.

2.2. Geometry of graphs of symplectomorphisms. Let Σ be the graph of a symplectomorphism $f : (M, \omega) \rightarrow (\tilde{M}, \tilde{\omega})$ between Kähler-Einstein manifolds (M, g, ω) and $(\tilde{M}, \tilde{g}, \tilde{\omega})$ of real dimension $2n$ and of the same scalar curvature. The product space $(M \times \tilde{M}, G = g \oplus \tilde{g})$ is thus a Kähler-Einstein manifold. We consider the evolution of $\Sigma \subset M \times \tilde{M}$ under the mean curvature flow. If J and \tilde{J} are almost complex structures of M and \tilde{M} , respectively, then $\mathcal{J} = J \oplus (-\tilde{J})$ defines an almost complex structure on $M \times \tilde{M}$ parallel with respect to G . Let Σ_t be the mean curvature flow of Σ in $M \times \tilde{M}$.

Let Ω be the volume form of M extended to $M \times \tilde{M}$ naturally (more precisely, let Ω be the pull-back of the volume form of M under the

projection $\pi_1 : M \times \tilde{M} \rightarrow M$). Denote by $*\Omega$ the Hodge star of the restriction of Ω to Σ_t . At any point $q \in \Sigma_t$, $*\Omega(q) = \Omega(e_1, \dots, e_{2n})$ for any oriented orthonormal basis of $T_q\Sigma$. $*\Omega$ is the Jacobian of the projection π_1 from Σ_t onto M . We shall show that $*\Omega$ remains positive along the mean curvature flow. By the implicit function theorem, this implies that Σ_t is a graph over M .

We apply the result in the previous section to choose a basis that simplifies the evolution equation of $*\Omega$. Suppose $q \in \Sigma_t$ is of the form $q = (p, f(p))$ for $p \in M$ and $f(p) \in \tilde{M}$, and let (a_1, \dots, a_{2n}) be the basis of T_pM satisfying the properties listed in Proposition 1, for $L = Df_p : T_pM \rightarrow T_{f(p)}\tilde{M}$, with the inner products understood to be the metrics g on M at p and \tilde{g} on \tilde{M} at $f(p)$. Thus we have

$$(2.6) \quad a_1, a_2 = Ja_1, \dots, a_{2n-1}, a_{2n} = Ja_{2n-1}$$

on T_pM . Define $E : T_pM \rightarrow T_{f(p)}\tilde{M}$ to be the isometry $E = Df_p [Df_p^* Df_p]^{-\frac{1}{2}}$ for $p \in M$. Let us also choose a basis of $T_{f(p)}\tilde{M}$ to be $(\tilde{a}_1, \dots, \tilde{a}_{2n}) = (E(a_1), \dots, E(a_{2n}))$, as per Corollary 2.

Then

$$(2.7) \quad \begin{aligned} e_i &= \frac{1}{\sqrt{1 + |Df_p(a_i)|^2}}(a_i, Df_p(a_i)) \\ &= \frac{1}{\sqrt{1 + \lambda_i^2}}(a_i, \lambda_i E(a_i)) \end{aligned}$$

and

$$(2.8) \quad \begin{aligned} e_{2n+i} &= \mathcal{J}_{(p,f(p))} e_i = \frac{1}{\sqrt{1 + \lambda_i^2}}(J_p a_i, -\tilde{J}_{f(p)} \lambda_i E(a_i)) \\ &= \frac{1}{\sqrt{1 + \lambda_i^2}}(J_p a_i, -\lambda_i E(J_p a_i)) \end{aligned}$$

for $i = 1, \dots, 2n$ form an orthonormal basis of $T_q(M \times \tilde{M})$. By construction, e_1, \dots, e_{2n} span $T_q\Sigma$, and e_{2n+1}, \dots, e_{4n} span $N_q\Sigma$. In terms of this basis at each point $q \in \Sigma_t$,

$$*\Omega = \Omega(e_1, \dots, e_{2n}) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{\prod_{j=1}^{2n} (1 + \lambda_j^2)}}.$$

The second fundamental form of Σ_t is, at each point $q \in \Sigma_t$, characterized by coefficients

$$(2.9) \quad h_{ijk} = G(\nabla_{e_i}^{M \times \tilde{M}} e_j, \mathcal{J} e_k).$$

Note that h_{ijk} are completely symmetric with respect to i, j , and k .

Before we prove Theorem 1, we remark that the long-time existence of the flow can be proved under more relaxed ambient curvature conditions, but the convergence of the flow does require the more refined properties of the curvature of $\mathbb{C}\mathbb{P}^n$.

3. Proof of Theorem 1

3.1. Evolution of $*\Omega$ along the mean curvature flow. In the rest of the article we prove Theorem 1. We use the following convention for indexes: for any index i between 1 and $2n$, i' denotes the index $i + (-1)^{i+1}$. For example, $1' = 2$ and $2' = 1$. Unless otherwise is mentioned, all summation indexes range from 1 to $2n$.

Proposition 2. *Let Σ be the graph of a symplectomorphism $f : (M, \omega) \rightarrow (\tilde{M}, \tilde{\omega})$ between Kähler-Einstein manifolds (M, g, ω) and $(\tilde{M}, \tilde{g}, \tilde{\omega})$ of real dimension $2n$ and of the same scalar curvature. Suppose the mean curvature flow Σ_t with $\Sigma_0 = \Sigma$ exists smoothly on $[0, t + \epsilon)$ for some $\epsilon > 0$ and that each Σ_t is the graph of a symplectomorphism $f_t : (M, \omega) \rightarrow (\tilde{M}, \tilde{\omega})$. At each point $q = (p, f_t(p)) \in \Sigma_t$, $*\Omega$ satisfies the following equation:*

$$\frac{d}{dt} * \Omega = \Delta * \Omega + * \Omega \left[Q(\lambda_i, h_{ijk}) + \sum_{i,k} \frac{\lambda_i^2}{(1 + \lambda_k^2)(1 + \lambda_i^2)} (R_{ikik} - \lambda_k^2 \tilde{R}_{ikik}) \right],$$

where

$$(3.1) \quad Q(\lambda_i, h_{ijk}) = \sum_{i,j,k} h_{ijk}^2 - 2 \sum_k \sum_{i < j} (-1)^{i+j} \lambda_i \lambda_j (h_{i'ik} h_{j'jk} - h_{i'jk} h_{j'ik}),$$

$R_{ijkl} = R(a_i, a_j, a_k, a_l)$ and $\tilde{R}_{ijkl} = \tilde{R}(E(a_i), E(a_j), E(a_k), E(a_l))$ are, respectively, the coefficients of the curvature tensors R and \tilde{R} of M and \tilde{M} with respect to the chosen bases of $T_p M$ and $T_{f_t(p)} \tilde{M}$ that diagonalize $(Df_t)_p : T_p M \rightarrow T_{f_t(p)} \tilde{M}$, as per Proposition 1 and Corollary 2.

Proof. The evolution equation of $*\Omega$ under mean curvature flow is, by Proposition 3.1 of [13],

$$\begin{aligned}
\frac{d}{dt} * \Omega &= \Delta * \Omega + * \Omega \left(\sum_{i,j,k} h_{ijk}^2 \right) \\
&\quad - 2 \sum_{p,q,k} \sum_{i < j} \Omega(e_1, \dots, \underset{(i)}{\mathcal{J}e_p}, \dots, \underset{(j)}{\mathcal{J}e_q}, \dots, e_{2n}) h_{pik} h_{qjk} \\
&\quad - \sum_{p,k,i} \Omega(e_1, \dots, \underset{(i)}{\mathcal{J}e_p}, \dots, e_{2n}) \mathcal{R}(\mathcal{J}e_p, e_k, e_k, e_i),
\end{aligned}$$

where \mathcal{R} is the curvature tensor of $M \times \tilde{M}$.

We recall that Ω is a $2n$ form. The notation $\Omega(e_1, \dots, \underset{(i)}{\mathcal{J}e_p}, \dots, \underset{(j)}{\mathcal{J}e_q}, \dots, e_{2n})$ means that we replace $\mathcal{J}e_p$ in the i th position and $\mathcal{J}e_q$ in the j th position and similarly in the rest of the article.

We denote

$$\mathcal{A} = * \Omega \left(\sum_{i,j,k} h_{ijk}^2 \right) - 2 \sum_{p,q,k} \sum_{i < j} \Omega(e_1, \dots, \underset{(i)}{\mathcal{J}e_p}, \dots, \underset{(j)}{\mathcal{J}e_q}, \dots, e_{2n}) h_{pik} h_{qjk}$$

and

$$(3.2) \quad \mathcal{B} = - \sum_{p,k,i} \Omega(e_1, \dots, \underset{(i)}{\mathcal{J}e_p}, \dots, e_{2n}) \mathcal{R}(\mathcal{J}e_p, e_k, e_k, e_i).$$

Since Ω only picks up the π_1 projection part, and

$$(3.3) \quad \pi_1(\mathcal{J}e_p) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{1 + \lambda_p^2}} J a_p$$

by (2.7), \mathcal{A} is equal to

$$\begin{aligned}
&* \Omega \left(\sum_{i,j,k} h_{ijk}^2 \right) - 2(* \Omega) \sum_{p,q,k} \sum_{i < j} \frac{\sqrt{(1 + \lambda_i^2)(1 + \lambda_j^2)}}{\sqrt{(1 + \lambda_p^2)(1 + \lambda_q^2)}} \\
&\quad \Omega(a_1, \dots, \underset{(i)}{J a_p}, \dots, \underset{(j)}{J a_q}, \dots, a_{2n}) h_{pik} h_{qjk}.
\end{aligned}$$

Recall the formula $J a_p = (-1)^{p+1} a_{p'}$ from (1). Fixing $i < j$, the term

$$\Omega(a_1, \dots, \underset{(i)}{J a_p}, \dots, \underset{(j)}{J a_q}, \dots, a_{2n})$$

is equal to

$$\begin{aligned}
&(-1)^{p+1} (-1)^{q+1} \Omega(a_1, \dots, \underset{(i)}{a_{p'}}, \dots, \underset{(j)}{a_{q'}}, \dots, a_{2n}) \\
&= (-1)^{i+j} (\delta_{p'i'} \delta_{qj'} - \delta_{p'j'} \delta_{q'i'}),
\end{aligned}$$

as only those terms with $p = i'$ and $q = j'$ or $p = j'$ and $q = i'$ survive. On the other hand, we have

$$\frac{\sqrt{(1 + \lambda_i^2)}}{\sqrt{(1 + \lambda_{i'}^2)}} = \lambda_i.$$

Therefore,

$$\begin{aligned} & \sum_{p,q,k} \sum_{i < j} \frac{\sqrt{(1 + \lambda_i^2)(1 + \lambda_j^2)}}{\sqrt{(1 + \lambda_p^2)(1 + \lambda_q^2)}} \Omega(a_1, \dots, \underset{(i)}{Ja_p}, \dots, \underset{(j)}{Ja_q}, \dots, a_{2n}) h_{pik} h_{qjk} \\ &= \sum_k \sum_{i < j} \lambda_i \lambda_j (-1)^{i+j} (h_{i'ik} h_{j'jk} - h_{i'jk} h_{j'ik}), \end{aligned}$$

and this shows that $\mathcal{A} = (*\Omega)Q(\lambda_i, h_{ijk})$.

On the other hand, switching the last two arguments e_k and e_i in (3.2), using (3.3) again, and applying the skew-symmetry of curvature tensor, we derive

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{B} &= \sum_{p,k,i} \Omega(e_1 \dots, \underset{(i)}{\mathcal{J}e_p}, \dots, e_{2n}) \mathcal{R}(\mathcal{J}e_p, e_k, e_i, e_k) \\ &= *\Omega \sum_{p,k,i} \frac{\sqrt{1 + \lambda_i^2}}{\sqrt{1 + \lambda_p^2}} \Omega(a_1 \dots, \underset{(i)}{Ja_p}, \dots, a_{2n}) \mathcal{R}(\mathcal{J}e_p, e_k, e_i, e_k) \\ &= *\Omega \sum_k \sum_i (-1)^i \lambda_i \mathcal{R}(\mathcal{J}e_{i'}, e_k, e_i, e_k), \end{aligned}$$

where we use $Ja_p = (-1)^{p+1} a_{p'}$ and $\frac{\sqrt{(1+\lambda_i^2)}}{\sqrt{(1+\lambda_{i'}^2)}} = \lambda_i$ in the last equality.

Denote by R and \tilde{R} the curvature tensors of M and \tilde{M} , respectively. We compute by Lemma 1, (2.7), and (2.8),

$$\begin{aligned} & \mathcal{R}(\mathcal{J}e_{i'}, e_k, e_i, e_k) = R(\pi_1(\mathcal{J}e_{i'}), \pi_1(e_k), \pi_1(e_i), \pi_1(e_k)) \\ & \quad + \tilde{R}(\pi_2(\mathcal{J}e_{i'}), \pi_2(e_k), \pi_2(e_i), \pi_2(e_k)) \\ &= \frac{1}{(1 + \lambda_k^2) \sqrt{(1 + \lambda_i^2)(1 + \lambda_{i'}^2)}} \\ & [R(Ja_{i'}, a_k, a_i, a_k) - \lambda_k^2 \lambda_i \lambda_{i'} \tilde{R}(\tilde{J}E(a_{i'}), E(a_k), E(a_i), E(a_k))] \\ &= \frac{1}{(1 + \lambda_k^2) \sqrt{(1 + \lambda_i^2)(1 + \lambda_{i'}^2)}} \\ & [R(Ja_{i'}, a_k, a_i, a_k) - \lambda_k^2 \tilde{R}(E(Ja_{i'}), E(a_k), E(a_i), E(a_k))] \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&= \frac{1}{(1 + \lambda_k^2)\sqrt{(1 + \lambda_i^2)(1 + \lambda_{i'}^2)}} \\
& [(-1)^i R(a_i, a_k, a_i, a_k) - (-1)^i \lambda_k^2 \tilde{R}(E(a_i), E(a_k), E(a_i), E(a_k))] \\
&= \frac{(-1)^i}{(1 + \lambda_k^2)\sqrt{(1 + \lambda_i^2)(1 + \lambda_{i'}^2)}} (R_{ikik} - \lambda_k^2 \tilde{R}_{ikik}) \\
&= \frac{(-1)^i \lambda_i}{(1 + \lambda_k^2)(1 + \lambda_i^2)} (R_{ikik} - \lambda_k^2 \tilde{R}_{ikik}).
\end{aligned}$$

q.e.d.

The ambient curvature term \mathcal{B} can be further simplified when $M = \tilde{M} = \mathbb{C}\mathbb{P}^n$.

Corollary 3. *Under the same assumption as in Proposition 2, if in addition M and \tilde{M} are both $\mathbb{C}\mathbb{P}^n$ with the Fubini-Study metric, then*

$$\frac{d}{dt} * \Omega = \Delta * \Omega + * \Omega \left[Q(\lambda_i, h_{ijk}) + \sum_{k \text{ odd}} \frac{(1 - \lambda_k^2)^2}{(1 + \lambda_k^2)^2} \right].$$

Proof. On $\mathbb{C}\mathbb{P}^n$ with the Fubini-Study metric $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$, the sectional curvature is (see for example [4])

$$K(X, Y) = \frac{\frac{1}{4}(\|X \wedge Y\|^2 + 3\langle JX, Y \rangle^2)}{|X|^2|Y|^2 - \langle X, Y \rangle^2}.$$

Therefore, with respect to the chosen orthonormal bases of $T_x M$ and $T_{f(x)} \tilde{M}$, the sectional curvatures K and \tilde{K} of M and \tilde{M} are

$$K(a_i, a_{i'}) = 1 \text{ and } K(a_r, a_s) = \frac{1}{4} \text{ for all other } r, s, \text{ and}$$

$$\tilde{K}(E(a_i), E(a_{i'})) = 1 \text{ and } \tilde{K}(E(a_r), E(a_s)) = \frac{1}{4} \text{ for all other } r, s.$$

Therefore,

$$R_{ikik} = K(a_i, a_k) = \frac{1}{4}(1 + 3\delta_{ik'})$$

and

$$\tilde{R}_{ikik} = \tilde{K}(E(a_i), E(a_k)) = \frac{1}{4}(1 + 3\delta_{ik'})$$

for any i, k with $i \neq k$.

Plugging these into the expression for \mathcal{B} , we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{B} &= \frac{* \Omega}{4} \sum_k \sum_{i \neq k} \frac{\lambda_i^2 (1 - \lambda_k^2)}{(1 + \lambda_k^2)(1 + \lambda_i^2)} (1 + 3\delta_{ik'}) \\
&= * \Omega \sum_k \frac{\lambda_{k'} (1 - \lambda_k^2)}{(1 + \lambda_k^2)(\lambda_k + \lambda_{k'})} + \frac{* \Omega}{4} \sum_k \frac{1 - \lambda_k^2}{1 + \lambda_k^2} \left(\sum_{i \neq k, k'} \frac{\lambda_i}{\lambda_i + \lambda_{i'}} \right)
\end{aligned}$$

by dividing it into two summands with $i = k'$ and $i = k$. Using $\lambda_k \lambda_{k'} = 1$ and $\sum_{i \neq k, k'} \frac{\lambda_i}{\lambda_i + \lambda_{i'}} = \sum_{i \text{ odd } \neq k, k'} \frac{\lambda_i + \lambda_{i'}}{\lambda_i + \lambda_{i'}} = n - 1$, we derive

$$\mathcal{B} = * \Omega \sum_k \frac{1 - \lambda_k^2}{(1 + \lambda_k^2)^2} + \frac{(n - 1)}{4} * \Omega \sum_k \frac{1 - \lambda_k^2}{1 + \lambda_k^2}.$$

The second term vanishes as sums with odd k and even k cancel with each other. Finally, we arrive at

$$\mathcal{B} = * \Omega \sum_k \frac{1 - \lambda_k^2}{(1 + \lambda_k^2)^2} = * \Omega \sum_{k \text{ odd}} \frac{(1 - \lambda_k^2)^2}{(1 + \lambda_k^2)^2}. \quad \text{q.e.d.}$$

In this case $\mathcal{B} \geq 0$, with equality holding if and only if all the singular values of f are equal (and thus necessarily equal to 1). Moreover, $\frac{(1 - \lambda_k^2)^2}{(1 + \lambda_k^2)^2} < 1$, so $\mathcal{B} < n(*\Omega) \leq \frac{n}{2^n}$.

We notice that $Q(\lambda_i, h_{ijk})$ is a quadratic form in h_{ijk} which can be rewritten as

$$\begin{aligned} (3.4) \quad Q(\lambda_i, h_{ijk}) &= \sum_{i,j,k} h_{ijk}^2 - 2 \sum_k \sum_{i \text{ odd}} (h_{iik} h_{i'ik} - h_{i'i'k}^2) \\ &\quad - 2 \sum_k \sum_{i \text{ odd} < j \text{ odd}} (\lambda_i - \lambda_{i'}) (\lambda_j - \lambda_{j'}) h_{i'ik} h_{j'jk} \\ &\quad - 2 \sum_k \sum_{i \text{ odd} < j \text{ odd}} [-(\lambda_i \lambda_j + \lambda_{i'} \lambda_{j'}) h_{i'jk} h_{j'ik} + (\lambda_{i'} \lambda_j \\ &\quad + \lambda_i \lambda_{j'}) h_{ijk} h_{j'i'k}]. \end{aligned}$$

Lemma 4. *When each $\lambda_i = 1$,*

$$Q((1, \dots, 1), h_{ijk}) \geq (3 - \sqrt{5}) \|h_{ijk}\|^2$$

where

$$\|h_{ijk}\|^2 = \sum_i h_{iii}^2 + \sum_{i \neq j} h_{ijj}^2 + \sum_{i < j < k} h_{ijk}^2.$$

Proof. See Appendix. q.e.d.

Proposition 3. *Let $Q(\lambda_i, h_{jkl})$ be the quadratic form defined in Proposition 2. In each dimension n , there exist $\Lambda_0 > 1$ such that $Q(\lambda_i, h_{jkl})$ is non-negative whenever $\frac{1}{\Lambda_0} \leq \lambda_i \leq \Lambda_0$ for $i = 1, \dots, 2n$. Moreover, for any $1 \leq \Lambda_1 < \Lambda_0$, there exists a $\delta > 0$ such that*

$$Q(\lambda_i, h_{jkl}) \geq \delta \sum_{i,j,k} h_{ijk}^2$$

whenever $\frac{1}{\Lambda_1} \leq \lambda_i \leq \Lambda_1$ for $i = 1, \dots, 2n$.

Proof. Since $\frac{1}{6} \sum_{i,j,k} h_{ijk}^2 \leq \|h_{ijk}\|^2 \leq \sum_{i,j,k} h_{ijk}^2$, by Lemma 4,

$$Q((1, \dots, 1), h_{ijk}) \geq \frac{3 - \sqrt{5}}{6} \sum_{i,j,k} h_{ijk}^2.$$

Since being a positive definite matrix is an open condition, there is an open neighborhood U of $(\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_{2n}) = (1, \dots, 1)$ such that $(\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_{2n}) \in U$ implies $Q(\lambda_i, h_{ijk})$ is positive definite. Let $\delta_{\vec{\lambda}}$ be the smallest eigenvalue of Q at $\vec{\lambda} \equiv (\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_{2n})$. Note that $\delta_{\vec{\lambda}}$ is a continuous function in $\vec{\lambda}$ and set

$$\delta_{\Lambda} = \min\{\delta_{\vec{\lambda}} \mid \vec{\lambda} = (\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_{2n}) \text{ and } \frac{1}{\Lambda} \leq \lambda_i \leq \Lambda \text{ for } i = 1, \dots, 2n\}.$$

Λ_0 defined by

$$\Lambda_0 \equiv \sup\{\Lambda \mid \Lambda \geq 1 \text{ and } \delta_{\Lambda} > 0\}$$

has the desired property. q.e.d.

Remark 2. Λ_0 is computable in each dimension n . In particular, $\Lambda_0 = \infty$ when $n = 1$, and $\Lambda_0 = \frac{2}{5}\sqrt{10} + \frac{1}{5}\sqrt{15}$ when $n = 2$. This can be checked by dividing Q into smaller quadratic forms and computing the eigenvalues as in the Appendix.

Corollary 4. Under the same assumption as in Proposition 2, suppose in addition that M and \tilde{M} are both $\mathbb{C}\mathbb{P}^n$ with the Fubini-Study metric. There exist constants $\Lambda_0 > 1$, depending only on n , such that for any Λ_1 , $1 \leq \Lambda_1 < \Lambda_0$ there exists a $\delta > 0$ with

$$(3.5) \quad \left(\frac{d}{dt} - \Delta\right) * \Omega \geq \delta * \Omega |II|^2 + * \Omega \sum_{k \text{ odd}} \frac{(1 - \lambda_k^2)^2}{(1 + \lambda_k^2)^2},$$

whenever $\frac{1}{\Lambda_1} \leq \lambda_i \leq \Lambda_1$ for every i . Here $|II|$ is the norm of the second fundamental form of Σ_t .

We recall that the norm of the second fundamental form is

$$\begin{aligned} |II| &= \sqrt{\sum_{i,j,k,l} G^{ik} G^{jl} G(\Pi(w_i, w_j), \Pi(w_k, w_l))} \\ &= \sqrt{\sum_{i,j,k,l,r,s} G^{ik} G^{jl} G^{rs} G(\nabla_{w_i}^{M \times \tilde{M}} w_j, \mathcal{J} w_r) G(\nabla_{w_k}^{M \times \tilde{M}} w_l, \mathcal{J} w_s)} \end{aligned}$$

with respect to an arbitrary basis w_1, \dots, w_{2n} of $T_q \Sigma$ with $G_{ij} = G(w_i, w_j)$ and $G^{ij} = (G_{ij})^{-1}$. By (2.9),

$$|II| = \sqrt{\sum_{i,j,k} h_{ijk}^2}$$

for the chosen basis (2.7).

Proof. The result follows from Corollary 3 and Proposition 3. q.e.d.

3.2. Preservation of graphical and pinching conditions. Short-time existence of the mean curvature flow in question is guaranteed by general theory of quasilinear parabolic PDE. In order to establish long-time existence and convergence, we shall show that when an appropriate pinching holds initially, then f remains Λ_0 -pinched along the flow, $*\Omega$ satisfies the differential inequality (3.5) along the flow, and $\min_{\Sigma_t} *\Omega$ is non-decreasing in time. First we make several preliminary observations.

We consider $\frac{1}{\sqrt{\prod_i (1 + \lambda_i^2)}}$, for $\lambda_i > 0$, $\lambda_i \lambda_{i'} = 1$, where $i' = i + (-1)^{i+1}$, $i = 1, \dots, 2n$ (in other words, $\lambda_{2k-1} \lambda_{2k} = 1$ for $k = 1, \dots, n$). It can be rewritten as

$$\frac{1}{\sqrt{\prod_i (1 + \lambda_i^2)}} = \frac{1}{\prod_{i \text{ odd}} (\lambda_i + \lambda_{i'})}.$$

This expression always has an upper bound: $\lambda_i \lambda_{i'} = 1$ implies that $\lambda_i + \lambda_{i'} \geq 2$. Therefore,

$$(3.6) \quad \frac{1}{\sqrt{\prod_i (1 + \lambda_i^2)}} \leq \frac{1}{2^n},$$

with equality if and only if $\lambda_i = 1$ for all i .

If λ_i 's are bounded, $\frac{1}{\sqrt{\prod_i (1 + \lambda_i^2)}}$ also has a positive lower bound.

Lemma 5. *If $\frac{1}{\Lambda} \leq \lambda_i \leq \Lambda$ for all i , where $\Lambda > 1$, then*

$$\frac{1}{2^n} - \epsilon \leq \frac{1}{\sqrt{\prod_i (1 + \lambda_i^2)}},$$

where $\epsilon = \frac{1}{2^n} - \frac{1}{(\Lambda + \frac{1}{\Lambda})^n} > 0$.

Proof. The function $x + \frac{1}{x}$ is increasing when $x > 1$. Therefore if $\frac{1}{\Lambda} \leq \lambda_i \leq \Lambda$ for all i , then

$$\lambda_i + \lambda_{i'} \leq \Lambda + \frac{1}{\Lambda}.$$

It follows that

$$\frac{1}{2^n} - \epsilon \leq \frac{1}{\sqrt{\prod_i (1 + \lambda_i^2)}} \leq \frac{1}{2^n},$$

where $\epsilon = \frac{1}{2^n} - \frac{1}{(\Lambda + \frac{1}{\Lambda})^n}$.

q.e.d.

On the other hand, a positive lower bound on $\frac{1}{\sqrt{\prod_i (1 + \lambda_i^2)}}$ implies a bound on each λ_i .

Lemma 6. *If $\frac{1}{2^n} - \epsilon \leq \frac{1}{\sqrt{\prod_i (1 + \lambda_i^2)}}$, where $0 < \epsilon < \frac{1}{2^n}$, then*

$$\frac{1}{\Lambda} \leq \lambda_i \leq \Lambda$$

for all $i = 1, \dots, 2n$, where $\Lambda = \frac{1}{\frac{1}{2^n} - \epsilon} + \sqrt{\left(\frac{1}{\frac{1}{2^n} - \epsilon}\right)^2 - 1} > 1$.

Proof. If

$$\frac{1}{2^n} - \epsilon \leq \frac{1}{\sqrt{\prod_i (1 + \lambda_i^2)}} = \frac{1}{\prod_{i \text{ odd}} (\lambda_i + \lambda_{i'})},$$

then

$$\prod_{i \text{ odd}} (\lambda_i + \lambda_{i'}) \leq \frac{2^n}{1 - 2^n \epsilon}$$

and

$$\lambda_i + \lambda_{i'} \leq \frac{2^n}{(1 - 2^n \epsilon) \prod_{j \neq i, j \text{ odd}} (\lambda_j + \lambda_{j'})}$$

for each i .

Since $\lambda_j + \lambda_{j'} \geq 2$ for each j , the inequality implies

$$\lambda_i + \lambda_{i'} \leq 2 \frac{\frac{1}{2^n}}{\frac{1}{2^n} - \epsilon}.$$

Since $\lambda_i \lambda_{i'} = 1$, it follows that

$$\frac{1}{\Lambda} \leq \lambda_i \leq \Lambda$$

where $\Lambda = \frac{1}{\frac{1}{2^n} - \epsilon} + \sqrt{\left(\frac{1}{\frac{1}{2^n} - \epsilon}\right)^2 - 1}$. q.e.d.

After these algebraic preliminaries, we return to the mean curvature flow. Recall that f is Λ -pinched in the sense of Definition 1 if $\frac{1}{\Lambda} \leq \lambda_i \leq \Lambda$ at each point $p \in M$ in which λ_i 's are the singular values of Df_p as in section 2.2.

Proposition 4. *Let Σ_t be the mean curvature flow of the graph Σ of a symplectomorphism $f : M \rightarrow \tilde{M}$ where $M = \tilde{M} = \mathbb{C}\mathbb{P}^n$ with the Fubini-Study metric. Suppose Σ_t exists smoothly on $[0, T)$ for some $T > 0$. Let $*\Omega$ be the Jacobian of the projection $\pi_1 : \Sigma_t \rightarrow M$. Let Λ_0 be the constants characterized by Proposition 3.*

If $*\Omega$ has the initial lower bound

$$\frac{1}{2^n} - \epsilon \leq *\Omega$$

for $\epsilon = \frac{1}{2^n} \left(1 - \frac{2}{\Lambda' + \frac{1}{\Lambda'}}\right)$ for some $1 < \Lambda' < \Lambda_0$, then $\min_{\Sigma_t} *\Omega$ is non-decreasing as a function in t . In particular, Σ_t is the graph of a symplectomorphism $f_t : M \rightarrow \tilde{M}$.

Proof. Suppose initially $\frac{1}{2^n} - \epsilon \leq *\Omega$ for $\epsilon = \frac{1}{2^n} \left(1 - \frac{2}{\Lambda' + \frac{1}{\Lambda'}}\right)$. We compute that $\frac{\frac{1}{2^n}}{\frac{1}{2^n} - \epsilon} = \frac{\Lambda' + \frac{1}{\Lambda'}}{2}$. Thus, by Lemma 6, f is Λ' -pinched. That in turn implies that $*\Omega$ initially satisfies inequality (3.5), and in particular,

$$(3.7) \quad \left(\frac{d}{dt} - \Delta\right) *\Omega \geq *\Omega \sum_{k \text{ odd}} \frac{(1 - \lambda_k^2)^2}{(1 + \lambda_k^2)}.$$

Thus $*\Omega > \frac{1}{2^n} - \epsilon$ for some $[0, T')$ with $T' < T$.

Suppose at T' , $*\Omega = \frac{1}{2^n} - \epsilon$ for the first time after $t = 0$. But in $[0, T')$, we have $*\Omega > \frac{1}{2^n} - \epsilon$, and thus f is Λ' -pinched and inequality (3.7) is satisfied again. Since the right-hand side of (3.7) is strictly positive unless $*\Omega = \frac{1}{2^n}$, $\min_{\Sigma_t} *\Omega$ is non-decreasing in time by the maximum principle. q.e.d.

Corollary 5. *Under the same assumption as in Proposition 4, if the initial symplectomorphism f is Λ_1 -pinched, for*

$$\Lambda_1 = \left[\frac{1}{2} \left(\Lambda_0 + \frac{1}{\Lambda_0}\right)\right]^{\frac{1}{n}} + \sqrt{\left[\frac{1}{2} \left(\Lambda_0 + \frac{1}{\Lambda_0}\right)\right]^{\frac{2}{n}} - 1} < \Lambda_0,$$

then each f_t is Λ_0 -pinched along the mean curvature flow.

Proof. The proof consists of only algebraic manipulation and there is no need to apply the maximum principle again. We need a simple algebraic formula which can be easily verified: for $x > 1, y > 1$,

$$(3.8) \quad x + \sqrt{x^2 - 1} = y \text{ if and only if } x = \frac{y + y^{-1}}{2}.$$

By the definition of Λ_1 ,

$$(3.9) \quad \frac{1}{2} \left(\Lambda_1 + \frac{1}{\Lambda_1}\right) = \left(\frac{1}{2} \left(\Lambda_0 + \frac{1}{\Lambda_0}\right)\right)^{\frac{1}{n}},$$

which is less than $\frac{1}{2} \left(\Lambda_0 + \frac{1}{\Lambda_0}\right)$ because $\Lambda_0 + \frac{1}{\Lambda_0} > 2$. Since $\Lambda_0 > 1$ and $\Lambda_1 > 1$, it follows that $\Lambda_1 < \Lambda_0$.

Now suppose f is initially Λ_1 -pinched; then by Lemma 5, $*\Omega$ has initial lower bound

$$\frac{1}{2^n} - \epsilon \leq *\Omega$$

for

$$(3.10) \quad \epsilon = \frac{1}{2^n} - \frac{1}{(\Lambda_1 + \frac{1}{\Lambda_1})^n}.$$

Then, by Proposition 4, the lower bound of $*\Omega$ remains true along the flow. Lemma 6 then implies that f is Λ' -pinched along the flow for

$$(3.11) \quad \Lambda' = \frac{\frac{1}{2^n}}{\frac{1}{2^n} - \epsilon} + \sqrt{\left(\frac{\frac{1}{2^n}}{\frac{1}{2^n} - \epsilon}\right)^2 - 1}.$$

We claim that with the given Λ_1 and ϵ given by (3.10), Λ' is exactly Λ_0 . In fact, from (3.11) and (3.8), we obtain

$$\frac{\frac{1}{2^n}}{\frac{1}{2^n} - \epsilon} = \frac{1}{2} \left(\Lambda' + \frac{1}{\Lambda'} \right).$$

On the other hand, from (3.10) we solve $\frac{\frac{1}{2^n}}{\frac{1}{2^n} - \epsilon} = \left(\frac{1}{2} \left(\Lambda_1 + \frac{1}{\Lambda_1} \right) \right)^n = \frac{1}{2} \left(\Lambda_0 + \frac{1}{\Lambda_0} \right)$ by (3.9). Therefore f is Λ_0 pinched along the flow. q.e.d.

We believed that the constant Λ_1 can be further improved by considering the evolution equation of λ_i directly. In this article, we find that the evolution equation of $*\Omega$ is sufficient to yield the desired constant, albeit not an optimal one.

In Theorem 1, if we choose a Λ that is slightly less than Λ_1 in Corollary 5, then f_t will Λ'_0 pinched along the flow for some $\Lambda'_0 < \Lambda_0$, and thus by Corollary 4 we have (3.5) all the way along the flow. We shall see that this is enough for the long-time existence and convergence.

3.3. Long-time existence of the mean curvature flow. We assume $M = \tilde{M} = \mathbb{C}\mathbb{P}^n$. To prove long-time existence of the flow, we follow the method in [13]. We isometrically embed $M \times \tilde{M}$ into \mathbb{R}^N . The mean curvature flow equation in terms of the coordinate function $F(x, t) \in \mathbb{R}^N$ is

$$\frac{d}{dt} F(x, t) = H = \bar{H} + V,$$

where $H \in T(M \times \tilde{M})/T\Sigma_t$ is the mean curvature vector of Σ_t in M , $\bar{H} \in T\mathbb{R}^N/T\Sigma_t$ is the mean curvature vector of Σ_t in \mathbb{R}^N , and $V = -\sum_a \Pi_{M \times \tilde{M}}(e_a, e_a)$ where $\{e_a\}_{a=1 \dots 2n}$ is an orthonormal basis of

$T\Sigma_t$. In the following calculation, the index a is summed from 1 to $2n$:

$$\begin{aligned}
 H &= \pi_{N\Sigma}^{M \times \tilde{M}}(\nabla_{e_a}^{M \times \tilde{M}} e_a) = \nabla_{e_a}^{M \times \tilde{M}} e_a - \nabla_{e_a}^\Sigma e_a \\
 &= \nabla_{e_a}^{\mathbb{R}^N} e_a - \pi_{N(M \times \tilde{M})}^{\mathbb{R}^N}(\nabla_{e_a}^{\mathbb{R}^N} e_a) - \nabla_{e_a}^\Sigma e_a \\
 &= \nabla_{e_a}^{\mathbb{R}^N} e_a - \nabla_{e_a}^\Sigma e_a + V \\
 &= \pi_{N\Sigma}^{\mathbb{R}^N}(\nabla_{e_a}^\Sigma e_a) + V \\
 &= \bar{H} + V.
 \end{aligned}$$

Note that V is bounded since both M and \tilde{M} are compact.

Following [13], we assume that there is a singularity at space time point $(y_0, t_0) \in \mathbb{R}^N \times \mathbb{R}$. Consider the backward heat kernel of Huisken ρ_{y_0, t_0} at (y_0, t_0) :

$$\rho_{y_0, t_0}(y, t) = \frac{1}{4\pi(t_0 - t)^n} \exp\left(\frac{-|y - y_0|^2}{4(t_0 - t)}\right).$$

Let $d\mu_t$ denote the volume form of Σ_t . By Huisken's monotonicity formula [3], $\lim_{t \rightarrow t_0} \int \rho_{y_0, t_0} d\mu_t$ exists.

Lemma 7. *The limit $\lim_{t \rightarrow t_0} \int (1 - *\Omega)\rho_{y_0, t_0} d\mu_t$ exists and*

$$\frac{d}{dt} \int (1 - *\Omega)\rho_{y_0, t_0} d\mu_t \leq C - \delta \int *\Omega |II|^2 \rho_{y_0, t_0} d\mu_t$$

for some constant $C > 0$.

Proof. By [15],

$$\frac{d}{dt} \rho_{y_0, t_0} = -\Delta \rho_{y_0, t_0} - \rho_{y_0, t_0} \left(\frac{|F^\perp|^2}{4(t_0 - t)^2} + \frac{F^\perp \cdot \bar{H}}{t_0 - t} + \frac{F^\perp \cdot V}{2(t_0 - t)} \right)$$

where $F^\perp \in T\mathbb{R}^N / T\Sigma_t$ is the orthogonal component of $F \in T\mathbb{R}^N$.

By [13],

$$\frac{d}{dt} d\mu_t = -|H|^2 d\mu_t = -\bar{H} \cdot (\bar{H} + V) d\mu_t.$$

Combining these results, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
& \frac{d}{dt} \int (1 - *\Omega) \rho_{y_0, t_0} d\mu_t \\
& \leq \int [\Delta(1 - *\Omega) - \delta * \Omega |\mathbb{II}|^2] \rho_{y_0, t_0} d\mu_t \\
& - \int (1 - *\Omega) \left[\Delta \rho_{y_0, t_0} + \rho_{y_0, t_0} \left(\frac{|F^\perp|^2}{4(t_0 - t)^2} + \frac{F^\perp \cdot \bar{H}}{t_0 - t} + \frac{F^\perp \cdot V}{2(t_0 - t)} \right) \right] \\
& - \int (1 - *\Omega) [\bar{H} \cdot (\bar{H} + V)] \rho_{y_0, t_0} d\mu_t \\
& = \int [\Delta(1 - *\Omega) \rho_{y_0, t_0} - (1 - *\Omega) \Delta \rho_{y_0, t_0}] d\mu_t - \delta \int *\Omega |\mathbb{II}|^2 \rho_{y_0, t_0} d\mu_t \\
& - \int (1 - *\Omega) \rho_{y_0, t_0} \\
& \left[\left(\frac{|F^\perp|^2}{4(t_0 - t)^2} + \frac{F^\perp \cdot \bar{H}}{t_0 - t} + \frac{F^\perp \cdot V}{2(t_0 - t)} \right) + |\bar{H}|^2 + \bar{H} \cdot V \right] d\mu_t \\
& = -\delta \int *\Omega |\mathbb{II}|^2 \rho_{y_0, t_0} d\mu_t - \int (1 - *\Omega) \rho_{y_0, t_0} \left| \frac{F^\perp}{2(t_0 - t)} + \bar{H} + \frac{V}{2} \right|^2 d\mu_t \\
& + \int (1 - *\Omega) \rho_{y_0, t_0} \left| \frac{V}{2} \right|^2 d\mu_t.
\end{aligned}$$

Since V is bounded, and since $\int (1 - *\Omega) \rho_{y_0, t_0} d\mu_t \leq \int \rho_{(y_0, t_0)} d\mu_t < \infty$, it follows that

$$\frac{d}{dt} \int (1 - *\Omega) \rho_{y_0, t_0} d\mu_t \leq C - \delta \int *\Omega |\mathbb{II}|^2 \rho_{y_0, t_0} d\mu_t$$

for some constant C . Now $F(t) = \int (1 - *\Omega) \rho_{y_0, t_0} d\mu_t$ is non-negative and $F'(t) \leq C$, or $F(t) - Ct$ is non-increasing in $t \in [0, t_0]$. From this it follows that the limit as $t \rightarrow t_0$ exists. q.e.d.

For $\nu > 1$, the parabolic dilation D_ν at (y_0, t_0) is defined by

$$\begin{aligned}
D_\nu : \mathbb{R}^N \times [0, t_0] & \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^N \times [-\nu^2 t_0, 0), \\
(y, t) & \mapsto (\nu(y - y_0), \nu^2(t - t_0)).
\end{aligned}$$

Let $\mathcal{S} \subset \mathbb{R}^N \times [0, t_0]$ be the total space of the mean curvature flow, and let $\mathcal{S}_\nu \equiv D_\nu(\mathcal{S}) \subset \mathbb{R}^N \times [-\nu^2 t_0, 0)$. If s denotes the new time parameter, then $t = t_0 + \frac{s}{\nu^2}$.

Let $d\mu_s^\nu$ be the induced volume form on Σ by $F_s^\nu \equiv \nu F_{t_0 + \frac{s}{\nu^2}}$. The image of F_s^ν is the s -slice of \mathcal{S}_ν , denoted Σ_s^ν .

Remark 3. Note that

$$\int (1 - *\Omega) \rho_{y_0, t_0} d\mu_t = \int (1 - *\Omega) \rho_{0, 0} d\mu_s^\nu$$

because $*\Omega$ and $\rho_{y_0, t_0} d\mu_t$ are invariant under parabolic dilation.

Lemma 8. *For any $\tau > 0$,*

$$\lim_{\nu \rightarrow \infty} \int_{-1-\tau}^{-1} * \Omega |II|^2 \rho_{0,0} d\mu_s^\nu = 0.$$

Proof. From Remark 3,

$$\frac{d}{ds} \int (1 - * \Omega) \rho_{0,0} d\mu_s^\nu = \frac{1}{\nu^2} \frac{d}{dt} \int (1 - * \Omega) \rho_{y_0,t_0} d\mu_t.$$

Then by Lemma 7,

$$\frac{d}{ds} \int (1 - * \Omega) \rho_{0,0} d\mu_s^\nu \leq \frac{C}{\nu^2} - \frac{\delta}{\nu^2} \int * \Omega |II|^2 \rho_{y_0,t_0} d\mu_t$$

for some constant C . But $\frac{1}{\nu^2} \int * \Omega |II|^2 \rho_{y_0,t_0} d\mu_t = \int * \Omega |II|^2 \rho_{0,0} d\mu_s^\nu$ since the norm of the second fundamental form scales like the inverse of the distance, so

$$\frac{d}{ds} \int (1 - * \Omega) \rho_{0,0} d\mu_s^\nu \leq \frac{C}{\nu^2} - \delta \int * \Omega |II|^2 \rho_{0,0} d\mu_s^\nu.$$

Integrating this inequality with respect to s from $-1 - \tau$ to -1 , we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \delta \int_{-1-\tau}^{-1} \int * \Omega |II|^2 \rho_{0,0} d\mu_s^\nu ds &\leq - \int (1 - * \Omega) \rho_{0,0} d\mu_{-1}^\nu + \int \\ &\quad (1 - * \Omega) \rho_{0,0} d\mu_{-1-\tau}^\nu + \frac{C}{\nu^2}. \end{aligned}$$

By Remark 3 and the fact that $\lim_{t \rightarrow t_0} \int (1 - * \Omega) \rho_{y_0,t_0} d\mu_t$ exists (Lemma 7), the right-hand side of the inequality above approaches zero as $\nu \rightarrow \infty$. q.e.d.

We take a sequence $\nu_j \rightarrow \infty$. Then for a fixed τ ,

$$\int_{-1-\tau}^{-1} \int * \Omega |II|^2 \rho_{0,0} d\mu_s^{\nu_j} ds \leq C(j)$$

where $C(j) \rightarrow 0$.

Choose $\tau_j \rightarrow 0$ such that $\frac{C(j)}{\tau_j} \rightarrow 0$, and $s_j \in [-1 - \tau_j, -1]$ so that

$$(3.12) \quad \int * \Omega |II|^2 \rho_{0,0} d\mu_{s_j}^{\nu_j} \leq \frac{C(j)}{\tau_j}.$$

Observe that

$$\rho_{0,0}(F_{s_j}^{\nu_j}, s_j) = \frac{1}{(4\pi(-s_j)^2)^n} \exp\left(\frac{-|F_{s_j}^{\nu_j}|^2}{4(-s_j)}\right).$$

When j is large enough, we may assume that $\tau_j \leq 1$, and thus that $s_j \in [-2, -1]$. For a ball centered at 0 of radius $R > 0$, $B_R(0) \in \mathbb{R}^N$,

we have

$$\int * \Omega |\Pi|^2 \rho_{0,0} d\mu_{s_j}^{\nu_j} \geq C' \int_{\Sigma_{s_j}^{\nu_j} \cap B_R(0)} * \Omega |\Pi|^2 d\mu_{s_j}^{\nu_j}$$

for a constant $C' > 0$, since s_j are bounded and since $|F_{s_j}^{\nu_j}| \leq R$ on $\Sigma_{s_j}^{\nu_j} \cap B_R(0)$.

Then by inequality (3.12) and the fact that $*\Omega$ has a positive lower bound, we conclude the following result.

Lemma 9. *For any compact set $\mathcal{K} \subset \mathbb{R}^N$,*

$$\int_{\Sigma_{s_j}^{\nu_j} \cap \mathcal{K}} |\Pi|^2 d\mu_{s_j}^{\nu_j} \rightarrow 0$$

as $j \rightarrow \infty$.

Then, as shown in [13], it follows that

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow t_0} \int \rho_{y_0, t_0} d\mu_t \leq 1.$$

Finally, White’s theorem [17] implies that (y_0, t_0) is a regular point whenever

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow t_0} \int \rho_{y_0, t_0} d\mu_t \leq 1 + \epsilon,$$

contradicting the initial assumption that (y_0, t_0) is a singular point.

3.4. Convergence to a biholomorphic isometry. In the preceding sections we have shown that the mean curvature flow Σ_t of the graph of symplectomorphism $f : \mathbb{C}\mathbb{P}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{C}\mathbb{P}^n$ exists smoothly for all $t > 0$, and that Σ_t is a graph of symplectomorphisms for each t under the pinching condition. We conclude the proof of Theorem 1 by showing that Σ_t converges to the graph of a biholomorphic isometry.

By Proposition 2,

$$\left(\frac{d}{dt} - \Delta \right) * \Omega = * \Omega \left[Q(\lambda_i, h_{jkl}) + \sum_{k \text{ odd}} \frac{(1 - \lambda_k^2)^2}{(1 + \lambda_k^2)^2} \right]$$

along the mean curvature flow, where $Q \geq 0$ whenever $\frac{1}{\Lambda_0} \leq \lambda_i \leq \Lambda_0$.

We use this result to derive the evolution equation of $\ln * \Omega$, which we then apply to show that $\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} * \Omega = \frac{1}{2^n}$.

Proposition 5. *Under the same assumption as in Proposition 2, at each point $q \in \Sigma_t$, $\ln * \Omega$ satisfies the following equation:*

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{d}{dt} \ln * \Omega &= \Delta \ln * \Omega + \bar{Q}(\lambda_i, h_{jkl}) \\ &+ \sum_k \sum_{i \neq k} \frac{\lambda_i}{(1 + \lambda_k^2)(\lambda_i + \lambda_{i'})} (R_{ikik} - \lambda_k^2 \tilde{R}_{ikik}), \end{aligned}$$

where R_{ijkl} and \tilde{R}_{ijkl} are the coefficients of the curvature tensors of M and \tilde{M} with respect to the chosen bases (2.7) and (2.8), $i' = i + (-1)^{i+1}$, and

$$(3.13) \quad \bar{Q}(\lambda_i, h_{jkl}) = Q(\lambda_i, h_{jkl}) + \sum_k \left[\sum_{i \text{ odd}} (\lambda_i - \lambda_{i'}) h_{ii'k} \right]^2$$

with $Q(\lambda_i, h_{jkl})$ given by Proposition 2 and equation (3.4).

Proof. We compute

$$\frac{d}{dt} \ln * \Omega = \frac{1}{* \Omega} \frac{d}{dt} * \Omega \text{ and } \Delta(\ln * \Omega) = \frac{* \Omega \Delta(* \Omega) - |\nabla * \Omega|^2}{(* \Omega)^2}.$$

By Proposition 2, it follows that

$$\begin{aligned} \left(\frac{d}{dt} - \Delta \right) \ln * \Omega &= Q(\lambda_i, h_{jkl}) + \sum_k \sum_{i \neq k} \frac{\lambda_i}{(1 + \lambda_k^2)(\lambda_i + \lambda_{i'})} \\ &\quad (R_{ikik} - \lambda_k^2 \tilde{R}_{ikik}) + \frac{|\nabla * \Omega|^2}{(* \Omega)^2}. \end{aligned}$$

We compute

$$\begin{aligned} (* \Omega)_k &= \sum_i \Omega(e_1, \dots, (\nabla_{e_k}^{M \times \tilde{M}} - \nabla_{e_k}^\Sigma) e_i, \dots, e_{2n}) \\ &= \sum_i \Omega(e_1, \dots, \langle \nabla_{e_k}^{M \times \tilde{M}} e_i, \mathcal{J} e_p \rangle \mathcal{J} e_p, \dots, e_{2n}) \\ &= \sum_{p,i} \Omega(e_1, \dots, \mathcal{J} e_p, \dots, e_{2n}) h_{pik}. \end{aligned}$$

As the simplification of the expression \mathcal{A} in the proof of Proposition 2, we obtain

$$(* \Omega)_k = * \Omega \sum_i (-1)^i \lambda_i h_{ii'k} = - * \Omega \sum_{i \text{ odd}} (\lambda_i - \lambda_{i'}) h_{ii'k}.$$

It follows that

$$\frac{|\nabla * \Omega|^2}{(* \Omega)^2} = \sum_k \left[\sum_{i \text{ odd}} (\lambda_i - \lambda_{i'}) h_{ii'k} \right]^2,$$

and thus

$$\begin{aligned} \left(\frac{d}{dt} - \Delta \right) \ln * \Omega &= \bar{Q}(\lambda_i, h_{jkl}) + \sum_k \sum_{i \neq k} \frac{\lambda_i}{(1 + \lambda_k^2)(\lambda_i + \lambda_{i'})} \\ &\quad (R_{ikik} - \lambda_k^2 \tilde{R}_{ikik}), \end{aligned}$$

where $\overline{Q}(\lambda_i, h_{ijkl}) = Q(\lambda_i, h_{ijkl}) + \sum_k \left[\sum_{i \text{ odd}} (\lambda_i - \lambda_{i'}) h_{ii'k} \right]^2$ is a new quadratic form in h_{ijk} , with coefficients depending on the singular values of f . q.e.d.

Corollary 6. *Under the same assumption as in Proposition 2, suppose in addition that M and \tilde{M} are both $\mathbb{C}\mathbb{P}^n$ with the Fubini-Study metric; then*

$$\frac{d}{dt} \ln * \Omega = \Delta \ln * \Omega + \overline{Q}(\lambda_i, h_{ijk}) + \sum_{k \text{ odd}} \frac{(1 - \lambda_k^2)^2}{(1 + \lambda_k^2)^2}.$$

Proof. This is a direct consequence of Proposition 5 and Corollary 3. q.e.d.

Remark 4. \overline{Q} is a positive definite quadratic form of h_{ijk} whenever Q is, and in fact it allows for an improvement of the pinching constant.

We use the evolution equation of $\ln * \Omega$ to show that $\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} * \Omega = \frac{1}{2^n}$. Fix a k and notice that

$$\frac{(1 - \lambda_k^2)^2}{(1 + \lambda_k^2)^2} = \frac{(\lambda_k - \lambda_{k'})^2}{(\lambda_k + \lambda_{k'})^2} = \frac{x - 4}{x},$$

where $x = (\lambda_k + \lambda_{k'})^2$.

Since $\lambda_k \lambda_{k'} = 1$, it follows that $\lambda_k + \lambda_{k'} \geq 2$, and thus $x \geq 4$. Moreover, the pinching condition implies that $x \leq \left(\Lambda_0 + \frac{1}{\Lambda_0}\right)^2$.

We claim

$$\frac{x - 4}{x} \geq c \left(\frac{1}{2} \ln x - \ln 2 \right)$$

for $c = \frac{8}{(\Lambda_0 + \frac{1}{\Lambda_0})^2}$.

To see this, let $f(x) = \frac{x-4}{x}$, $g(x) = c \left(\frac{1}{2} \ln x - \ln 2 \right)$ and notice that $f(4) = g(4) = 0$. We compute

$$f'(x) = \frac{x - x + 4}{x^2} = \frac{4}{x^2} \text{ and } g'(x) = \frac{c}{2x}.$$

Thus,

$$\frac{f'(x)}{g'(x)} = \frac{4}{x^2} \frac{2x}{c} = \frac{8}{cx} \geq 1.$$

The last inequality follows from the choice of c and the fact that $x \leq \left(\Lambda_0 + \frac{1}{\Lambda_0}\right)^2$. Now since $f(4) = g(4)$ and $f'(x) \geq g'(x)$ for $4 \leq x \leq \left(\Lambda_0 + \frac{1}{\Lambda_0}\right)^2$, it follows that $f(x) \geq g(x)$.

Substituting back, we obtain

$$\frac{(\lambda_k - \lambda_{k'})^2}{(\lambda_k + \lambda_{k'})^2} \geq c (\ln(\lambda_k + \lambda_{k'}) - \ln 2),$$

and thus

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{k \text{ odd}} \frac{(1 - \lambda_k^2)^2}{(1 + \lambda_k^2)^2} &= \sum_{k \text{ odd}} \frac{(\lambda_k - \lambda_{k'})^2}{(\lambda_k + \lambda_{k'})^2} \geq c \left(-\ln \prod_{k \text{ odd}} \frac{1}{\lambda_k + \lambda_{k'}} - n \ln 2 \right) \\ &= -c \left(\ln * \Omega - \ln \frac{1}{2^n} \right). \end{aligned}$$

Therefore under the pinching condition,

$$\left(\frac{d}{dt} - \Delta \right) \left(\ln * \Omega - \ln \frac{1}{2^n} \right) \geq -c \left(\ln * \Omega - \ln \frac{1}{2^n} \right).$$

The pinching condition holds along the mean curvature flow, so this holds for all times. By the comparison principle for parabolic equations, $\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \min_{\Sigma_t} \ln * \Omega - \ln \frac{1}{2^n} = 0$, and thus $\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \min_{\Sigma_t} * \Omega = \frac{1}{2^n}$. This in turn implies, by Lemma 6, that $\lambda_i \rightarrow 1$ as $t \rightarrow \infty$ for all i .

For the rest of the proof, we modify the method from [13] to show the second fundamental form is uniformly bounded in time. Let $\epsilon > 0$ and let $\eta_\epsilon = * \Omega - \frac{1}{2^n} + \epsilon$. Note that $\min_{\Sigma_t} \eta_\epsilon$ is non-decreasing and that $\eta_\epsilon \rightarrow \epsilon$ when $t \rightarrow \infty$. Let $T_\epsilon \geq 0$ be a time such that $\eta_\epsilon|_{T_\epsilon} > 0$ (so that for all $t \geq T_\epsilon$: $\eta_\epsilon > 0$).

Now for all $p \in M$ and all $t > T_\epsilon$,

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{d}{dt} \eta_\epsilon &= \Delta \eta_\epsilon + * \Omega (Q + B) \\ &\geq \Delta \eta_\epsilon + \delta * \Omega |\text{II}|^2 \\ &= \Delta \eta_\epsilon + \frac{\delta}{\eta_\epsilon} \eta_\epsilon * \Omega |\text{II}|^2. \end{aligned}$$

On the other hand, from [13], $|\text{II}|^2$ satisfies the following equation along the mean curvature flow:

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{d}{dt} |\text{II}|^2 &= \Delta |\text{II}|^2 - 2|\nabla \text{II}|^2 + [(\nabla_{\partial_k}^M \mathcal{R})(\mathcal{J}e_p, e_i, e_j, e_k) \\ &\quad + (\nabla_{\partial_j}^M \mathcal{R})(\mathcal{J}e_p, e_k, e_i, e_k)] h_{pij} \\ &\quad - 2\mathcal{R}(e_l, e_i, e_j, e_k) h_{plk} h_{pij} + 4\mathcal{R}(\mathcal{J}e_p, \mathcal{J}e_q, e_j, e_k) h_{qiq} h_{pij} \\ &\quad - 2\mathcal{R}(e_l, e_k, e_i, e_k) h_{plj} h_{pij} + \mathcal{R}(\mathcal{J}e_p, e_k, \mathcal{J}e_q, e_k) h_{qij} h_{pij} \\ &\quad + \sum_{p,r,i,m} \left(\sum_k h_{pik} h_{rmk} - h_{pmk} h_{rik} \right)^2 + \sum_{i,j,m,k} \left(\sum_p h_{pij} h_{pmk} \right)^2. \end{aligned}$$

Since $M \times \tilde{M}$ is a symmetric space, the curvature tensor \mathcal{R} of $M \times \tilde{M}$ is parallel, and thus $|\text{II}|^2$ satisfies

$$\frac{d}{dt} |\text{II}|^2 \leq \Delta |\text{II}|^2 - 2|\nabla \text{II}|^2 + K_1 |\text{II}|^4 + K_2 |\text{II}|^2$$

for positive constants K_1 and K_2 that depend only on n .

Therefore,

$$\begin{aligned}
\frac{d}{dt}(\eta_\epsilon^{-1}|\mathbb{II}|^2) &\leq -\eta_\epsilon^{-2}|\mathbb{II}|^2(\Delta\eta_\epsilon + \delta * \Omega|\mathbb{II}|^2) + \eta_\epsilon^{-1}(\Delta|\mathbb{II}|^2 - 2|\nabla\mathbb{II}|^2) \\
&\quad + K_1|\mathbb{II}|^4 + K_2|\mathbb{II}|^2) = -\eta_\epsilon^{-2}\Delta\eta_\epsilon|\mathbb{II}|^2 + \eta_\epsilon^{-1}\Delta|\mathbb{II}|^2 \\
&\quad - 2\eta_\epsilon^{-1}|\nabla\mathbb{II}|^2 + \eta_\epsilon^{-2}(\eta_\epsilon K_1 - \delta * \Omega)|\mathbb{II}|^4 + \eta_\epsilon^{-1}K_2|\mathbb{II}|^2 \\
&= \Delta(\eta_\epsilon^{-1})|\mathbb{II}|^2 - 2\eta_\epsilon^{-3}|\nabla\eta_\epsilon|^2|\mathbb{II}|^2 + \eta_\epsilon^{-1}\Delta|\mathbb{II}|^2 - 2\eta_\epsilon^{-1}|\nabla\mathbb{II}|^2 \\
&\quad + \eta_\epsilon^{-2}(\eta_\epsilon K_1 - \delta * \Omega)|\mathbb{II}|^4 + \eta_\epsilon^{-1}K_2|\mathbb{II}|^2 = \Delta(\eta_\epsilon^{-1})|\mathbb{II}|^2 \\
&\quad - 2\eta_\epsilon|\nabla(\eta_\epsilon^{-1})|^2|\mathbb{II}|^2 + \eta_\epsilon^{-1}\Delta|\mathbb{II}|^2 - 2\eta_\epsilon^{-1}|\nabla\mathbb{II}|^2 \\
&\quad + \eta_\epsilon^{-2}(\eta_\epsilon K_1 - \delta * \Omega)|\mathbb{II}|^4 + \eta_\epsilon^{-1}K_2|\mathbb{II}|^2 = \Delta(\eta_\epsilon^{-1})|\mathbb{II}|^2 \\
&\quad - 2\nabla(\eta_\epsilon^{-1}) \cdot \nabla(|\mathbb{II}|^2) - 2\eta_\epsilon|\nabla(\eta_\epsilon^{-1})|^2|\mathbb{II}|^2 - 2\eta_\epsilon^{-1}|\nabla\mathbb{II}|^2 \\
&\quad + \eta_\epsilon^{-2}(\eta_\epsilon K_1 - \delta * \Omega)|\mathbb{II}|^4 + \eta_\epsilon^{-1}K_2|\mathbb{II}|^2.
\end{aligned}$$

We apply the relation that

$$-2\nabla(\eta_\epsilon^{-1}) \cdot \nabla(|\mathbb{II}|^2) - 2\eta_\epsilon|\nabla(\eta_\epsilon^{-1})|^2|\mathbb{II}|^2 = -2\eta_\epsilon\nabla(\eta_\epsilon^{-1}) \cdot \nabla(\eta_\epsilon^{-1}|\mathbb{II}|^2).$$

Therefore the function $\psi = \eta_\epsilon^{-1}|\mathbb{II}|^2$ satisfies

$$\begin{aligned}
\frac{d}{dt}\psi &\leq \Delta\psi - 2\eta_\epsilon\nabla\eta_\epsilon^{-1} \cdot \nabla\psi + (\eta_\epsilon K_1 - \delta * \Omega)\psi^2 + K_2\psi \\
&\leq \Delta\psi - 2\eta_\epsilon\nabla\eta_\epsilon^{-1} \cdot \nabla\psi + (\epsilon K_1 - \delta C_0)\psi^2 + K_2\psi,
\end{aligned}$$

where $C_0 = \min_{\Sigma_0} * \Omega$, since $\min_{\Sigma_t} * \Omega$ is non-decreasing and $\eta_\epsilon \leq \epsilon$. ϵ can be chosen small enough so that $\epsilon K_1 - \delta C_0 < 0$. Then by the comparison principle for parabolic PDE, $\psi \leq y(t)$ for all $t \geq T_\epsilon$, where $y(t)$ is the solution of the ODE

$$\frac{d}{dt}y = -(\delta C_0 - \epsilon K_1)y^2 + K_2y$$

satisfying the initial condition $y(T_\epsilon) = \max_{\Sigma_{T_\epsilon}} \psi$. $y(t)$ can be solved explicitly:

$$y(t) = \begin{cases} \frac{K_2}{\delta C_0 - \epsilon K_1}, & \text{if } \max_{\Sigma_{T_\epsilon}} \psi = \frac{K_2}{\delta C_0 - \epsilon K_1}, \\ \frac{K_2 K e^{K_2 t}}{(\delta C_0 - \epsilon K_2) K e^{K_2 t} - 1}, & \text{otherwise,} \end{cases}$$

where K is a constant satisfying $K > 0$ if $\max_{\Sigma_{T_\epsilon}} \psi > \frac{K_2}{\delta C_0 - \epsilon K_1}$, and

$K < 0$ if $\max_{\Sigma_{T_\epsilon}} \psi < \frac{K_2}{\delta C_0 - \epsilon K_1}$. Thus,

$$|\mathbb{II}|^2 \leq \eta_\epsilon y(t) \leq \epsilon y(t)$$

for all $t \geq T_\epsilon$.

Sending $t \rightarrow \infty$ and $\epsilon \rightarrow 0$, we conclude that $\max_{\Sigma_t} |\text{II}|^2 \rightarrow 0$ as $t \rightarrow \infty$. Finally, the induced metric and the volume functional both have analytic dependence on F , so by Simon’s theorem [8] the flow converges to a unique limit at infinity.

Since $\lambda_i \rightarrow 1$ for all i as $t \rightarrow \infty$, the limit map is an isometry. Denote it by f_∞ . Being symplectic is a closed property, so f_∞ is symplectic. Then at every $p \in M$,

$$Df_\infty J = \tilde{J} Df_\infty.$$

The same is true for the inverse of f_∞ , and thus the map f_∞ is biholomorphic.

4. Appendix

4.1. Proof of Lemma 4. We recall that h_{ijk} is symmetric in all three indexes, that all indexes range from 1 to $2n$ unless otherwise (such as i odd) is mentioned, and that $i' = i + (-1)^{i+1}$. The object of study is the quadratic form $\tilde{Q}(h_{ijk})$ given by

$$(4.1) \quad \sum_{i,j,k} h_{ijk}^2 - 2 \sum_k \sum_{i \text{ odd}} (h_{iik} h_{i'i'k} - h_{ii'k}^2) + 4 \sum_k \sum_{i \text{ odd} < j \text{ odd}} (h_{i'jk} h_{j'ik} - h_{ijk} h_{j'i'k}) = A + B + C.$$

We shall use the full symmetry of h_{ijk} to show that the smallest eigenvalue of \tilde{Q} is positive. The quadratic form \tilde{Q} will be divided into three summands such that the indexes of the first summand \tilde{Q}_1 only involve i and i' for odd i ’s, the indexes of the second summand \tilde{Q}_2 only involve i, i', j, j' for odd i and odd j with $i \neq j$, the indexes of the third summand \tilde{Q}_3 involve i, i', j, j', k, k' for odd i, j , and k such that no two of them are the same. This corresponds to a direct sum decomposition of the space of h_{ijk} in which each of the summand is an invariant subspace of the symmetry group. We state the result in two Lemmas and give the proof of second Lemma first, which implies Lemma 4. In the rest of the section, we verify the formulas in first Lemma.

Lemma 10. *The three summands of \tilde{Q} in (4.1) can be rewritten in the following way:*

$$\begin{aligned}
A &= \sum_i h_{iii}^2 + 3 \sum_{i \text{ odd}} (h_{ii'i'}^2 + h_{i'ii}^2) \\
&+ 3 \sum_{i \text{ odd} < j \text{ odd}} (h_{ijj}^2 + h_{i'j'j'}^2 + h_{i'jj}^2 + h_{ij'j'}^2 + h_{jii}^2 + h_{j'ii}^2 + h_{j'i'i'}^2 + h_{j'ii'}^2) \\
&+ 6 \sum_{i \text{ odd} < j \text{ odd}} (h_{ii'j}^2 + h_{ii'j'}^2 + h_{ijj'}^2 + h_{i'j'j'}^2) \\
&+ 6 \sum_{i \text{ odd} < j \text{ odd} < k \text{ odd}} (h_{ijk}^2 + h_{ijk'}^2 + h_{ij'k}^2 + h_{ij'k'}^2 + h_{i'jk}^2 + h_{i'jk'}^2 + h_{i'j'k}^2 \\
&+ h_{i'j'k'}^2) \\
B &= -2 \sum_{i \text{ odd}} h_{iii}h_{i'i'i} + 2 \sum_{i \text{ odd}} h_{ii'i}^2 - 2 \sum_{i \text{ odd}} h_{iii'}h_{i'i'i'} + 2 \sum_{i \text{ odd}} h_{ii'i'}^2, \\
&- 2 \sum_{i \text{ odd} < j \text{ odd}} (h_{ijj}h_{i'j'} - h_{ii'j}^2 + h_{ijj'}h_{i'j'} - h_{ii'j'}^2) \\
&- 2 \sum_{i \text{ odd} < j \text{ odd}} (h_{jjj}h_{j'j'i} - h_{jj'i}^2 + h_{jjj'}h_{j'j'i'} - h_{jj'i'}^2), \text{ and} \\
C &= 4 \sum_{i \text{ odd} < j \text{ odd}} (h_{i'ji}h_{j'ii} - h_{iji}h_{j'i'i} + h_{i'j'i}h_{j'ii'} - h_{ij'i}h_{j'i'i'}) \\
&+ 4 \sum_{i \text{ odd} < j \text{ odd}} (h_{i'jj}h_{j'ij} - h_{ijj}h_{j'i'j} + h_{i'jj'}h_{j'i'j'} - h_{ijj'}h_{j'i'j'}) \\
&+ 4 \sum_{i \text{ odd} < j \text{ odd} < k \text{ odd}} (h_{j'ki}h_{k'ji} - h_{jki}h_{k'j'i} + h_{j'ki'}h_{k'j'i'} - h_{jki'}h_{k'j'i'}) \\
&+ 4 \sum_{i \text{ odd} < j \text{ odd} < k \text{ odd}} (h_{i'kj}h_{k'ij} - h_{ikj}h_{k'i'j} + h_{i'kj'}h_{k'i'j'} - h_{ikj'}h_{k'i'j'}) \\
&+ 4 \sum_{i \text{ odd} < j \text{ odd} < k \text{ odd}} (h_{i'jk}h_{j'ik} - h_{ijk}h_{j'i'k} + h_{i'jk'}h_{j'ik'} - h_{ijk'}h_{j'i'k'}).
\end{aligned}$$

Lemma 11. $\tilde{Q} = \tilde{Q}_1 + \tilde{Q}_2 + \tilde{Q}_3$ where \tilde{Q}_1 is the sum over all odd indexes i of

$$h_{iii}^2 + h_{i'i'i'}^2 + 5(h_{ii'i'}^2 + h_{i'ii}^2) - 2h_{iii}h_{i'i'i} - 2h_{iii'}h_{i'i'i'},$$

\tilde{Q}_2 is the sum over all indexes (i, j) with, $i \text{ odd} < j \text{ odd}$, of

$$\begin{aligned}
&3(h_{ijj}^2 + h_{ij'j'}^2 + h_{i'jj}^2 + h_{i'j'j'}^2 + h_{jii}^2 + h_{j'ii}^2 + h_{j'i'i'}^2 + h_{j'ii'}^2) \\
&+ 8(h_{ii'j}^2 + h_{ii'j'}^2 + h_{ijj'}^2 + h_{i'j'j'}^2) - 2(h_{ijj}h_{i'j'} + h_{ijj'}h_{i'j'}) \\
&- 2(h_{jjj}h_{j'j'i} + h_{jjj'}h_{j'j'i'}) \\
&+ 4(h_{i'ji}h_{j'ii} - h_{iji}h_{j'i'i}) + 4(h_{i'j'i}h_{j'ii'} - h_{ij'i}h_{j'i'i'}) \\
&+ 4(h_{i'jj}h_{j'ij} - h_{ijj}h_{j'i'j}) + 4(h_{i'jj'}h_{j'ij'} - h_{ijj'}h_{j'i'j'}),
\end{aligned}$$

and \tilde{Q}_3 is the sum over all indexes (i, j, k) with, i odd $<$ j odd $<$ k odd, of

$$\begin{aligned} & 6(h_{ijk}^2 + h_{ij'k'}^2 + h_{ij'k}^2 + h_{ij'k'}^2 + h_{i'jk}^2 + h_{i'jk'}^2 + h_{i'jk}^2 + h_{i'jk'}^2) \\ & + 4(h_{j'ki}h_{k'ji} - h_{jki}h_{k'j'i} + h_{j'k'i}h_{k'j'i} - h_{jki}h_{k'j'i}) \\ & + 4(h_{i'kj}h_{k'ij} - h_{ikj}h_{k'i'j} + h_{i'k'j}h_{k'ij} - h_{ikj}h_{k'i'j}) \\ & + 4(h_{i'jk}h_{j'ik} - h_{ijk}h_{j'ik} + h_{i'jk}h_{j'ik} - h_{ijk}h_{j'ik}). \end{aligned}$$

In addition, the following inequalities hold:

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{Q}_1 & \geq \sum_{i \text{ odd}} (3 - \sqrt{5})(h_{iii}^2 + h_{i'i'i'}^2 + h_{i'i'i'}^2 + h_{i'ii}^2), \\ \tilde{Q}_2 & \geq 2 \sum_{i \text{ odd} < j \text{ odd}} (h_{ijj}^2 + h_{ij'j'}^2 + h_{i'jj}^2 + h_{i'j'j'}^2 + h_{jii}^2 + h_{j'ii}^2 + h_{j'i'i'}^2 \\ & + h_{j'i'i'}^2 + h_{i'i'j}^2 + h_{i'i'j'}^2 + h_{ijj'}^2 + h_{i'j'j'}^2), \\ \tilde{Q}_3 & \geq 4 \sum_{i \text{ odd} < j \text{ odd} < k \text{ odd}} (h_{ijk}^2 + h_{ij'k'}^2 + h_{ij'k}^2 + h_{i'jk}^2 + h_{i'jk'}^2 \\ & + h_{i'jk'}^2 + h_{i'j'k}^2 + h_{i'j'k'}^2). \end{aligned}$$

Thus,

$$\tilde{Q}(h_{ijk}) \geq (3 - \sqrt{5})\|h_{ijk}\|^2$$

where

$$\|h_{ijk}\|^2 = \sum_i h_{iii}^2 + \sum_{i \neq j} h_{ijj}^2 + \sum_{i < j < k} h_{ijk}^2.$$

Proof. For each odd i , the expression in \tilde{Q}_1 can be further divided into two identical quadratic forms of two variables, each having smallest eigenvalue $3 - \sqrt{5}$. For each index (i, j) with i odd $<$ j odd, the expression in \tilde{Q}_2 can be further divided into four identical quadratic forms of three variables, each having smallest eigenvalue 2. For each index (i, j, k) with i odd $<$ j odd $<$ k odd, the expression in \tilde{Q}_3 can be further divided into two identical quadratic forms of four variables, each having smallest eigenvalue 4. q.e.d.

First of all,

$$(4.2) \quad A = \sum_i h_{iii}^2 + 3 \sum_{i < j} h_{ijj}^2 + 3 \sum_{i < j} h_{jii}^2 + 6 \sum_{i < j < k} h_{ijk}^2.$$

Write

$$\sum_{i < j} h_{ijj}^2 = \sum_{i \text{ odd} < j} h_{ijj}^2 + \sum_{i \text{ even} < j \text{ odd}} h_{ijj}^2 + \sum_{i \text{ even} < j \text{ even}} h_{ijj}^2.$$

In the first summand, it is possible that j equals i' ; thus,

$$(4.3) \quad \sum_{i < j} h_{ijj}^2 = \sum_{i \text{ odd}} h_{ii'i'}^2 + \sum_{i \text{ odd} < j \text{ odd}} (h_{ijj}^2 + h_{ij'j'}^2) + \sum_{i \text{ odd} < j \text{ odd}} h_{i'jj}^2 \\ + \sum_{i \text{ odd} < j \text{ odd}} h_{i'j'j'}^2.$$

Similarly,

$$(4.4) \quad \sum_{i < j} h_{jii}^2 = \sum_{i \text{ odd}} h_{i'ii}^2 + \sum_{i \text{ odd} < j \text{ odd}} (h_{jii}^2 + h_{j'ii}^2) + \sum_{i \text{ odd} < j \text{ odd}} h_{ji'i'}^2 \\ + \sum_{i \text{ odd} < j \text{ odd}} h_{j'i'i'}^2.$$

On the other hand,

$$(4.5) \quad \sum_{i < j < k} h_{ijk}^2 = \sum_{i \text{ odd} < j < k} h_{ijk}^2 + \sum_{i \text{ even} < j < k} h_{ijk}^2 \\ = \sum_{i \text{ odd} < k, i' < k} h_{ii'k}^2 + \sum_{i \text{ odd} < j < k, j \neq i'} h_{ijk}^2 + \sum_{i \text{ even} < j \text{ odd} < k} h_{ijk}^2 \\ + \sum_{i \text{ even} < j \text{ even} < k} h_{ijk}^2.$$

The first term on the right-hand side of (4.5) equals

$$\sum_{i \text{ odd} < j \text{ odd}} (h_{ii'j}^2 + h_{ii'j'}^2).$$

The second term on the right-hand side of (4.5) equals

$$\sum_{i \text{ odd} < j < k, j \neq i'} h_{ijk}^2 = \sum_{i \text{ odd} < j \text{ odd} < k} h_{ijk}^2 + \sum_{i \text{ odd} < j \text{ even} < k, j \neq i'} h_{ijk}^2.$$

It is possible for k to equal to j' in the first summand; thus, the second term is

$$\sum_{i \text{ odd} < j \text{ odd}} h_{ijj'}^2 + \sum_{i \text{ odd} < j \text{ odd} < k \text{ odd}} (h_{ijk}^2 + h_{ij'k'}^2 + h_{ij'k}^2 + h_{ij'k'}^2).$$

The third term on the right-hand side of (4.5) equals

$$\sum_{i \text{ even} < j \text{ odd} < k} h_{ijk}^2 = \sum_{i \text{ odd} < j \text{ odd}} h_{i'jj'}^2 + \sum_{i \text{ odd} < j \text{ odd} < k \text{ odd}} (h_{i'jk}^2 + h_{i'jk'}^2).$$

The fourth term on the right-hand side of (4.5) equals

$$\sum_{i \text{ even} < j \text{ even} < k} h_{ijk}^2 = \sum_{i \text{ odd} < j \text{ odd} < k \text{ odd}} (h_{i'j'k}^2 + h_{i'j'k'}^2).$$

Therefore,

$$\begin{aligned}
 & \sum_{i < j < k} h_{ijk}^2 \\
 &= \sum_{i \text{ odd} < j \text{ odd}} (h_{ii'j}^2 + h_{ii'j'}^2 + h_{ijj'}^2 + h_{i'jj'}^2) \\
 (4.6) \quad &+ \sum_{i \text{ odd} < j \text{ odd} < k \text{ odd}} (h_{ijk}^2 + h_{ijk'}^2 + h_{ij'k}^2 + h_{ij'k'}^2 + h_{i'jk}^2 \\
 &+ h_{i'jk'}^2 + h_{i'j'k}^2 + h_{i'j'k'}^2).
 \end{aligned}$$

Putting (4.3), (4.4), and (4.6) into (4.2), we obtain the expression for A .

We proceed to compute B and C in the same manner:

$$\begin{aligned}
 B &= -2 \sum_{i \text{ odd}} (h_{iii}h_{i'i'i} - h_{ii'i}^2) - 2 \sum_{i \text{ odd}} (h_{iii'}h_{i'i'i'} - h_{ii'i'}^2) \\
 &- 2 \sum_{i \text{ odd}, j \text{ odd}, i \neq j} (h_{ii'j}h_{i'i'j} - h_{ii'j}^2 + h_{ii'j'}h_{i'i'j'} - h_{ii'j'}^2) \\
 &= -2 \sum_{i \text{ odd}} h_{iii}h_{i'i'i} + 2 \sum_{i \text{ odd}} h_{ii'i}^2 - 2 \sum_{i \text{ odd}} h_{iii'}h_{i'i'i'} + 2 \sum_{i \text{ odd}} h_{ii'i'}^2 \\
 &- 2 \sum_{i \text{ odd} < j \text{ odd}} (h_{ii'j}h_{i'i'j} - h_{ii'j}^2 + h_{ii'j'}h_{i'i'j'} - h_{ii'j'}^2) \\
 &- 2 \sum_{i \text{ odd} < j \text{ odd}} (h_{jj'i}h_{j'j'i} - h_{jj'i}^2 + h_{jj'i'}h_{j'j'i'} - h_{jj'i'}^2).
 \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 C &= 4 \sum_{i \text{ odd} < j \text{ odd}} (h_{i'ji}h_{j'ii} - h_{ijj}h_{j'i'i}) + 4 \sum_{i \text{ odd} < j \text{ odd}} \\
 &(h_{i'j'i}h_{j'ii'} - h_{ijj'}h_{j'i'i'}) \\
 &+ 4 \sum_{i \text{ odd} < j \text{ odd}} (h_{i'jj}h_{j'ij} - h_{ijj}h_{j'i'j}) + 4 \sum_{i \text{ odd} < j \text{ odd}} \\
 &(h_{i'jj'}h_{j'ij'} - h_{ijj'}h_{j'i'j'}) \\
 &+ 4 \sum_{i \text{ odd} < j \text{ odd}} \\
 &\left[\sum_{k \text{ odd}, k \neq i, j} (h_{i'jk}h_{j'ik} - h_{ijk}h_{j'i'k} + h_{i'jk'}h_{j'ik'} - h_{ijk'}h_{j'i'k'}) \right],
 \end{aligned}$$

while

$$\begin{aligned}
& \sum_{i \text{ odd} < j \text{ odd}} \\
& \left[\sum_{k \text{ odd}, k \neq i, j} (h_{i'jk} h_{j'ik} - h_{ijk} h_{j'i'k} + h_{i'jk'} h_{j'ik'} - h_{ijk'} h_{j'i'k'}) \right] \\
= & \sum_{k \text{ odd} < i \text{ odd} < j \text{ odd}} (h_{i'jk} h_{j'ik} - h_{ijk} h_{j'i'k} + h_{i'jk'} h_{j'ik'} - h_{ijk'} h_{j'i'k'}) \\
& \sum_{i \text{ odd} < k \text{ odd} < j \text{ odd}} (h_{i'jk} h_{j'ik} - h_{ijk} h_{j'i'k} + h_{i'jk'} h_{j'ik'} - h_{ijk'} h_{j'i'k'}) \\
& \sum_{i \text{ odd} < j \text{ odd} < k \text{ odd}} (h_{i'jk} h_{j'ik} - h_{ijk} h_{j'i'k} + h_{i'jk'} h_{j'ik'} - h_{ijk'} h_{j'i'k'}) \\
= & \sum_{i \text{ odd} < j \text{ odd} < k \text{ odd}} (h_{j'ki} h_{k'ji} - h_{jki} h_{k'ji} + h_{j'ki'} h_{k'ji'} - h_{jki'} h_{k'ji'}) \\
& \sum_{i \text{ odd} < j \text{ odd} < k \text{ odd}} (h_{i'kj} h_{k'ij} - h_{ikj} h_{k'i'j} + h_{i'kj'} h_{k'ij'} - h_{ikj'} h_{k'i'j'}) \\
& \sum_{i \text{ odd} < j \text{ odd} < k \text{ odd}} (h_{i'jk} h_{j'ik} - h_{ijk} h_{j'i'k} + h_{i'jk'} h_{j'ik'} - h_{ijk'} h_{j'i'k'}).
\end{aligned}$$

References

- [1] S. Brendle, *Minimal Lagrangian diffeomorphisms between domains in the hyperbolic plane*, J. Differential Geom., **80** (2008), 1–20, MR 2434257, Zbl 1154.53034.
- [2] M. Gromov, *Pseudoholomorphic curves in symplectic manifolds*, Invent. Math., **82** (1985), 307–347, MR 0809718, Zbl 0592.53025.
- [3] G. Huisken, *Asymptotic behavior for singularities of the mean curvature flow*, J. Differential Geom., **31** (1990), no. 1, 285–299, MR 1030675, Zbl 0694.53005.
- [4] H.B. Lawson & J. Simons, *On stable curves and their application to global problems in real and complex geometry*, Ann. Math., **98** (1973), no. 3, 427–450, MR 0324529, Zbl 0283.53049.
- [5] Y.-I. Lee, *Lagrangian minimal surfaces in Kähler-Einstein surfaces of negative scalar curvature*, Comm. Anal. Geom., **2** (1994), no. 4, 579–592, MR 1336896, Zbl 0843.58024.
- [6] D. McDuff & D. Salomon, *Introduction to symplectic topology*, Oxford Mathematical Monographs. Oxford Science Publications, The Clarendon Press, Oxford University Press, New York, 1995, MR 1373431, Zbl 0844.58029.
- [7] R. Schoen, *The role of harmonic mappings in rigidity and deformation problems*, in *Complex geometry* (Osaka, 1990), 179–200, Lecture Notes in Pure and Appl. Math., 143, Dekker, New York, 1993, MR 1201611, Zbl 0806.58013.
- [8] L. Simon, *Asymptotics for a class of nonlinear evolution equations, with applications to geometric problems*, Ann. of Math. (2) **118** (1983), no. 3, 525–571, MR 0727703, Zbl 0549.35071.

- [9] S. Smale, *Diffeomorphisms of the 2-sphere*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc., **10** (1959), 621–626, MR 0112149, Zbl 0118.3910.
- [10] K. Smoczyk, *A canonical way to deform a Lagrangian submanifold*, preprint, dg-ga/9605005.
- [11] K. Smoczyk, *Angle theorems for the Lagrangian mean curvature flow*, Math. Z., **240** (2002), no. 4, 849–883, MR 1922733, Zbl 1020.53045.
- [12] M.-T. Wang, *Deforming area preserving diffeomorphism of surfaces by mean curvature flow*, Math. Res. Lett., **8** (2001), no.5–6, 651–662, MR 1879809, Zbl 1081.53056.
- [13] M.-T. Wang, *Long-time existence and convergence of graphic mean curvature flow in arbitrary codimension*, Invent. Math., **148** (2002), no. 3, 525–543, MR 1908059, Zbl 1039.53072.
- [14] M.-T. Wang, *Mean curvature flow in higher codimension*, Second International Congress of Chinese Mathematicians, 275–283, New Stud. Adv. Math., 4, Int. Press, Somerville, MA, 2004, MR 2497990.
- [15] M.-T. Wang, *Mean curvature flow of surfaces in Einstein four-manifolds*, J. Differential Geom., **57** (2001), no. 2, 301–338, MR 1879229, Zbl 1035.53094.
- [16] M.-T. Wang, *A convergence result of the Lagrangian mean curvature flow*, Third International Congress of Chinese Mathematicians. Part 1, 2, 291–295, AMS/IP Stud. Adv. Math., 42, pt. 1, 2, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 2008, MR 2409639, Zbl 1170.53046.
- [17] B. White, *A local regularity theorem for classical mean curvature flow*, Ann. of Math., (2) **161** (2005), no. 3, 1487–1519, MR 2180405, Zbl 1091.53045.
- [18] J. Wolfson, *Minimal Lagrangian diffeomorphisms and the Monge-Ampère equation*, J. Differential Geom., **46** (1997), 335–373, MR 1484047, Zbl 0926.53032.

GOLDMAN SACHS
200 WEST STREET
NEW YORK, NY 10282

MATHEMATICS DEPARTMENT
COLUMBIA UNIVERSITY
2990 BROADWAY
NEW YORK, NY 10027

E-mail address: mtwang@math.columbia.edu