# ON THE TOPOLOGY OF POSITIVELY CURVED 4-MANIFOLDS WITH SYMMETRY

### WU-YI HSIANG & BRUCE KLEINER

#### 1. Introduction

A positively curved manifold is, by definition, a complete Riemannian manifold M with everywhere positive sectional curvature. The work of Gromoll and Meyer [6] gives a thorough understanding of noncompact positively curved manifolds, so we consider only compact positively curved manifolds, henceforth denoted CPCM's. Synge's theorem [10] asserts that an even dimensional, orientable CPCM is simply connected. This theorem together with the topological classification of compact surfaces implies that a 2-dimensional, orientable CPCM is homeomorphic to  $S^2$ . Three dimensional CPCM's have been determined by Hamilton [7]; they are diffeomorphic to space forms. However, very little is known about the topology of 4-dimensional CPCM's. The known examples are homeomorphic to  $S^4$ ,  $\mathbb{R}P^4$ , and  $\mathbb{C}P^2$ , while the well-known problem of Hopf remains unsolved:

Does  $S^2 \times S^2$  admit a positively curved Riemannian metric?

The three known examples of compact 4-manifolds which admit positively curved metrics all admit *homogeneous* positively curved metrics, i.e. metrics with a lot of symmetry. Therefore it is natural to ask the following question: Which compact 4-manifolds admit positively curved Riemannian metrics with at least one infinitesimal isometry, in other words, a nontrivial Killing field? The main result of this paper answers this question.

**Theorem 1.** Let M be a 4-dimensional orientable CPCM. If M has a nontrivial Killing vector field, then M is homeomorphic to  $S^4$  or  $\mathbb{C}P^2$ .

Corollary 1. Let M be a 4-dimensional nonorientable CPCM. If M has a nontrivial Killing vector field, then M is two-fold covered by  $S^4$ .

Corollary 2.  $S^2 \times S^2$  does not admit a positively curved Riemannian metric with a nontrivial Killing field.

Technically speaking, the existence of a nontrivial Killing vector field on a compact Riemannian manifold M is equivalent to the existence of a nontrivial  $S^1$ -action on M. Let  $F(S^1, M)$  be the fixed point set of such an  $S^1$ -action on

Received January 4, 1988.

M. Then it is easy to prove that the Euler characteristic of  $F(S^1, M)$  is equal to that of M, i.e.  $\chi(F(S^1, M)) = \chi(M)$ , and each connected component of  $F(S^1, M)$  is automatically a totally geodesic submanifold. In the special case where M is a 4-dimensional orientable CPCM, we will prove in Lemma 2 that

$$F(S^1, M) = \left\{ \begin{array}{l} \chi(M) \text{ isolated points,} \\ \text{or } S^2 \cup (\chi(M) - 2 \text{ isolated points).} \end{array} \right.$$

The major task in the proof of Theorem 1 is proving that  $\chi(F(S^1, M))$  can be at most 3.

Actually, most of the techniques of this paper are equally applicable to the nonnegatively curved case. We believe that the following results are within reach:

Conjecture 1. A 4-dimensional CPCM with a nontrival Killing vector field should be diffeomorphic to  $S^4$ ,  $\mathbb{R}P^4$ , or  $\mathbb{C}P^2$ .

Conjecture 2. A compact, simply connected, nonnegatively curved 4-manifold with a nontrivial Killing vector field should be diffeomorphic to either  $S^4$ ,  $\mathbb{C}P^2$ ,  $\mathbb{C}P^2\#\pm\mathbb{C}P^2$ , or  $S^2\times S^2$ .

Of course, it is possible that these theorems would remain true without the assumption on infinitesimal symmetry, but then their proofs would require completely new ideas and techniques.

## 2. The orbital geometry of $S^1$ -Riemannian manifolds

An  $S^1$ -Riemannian manifold is, by definition, a Riemannian manifold with a given isometric  $S^1$ -action. In this section we will establish some properties of the orbital geometry of a given  $S^1$ -Riemannian manifold  $(S^1, M)$ , especially in the case that M is a 4-dimensional orientable CPCM.

**Lemma 1.** Let  $(S^1, M)$  be a compact  $S^1$ -Riemannian manifold and let F be its fixed point set. Then:

- (i) The Euler characteristic of F is equal to the Euler characteristic of M
- (ii) Each connected component of F is a totally geodesic submanifold of even codimension.

Sketch of proof. (For more details, see [8, Theorems 5.3 and 5.6].) (i) Let  $\mathbb{Z}_p$  be the unique cyclic subgroup of  $S^1$  of prime order p and let  $F(\mathbb{Z}_p, M)$  be the set of fixed points of  $\mathbb{Z}_p$  in M. It follows from the long exact sequence of the pair  $(M, F(\mathbb{Z}_p, M))$  and the additivity of the Euler characteristic that

$$\chi = \chi(F(\mathbf{Z}_p, M)) + \chi(M, F(\mathbf{Z}_p, M))$$
  

$$\equiv \chi(F(\mathbf{Z}_p, M)) \pmod{p}.$$

It is easy to see that  $F(\mathbf{Z}_p, M) = F$  for all sufficiently large primes. Hence  $\chi(F) \equiv \chi(M)$  (mod p) for all sufficiently large primes p, so  $\chi(F) = \chi(M)$ .

(ii) Let Y be a connected component of F and let  $v \in T_yY$  be an arbitrary tangent vector of Y at  $y \in Y$ . Then v is fixed under the induced  $S^1$ -action on TM. Hence from the existence of a unique geodesic with initial velocity v it follows that such a geodesic is pointwise fixed under the  $S^1$ -action, and hence belongs to Y. This proves that Y is a totally geodesic submanifold in M. Since all nontrivial irreducible orthogonal representations of  $S^1$  are two-dimensional, the codimension of Y is necessarily even. q.e.d.

From now on we will always assume, without further specification, that  $(S^1, M^4, g)$  is a 4-dimensional, orientable CPCM with a given effective  $S^1$ -action and metric tensor g.

**Lemma 2.** Let  $(S^1, M, g)$  be as above and let F be its fixed point set. Then F is nonempty and

$$F = \begin{cases} \chi(M) \text{ isolated points,} \\ \text{or } S^2 \cup (\chi(M) - 2 \text{ isolated points).} \end{cases}$$

*Proof.* Synge's theorem [10] asserts that such an even dimensional manifold is always simply connected. Therefore,

$$H_1(M) = 0$$
 and by duality  $H_3(M) = 0$ ,  
 $\chi(M) = 2 + \dim H_2(M) \ge 2$ .

Hence by Lemma 1,  $\chi(F) \geq 2$  so F is nonempty. Moreover, Frankel's theorem [4] implies that F can have at most one 2-dimensional connected component.

Suppose F contains a 2-dimensional component Y. The normal bundle of Y is oriented by the  $S^1$ -action, so Y is orientable. Being totally geodesic as well, Y is positively curved and must therefore be homeomorphic to  $S^2$ . q.e.d.

Next let us consider the geometry of the *orbit space*  $\overline{M}=M/S^1$ . We will equip  $\overline{M}$  with the orbital distance metric: the distance between two elements of  $\overline{M}$  is the distance between the corresponding orbits in M. Let  $M_0$  be the union of all the principal  $S^1$ -orbits in M and let  $\overline{M}_0=\pi(M_0)$  where  $\pi\colon M\to \overline{M}$  is the canonical surjection. We give  $\overline{M}_0$  the unique smooth structure which makes  $\pi\colon M_0\to \overline{M}_0$  a submersion, and the unique smooth Riemannian metric  $\overline{g}$  for which  $\pi\colon (M_0,g)\to (\overline{M}_0,\overline{g})$  is a Riemannian submersion.

**Lemma 3.** Suppose  $F = S^2 \cup \{\text{isolated points}\}$ . Let  $\overline{S^2} = \pi(S^2) \subset \overline{M}$ . Then the Riemannian structure  $(\overline{M}_0, \overline{g})$  extends to a Riemannian structure on  $N = \overline{M}_0 \cup \overline{S^2}$  with totally geodesic boundary  $\overline{S^2}$ . The distance function on N induced by this Riemannian structure coincides with the restriction of the orbital distance metric on  $\overline{M}$  to  $N \subseteq \overline{M}$ .

*Proof.* The local geometry of  $\overline{M}$  near a point  $\pi(y) \in \overline{S^2}$  is determined by the geometry of the local representation at  $y \in S^2$ . This representation is equivalent to

$$\phi: S^1 \times \mathbb{C}^2 \to \mathbb{C}^2; \qquad e^{i\theta}(z_1, z_2) = (z_1, e^{i\theta} z_2),$$

where  $z_1, z_2 \in \mathbb{C}$ , so the local structure of  $\overline{M}$  at  $\pi(y)$  is of the type

$$\mathbf{C}^2/S^1 \approx \mathbf{C} \times (\mathbf{C}/S^1) \simeq \mathbf{R}^2 \times \mathbf{R}_+ = \text{ a half space,}$$

i.e.,  $N = \overline{M}_0 \cup \overline{S^2}$  has a boundary structure near  $\overline{S}^2$ .

Geodesics in  $N = \overline{M}_0 \cup \overline{S^2}$  are the projections of geodesics in M which are perpendicular to the  $S^1$  orbits, so it follows that  $\overline{S}_2$  is totally geodesic in  $\overline{M}$ .

The distance function induced on N by the Riemannian structure coincides with the orbital distance metric on the dense subset  $\overline{M}_0$ , so it coincides with the orbital distance metric on all of N. q.e.d.

Let  $y \in M$  be an isolated fixed point. The slice representation at y is orthogonally equivalent to

$$\phi_{k,l} \colon S^1 \times \mathbf{C}^2 \to \mathbf{C}^2; \qquad e^{i\theta}(z_1, z_2) = (e^{ik\theta}z_1, e^{il\theta}z_2),$$

where  $z_1, z_2 \in \mathbf{C}$  and  $k, l \in \mathbf{Z}$  with g.d.c(k, l) = 1. Let  $S^3(1) \subseteq \mathbf{C}^2$  be the unit sphere and let  $d: S^3(1) \times S^3(1) \to \mathbf{R}$  be given by  $d(v, w) = \angle(v, w) =$  the angle between v and w. Let  $(X_{kl}, d_{kl})$  be the orbit space of  $(\phi_{k,l}, S^3(1), d)$  with orbital distance metric  $d_{k,l}$ .

**Lemma 4.** If  $x_1, x_2, x_3$  are arbitrary points in  $X_{k,l}$ , then

$$d_{k,l}(x_1,x_2) + d_{k,l}(x_2,x_3) + d_{k,l}(x_3,x_1) \le \pi.$$

**Proof.** The two great circles in  $S^3(1)$  given by  $z_1 = 0$  and  $z_2 = 0$  are orbits of  $\phi_{k,l}$  for all k,l with g.c.d.(k,l) = 1. Let  $\tilde{X}_{k,l} = K_{k,l} \setminus \{\text{these two orbits}\}$ .  $\tilde{X}_{k,l}$  consists of principal orbits, so we give it the Riemannian submersion metric coming from the canonical Riemannian metric on  $S^3(1)$ . We will be using the fact that this Riemannian submersion metric induces the distance function  $d_{k,l}$  on  $\tilde{X}_{k,l}$ .

In the special case where k=l=1, the projection  $\pi\colon S^3(1)\to X_{1,1}$  is the Hopf fibration and it is easily checked that  $X_{1,1}$  is isometric to a  $\mathbb{C}P^1$  with diameter  $\pi/2$ , i.e.,  $X_{1,1}$  is isometric to  $S^2(1/2)\subseteq \mathbb{E}^3$ . Hence the inequality  $d_{1,1}(x_1,x_2)+d_{1,1}(x_2,x_3)+d_{1,1}(x_3,x_1)\leq \pi$  is obvious.

We now fix  $(k, l) \neq (1, 1)$ . The isometric  $T^2$ -action

$$T^2 \times S^3(1) \to S^3(1); \qquad (e^{i\theta_1}, e^{i\theta_2}) \cdot (z_1, z_2) = (e^{i\theta_1} z_1, e^{i\theta_2} z_2)$$

induces an isometric  $S^1$ -action on the Riemannian manifold  $\tilde{X}_{k,l}$ .  $\tilde{X}_{k,l}$  is a connected noncomplete surface of revolution with diameter  $\pi/2$ , so it admits

a coordinate system  $(r,\theta)\colon \tilde{X}_{k,l}\to (0,\pi/2)\times S^1$  such that the metric in these coordinates is  $ds^2=dr^2+(f(r))^2\,d\theta^2$  where  $d\theta$  is the standard 1-form on  $S^1$ . By replacing r with  $\pi/2-r$  if necessary, we can arrange that the latitude circle r=c corresponds to the orbit space of the torus  $T^2(c)=T^2(\cos c,\sin c)\subseteq S^3(1)$ . All the  $\phi_{k,l}$  orbits in  $T^2(c)$  have the same length and the function f(r) is determined by

$$2\pi f(c)$$
 (the length of a  $\phi_{k,l}$  orbit in  $T^2(c)$ ) =  $4\pi^2 \cos c \sin c$ .

The orbits of  $\phi_{k,l}$  all have length  $\geq 2\pi$ , so  $f(c) \leq \cos c \sin c = \frac{1}{2} \sin 2c$ . Hence there is a *length nonincreasing* bijection of  $\tilde{X}_{1,1}$  onto  $\tilde{X}_{k,l}$  which assigns points in  $\tilde{X}_{1,1}$  to points in  $\tilde{X}_{k,l}$  with the same coordinates in  $(0, \pi/2) \times S^1$ . The inequality

$$d_{k,l}(x_1, x_2) + d_{k,l}(x_2, x_3) + d_{k,l}(x_3, x_1) \le \pi$$

for  $x_1, x_2, x_3 \in \tilde{X}_{k,l}$  now follows from the corresponding inequality already proved for (k, l) = (1, 1). Since  $\tilde{X}_{k,l}$  is dense in  $X_{k,l}$ , Lemma 4 follows.

**Lemma 5.** If dim F = 2, then the local representation of  $S^1$  at every isolated fixed point must be equivalent to  $\phi_{1,1}$ .

**Proof.** Let Y be the 2-dimensional component of F. Then from the local representation of  $S^1$  on  $T_yM$ ,  $y \in Y$ , it follows that there exists a tubular neighborhood of Y, say U, such that the isotropy group is trivial for all  $x \in U \setminus Y$ .

Suppose there exists an isolated fixed point  $p \in F$  such that the local representation of  $S^1$  on  $T_pM$  is equivalent to  $\phi_{k,l}$ , g.c.d. (k,l)=1 and k>1. Then  $F(\mathbf{Z}_k,M)$  contains at least two connected components of dimension 2. This contradicts the theorem of Frankel [4] which asserts that two such totally geodesic surfaces in M cannot be disjoint.

## 3. The proof of Theorem 1

Let M be a 4-dimensional orientable CPCM. Then by Synge's theorem [10] M is simply connected. We will exploit the orbital geometry of the given  $S^1$ -action to prove that  $\chi(M)$  is at most 3. It then follows directly from the work of Freedman [5] that M is homeomorphic to either  $S^4$  or  $\mathbb{C}P^2$ . By Lemmas 1 and 2,  $\chi(M) = \chi(F)$  and

$$F(M) = \begin{cases} \chi(M) \text{ isolated points,} \\ \text{or } S^2 + (\chi(M) - 2) \text{ isolated points.} \end{cases}$$

Therefore the proof of the theorem reduces to proving that F consists of at most three isolated points or  $S^2$  plus at most one more isolated point. We

will divide the proof into two cases according to  $\dim F = 0$  or 2 and we will prove each case by contradiction.

Case 1, dim F=2. Suppose  $F=S^2$  plus at least two isolated fixed points. Let p,q be two isolated fixed points and let  $\gamma$  be a minimizing geodesic segment in M joining p to q. Let  $\eta$  be a minimizing geodesic segment from  $S^2$  to  $S^1(\gamma)=$  the  $S^1$  orbit of  $\gamma$ ; hence length $(\eta)=$  dist $(S^2,S^1(\gamma))$ , and  $\eta$  has endpoints  $A\in S^2$  and  $B\in S^1(\gamma)$ . The isotropy group of the  $S^1$ -action does not vary along the interior of the minimizing segments  $\gamma$  and  $\eta$ , since otherwise they could be replaced with broken geodesic segments of the same length. Hence it follows from Lemma 5 that the interiors of  $\gamma$  and  $\eta$  lie in  $M_0=$  union of principal orbits in M.

Suppose B=p. By Lemma 5 the local representation of  $S^1$  at p is equivalent to  $\phi_{1,1}$ . Hence  $e^{i\theta} \cdot \gamma$  is perpendicular to  $\eta$  at p for all  $e^{i\theta} \in S^1$ . The second variation formula can now be applied to the geodesic segment  $\eta$  as in the proof of Frankel's theorem [4] to show that length( $\eta$ ) > dist( $S^2, S^1(\gamma)$ ). This contradicts the assumption that length( $\eta$ ) = dist( $S^2, S^1(\gamma)$ ). The same argument rules out B=q.

Now suppose B lies in the interior of  $\gamma$ . Then the isotropy group of B is trivial, forcing  $\eta \subseteq M_0 \cup S^2$ . Let  $\overline{\gamma} = \pi(\gamma \setminus \{p,q\}) \subseteq \overline{M}_0$ , and  $\overline{\eta} = \pi(\eta) \subseteq \overline{M}_0 \cup \overline{S^2} = N$ . By Lemma 3, N is a smooth Riemannian manifold with totally geodesic boundary, and since Riemannian submersions are always curvature nondecreasing (see [4]), N has sectional curvature everywhere  $\geq \delta$  for some  $\delta > 0$ . An application of the second variation formula to the geodesic segment  $\overline{\eta} \subset N$  shows once again that length( $\eta$ )  $> \operatorname{dist}(S^2, S^1(\gamma))$ , contradicting length( $\eta$ ) =  $\operatorname{dist}(S^2, S^1(\gamma))$ . Hence F can contain at most one isolated fixed point in addition to the  $S^2$ .

Case 2, dim F=0. Suppose F contains at least four isolated points,  $p_i$ ,  $1 \leq i \leq 4$ . Let  $l_{ij} = \operatorname{dist}(p_i, p_j)$  and let  $C_{ij} = \{\gamma \colon [0, l_{ij}] \to M | \gamma \text{ is a minimizing geodesic segment from } p_i \text{ to } p_j\}$ ,  $1 \leq i, j \leq 4$ . For each triple  $1 \leq i, j, k \leq 4$  set

$$\alpha_{ijk} = \min\{\angle(\gamma_j'(0), \gamma_k'(0)) | \gamma_j \in C_{ij}, \gamma_k \in C_{ik}\}.$$

Note that the minimum exists because M is compact.

**Lemma 6.** For each triple of distinct integers  $1 \le i, j, k \le 4$ ,

$$\alpha_{ijk} + \alpha_{kij} + \alpha_{jki} > \pi$$
.

*Proof.* Let us assume, for notational simplicity, that (i,j,k)=(1,2,3). Set  $1/R^2=\delta=$  minimum of sectional curvature of M. Choose  $x_1,x_2,x_3$  on  $S^2(R)$  such that the spherical triangle  $\Delta(x_1,x_2,x_3)$  has  $l_{12},l_{23},l_{31}$  as its three lengths. Applying Toponogov's theorem [11] to an arbitrary triangle

with  $\gamma_{12} \in C_{12}$ ,  $\gamma_{23} \in C_{23}$ ,  $\gamma_{13} \in C_{13}$  as its three sides, one gets

$$\angle(\gamma_{12}'(0),\gamma_{13}'(0)) \ge \angle(\overline{x_1}\overline{x_2},\overline{x_1}\overline{x_3}),$$

and hence, by the definition of  $\alpha_{123}$ , that  $\alpha_{123} \geq \angle(\overline{x_1x_3}, \overline{x_1x_3})$ . Therefore  $\alpha_{123} + \alpha_{312} + \alpha_{231} \geq$  the sum of interior angles of  $\Delta(x_1, x_2, x_3) > \pi$ . q.e.d. From the above lemma it follows easily that

$$\sum_{1 \le i \le 4} \sum_{\substack{1 \le j < k \le 4 \\ i, k \ne i}} \alpha_{ijk} > 4\pi.$$

But, on the other hand, from Lemma 4 it is easily seen that

$$\sum_{\substack{1 \le j < k \le 4 \\ i, k \ne i}} \alpha_{ijk} \le \pi \quad \text{ for each } 1 \le i \le 4,$$

which gives a contradiction. Therefore F can have at most three isolated points when dim F = 0. This completes the proof of the theorem.

## References

- [1] J. Cheeger, Some examples of manifolds of nonnegative curvature, J. Differential Geometry 8 (1973), 623-628.
- [2] J. Cheeger & D. Ebin, Comparison theorems in Riemannian geometry, North-Holland, Amsterdam, 1975.
- [3] S. S. Chern, Differential geometry, its past and future, International Congress of Mathematicians, Nice, 1970.
- [4] T. Frankel, Manifolds with positive curvature, Pacific J. Math. 11 (1961), 165-174.
- [5] M. H. Freedman, The topology of four-dimensional manifolds, J. Differential Geometry 17 (1982) no. 3, 357-453.
- [6] D. Gromoll & W. Meyer, On complete open manifolds of positive curvature, Ann. of Math. (2) 90 (1969) 45-90.
- [7] R. Hamilton, Three-manifolds with positive Ricci curvature, J. Differential Geometry 17 (1982) no. 2, 255-306.
- [8] S. Kobayashi, Transformation groups in differential geometry, Springer, New York, 1972.
- [9] B. O'Neill, The fundamental equations of a submersion, Michigan J. Math. 13 (1966), 459-469.
- [10] J. L. Synge, On the connectivity of spaces of positive curvature, Quart. J. Math. Oxford Ser. 7 (1936), 316-320.
- [11] V. A. Toponogov, Riemannian spaces with curvature bounded below, Uspehi Mat. Nauk. 14 (1959) no. 1, pp. 87-130.

UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA, BERKELEY