

## REGULARITY OF ISOMETRIC IMMERSIONS OF POSITIVELY CURVED RIEMANNIAN MANIFOLDS AND ITS ANALOGY WITH CR GEOMETRY

CHONG-KYU HAN

### Abstract

Let  $M$  be an  $n$ -dimensional Riemannian manifold and  $F$  be an isometric immersion of  $M$  into  $\mathbf{R}^{n+1}$ . It is shown that under certain conditions on the sign of principal curvatures of  $F(M)$ ,  $F$  satisfies an over-determined system of elliptic partial differential equations after one adds the scalar curvature equation. As a corollary, if  $M$  is an analytic manifold of positive sectional curvature,  $F$  is analytic and uniquely determined by  $F(P)$  and  $dF(P)$  at a reference point  $P$  of  $M$ . An analogous problem in CR geometry is proposed.

### 0. Introduction and statement of the main results

We are concerned in this paper with the regularity and the uniqueness of isometric immersions of  $n$ -dimensional Riemannian manifolds into  $\mathbf{R}^{n+1}$ . We deal with analytic ( $C^\omega$ ) manifolds. However, one can get a  $C^\infty$  version of this paper by replacing every  $C^\omega$  by  $C^\infty$ . Consider first the following well-known fact: If  $M$  is a  $C^\omega$  connected Riemannian manifold and  $F$  is a continuously differentiable isometry of  $M$  onto another  $C^\omega$  Riemannian manifold  $\tilde{M}$ , then  $F$  is  $C^\omega$ . Moreover, if  $O$  is a point of  $M$ , then  $F$  is uniquely determined by  $F(O)$  and the first partial derivatives of  $F$  at  $O$ . The reason is that locally  $F$  can be expressed as a linear mapping between the normal coordinates of  $M$  and  $\tilde{M}$  near  $O$  and  $F(O)$ , respectively. Analyticity and uniqueness with respect to the initial data at one point follow from the viewpoint of the local equivalence problem also under the assumption  $F \in C^2$  (cf. [2] and [4]). Our question is whether one can remove the hypothesis of analyticity of  $M$  when  $\tilde{M}$  is a hypersurface in a Euclidean space; namely,

**Question 1.** Let  $M$  be an  $n$ -dimensional  $C^\omega$  Riemannian manifold and  $F = (f^1, \dots, f^{n+1})$  be a  $C^k$ ,  $k \gg 0$ , isometric immersion of  $M$  into  $\mathbf{R}^{n+1}$ . Then will  $F$  be  $C^\omega$ ? And will  $F$  be uniquely determined by  $F(O)$  and the first partial derivatives of  $F$  at a point?

The following example shows that if  $M$  is flat,  $F$  is neither  $C^\omega$  nor determined by its partial derivatives at a point.

**Example 1.** Let  $\gamma(s) = (y^1(s), y^2(s))$  be a plane curve parametrized by arclength  $s$ . If  $\gamma$  is  $C^\infty$  but not  $C^\omega$ , the mapping  $(s, t) \rightarrow (y^1(s), y^2(s), t)$  is a  $C^\infty$  isometric immersion of  $\mathbf{R}^2$  into  $\mathbf{R}^3$ , which is not  $C^\omega$ . Thus we see that certain curvature conditions must be imposed. We here prove

**Theorem 1.** *Let  $M$  be a  $C^\omega$  Riemannian manifold of dimension  $n \geq 2$  and let  $F = (f^1, \dots, f^{n+1})$  be a  $C^2$  isometric immersion of  $M$  into  $\mathbf{R}^{n+1}$ . Let  $O \in M$ ,  $\tilde{O} = F(O)$  and  $\tilde{M} = F(M)$ . Let  $\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_n$  be the principal curvatures of  $\tilde{M}$  at  $\tilde{O}$  and let*

$$\Lambda_k = \sum_{j \neq k} \lambda_j \quad \text{for each } k = 1, \dots, n.$$

*Suppose that each  $\lambda_j, j = 1, \dots, n$ , is nonzero and  $\Lambda_1, \dots, \Lambda_n$  are all positive or all negative. Then  $F$  is  $C^\omega$  on a neighborhood of  $O$ .*

The idea of the proof is to show that  $(f^1, \dots, f^{n+1})$  satisfies a system of nonlinear partial differential equations of second order, where each equation is  $C^\omega$  in its arguments and the system is elliptic at  $(f^1, \dots, f^{n+1})$ . Then the analyticity of  $F$  follows from the theory of elliptic partial differential equations (cf. [7, p. 15]). A detailed proof will be presented in §1. In the statement of Theorem 1,  $\mathbf{R}^{n+1}$  can be replaced by a  $C^\omega$  Riemannian manifold of dimension  $n + 1$ , which can be proved by a slight modification of our proof of Theorem 1.

By combining Theorem 1 and classical rigidity theorems for hypersurfaces in  $\mathbf{R}^{n+1}$  we can prove the following theorems on the regularity and uniqueness of isometric immersions.

**Theorem 2.** *Suppose that  $M$  is a  $C^\omega$  connected Riemannian manifold of dimension  $n \geq 3$  of positive sectional curvature and  $F: M \rightarrow \mathbf{R}^{n+1}$  is a  $C^2$  isometric immersion. Then  $F$  is  $C^\omega$ . Moreover, if  $F'$  is another such isometric immersion there exists an isometry  $\tau$  of  $\mathbf{R}^{n+1}$  such that  $F' = \tau \circ F$ .*

**Theorem 3.** *Suppose that  $M$  is a 2-dimensional compact  $C^\omega$  Riemannian manifold of positive Gaussian curvature and  $F: M \rightarrow \mathbf{R}^3$  is a  $C^2$  isometric immersion. Then  $F$  is  $C^\omega$ . Moreover, if  $F'$  is another such isometric immersion there exists an isometry  $\tau$  of  $\mathbf{R}^3$  such that  $F' = \tau \circ F$ .*

## 1. Proof of the theorems

*Proof of Theorem 1.* Showing analyticity of a mapping is a local problem, so let  $M$  be a "germ" of a  $C^\omega$  manifold at a reference point  $O \in M$ . Let  $(y^1, \dots, y^{n+1})$  be the standard coordinates of  $\mathbf{R}^{n+1}$  and write  $F =$

$(f^1, \dots, f^{n+1})$  coordinatewise. We may assume that  $\tilde{O}$  is the origin of  $\mathbf{R}^{n+1}$  and  $\tilde{M}$  is tangent to the plane  $y^{n+1} = 0$ . Let  $N$  be a unit normal vector field of  $\tilde{M}$  and  $\tilde{A}$  be the second fundamental form; namely,

$$\tilde{A}(X, Y) \equiv \langle \nabla'_X N, Y \rangle \quad \forall \text{ tangent vectors } X, Y \text{ of } \tilde{M} \text{ at } \tilde{O},$$

where  $\nabla'$  is the covariant differentiation of  $\mathbf{R}^{n+1}$ . The eigenvalues  $\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_n$  of the linear transformation  $v \rightarrow \nabla'_v N$  are called the principal curvatures at  $\tilde{O}$ . Let  $v_1, \dots, v_n$  be the orthonormal eigenvectors which correspond to the principal curvatures  $\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_n$ . Let  $\{e_1, \dots, e_n\}$  be an orthonormal frame over  $M$  such that  $F_*e_j = v_j$  at  $\tilde{O}$ . We see that

$$\tilde{e}_j \equiv F_*e_j = \sum_{\nu=1}^{n+1} (e_j f^\nu) \circ F^{-1} \partial / \partial y_\nu.$$

We may assume further that

$$\tilde{e}_j = \partial / \partial y_j \quad \text{at } \tilde{O}, \quad j = 1, \dots, n.$$

Then we have

$$(1.1) \quad e_j f^\nu(O) = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } j \neq \nu, \\ 1 & \text{if } j = \nu. \end{cases}$$

Now let  $(\tilde{\eta}_1, \dots, \tilde{\eta}_{n+1})$  be the components of  $N$  and let  $\eta_j = \tilde{\eta}_j \circ F$ . To express  $\eta_j$  in terms of partial derivatives of  $(f^1, \dots, f^{n+1})$  consider the matrix

$$P \equiv \begin{bmatrix} e_1 f^1 & \dots & e_1 f^{n+1} \\ \vdots & & \vdots \\ e_n f^1 & \dots & e_n f^{n+1} \\ \eta_1 & & \eta_{n+1} \end{bmatrix} \in O(n).$$

We may assume that  $\eta_{n+1}(0) = 1$  so that  $\det P = 1$ . Choose a local coordinate system  $(x_1, \dots, x_n)$  of  $M$  such that  $e_j = \partial / \partial x_j$  at  $0, j = 1, \dots, n$ . Since  $M^{-1} = M^t$ , each  $\eta_j$  is equal to its cofactor in  $P$ . Thus we have

$$(1.2) \quad \eta_j = (e_j f^{n+1})B_j + \sum_{\lambda \neq j} e_\lambda f^{n+1} \zeta_{j\lambda}, \quad j = 1, \dots, n,$$

and  $\eta_{n+1} = (e_1 f^1) \dots (e_n f^n) + \zeta$ , where  $B_j, \zeta_{j\lambda}, \zeta$  are  $C^\omega$  functions in  $(x, D^\alpha f^i: i \neq n+1, |\alpha| \leq 1)$  such that  $B_j = 1, \zeta_{j\lambda} = 0$  and  $\zeta = 0$  at  $(0, D^\alpha f^i(0))$ .

Now let  $A(x) = [A_{ij}(x)]$  be the symmetric matrix defined by

$$A_{ij}(x) = \tilde{A}(\tilde{e}_i, \tilde{e}_j) \circ F = \langle \nabla'_{\tilde{e}_i} N, \tilde{e}_j \rangle \circ F.$$

We express  $A_{ij}(x)$  in terms of  $(f^1, \dots, f^{n+1})$  and their partial derivatives:

$$\nabla'_{\tilde{e}_i} N = (\tilde{e}_i \tilde{\eta}_1, \dots, \tilde{e}_i \tilde{\eta}_{n+1}) = (e_i \eta_1, \dots, e_i \eta_{n+1}) \circ F^{-1}.$$

But by (1.1) and (1.2) we have

$$e_i \eta_k = (e_i e_k f^{n+1}) B_k + \sum_{\lambda \neq k} (e_i e_\lambda f^{n+1}) \zeta_{k\lambda} + C_{ik}, \quad k = 1, \dots, n,$$

and  $e_i \eta_{n+1} = C_{i,n+1}$ , where each  $C_{ik}$  and  $C_{i,n+1}$  are  $C^\omega$  functions of  $(x, D^\alpha f^i: i \neq n+1, |\alpha| \leq 2)$ , and thus we see that

$$(1.3) \quad A_{ij}(x) = (e_i e_j f^{n+1}) B_j (e_j f^j) + \sum (e_\lambda e_\mu f^\nu) \zeta'_{\lambda\mu},$$

where each  $\zeta'_{\lambda\mu}$  is a  $C^\omega$  function in  $(x, D^\alpha f^i: |\alpha| \leq 1)$ , which vanishes at  $(0, D^\alpha f^i(0))$ . Since  $\tilde{e}_j = v_j$  at  $\tilde{O}$ ,  $j = 1, \dots, n$ , which is the eigenvector of the linear transformation  $v \rightarrow \nabla'_v N$ , we have

$$(1.4) \quad A_{ij}(0) = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } i \neq j, \\ \lambda_j & \text{if } i = j. \end{cases}$$

Now let  $S$  and  $\tilde{S}$  be the scalar curvatures of  $M$  and  $\tilde{M}$ , respectively. Since  $F$  is an isometry,  $S(x) = \tilde{S}(F(x))$ . Let  $\det(A(x) - \lambda I) = \sum_{k=0}^n a_k(x) \lambda^k$  be the characteristic polynomial of  $A$ . Then  $\tilde{S}(F(x)) = 2a_2(x)$  (cf. [6]). But

$$\frac{1}{2} S(x) = \frac{1}{2} \tilde{S}(F(x)) = a_2(x) = \sum_{i < j} A_{ii} A_{jj} + \sum A_{qm} A_{q'm'},$$

where each term in the second sum involves a nondiagonal entry, therefore vanishes at  $O$  by (1.4). Substituting (1.3) for the  $A_{ij}$ 's we have

$$(1.5) \quad \begin{aligned} \frac{1}{2} S(x) &= \sum_{i < j} (e_i e_i f^{n+1})(e_j e_j f^{n+1}) B_i B_j (e_i f^i)(e_j f^j) \\ &\quad + \sum (e_\lambda e_\mu f^\nu)(e_{\lambda'} e_{\mu'} f^{\nu'}) \zeta'_{\lambda\mu\lambda'\mu'}, \end{aligned}$$

where each  $\zeta'_{\lambda\mu\lambda'\mu'}$  is a  $C^\omega$  function in  $(x, D^\alpha f^i: |\alpha| \leq 1)$ , which vanishes at  $(0, D^\alpha f^i(0))$ . (1.5) is an equation for  $(f^1, \dots, f^{n+1})$ . To get other equations, we observe that the first  $n$  rows of  $P$  are orthonormal and therefore  $(e_i f^1)(e_j f^1) + \dots + (e_i f^{n+1})(e_j f^{n+1}) = \delta_{ij}$  (Kronecker's delta).

Apply  $e_i$  to the above to get

$$(1.6) \quad \begin{aligned} (e_i e_i f^1)(e_j f^1) + (e_i f^1)(e_i e_j f^1) + \dots + (e_i e_i f^{n+1})(e_j f^{n+1}) \\ + (e_i f^{n+1})(e_i e_j f^{n+1}) = 0. \end{aligned}$$

We shall show that the system of equations (1.6) with  $i, j = 1, \dots, n$  and (1.5) is elliptic at  $(f^1, \dots, f^{n+1})$ . Express (1.6) and (1.5) in terms of coordinates  $(x_1, \dots, x_n)$ .

$$\begin{aligned}
 (1.6') \quad & \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial x_i}\right)^2 f^1 \frac{\partial f^1}{\partial x_j} + \frac{\partial f^1}{\partial x_i} \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial x_i} \frac{\partial}{\partial x_j} f^1\right) \\
 & + \dots + \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial x_i}\right)^2 f^{n+1} \frac{\partial f^{n+1}}{\partial x_j} + \frac{\partial f^{n+1}}{\partial x_i} \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial x_i} \frac{\partial}{\partial x_j} f^{n+1}\right) \\
 & + \sum_{\lambda\mu\nu} \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial x_\lambda} \frac{\partial}{\partial x_\mu} f^\nu\right) \zeta_{\lambda\mu}^\nu \equiv H_{ij}(x, D^\alpha f^k) = 0,
 \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 (1.5') \quad & \sum_{i < j} \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial x_i}\right)^2 f^{n+1} \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial x_j}\right)^2 f^{n+1} B_i B_j \frac{\partial f^i}{\partial x_i} \frac{\partial f^j}{\partial x_j} \\
 & + \sum \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial x_\lambda} \frac{\partial}{\partial x_\mu} f^\nu\right) \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial x'_\lambda} \frac{\partial}{\partial x'_\mu} f^{\nu'}\right) \zeta_{\lambda\mu\lambda'\mu'}^{\nu\nu'} - \frac{1}{2} S(x) \\
 & \equiv H(x, D^\alpha f^k) = 0,
 \end{aligned}$$

where each  $\zeta_{\lambda\mu}^\nu, \zeta_{\lambda\mu\lambda'\mu'}^{\nu\nu'}$  is a  $C^\omega$  function of  $(x, D^\alpha f^k: |\alpha| \leq 1)$  and vanishes at  $(0, D^\alpha f^k(0))$ . These  $\zeta$ 's are different from the  $\zeta$ 's that previously appeared. Consider the linear partial differential operators  $L_{ij}$  and  $L$  defined by

$$L_{ij}w = \sum_{\substack{|\alpha| \leq 2 \\ k=1, \dots, n+1}} \frac{\partial H_{ij}}{\partial (D^\alpha f^k)} D^\alpha w^k, \quad Lw = \sum_{\substack{|\alpha| \leq 2 \\ k=1, \dots, n+1}} \frac{\partial H}{\partial (D^\alpha f^k)} D^\alpha w^k,$$

where  $w = (w^1, \dots, w^{n+1})$ . Then  $L_{ij}$  and  $Lw$  are of the following form:

$$\begin{aligned}
 (1.7) \quad & L_{ij}w = E_{ij} \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial x_i}\right)^2 w^j + G_{ij} \frac{\partial}{\partial x_i} \frac{\partial}{\partial x_j} w^i \\
 & + \sum \zeta_{\lambda\mu}^\nu \frac{\partial}{\partial x_\lambda} \frac{\partial}{\partial x_\mu} w^\nu + \text{lower order terms},
 \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 (1.8) \quad & Lw = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{j \neq i} \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial x_j}\right)^2 f^{n+1} K_{ij} \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial x_i}\right)^2 w^{n+1} \\
 & + \sum \tilde{\zeta}_{\lambda\mu}^\nu \frac{\partial}{\partial x_\lambda} \frac{\partial}{\partial x_\mu} w^\nu + \text{lower order terms},
 \end{aligned}$$

where  $E_{ij}, G_{ij}, K_{ij}$  are  $C^\omega$  functions in  $(x, D^\alpha f^k: |\alpha| \leq 1)$  with values 1 at  $(0, D^\alpha f^k(0))$ , each  $\zeta_{\lambda\mu}^\nu$  is a  $C^\omega$  function of  $(x, D^\alpha f^k: |\alpha| \leq 1)$  which vanishes

at  $(0, D^\alpha f^k(0))$  and each  $\tilde{\zeta}_{\lambda\mu}^\nu$  is a  $C^\omega$  function of  $(x, D^\alpha f^k: |\alpha| \leq 2)$  which vanishes at  $(0, D^\alpha f^k(0))$ . These  $\zeta$ 's are different from those which appeared previously. Consider the principal symbol  $\sigma(x, \xi)$  of the system (1.7), (1.8) (cf. [7]).  $\sigma(x, \xi)$  is a matrix of size  $(n^2 + 1) \times (n + 1)$ . We decompose  $\sigma(x, \xi)$  into  $n + 1$  blocks as

$$\sigma(x, \xi) = \begin{bmatrix} \sigma_1(x, \xi) \\ \vdots \\ \sigma_n(x, \xi) \\ \sigma_{n+1}(x, \xi) \end{bmatrix},$$

where  $\sigma_j(x, \xi)$ ,  $j = 1, \dots, n$ , is the principal symbol matrix of the system (1.7) with  $i = 1, \dots, n$  and fixed  $j$ , and  $\sigma_{n+1}$  is that of (1.8). Then for  $j = 1, \dots, n$ ,

$$\sigma_j(0, \xi) = \begin{bmatrix} \xi_1 \xi_j & 0 & \cdots & 0 & \xi_1^2 & 0 & \cdots & \cdots & 0 \\ 0 & \xi_2 \xi_j & \cdots & 0 & \xi_2^2 & 0 & \cdots & \cdots & 0 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots \\ 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 & \xi_n^2 & 0 & \cdots & \xi_n \xi_j & 0 \end{bmatrix}_{n \times (n+1)}$$

$j$ th column

Thus we see that  $\forall \xi \neq 0$  the first  $n$  columns of  $\sigma(0, \xi)$  are linearly independent. But the last entry of  $\sigma_{n+1}(0, \xi)$  is

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{1}{2} \sum_{j=1}^n \left( \sum_{i \neq j} \frac{\partial^2 f^{n+1}}{\partial x_i^2}(0) \right) \xi_j^2 \\ & = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{j=1}^n \left( \sum_{i \neq j} e_i e_i f^{n+1}(0) \right) \xi_j^2, \end{aligned}$$

where  $e_i e_i f^{n+1}(0) = A_{ii}(0) = \lambda_i$ , by (1.3) and (1.4),

$$= \frac{1}{2} \sum_{j=1}^n \left( \sum_{i \neq j} \lambda_i \right) \xi_j^2 = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{j=1}^n \Lambda_j \xi_j^2,$$

which is nonzero  $\forall \xi \neq 0$  by the hypothesis of the theorem. Therefore,  $(n + 1)$  columns of  $\sigma(0, \xi)$  are linearly independent.

Now regard  $\sigma(x, \xi)$  as a matrix valued function on  $\Omega \times S^{n-1}$ , where  $\Omega$  is a neighborhood of the origin of  $\mathbf{R}^n$ . Since  $S^{n-1}$  is compact we see that there is a neighborhood  $\Omega' \subset \Omega$  of the origin of  $\mathbf{R}^n$  so that  $\sigma(x, \xi)$  has rank  $n + 1$ ,  $\forall x \in \Omega', \forall \xi \in S^{n-1}$ . This completes the proof of Theorem 1.

Let  $v_j$ ,  $j = 1, \dots, n$ , be as in the proof of Theorem 1. Then the sectional curvature  $K(v_i \wedge v_j)$  of the plane  $v_i \wedge v_j$  is given by  $K(v_i \wedge v_j) = \lambda_i \lambda_j$  (cf. [6]). Therefore, if  $M$  (and hence  $\tilde{M}$ ) has positive sectional curvature all the

principal curvatures  $\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_n$  are of the same sign. Thus, analyticity of  $F$  in Theorems 2 and 3 follows from Theorem 1. The uniqueness part of Theorems 2 and 3 follows from the following rigidity theorems. Recall that a hypersurface  $M_1$  is said to be rigid if for any isometry  $\tau_0$  of  $M_1$  onto another hypersurface  $M_2$  there exists an isometry  $\tau$  of  $\mathbf{R}^{n+1}$  such that  $\tau_0 = \tau$  on  $M_1$ .

**Theorem** [5, p. 120]. *If  $n \geq 3$  and  $M$  is an oriented hypersurface in  $\mathbf{R}^{n+1}$  with positive sectional curvature, then  $M$  is rigid.*

**Theorem** (Cohn-Vossen [5, p. 122]). *A compact surface of positive Gaussian curvature is rigid.*

## 2. Analogy with CR geometry

The author has been motivated from the following analogous problem in CR geometry. We refer to [3] for definitions.

**Question 2.** Let  $M$  be a  $C^\omega$  CR manifold of dimension  $2n + d$  of CR codimension  $d$  and  $F: M \rightarrow \mathbf{C}^{n+d}$  is a CR immersion of differentiability  $C^k$ ,  $k \gg 0$ . Then will  $F$  be  $C^\omega$ ?

The following example shows that certain "curvature" conditions must be imposed on  $M$ .

**Example 2.** Let  $M = \mathbf{C}^1 \times \mathbf{R}^1 = \{(x+iy, t)\}$  and let  $\gamma(t) = u(t) + iv(t)$  be a  $C^\infty$ , but not  $C^\omega$ , complex valued function. Then the mapping  $(x+iy, t) \rightarrow (x+iy, \gamma(t)) \in \mathbf{C}^2$  is a  $C^\infty$  CR immersion which is not  $C^\omega$ . Observe that  $M$  is Levi flat.

Let us now consider the cases where  $M$  is a  $C^\omega$  hypersurface in  $\mathbf{C}^{n+d}$ . Let  $F = (f^1, \dots, f^{n+d})$  be a system of CR functions of  $M$  where  $dF$  is of the maximal rank at each point of  $M$ . We shall call such  $F$  a local CR diffeomorphism instead of CR immersion. Then the following are equivalent:

- (i) Every  $C^k$  local CR diffeomorphism  $F$  is  $C^\omega$ .
- (ii) For a  $C^k$  local CR diffeomorphism  $F$  and  $P \in M$ , there exist a neighborhood  $\Omega_F$  of  $P$  in  $\mathbf{C}^{n+d}$  so that  $F$  extends to a biholomorphic mapping of  $\Omega_F$ .
- (iii) For a  $C^k$  CR function  $f$  and  $P \in M$ , there exists a neighborhood  $\Omega_f$  of  $P$  in  $\mathbf{C}^{n+d}$  so that  $f$  extends to a holomorphic function of  $\Omega_f$ .

See [1] for related results.

## References

- [1] M. S. Baouendi, H. Jacobowitz & F. Trèves, *On the analyticity of CR mappings*, Ann. of Math. (2) **122** (1985) 365–400.
- [2] D. Burns & S. Shnider, *Real hypersurfaces in complex manifolds*, Proc. Sympos. Pure Math., vol. 30, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 1976, 141–167.

- [3] S. S. Chern & J. K. Moser, *Real hypersurfaces in complex manifolds*, Acta Math. **133** (1974) 219–271.
- [4] C. K. Han, *Regularity of mappings of G-structures of Frobenius type*, to appear.
- [5] N. J. Hicks, *Notes on differential geometry*, Chapter 8, Van Nostrand, New York, 1974.
- [6] S. Kobayashi & K. Nomizu, *Foundations of differential geometry*, Vol. 2, Interscience, New York, 1969, Chapter 7.
- [7] L. Nirenberg, *Lectures on linear partial differential equations*, CBMS Regional Conf. Ser. in Math., Vol. 17, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 1972.

TEXAS TECH UNIVERSITY