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#### Abstract

For a closed 4-manifold $X$, any knot $K$ in the boundary of punctured $X$ bounds a non-orientable and null-homologous embedded surface in punctured $X$. Thus we can define an invariant $\gamma_{X}^{0}(K)$ to be the smallest first Betti number of such surfaces. Note that $\gamma_{S^{4}}^{0}$ is equal to the non-orientable 4-ball genus. While it is very likely that for a given $X, \gamma_{X}^{0}$ has no upper bound, it is difficult to show it. Recently, Batson showed that $\gamma_{S^{4}}^{0}$ has no upper bound. In this paper we show that for any positive integer $n, \gamma_{n \mathbf{C} P^{2}}^{0}$ has no upper bound.


## 1. Introduction

Throughout this paper, we assume that all manifolds and embedding dealt in this paper are smooth. Moreover, we assume that all 4 -manifolds are orientable, oriented and simplyconnected, and all surfaces are compact. If $X$ is a closed 4-manifold, punc $X$ denotes $X$ with an open 4-ball deleted.

Let $X$ be a closed 4 -manifold and $K$ a knot in $\partial$ (punc $X$ ). We say that $K$ bounds $F$ in $\partial$ (punc $X$ ) if $F$ is a surface embedded in punc $X$ with boundary $K$. For a given 4-manifold $X$ and a second homology class of punc $X$, the set which consists of the diffeomorphism types of embedding surfaces representing the class and that $K$ bounds, is a significant invariant of the isotopy type of $K$. In the simplest case that $X=S^{4}$ and the embedded surfaces are all restricted to orientable surfaces, such an invariant has been studied as 4 -ball genus $g_{4}$ by many topologists. For a knot $K$ in $\partial($ punc $X) \cong S^{3}$, it is natural to ask which kinds of surfaces $K$ can bound.

In this paper, we focus on non-orientable surfaces embedded in punc $X$ with boundary $K$. It is known that for any homology class $\xi \in H_{2}$ (punc $X, \partial$ (punc $X$ ); $\mathbf{Z}_{2}$ ) and any knot $K$ in $\partial$ (punc $X$ ), $K$ bounds a non-orientable surface which represents $\xi$. Hence we can define $\gamma_{X}(K, \xi)$ to be the smallest first Betti number of any non-orientable surface embedded in punc $X$ with boundary $K$ which represents $\xi$. In particular, we investigate the smallest number

$$
\gamma_{X}(K):=\min \left\{\gamma_{X}(K, \xi) \mid \xi \in H_{2}\left(\text { punc } X, \partial(\operatorname{punc} X) ; \mathbf{Z}_{2}\right)\right\}
$$

and $\gamma^{0}(K):=\gamma_{X}(K, 0)$ in this paper, since they can be defined for any 4-manifold $X$ and characterize $X$ from the viewpoint of knot theory. Moreover, both $\gamma_{S^{4}}(K)$ and $\gamma_{S^{4}}^{0}(K)$ are equal to the non-orientable 4-ball genus $\gamma_{4}(K)$, which is the smallest first Betti number of any non-orientable surface embedded in $B^{4}$ with boundary $K$. Hence $\gamma_{X}(K)$ and $\gamma_{X}^{0}(K)$ are generalizations of $\gamma_{4}(K)$.

While $\gamma_{4}$ has been investigated since 1975 [9], it is still a difficult problem to evaluate $\gamma_{4}$. In fact, it had been unknown whether or not $\gamma_{4}$ has an upper bound until recently. An excellent reference for related studies is [2]. In 2012, Batson proved that $\gamma_{4}$ has no upper bound by establishing the following inequality.

Theorem 1 ([1]). Let $K \subset S^{3}$ be a knot. Then

$$
\gamma_{4}(K) \geq \frac{-\sigma(K)}{2}+d\left(S_{1}^{3}(K)\right)
$$

where $\sigma(K)$ denotes the signature of $K$ and $d\left(S_{1}^{3}(K)\right)$ the Heegaard-Floer d-invariant of the 1 -surgery along $K$.

The definition of the $(p / q)$-surgery $S_{p / q}^{3}(K)$ along $K$ will be given at the last of this section.

In particular, Batson showed that $\gamma_{4}\left(T_{2 k, 2 k-1}\right)=\gamma_{4}\left(T_{-2 k, 2 k-1}\right)=k-1$ for any positive integer $k$, where $T_{p, q}$ denotes the right handed $(p, q)$-torus knot.

On the other hand, we can see that $T_{-2 k, 2 k-1}$ bounds a null-homologous embedded Möbius band in punc $\mathbf{C} P^{2}$ as follows. We first consider a Möbius band properly embedded in $B^{4}$ with boundary the unknot. Then the boundary of this surface can be deformed to $T_{2 k, 1}$ by an isotopy. After attaching the ( +1 )-framed 2-handle and handle sliding as in Figure 1, we have the desired surface in punc $\mathbf{C} P^{2}$. Note that $T_{2 k, 2 k-1}$ bounds a null-homologous embedded Möbius band in punc $\overline{\mathbf{C} P^{2}}$. This fact implies that $\gamma_{X}$ and $\gamma_{X}^{0}$ largely depend on the choice of $X$.

In this paper, we consider the existence problem of upper bounds of non-orientable $X$ genera for a general 4-manifold $X$.


FIGURE 1. $T_{-2 k, 2 k-1}$ bounds a null-homologous embedded Möbius band in punc $\mathbf{C} P^{2}$.

Problem 1. For a given 4-manifold $X$, do $\gamma_{X}$ and $\gamma_{X}^{0}$ have upper bounds?
In the case of $\gamma_{X}$, it is known that there exist infinitely many 4-manifolds which give the affirmative answer of Problem 1. In fact, Suzuki [8] and Norman [3] proved that if $X$ is diffeomorphic to $S^{2} \times S^{2}$ or $\mathbf{C} P^{2} \# \overline{\mathbf{C} P^{2}}$, then any knot bounds an embedded disk $D$ in punc $X$. Moreover, by taking the connected sum of (punc $X, D),\left(S^{4}, \mathbf{R} P^{2}\right)$ and the pair $(N, \emptyset)$ of any closed 4-manifold $N$ and the empty set, we see that any knot which bounds an embedded disk in punc $X$ also bounds an embedded Möbius band in punc $(X \# N)$. This implies that if $X$ is diffeomorphic to $\left(S^{2} \times S^{2}\right) \# N$ or $\mathbf{C} P^{2} \# \overline{\mathbf{C} P^{2}} \# N$ for a closed 4-manifold $N$, then the value of $\gamma_{X}$ is always 1 . We note that these results have been restricted to indefinite 4 -manifolds (i.e., 4-manifolds with indefinite intersection forms).

Now, if $X$ is a definite 4-manifold, then do $\gamma_{X}$ and $\gamma_{X}^{0}$ have upper bounds? In this paper, we give the negative answer for Problem 1 in the case of $\gamma_{n \mathbf{C} P^{2}}^{0}$.

THEOREM 2. For any $n, k \in \mathbf{N}$, there exists a knot $K$ such that $\gamma_{n \mathbf{C} P^{2}}^{0}(K)=k$.
We prove Theorem 2 by extending Batson's inequality to the case of $\gamma_{n \mathbf{C} P^{2}}^{0}$ as follows.
THEOREM 3. Let $K \subset S^{3}$ be a knot and $n \in \mathbf{N}$. Then we have

$$
\gamma_{n \mathbf{C} P^{2}}^{0}(K) \geq \frac{-\sigma(K)}{2}+d\left(S_{1}^{3}(K)\right)-n
$$

Moreover, since $-\sigma\left(T_{2 k, 2 k-1}\right)=\sigma\left(T_{-2 k, 2 k-1}\right)=2 k^{2}-2, d\left(S_{1}^{3}\left(T_{2 k, 2 k-1}\right)\right)=-k^{2}+k$ and $d\left(S_{1}^{3}\left(T_{-2 k, 2 k-1}\right)\right)=0$, it follows that

$$
\gamma_{\mathbf{C} P^{2}}^{0}\left(T_{2 k, 2 k-1}\right) \geq k-2 \text { and } \gamma_{\mathbf{C} P^{2}}^{0}\left(T_{-2 k, 2 k-1}\right)=1
$$

On the other hand, one should compare these conditions with the equalities

$$
\gamma_{4}\left(T_{2 k, 2 k-1}\right)=\gamma_{4}\left(T_{-2 k, 2 k-1}\right)=k-1
$$

Here we define (Dehn) ( $p / q$ )-surgery along a knot $K$ in $S^{3}$. Let $v(A)$ denote the tubular neighborhood of a submanifold $A$. We define the $p / q$-surgery along a knot $K$ in $S^{3}$ to be the following 3-manifold

$$
S_{p / q}^{3}(K):=\left[S^{3}-v(K)\right] \cup\left(D^{2} \times S^{1}\right)
$$

which is obtained by removing the neighborhood of $K$ and by gluing one solid torus along the both boundaries. The gluing map is defined to be

$$
\partial D^{2} \times\{\mathrm{pt}\} \rightarrow q \cdot \lambda+p \cdot \mu
$$

where $\mu$ and $\lambda$ are the meridian and longitude of $K$. The notation $q \cdot \lambda+p \cdot \mu$ stands for a simple closed curve on the torus whose homology class is $q[\lambda]+p[\mu]$.

## 2. A short review of the Heegaard Floer theory

In this section we give a brief review of the definition of Heegaard-Floer $d$-invariant, and some results which are employed in the present paper.

Let $(Y, \mathfrak{s})$ be an oriented closed 3-manifold associated with a Spin ${ }^{c}$ structure $\mathfrak{s}$. We call such a pair $(Y, \mathfrak{s})$ a Spin $^{c}$ 3-manifold. Ozsváth and Szabó in [4] defined the Heegaard Floer homologies $H F^{*}(Y, \mathfrak{s})(*=+,-, \infty)$ for any $\operatorname{Spin}^{c} 3$-manifold $(Y, \mathfrak{s})$. If the 1st Chern class of $\mathfrak{s}$ is a torsion element, then the Heegaard Floer homologies become absolutely Q-graded $\mathbf{F}[U] \otimes\left(H_{1}(Y) /\right.$ Tors $)$-modules, where $U$ is the action decreasing the grading by 2. Throughout this paper, we consider the coefficient field $\mathbf{F}$ of all homologies as the field with $\operatorname{char}(\mathbf{F})=2$. These homology groups are related to one another by the following exact sequence:

$$
\cdots \rightarrow H F^{-}(Y, \mathfrak{s}) \rightarrow H F^{\infty}(Y, \mathfrak{s}) \xrightarrow{\pi} H F^{+}(Y, \mathfrak{s}) \rightarrow H F^{-}(Y, \mathfrak{s}) \rightarrow \cdots
$$

Let $Y$ be a rational homology 3-sphere. The (Heegaard-Floer) d-invariant $d(Y, \mathfrak{s})$ is defined to be the minimal grading of the image of the map $\pi$ and the value is a rational number. The one component of the map $\pi$ is isomorphic to $\mathbf{F}\left[U, U^{-1}\right] /(U \cdot \mathbf{F}[U])$ and we denote it by $\mathcal{T}_{(d)}^{+}$, where $d$ is the minimal grading of the component. If $Y$ is an integer homology 3 -sphere, then $Y$ has a unique $\operatorname{Spin}^{c}$ structure. In such a case, we denote the $d$-invariant simply by $d(Y)$ and the value of the invariant becomes an even integer.

Let $(Y, \mathfrak{s})$ be a $\operatorname{Spin}^{c} 3$-manifold with a torsion $\operatorname{Spin}^{c}$ structure. Let $d_{b}(Y, \mathfrak{s})$ denote the bottom-most d-invariant, i.e., the minimal grading of the image of $\pi$ in the kernel of the ( $H_{1}(Y) /$ Tors)-action. Then, the following theorem follows. Here $\beta_{i}$ is the $i$-th Betti number and $\beta_{2}^{+}(X)$ (or $\beta_{2}^{-}(X)$ ) is the number of positive (or negative respectively) eigenvalues of the intersection form on $H_{2}(X)$. A $\operatorname{Spin}^{c}$ 3-manifold $(Y, \mathfrak{s})$ with a torsion Spin $^{c}$ structure is said to have standard $H F^{\infty}$ if $H F^{\infty}(Y, \mathfrak{s})$ is isomorphic to $\mathcal{T}^{\infty}:=\left(\Lambda^{*} H^{1}(Y, \mathbf{Z})\right) \otimes \mathbf{F}\left[U, U^{-1}\right]$.

THEOREM 4 ([4]). Let $(Y, \mathfrak{t})$ be a Spin ${ }^{c}$ 3-manifold (not necessarily connected) with a torsion Spin ${ }^{c}$ structure which has standard $H F^{\infty}$. If $X$ is a negative semi-definite 4 -manifold with boundary $Y$ such that the restriction map $H^{1}(X ; \mathbf{Z}) \rightarrow H^{1}(Y ; \mathbf{Z})$ is trivial, and $\mathfrak{s}$ is a Spin ${ }^{c}$ structure on $X$ restricting to $\mathfrak{t}$ on $Y$, then

$$
c_{1}(\mathfrak{s})^{2}+\beta_{2}^{-}(X) \leq 4 d_{b}(Y, \mathfrak{t})+2 \beta_{1}(Y) .
$$

Let $K$ be a knot in $S^{3}$. In [5] the double complex $\left(C F K^{\infty}\left(S^{3}, K\right), \partial^{\infty}\right)$ with coordinates $i, j$ is defined to be a filtered chain complex of $C F^{\infty}\left(S^{3}\right)$ associated with $K$ in $S^{3}$. It is called knot Floer chain complex, and its homology group is called knot Floer homology. In this paper, we often omit $S^{3}$ in $C F K^{\infty}\left(S^{3}, K\right)$. The filtered chain homotopy type is a knot isotopy invariant. For the knot Floer homology, we use the same notations as the ones in [5]. We also use the notation $\partial^{\infty}$ for the differentials even for restricted or quotient complexes of $C F K^{\infty}$.

We introduce the following proposition and formula for a sufficiently large integer $p$ :

Proposition 1 ([5]). For a sufficiently large integer p, we have the following isomorphism

$$
H F_{\ell}^{+}\left(S_{p}^{3}(K),[0]\right) \cong H_{k}\left(C F K^{\infty}\{i \geq 0 \text { or } j \geq 0\}\right)
$$

where $\ell=k+(p-1) / 4$.
In particular, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
d\left(S_{1}^{3}(K)\right)=\tilde{d}\left(S_{p}^{3}(K),[0]\right) \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\tilde{d}$ is the unshifted $d$-invariant, i.e., $\tilde{d}\left(S_{p}^{3}(K),[0]\right)=d\left(S_{p}^{3}(K),[0]\right)-(p-1) / 4$.

## 3. Extension of Batson's inequality

In order to prove Theorem 3, we first prove the following proposition.
Proposition 2. Let $K \subset \partial\left(\operatorname{punc}\left(n \overline{\mathbf{C} P^{2}}\right)\right)$ be a knot and $F \subset \operatorname{punc}\left(n \overline{\mathbf{C} P^{2}}\right) a$ nonorientable embedded surface with boundary $K$. Then

$$
\beta_{1}(F) \geq \frac{e(F)}{2}-2 d\left(S_{-1}^{3}(K)\right)
$$

Batson showed in [1, Theorem 4] that this inequality holds for the case where $n=0$; that is, $F \subset B^{4}$. Hence this proposition is an extension of [1, Theorem 4].

In Proposition 2, e(F) is the normal Euler number of $F$ defined as follows. Let $X$ be a closed 4-manifold and $F$ a properly embedded surface in punc $X$ with $\partial F \cong S^{1}$. Take an orientation of $\partial F$ and a section $\tilde{F}$ of the normal bundle of $F$ that does not intersect $F$. Let $e(F)=-\operatorname{lk}(\partial F, \partial \tilde{F})$, where the orientation of $\partial \tilde{F}$ is induced from $\partial F$. Note that $e(F)$ does not depend on the choice of the orientation for $\partial F$. We call $e(F)$ the normal Euler number of $F$ (see [10]). We also note that if $F$ is orientable, then $e(F)$ is equal to the self-intersection number of $F$.

In order to prove Proposition 2, we need the following lemma. Let $X$ be a closed 4-manifold and $K \subset \partial($ punc $X)$ a knot. We identify $H_{2}(X ; \mathbf{Z}) \oplus H_{2}\left(S^{2} \times S^{2} ; \mathbf{Z}\right)$ with $H_{2}\left(\operatorname{punc}\left(X \#\left(S^{2} \times S^{2}\right)\right), \partial\left(\operatorname{punc}\left(X \#\left(S^{2} \times S^{2}\right)\right)\right) ; \mathbf{Z}\right)$.

Lemma 1. For any non-orientable embedded surface $F \subset$ punc $X$ with boundary $K$ and odd $\beta_{1}$, there exists an orientable embedded surface $F^{\prime} \subset \operatorname{punc}\left(X \#\left(S^{2} \times S^{2}\right)\right)$ with boundary $K$ which satisfies

1. $\beta_{1}\left(F^{\prime}\right)=\beta_{1}(F)-1$,
2. $e\left(F^{\prime}\right)=e(F)+2$, and
3. $\left[F^{\prime}, \partial F^{\prime}\right]=v \oplus(2 \alpha+b \beta)$ for some $v \in H_{2}(X ; \mathbf{Z})$ and $b \in \mathbf{Z}$.

Here $\alpha$ and $\beta$ are standard generators of $H_{2}\left(S^{2} \times S^{2} ; \mathbf{Z}\right)$ such that $\alpha \cdot \alpha=\beta \cdot \beta=0$, and $\alpha \cdot \beta=1$.


Figure 2. Our link $L$.

Proof. Since $\beta_{1}(F)$ is odd, there exists a simple closed curve $C$ in $F$ whose tubular neighborhood in $F$ is diffeomorphic to the Möbius band and $F \backslash C$ is orientable. Since punc $X$ is simply-connected, $C$ is null-homotopic in $X$. Moreover, every homotopy may be replaced with an isotopy in these dimensions, and hence $C$ is isotopic to a trivial circle. This implies that $C$ bounds an embedded disk $D$ in punc $X$. We can assume that $D$ is transverse to $F$ in the interior of $D$. Then $F \cap D$ consists of $C$ and finitely many points $\left\{p_{i}\right\}(i=1,2, \ldots, l)$. Moreover, $v(D)$ is diffeomorphic to $D \times D^{2}$, and $F \cap v(D)$ consists of a Möbius band properly embedded in $\partial D \times D^{2}$ and $l$ 2-disks $p_{i} \times D^{2}$. This implies that $L:=\partial(F \cap \nu(D)) \subset \partial \nu(D)$ is a link as in Figure 2. In the same way as Step 3 and Step 4 in the proof of [1, Proposition 1.4], we can verify that $L$ bounds $l+1$ embedded disks $E$ in punc $\left(S^{2} \times S^{2}\right)$ which satisfy $e(E)=e(F \cap v(D))+2$. Finally, by removing $v(D)$ from punc $X$ and gluing (punc $\left(S^{2} \times S^{2}\right)$, $E)$ along $(\partial v(D), L)$, we obtain a new orientable embedded surface $F^{\prime}$ in punc $\left(X \#\left(S^{2} \times S^{2}\right)\right.$ ). It is easy to check that $F^{\prime}$ satisfies the above conditions from (1) to (3).

We next prove the following lemma, which is a generalization of a discussion in [1, Section 4].

Lemma 2. Let $M$ be an integer homology 3-sphere, $X$ a simply-connected 4-manifold such that $\partial X=M$ and $\beta_{2}^{+}(X)=1$ and $\Sigma$ an orientable closed surface embedded in $X$ with genus $g$ and self-intersection $m$. Then for any Spin ${ }^{c}$ structure $\mathfrak{s}$ on $X$ which satisfies $\left\langle c_{1}(\mathfrak{s}),[\Sigma]\right\rangle=m-2 g>0$, the following inequality holds:

$$
c_{1}(\mathfrak{s})^{2}+\beta_{2}^{-}(X) \leq 1+4 d(M) .
$$

Proof. Let $X^{\prime}$ be the complement $X \backslash \nu(\Sigma)$. Then $X^{\prime}$ is a negative semi-definite 4manifold with disconnected boundaries $Y_{g,-m} \amalg M$, where $Y_{g,-m}$ denotes the Euler number $-m$ circle bundle over $\Sigma$.

We prove that $X^{\prime}$ is negative semi-definite. Let $n\left(X^{\prime}\right)$ denote the number of zero eigenvectors in the intersection form $Q_{X^{\prime}}$. We can verify from elementary homology theory that
$\beta_{2}\left(X^{\prime}\right)=\beta_{2}(X)+2 g-1=\beta_{2}^{-}(X)+2 g$. Furthermore, by Novikov's additivity formula, $\sigma\left(X^{\prime}\right)=\sigma(X)-\sigma(\nu(\Sigma))=-\beta_{2}^{-}(X)$. Thus we have $2 \beta_{2}^{+}\left(X^{\prime}\right)+n\left(X^{\prime}\right)=2 g$, and $\beta_{2}^{+}\left(X^{\prime}\right) \leq 0$ is equivalent to $n\left(X^{\prime}\right) \geq 2 g$. The homology exact sequence of the pair ( $X^{\prime}, Y_{g,-m}$ ) shows the following exact sequence:

$$
H_{2}\left(X^{\prime} ; \mathbf{Q}\right) \rightarrow H_{2}\left(X^{\prime}, Y_{g,-m} ; \mathbf{Q}\right) \xrightarrow{\text { surj. }} H_{1}\left(Y_{g,-m} ; \mathbf{Q}\right) \cong \mathbf{Q}^{2 g}
$$

and it implies $n\left(X^{\prime}\right) \geq 2 g$.
We apply Theorem 4 to the tuple ( $X^{\prime}, Y_{g,-m} \amalg M,\left.\mathfrak{s}\right|_{X^{\prime}}$ ). The standard-ness of $Y_{g,-m} \amalg M$ is described in the proof of [1, Theorem 1.5]. Moreover, we can verify in the same way as [1, Section 4] that $H^{1}\left(X^{\prime} ; \mathbf{Z}\right)=0$ and the image of the restriction map $H^{2}(\nu(\Sigma) ; \mathbf{Z}) \rightarrow$ $H^{2}\left(Y_{g,-m} ; \mathbf{Z}\right)$ is a torsion group. This implies that for any $\operatorname{Spin}^{c}$ structure on $X$, the restricted $\operatorname{Spin}^{c}$ structure on $Y_{g,-m}$ is a torsion $\mathrm{Spin}^{c}$ structure. Thus, it follows that the tuple $\left(X^{\prime}, Y_{g,-m} \amalg M,\left.\mathfrak{s}\right|_{X^{\prime}}\right)$ satisfies all conditions of Theorem 4.

By Theorem 4, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
c_{1}\left(\left.\mathfrak{s}\right|_{X^{\prime}}\right)^{2}+\beta_{2}^{-}\left(X^{\prime}\right) \leq 4 d_{b}\left(Y_{g,-m},\left.\mathfrak{s}\right|_{Y_{g,-m}}\right)+4 d(M)+2 \beta_{1}\left(Y_{g,-m}\right) . \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

Let us compute each term in the inequality (2). In order to compute $c_{1}\left(\left.\mathfrak{s}\right|_{X^{\prime}}\right)^{2}$, we decompose the intersection form of $X$ in terms of the $\mathbf{Q}$-valued intersection forms on $\nu(\Sigma)$ and $X^{\prime}$; if $c \in H^{2}(X)$, then

$$
Q_{X}(c)=Q_{\nu(\Sigma)}\left(\left.c\right|_{\nu(\Sigma)}\right)+Q_{X^{\prime}}\left(\left.c\right|_{X^{\prime}}\right) .
$$

This gives $c_{1}(\mathfrak{s})^{2}=c_{1}\left(\left.\mathfrak{s}\right|_{V(\Sigma)}\right)^{2}+c_{1}\left(\left.\mathfrak{s}\right|_{X^{\prime}}\right)^{2}$. Hence we have

$$
c_{1}\left(\left.\mathfrak{s}\right|_{X^{\prime}}\right)^{2}=c_{1}(\mathfrak{s})^{2}-c_{1}\left(\left.\mathfrak{s}\right|_{v(\Sigma)}\right)^{2}=c_{1}(\mathfrak{s})^{2}-\frac{(m-2 g)^{2}}{m}
$$

For the above $\operatorname{Spin}^{c}$ structure $\left.\mathfrak{s}\right|_{Y_{g,-m}}$, the $d$-invariant of $Y_{g,-m}$ is computed in [4, Section 9]. If $\left\langle c_{1}(\mathfrak{s}),[\Sigma]\right\rangle=m-2 g>0$, then

$$
d_{b}\left(Y_{g,-m},\left.\mathfrak{s}\right|_{Y_{g,-m}}\right)=\frac{1}{4}-\frac{g^{2}}{m}-\frac{m}{4} .
$$

The substitution of all the values computed above reduces (2) to

$$
\begin{equation*}
c_{1}(\mathfrak{s})^{2}-\frac{(m-2 g)^{2}}{m}+\beta_{2}^{-}\left(X^{\prime}\right) \leq 4\left(\frac{1}{4}-\frac{g^{2}}{m}-\frac{m}{4}\right)+4 d(M)+2(2 g) . \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

Since $\beta_{2}^{-}\left(X^{\prime}\right)=\beta_{2}^{-}(X)$, (3) gives the inequality

$$
c_{1}(\mathfrak{s})^{2}+\beta_{2}^{-}(X) \leq 1+4 d(M)
$$

Proof of Proposition 2. Note that for any knot $K, d\left(S_{-1}^{3}(K)\right) \geq 0$. Hence in the case that $e(F) \leq \beta_{1}(F)$, it is clear that this proposition holds. Therefore we assume that $e(F)>\beta_{1}(F)$.

We first give the proof for the case where $\beta_{1}(F)$ is odd. By applying Lemma 1 to $F \subset$ $\operatorname{punc}\left(n \mathbf{C} P^{2}\right)$, we obtain an orientable embedded surface $F^{\prime} \subset \operatorname{punc}\left(n \overline{\mathbf{C} P^{2}} \#\left(S^{2} \times S^{2}\right)\right)$ with boundary $K$ whose homology class is

$$
\left[F^{\prime}, \partial F^{\prime}\right]=\sum_{i=1}^{j} 2 a_{i} \bar{\gamma}_{i}+\sum_{i=j+1}^{n}\left(2 a_{i}+1\right) \bar{\gamma}_{i}+2 \alpha+b \beta \quad\left(a_{i}, j \in \mathbf{Z}, 0 \leq j \leq n\right)
$$

where $\bar{\gamma}_{i}(i=1, \ldots, n)$ are standard generators of $H_{2}\left(n \overline{\mathbf{C} P^{2}} ; \mathbf{Z}\right)$ such that $\bar{\gamma}_{i} \cdot \bar{\gamma}_{j}=-\delta_{i j}$ (Kronecker's delta). Without loss of generality, we may permutate the order of $\bar{\gamma}_{i}$.

Since $F^{\prime}$ is orientable, we have

$$
e\left(F^{\prime}\right)=\left[F^{\prime}, \partial F^{\prime}\right] \cdot\left[F^{\prime}, \partial F^{\prime}\right]=-\sum_{i=1}^{j} 4 a_{i}^{2}-\sum_{i=j+1}^{n}\left(2 a_{i}+1\right)^{2}+4 b
$$

Attaching a ( -1 )-framed 2 -handle along $K$, we have a 4 -manifold $\bar{W}$ with boundary $S_{-1}^{3}(K)$ and the intersection form

$$
Q_{\bar{W}}=\left(\begin{array}{c|ccc|cc}
-1 & 0 & \ldots & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
\hline 0 & -1 & & O & 0 & 0 \\
\vdots & & \ddots & & \vdots & \vdots \\
0 & O & & -1 & 0 & 0 \\
\hline 0 & 0 & \ldots & 0 & 0 & 1 \\
0 & 0 & \ldots & 0 & 1 & 0
\end{array}\right) .
$$

We may cap off $F^{\prime}$ with the core of the 2 -handle to form a closed surface $\Sigma$ embedded in $\bar{W}$ with genus $g=\left(b_{1}(F)-1\right) / 2$, homology class $\bar{\gamma}_{0}+\sum_{i=1}^{j} 2 a_{i} \bar{\gamma}_{i}+\sum_{i=j+1}^{n}\left(2 a_{i}+1\right) \bar{\gamma}_{i}+$ $2 \alpha+b \beta$, and the self-intersection number

$$
m=-1-\sum_{i=1}^{j} 4 a_{i}^{2}-\sum_{i=j+1}^{n}\left(2 a_{i}+1\right)^{2}+4 b=e(F)+1>0 .
$$

We next choose a Spin ${ }^{c}$ structure on $W$. Since $H^{2}(\bar{W} ; \mathbf{Z}) \cong \mathbf{Z}^{n+3}$ has no 2-torsion, $\operatorname{Spin}^{c}$ structures on $\bar{W}$ are distinguished by their first Chern classes. Fix a Spin ${ }^{c}$ structure $\mathfrak{s}_{t}$ on $\bar{W}$ satisfying

$$
P D\left(c_{1}\left(\mathfrak{s}_{t}\right)\right)=\varepsilon \bar{\gamma}_{0}+\sum_{i=1}^{n}\left(2 a_{i}+1\right) \bar{\gamma}_{i}+2 \alpha+2 x \beta
$$

where

$$
x=\frac{\sum_{i=1}^{j} 2 a_{i}+2(b-g)-1+\varepsilon}{4}
$$

and $\varepsilon \in\{1,-1\}$ is chosen so as to make $x$ an integer. Since the given vector is characteristic for $Q_{\bar{W}}$, it corresponds to a $\operatorname{Spin}^{c}$ structure. Furthermore, $\left\langle c_{1}\left(\mathfrak{s}_{t}\right),[\Sigma]\right\rangle=m-2 g=e(F)-$ $\beta_{1}(F)+2>0$. Applying Lemma 2 to the pair $\left(\bar{W}, S_{-1}^{3}(K)\right.$ ), we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
c_{1}\left(\mathfrak{s}_{t}\right)^{2}+\beta_{2}^{-}(\bar{W}) \leq 1+4 d\left(S_{-1}^{3}(K)\right) \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

Since $c_{1}\left(\mathfrak{s}_{t}\right)^{2}=-1-\sum_{i=1}^{n}\left(2 a_{i}+1\right)^{2}+8 x=e(F)-j-1+2 \varepsilon-4 g$, the inequality (4) implies

$$
\begin{equation*}
(e(F)-j-1+2 \varepsilon-4 g)+(n+2) \leq 1+4 d\left(S_{-1}^{3}(K)\right) . \tag{5}
\end{equation*}
$$

By using $-1 \leq \varepsilon, j \leq n$, and $2 g=\beta_{1}(F)-1$, the inequality (5) reduces to the following inequality

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{e(F)}{2}-2 d\left(S_{-1}^{3}(K)\right) \leq \beta_{1}(F) \tag{6}
\end{equation*}
$$

Finally, we consider the case where $\beta_{1}(F)$ is even. Taking the connected sum of $F \subset$ $\operatorname{punc}\left(n \overline{\mathbf{C} P^{2}}\right)$ and the standard embedding of $\mathbf{R} P^{2} \subset S^{4}$ whose normal Euler number is +2 , we have a non-orientable embedded surface $\hat{F} \subset \operatorname{punc}\left(n \overline{\mathbf{C} P^{2}}\right)$ with boundary $K$ such that $\beta_{1}(\hat{F})=\beta_{1}(F)+1$ and $e(\hat{F})=e(F)+2$. Since $\beta_{1}(\hat{F})$ is odd, $\hat{F}$ satisfies the inequality (6). Hence we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{(e(F)+2)}{2}-2 d\left(S_{-1}^{3}(K)\right) \leq \beta_{1}(F)+1, \tag{7}
\end{equation*}
$$

and this inequality (7) is equivalent to the inequality claimed in Proposition 2.
This completes the proof of Proposition 2.

## 4. Proof of Theorem 3

In this section, we prove Theorem 3 by using Proposition 2 and the following theorem.
Theorem 5 ([10]). Let $X$ be a closed 4 -manifold and $K \subset \partial($ punc $X)$ a knot. If $K$ bounds a non-orientable embedded surface $F$ in punc $X$ that represents zero in $H_{2}\left(\right.$ punc $X, \partial($ punc $\left.X) ; \mathbf{Z}_{2}\right)$, then

$$
\left|\sigma(K)+\sigma(X)-\frac{e(F)}{2}\right| \leq \beta_{2}(X)+\beta_{1}(F),
$$

where $\sigma(X)$ is the signature of $X$.

By reversing the orientation of $X$, we obtain the following lemma. We also use this lemma to prove Theorem 3.

Lemma 3. For any 4-manifold $X$ and any knot $K$, the following equality holds:

$$
\gamma_{X}^{0}(K)=\gamma_{-X}^{0}\left(K^{*}\right),
$$

where $K^{*}$ denotes the mirror image of $K$.
Let us prove Theorem 3.
PROOF OF THEOREM 3. Let $F \subset \operatorname{punc}\left(n \overline{\mathbf{C} P^{2}}\right)$ be a non-orientable surface with boundary $K$ which represents zero in $H_{2}\left(\operatorname{punc}\left(n \overline{\mathbf{C} P^{2}}\right), \partial\left(\operatorname{punc}\left(n \overline{\mathbf{C P} P^{2}}\right)\right) ; \mathbf{Z}_{2}\right)$. It follows from Theorem 5 that

$$
\left|\sigma(K)+(-n)-\frac{e(F)}{2}\right| \leq n+\beta_{1}(F) .
$$

Hence we have

$$
\beta_{1}(F) \geq \sigma(K)-\frac{e(F)}{2}-2 n .
$$

Combining this inequality with Proposition 2, we have

$$
\gamma_{n \overline{\mathbf{C} P^{2}}}^{0}(K) \geq \frac{\sigma(K)}{2}-d\left(S_{-1}^{3}(K)\right)-n
$$

By using this inequality and Lemma 3, it follows that for any $\operatorname{knot} K \subset \partial\left(\operatorname{punc}\left(n \mathbf{C} P^{2}\right)\right)$,

$$
\gamma_{n \mathbf{C} P^{2}}^{0}(K)=\gamma_{n}^{0} \overline{\mathbf{C} P^{2}}\left(K^{*}\right) \geq \frac{\sigma\left(K^{*}\right)}{2}-d\left(S_{-1}^{3}\left(K^{*}\right)\right)-n=\frac{-\sigma(K)}{2}+d\left(S_{1}^{3}(K)\right)-n .
$$

This proves Theorem 3.

## 5. Proof of Theorem 2

To prove the existence of $K$ with $\gamma_{n \mathbf{C} P^{2}}^{0}(K)=k$, we take the connected sum of $n+k$ copies of $9_{42}$ for any positive integer $k$, where $9_{42}$ is the knot defined in the Rolfsen knot table [7]. Notice that $d\left(S_{1}^{3}(\cdot)\right)$ is a knot concordance invariant but not a homomorphism from the knot concordance group to integers as mentioned in [6].

PROPOSITION 3. We have $d\left(S_{1}^{3}\left(\# 9_{42}\right)\right)=0$ for any positive integer $m$.
Proof. Let $p$ be a sufficiently large integer. From the formula (1) and Proposition 1, we obtain the $d$-invariant $d\left(S_{1}^{3}\left(\# 9_{42}\right)\right)$ by calculating the homology of $C F K^{\infty}{ }_{\left(\# 9_{42}\right)}{ }^{m}\{i \geq$ 0 or $j \geq 0\}$.

First, we consider the $m=1$ case. For the generators $\left\{x_{i}\right\}_{1 \leq i \leq 9}$ of $C F K^{\infty}\left(9_{42}\right)$, we use the same generators as those in Fig. 14 in [5] (see Figure 3). Let $S_{1}$ denote $\left\{x_{i} \mid 1 \leq i \leq 9\right\}$. Let


Figure 3. The differential maps of $G$ in $C F K^{\infty}\left(9_{42}\right)$. See Fig. 14 in [5].
$G$ be the differential $\mathbf{F}$-module generated by $S_{1}$, namely, $\mathbf{F}\left\langle x \mid x \in S_{1}\right\rangle$ with $\operatorname{gr}\left(x_{5}\right)=0$, where gr is the absolute grading on the chain complex $C F K^{\infty}$. The chain complex $C F K^{\infty}\left(9_{42}\right)$ consists of a differential $\mathbf{F}[U]$-module $G\left[U, U^{-1}\right]:=G \otimes_{\mathbf{F}} \mathbf{F}\left[U, U^{-1}\right]$. The generators of $H_{*}\left(C F K^{\infty}\left(9_{42}\right)\right)$ are $\left\{U^{-i} \cdot \alpha\right\}_{i \in \mathbf{Z}}$, where $\alpha=x_{1}+x_{5}+x_{9}$. The homology of the quotient complex $C F K^{\infty}\left(9_{42}\right)\{i \geq 0$ or $j \geq 0\}$ is as follows:

$$
H_{*}\left(C F K^{\infty}\left(9_{42}\right)\{i \geq 0 \text { or } j \geq 0\}\right) \cong \mathbf{F}\left\langle U^{-i} \alpha \mid i \geq 0\right\rangle \cong \mathcal{T}_{(0)}^{+}
$$

In fact, it follows from the grading of $\alpha$ that the minimal grading of this homology is zero. Hence, in particular, $d\left(S_{1}^{3}\left(9_{42}\right)\right)=\tilde{d}\left(S_{p}^{3}\left(9_{42},[0]\right)\right)=0$.

Next, we compute $d\left(S_{1}^{3}\left(\# 9_{42}\right)\right)$. From the Künneth type formula of the Heegaard Floer homology we have $C F K^{\infty}{ }_{\left.(\#)_{42}\right)}^{\left.()_{42}\right)} \bigotimes^{m} C F K^{\infty}\left(9_{42}\right)$. We denote the set of generators by $S_{m}=\left\{x_{i_{1}} \otimes x_{i_{2}} \otimes \cdots \otimes x_{i_{m}} \mid 1 \leq i_{k} \leq 9\right\}$ and the vector space generated by $S_{m}$ by $G_{m}=\mathbf{F}\left\langle x \mid x \in S_{m}\right\rangle$, where $\operatorname{gr}\left(x_{5}^{\otimes m}\right)=0$. Here let $y^{\otimes m}$ denote the $m$-th tensor product $y \otimes \cdots \otimes y$.

The chain complex $C F K^{\infty}\left(\stackrel{m}{\#}_{(\# 2)}\right)$ is the summation

$$
\bigoplus_{i \in \mathbf{Z}}\left(U^{-i} \cdot G_{m}\right)=\mathbf{F}\left[U, U^{-1}\right] \otimes_{\mathbf{F}} G_{m}=: G_{m}\left[U, U^{-1}\right]
$$

Hence, we may consider each homology $H_{*}\left(U^{-i} \cdot G_{m}\{i \geq 0\right.$ or $\left.j \geq 0\}\right)$.
The differential $\partial^{\infty}$ in $\bigotimes^{m} C F K^{\infty}\left(9_{42}\right)$ is computed as follows:

$$
\partial^{\infty}\left(z_{1} \otimes \cdots \otimes z_{m}\right)=\sum_{k=1}^{m} z_{1} \otimes \cdots \otimes \partial^{\infty} z_{k} \otimes \cdots \otimes z_{m}
$$

By using this definition, we have $\partial^{\infty}\left(U^{-l} \cdot \alpha^{\otimes m}\right)=0$. Since $U^{-l} \cdot \alpha^{\otimes m}$ has the unique top grading in $U^{-l} \cdot G_{m}$, we have $\alpha^{\otimes m} \notin \operatorname{Im}\left(\partial^{\infty}\right)$. Hence the generator of $H_{*}\left(U^{-l} \cdot G_{m}\right)$ is


Figure 4. The chain complex $G_{2}\{i \geq 0$ or $j \geq 0\}$ and the homological generator

$$
\left(x_{5}+x_{9}\right)^{\otimes 2}+\left(x_{5}+x_{1}\right)^{\otimes 2}+x_{5}^{\otimes 2}
$$

$U^{-l} \cdot \alpha^{\otimes m}$.
For the case where $l<0$, since the generators in $U^{-l} \cdot G_{m}$ are in $C F K^{\infty} \stackrel{m}{\left(\# 9_{42}\right)}\{i<$ 0 and $j<0\}$, the minimal degree of $\mathcal{T}^{+}$-component in $C F K^{\infty}{ }_{\left.(\#)_{42}\right)}^{m}\{i \geq 0$ or $j \geq 0\}$ is non-negative.

We consider the component of $l=0$. Let $\varphi$ denote the natural isomorphism:

$$
\varphi: G_{m} /\left(G_{m}\{i<0 \text { and } j<0\}\right) \cong G_{m}\{i \geq 0 \text { or } j \geq 0\}
$$

Lemma 4. The map $\varphi$ satisfies the following:

$$
\varphi\left(\alpha^{\otimes m}\right)=\left(x_{5}+x_{9}\right)^{\otimes m}+\left(x_{5}+x_{1}\right)^{\otimes m}+x_{5}^{\otimes m} .
$$

Proof of Lemma 4. Expanding $\alpha^{\otimes m}$, we have

$$
\alpha^{\otimes m}=\sum_{i_{j} \in\{1,5,9\}} x_{i_{1}} \otimes \cdots \otimes x_{i_{m}}
$$

If the set $\left\{i_{1}, \ldots, i_{m}\right\}$ of the suffixes of each term in the summation above contains $\{1,9\}$, then the $(i, j)$-coordinate must have $i<0$ and $j<0$. Conversely, if the $(i, j)$-coordinate of $x_{i_{1}} \otimes$ $\cdots \otimes x_{i_{m}}$ has $i<0$ and $j<0$, then the set $\left\{i_{1}, \ldots, i_{m}\right\}$ must contain $\{1,9\}$. The whole sum of the terms $x_{i_{1}} \otimes \ldots \otimes x_{i_{m}}$ satisfying $\{1,9\} \not \subset\left\{i_{1}, \ldots, i_{m}\right\}$ is $\left(x_{5}+x_{9}\right)^{\otimes m}+\left(x_{5}+x_{1}\right)^{\otimes m}+x_{5}^{\otimes m}$. Therefore, the assertion claimed in Lemma 4 follows.

Here, as an example, we describe the boundary maps in $G_{2}\{i \geq 0$ or $j \geq 0\}$ in Figure 4.
The term $\varphi\left(\alpha^{\otimes m}\right)$ is a generator in $H_{*}\left(G_{m}\{i \geq 0\right.$ or $\left.j \geq 0\}\right)$, because $\partial^{\infty}\left(\varphi\left(\alpha^{\otimes m}\right)\right)=$ $\varphi\left(\partial^{\infty}\left(\alpha^{\otimes m}\right)\right)=0$ and the element $\varphi\left(\alpha^{\otimes m}\right)$ has the top grading in $G_{m}\{i \geq 0$ or $j \geq 0\}$. The image $\varphi\left(\alpha^{\otimes m}\right)$ is in the $\mathcal{T}^{+}$-component with the minimal grading, because the whole


Figure 5. The knot $9_{42}$ bounds an embedded Möbius band in $B^{4}$.


Figure 6. The knot $9_{42}$ bounds an embedded disk in punc $\mathbf{C} P^{2}$.
chain complex $C F K^{\infty}\left({ }_{(\# 9}^{m 2}\right)$ is generated by $\left\{U^{-l} \cdot \alpha^{\otimes m} \mid l \in \mathbf{Z}\right\}$. The minimal degree of $G_{m}\{i \geq 0$ or $j \geq 0\}$ is $\operatorname{gr}\left(\varphi\left(\alpha^{\otimes m}\right)\right)=\operatorname{gr}\left(\alpha^{\otimes m}\right)=0$. This means

$$
d\left(S_{1}^{3}\left(\# 9_{42}\right)\right)=\tilde{d}\left(S_{p}^{3}\left(\# 9_{42},[0]\right)\right)=0 .
$$

Actually, $\left(x_{5}+x_{9}\right)^{\otimes m}+\left(x_{5}+x_{1}\right)^{\otimes m}+x_{5}^{\otimes m}$ is the unique generator in $H_{*}\left(G_{m}\{i \geq\right.$ 0 and $j \geq 0\}$ ). This fact is not needed here, and we skip the proof.

Proof of Theorem 2. Since $\sigma\left(9_{42}\right)=-2$ and the knot signature is additive, we have $\sigma\left(\stackrel{n+k}{\#} 9_{42}\right)=-2(n+k)$. Thus, by using Theorem 3 and Proposition 3, we have

$$
\left.\gamma_{n \mathbf{C} P^{2}}^{0} \stackrel{n+k}{\#} 9_{42}\right) \geq \frac{-(-2(n+k))}{2}+0-n=k .
$$

We next construct a non-orientable embedded surface $F_{n, k} \subset \operatorname{punc}\left(n \mathbf{C} P^{2}\right)$ satisfying the following:

1. $\partial F_{n, k}=\stackrel{n+k}{\#} 9_{42}$,
2. $\beta_{1}\left(F_{n, k}\right)=k$, and
3. $F_{n, k}$ represents zero in $H_{2}\left(\operatorname{punc}\left(n \mathbf{C} P^{2}\right), \partial\left(\operatorname{punc}\left(n \mathbf{C} P^{2}\right)\right) ; \mathbf{Z}_{2}\right)$.

The cobordisms in Figure 5 and 6 give a properly embedded Möbius band $M$ in $B^{4}$ with boundary $9_{42}$, and a properly embedded disk $D$ in punc $\mathbf{C} P^{2}$ with boundary $9_{42}$ which represents zero in $H_{2}$ (punc $\mathbf{C} P^{2}$, $\partial$ (punc $\mathbf{C} P^{2}$ ); $\mathbf{Z}_{2}$ ). Taking the boundary connected sum of $n$
copies of (punc $\left.\mathbf{C} P^{2}, D\right)$ and $k$ copies of $\left(B^{4}, M\right)$, we have a new non-orientable embedded surface $F_{n, k}$ satisfying the above properties from (1) to (3). This completes the proof.
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