

Boundary Regularity for Minima of Certain Variational Integrals

Atsushi TACHIKAWA

Keio University

(Communicated by T. Saito)

Introduction

Let Ω be a bounded open domain of \mathbf{R}^n , $n \geq 2$, with boundary $\partial\Omega$ of class C^2 , Γ_1 a relatively open subset, of $\partial\Omega$, and $\Gamma_0 = \partial\Omega - \Gamma_1$. We consider the variational integral

$$F(u) := \int_{\Omega} f(x, u, Du) dx,$$

for a function $u: \Omega \rightarrow \mathbf{R}^N$, where $Du = (\partial u^i / \partial x^\alpha)_{1 \leq i \leq N, 1 \leq \alpha \leq n}$, and $f(x, u, \xi): \Omega \times \mathbf{R}^N \times \mathbf{R}^{nN} \rightarrow \mathbf{R}$ is a Carathéodory function; i.e. measurable in x for each $(u, \xi) \in \mathbf{R}^N \times \mathbf{R}^{nN}$, and continuous in (u, ξ) for almost every $x \in \Omega$.

In this paper we consider the following variational problem with mixed boundary condition:

(*) $\left\{ \begin{array}{l} \text{Find a minimizing function } u: \Omega \rightarrow \mathbf{R}^N \text{ of } F(u) \text{ which maps } \Gamma_1 \\ \text{into some hyperplane } \Sigma := \{v \in \mathbf{R}^N: v^{s+1} = \dots = v^N = 0\} \text{ and has} \\ \text{prescribed Dirichlet data } \phi \text{ on } \Gamma_0, \text{ where } \phi(\Gamma_0 \cap \bar{\Gamma}_1) \subset \Sigma. \end{array} \right.$

(See [1] for the mixed boundary problem for harmonic maps.)

In the paper [4], M. Giaquinta and E. Giusti prove interior regularity of minima of variational integrals (see also [5]). On boundary regularity for Dirichlet problem a result due to J. Jost and M. Meier [8] is known.

In this paper we investigate the behavior of the solution of (*) near Γ_1 .

§1. L^p -estimate for the gradient.

We suppose that the function f satisfies the growth condition:

$$(1.1) \quad a|\xi|^m - k \leq f(x, u, \xi) \leq b|\xi|^m + k,$$

Received April 18, 1983

Revised November 21, 1983

in $\Omega \times \mathbf{R}^N \times \mathbf{R}^{nN}$, for some $m \geq 2$, $k \geq 0$ and $b \geq a > 0$.

For convenience we define the following function class:

$$V^m = V^m(\Omega, \Gamma_1) \\ = \{v \in H^{1,m}(\Omega, \mathbf{R}); v = 0 \text{ on } \Gamma_0, v(\Gamma_1) \subset \Sigma\}.$$

Then the problem (*) can be rewritten in the following way:

$$(**) \quad \left\{ \begin{array}{l} \text{Find a function } u \in H^{1,m}(\Omega, \mathbf{R}^N) \text{ such that} \\ u = \phi \text{ on } \Gamma_0, \\ u(\Gamma_1) \subset \Sigma, \\ F(u) \leq F(u+v) \text{ for every } v \in V^m. \end{array} \right.$$

THEOREM 1.1. *Let f satisfy (1.1) and let $u \in H^{1,m}(\Omega, \mathbf{R}^N)$ be a solution of (**). Then there exists an exponent $p > m$ such that $u \in H^{1,p}(\Omega, \mathbf{R}^N)$. Moreover for every $x_0 \in \Omega \cup \Gamma_1$, $R < \text{dist}(x_0, \Gamma_0)$, writing $\int_D g dx = (1/|D|) \int_D g dx$ the following inequality holds:*

$$\left(\int_{B_{R/2}(x_0) \cap \Omega} (1 + |Du|)^p dx \right)^{1/p} \leq C_1 \left(\int_{B_R(x_0) \cap \Omega} (1 + |Du|)^m dx \right)^{1/m},$$

C_1 being a constant depending only on a, b, k, N, m and n .

PROOF. Let $x_0 \in \Omega \cup \Gamma_1$, $0 < R < \text{dist}(x_0, \Gamma_0)$. For convenience we extend functions u and Du to the whole \mathbf{R}^n in such a way that they are zero outside Ω , and we write B_R for $B_R(x_0)$.

Let us treat two cases, 1) $\text{dist}(x_0, \Gamma_1) > 3R/4$ and 2) $\text{dist}(x_0, \Gamma_1) \leq 3R/4$ separately.

Case 1. Let $\text{dist}(x_0, \Gamma_1) > 3R/4$. Then $\text{dist}(x_0, \partial\Omega) > 3R/4$, and hence we can proceed as in the proof of Theorem 4.1. of [4], and get for $l = mn/(m+n)$

$$(1.2) \quad \int_{B_{R/2}} (1 + |Du|)^m dx \leq \gamma_1 \left(\int_{B_{3R/4}} (1 + |Du|)^l dx \right)^{m/l} \\ \leq \gamma_1 (4/3)^{mn/l} \left(\int_{B_R} (1 + |Du|)^l dx \right)^{m/l}.$$

Case 2. Let $\text{dist}(x_0, \Gamma_1) \leq 3R/4$, and $0 < t < r < R$, and η be a C^∞ -function with $\text{supp } \eta \subset B_r$, $0 \leq \eta \leq 1$, $\eta = 1$ on B_t , $|D\eta| \leq 2/(r-t)$. Put $u_R^t = \int_{B_R} u^t dx$ and

$$\bar{u}_R^t = \begin{cases} u_R^t & \text{for } 1 \leq i \leq s, \\ 0 & \text{for } s+1 \leq i \leq N. \end{cases}$$

If we put $v = u - \eta(u - \bar{u}_R)$, then $u - v \in V^m$, and hence from the minimality of u and (1.1) we get

$$\int_{B_r} |Du|^m dx \leq \gamma_2 \left\{ \int_{B_r - B_t} |Du|^m dx + \left(\frac{2}{r-t} \right)^m \int_{B_r} |u - \bar{u}_R|^m dx + |Br| \right\}.$$

By the hole-filling method (cf. [6]) we obtain

$$\int_{B_{R/2}} |Du|^m dx \leq \gamma_3 \left\{ R^{-m} \int_{B_R} |u - \bar{u}_R|^m dx + |B_R| \right\}.$$

Since $\text{dist}(x_0, \Gamma_1) \leq 3R/4$, we can use the Sobolev-Poincarè inequality for $u - \bar{u}_R$ to get

$$(1.3) \quad \int_{B_{R/2}} (1 + |Du|)^m dx \leq \gamma_4 \left(\int_{B_R} (1 + |Du|)^l dx \right)^{m/l}, \quad l = \frac{mn}{m+n}.$$

From (1.2) and (1.3) we get for all $x_0 \in \Omega \cup \Gamma_1$, $0 < R < \text{dist}(x_0, \Gamma_0)$

$$\int_{B_{R/2}(x_0)} (1 + |Du|)^m dx \leq \gamma \left(\int_{B_R(x_0)} (1 + |Du|)^l dx \right)^{m/l},$$

where $\gamma = \max \{ \gamma_4, (4/3)^{mn/l} \gamma_1 \}$.

Theorem 1.1 now follows from Proposition 5.1 of [6].

§2. Quadratic functionals.

In this section we shall prove some regularity results for minima of quadratic functional

$$(2.1) \quad F(u) := \int_{\Omega} \sum_{i=1}^N A^{\alpha\beta}(x, u) D_{\alpha} u^i D_{\beta} u^i dx, \quad A^{\alpha\beta} = A^{\beta\alpha}.$$

We assume that the coefficients $A^{\alpha\beta}$ are bounded continuous functions in $\Omega \times \mathbf{R}^N$ and satisfy the condition

$$(2.2) \quad A^{\alpha\beta}(x, u) \xi_{\alpha} \xi_{\beta} \geq \lambda |\xi|^2 \quad \forall \xi \in \mathbf{R}^n, \quad \lambda > 0.$$

Moreover we assume that there exists a continuous, increasing, concave function $\omega: \mathbf{R}^+ \rightarrow \mathbf{R}^+$ satisfying $\omega(0) = 0$, $\omega(t) \leq M$, and

$$(2.3) \quad |A^{\alpha\beta}(x, u) - A^{\alpha\beta}(y, v)| \leq \omega(|x - y|^2 + |u - v|^2).$$

THEOREM 2.1. *Under the same hypotheses as above, let $u \in H^{1,2}(\Omega, \mathbf{R}^N)$ be a solution of (**). Then there exists a relatively open subset $\Omega_0 \subset \Omega \cup \Gamma_1$ such that $u \in C^{0,\alpha}(\Omega_0, \mathbf{R}^N)$ for some $\alpha \in (0, 1)$. Moreover*

$$(\Omega \cup \Gamma_1) - \Omega_0 = \left\{ x \in \Omega \cup \Gamma_1 : \liminf_{R \rightarrow 0} R^{2-n} \int_{B_R(x) \cap \Omega} |Du|^2 dx > \varepsilon_0 \right\},$$

where ε_0 is a positive constant independent of u .

PROOF. On account of Theorem 5.1 of [4], we have only to investigate the behavior of u in a neighborhood of Γ_1 . Let $x_0 \in \Gamma_1$, $R < (1/2) \text{dist}(x_0, \Gamma_0)$ and choose coordinates such that $x_0 = 0$, $\Gamma_1 \cap B_{2R}(x_0) \subset \{x \in \mathbf{R}^n : x^n = 0\}$.

We use the following notations: for $x \in \mathbf{R}^n$, $x_* = (x_*^1, \dots, x_*^n) = (x^1, \dots, x^{n-1}, -x^n)$ and

$$\bar{u}(x) = \begin{cases} u(x) & \text{if } x \in \Omega, \\ u(x_*) & \text{if } x \in B_{2R}(0) - \Omega, \end{cases}$$

$$\sigma^{\alpha\beta} = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } 1 \leq \alpha, \beta \leq n-1, \\ -1 & \text{if } \alpha = n \text{ or } \beta = n \text{ and } \alpha \neq \beta, \\ 1 & \text{if } \alpha = \beta = n, \end{cases}$$

$$\bar{A}^{\alpha\beta}(x) = \begin{cases} A^{\alpha\beta}(0, u_R) & \text{if } x \in \Omega, \\ \sigma^{\alpha\beta} A^{\alpha\beta}(0, u_R) & \text{if } x \in B_{2R} - \Omega \end{cases}$$

where $u_R = \int_{B_R(0)} u dx$. Let $v \in H^{1,2}(B_R(0) \cap \Omega, \mathbf{R}^N)$ be a solution of the problem

$$\begin{cases} \int_{B_R(0) \cap \Omega} A^{\alpha\beta}(0, u_R) D_\alpha v^i D_\beta \psi^i dx = 0 & \text{for all } \psi \in V' \\ u - v \in V', \end{cases}$$

where

$$V' = \{v \in H^{1,2}(B_R(0) \cap \Omega, \mathbf{R}^N) : v = 0 \text{ on } \partial B_R(0) \cap \Omega, v(\partial\Omega \cap B_R) \subset \Sigma\}.$$

Then for $1 \leq i \leq s$, \bar{v}^i are solutions problems

$$\begin{cases} \int_{B_R} \bar{A}^{\alpha\beta}(x) D_\alpha \bar{v}^i D_\beta \psi^i dx = 0 & \text{for all } \psi \in H_0^{1,2}(B_R(0)), \\ \bar{v}^i - \bar{u}^i \in H_0^{1,2}(B_R(0)), \end{cases}$$

and for $s+1 \leq i \leq N$, v^i are solutions of the problems

$$\begin{cases} \int_{B_R \cap \Omega} A^{\alpha\beta}(0, u_R) D_\alpha v^i D_\beta \psi^i dx = 0 & \text{for all } \psi \in H_0^{1,2}(B_R \cap \Omega), \\ v^i = u^i & \text{on } \partial B_R \cap \Omega, v^i = 0 & \text{on } \partial\Omega \cap B_R, \end{cases}$$

where $B_R = B_R(0)$.

For \bar{v}^i , $1 \leq i \leq s$, using Theorem of De Giorgi-Nash, we obtain for some $\beta \in (0, 1)$

$$(2.4) \quad \int_{B_\rho} |D\bar{v}^i|^2 dx \leq C_2 \left(\frac{\rho}{R}\right)^{n-2+2\beta} \int_{B_R} |D\bar{v}^i|^2 dx, \quad \text{for all } \rho \leq R,$$

and therefore

$$(2.5) \quad \int_{B_\rho \cap \Omega} |Dv^i|^2 dx \leq C_3 \left(\frac{\rho}{R}\right)^{n-2+2\beta} \int_{B_R \cap \Omega} |Dv^i|^2 dx.$$

For v^i , $s+1 \leq i \leq N$, we have

$$(2.6) \quad \int_{B_\rho \cap \Omega} |Dv^i|^2 dx \leq C_4 \left(\frac{\rho}{R}\right)^n \int_{B_R \cap \Omega} |Dv^i|^2 dx$$

(cf. [2]).

From (2.5) and (2.6), we obtain

$$(2.7) \quad \int_{B_\rho \cap \Omega} |Dv|^2 dx \leq C_5 \left(\frac{\rho}{R}\right)^{n-2+2\beta} \int_{B_R \cap \Omega} |Dv|^2 dx,$$

for some $\beta \in (0, 1)$.

Putting $w = u - v$, we have $w \in V'$, hence

$$\int_{B_R \cap \Omega} \sum_{i=1}^N A^{\alpha\beta}(0, u_R) D_\alpha v^i D_\beta w^i dx = 0.$$

Thus we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_{B_R \cap \Omega} \sum_{i=1}^N A^{\alpha\beta}(0, u_R) D_\alpha w^i D_\beta w^i dx \\ &= \int_{B_R \cap \Omega} \sum_{i=1}^N A^{\alpha\beta}(0, u_R) D_\alpha u^i D_\beta w^i dx \\ &= \int_{B_R \cap \Omega} \sum_{i=1}^N [A^{\alpha\beta}(0, u_R) - A^{\alpha\beta}(x, u)] D_\alpha (u+v)^i D_\beta w^i dx \\ & \quad + \int_{B_R \cap \Omega} \sum_{i=1}^N [A^{\alpha\beta}(x, v) - A^{\alpha\beta}(x, u)] D_\alpha v^i D_\beta v^i dx \\ & \quad + \int_{B_R \cap \Omega} \sum_{i=1}^N A^{\alpha\beta}(x, u) D_\alpha u^i D_\beta u^i dx - \int_{B_R \cap \Omega} \sum_{i=1}^N A^{\alpha\beta}(x, v) D_\alpha v^i D_\beta v^i dx. \end{aligned}$$

Since u minimizes F and $u - v \in V'$, the sum of the last two terms is non-negative. Thus we get

$$(2.8) \quad \int_{B_R \cap \Omega} |Dw|^2 dx$$

$$\leq C_5 \int_{B_R \cap \Omega} [|Du|^2 + |Dv|^2] \times [\omega(R^2 + |u - u_R|^2) + \omega(R^2 + |u - v|^2)] dx .$$

Using the inequality (2.7) and Theorem 1.1, we can proceed as the proof of Theorem 5.1 of [4], and from (2.8) we get

$$(2.9) \quad \int_{B_\rho \cap \Omega} (1 + |Du|^2) dx \\ \leq C_6 \left[\left(\frac{\rho}{R} \right)^{n-2+2\beta} + \omega \left(R^2 + C_7 R^{2-n} \int_{B_R \cap \Omega} |Du|^2 dx \right)^{1-2/p} \right] \\ \times \int_{B_{2R} \cap \Omega} (1 + |Du|^2) dx ,$$

for every $0 < \rho < R < 2R < \text{dist}(0, \Gamma_0)$. By a well known lemma (cf. [3] p. 18) it now follows that for any $\alpha \in (0, \beta)$ there exist positive numbers ε_1 and R_0 with the following property: Put $\Phi(x_0, r) = r^{2-n} \int_{B_r(x_0) \cap \Omega} |Du|^2 dx$. For $x_0 \in \Gamma_1$ if $\Phi(x_0, r) \leq \varepsilon_1$ for some $r < \min\{\text{dist}(x_0, \Gamma_0), R_0\}$, then

$$(2.10) \quad \Phi(x_0, \rho) \leq C_8 \varepsilon_1 \left(\frac{\rho}{r} \right)^{2\alpha} \quad \text{for every } \rho < r .$$

For an interior point x_1 we get by [4], the following: If $\Phi(x_1, r) \leq \varepsilon_1$ for some $r < \min\{R_0, \text{dist}(x_1, \partial\Omega)\}$, then

$$(2.11) \quad \Phi(x_1, \rho) \leq C_9 \Phi(x_1, r) \left(\frac{\rho}{r} \right)^{2\alpha} \quad \text{for every } \rho < r .$$

Now we want to prove the following result: There exists a positive constant ε_0 such that if $x_1 \in \Omega \cup \Gamma_1$, and $\Phi(x_1, r_0) \leq \varepsilon_0$ for some $r_0 < \min\{R_0, \text{dist}(x_1, \Gamma_0)\}$, then

$$(2.12) \quad \Phi(x_1, \rho) \leq C_{10} \left(\frac{\rho}{r_0} \right)^{2\alpha} \quad \text{for every } \rho < r_0 .$$

The assertion of Theorem 2.1 follows from (2.12) together with the integral characterization of Hölder continuous functions due to Campanato and Morrey (cf. [2]).

To prove (2.12), we follow the argument due to [8]: Let

$$(2.13) \quad \varepsilon_0 = \sigma^{n-2} \varepsilon_1 ,$$

where $\sigma < 1/8$ is determined in such a way that

$$(2.14) \quad \sigma^{2\alpha} 4^{n-2+2\alpha} C_8 < 1 .$$

It is sufficient to prove (2.12) for $\rho < \sigma r_0$. Therefore we restrict ourselves to the case that that $\rho < \sigma r_0$. Suppose that $\Phi(x_1, r_0) < \varepsilon_0$ and choose $x_0 \in \Gamma_1$ with $d := \text{dist}(x_1, \Gamma_1) = |x_1 - x_0|$.

Case 1. If $d > \sigma r_0 > \rho$, then (2.11) can be applied with $r = \sigma r_0$. Therefore

$$\Phi(x_0, \rho) \leq C'_9 \varepsilon_1 \left(\frac{\rho}{r_0}\right)^{2\alpha}.$$

Case 2. If $\sigma r_0 \geq d$ then $B_d(x_1) \subset B_{2d}(x_0) \subset B_{r_0/2}(x_0) \subset B_{r_0}(x_1)$ and therefore $\Phi(x_0, r_0/2) \leq 2^{n-2} \Phi(x_1, r_0) < \varepsilon_1$.

If $\sigma r_0 > \rho \geq d/2$, we apply (2.10) and arrive at

$$\Phi(x_1, \rho) \leq 4^{n-2} \Phi(x_0, 4\rho) \leq 4^{n-2} C_8 \varepsilon_1 \left(\frac{8\rho}{r_0}\right)^{2\alpha}.$$

Now let $\sigma r_0 \geq d \geq 2\rho$. Using (2.10) with $r = r_0/2$, we get

$$\Phi(x_1, d/2) \leq 4^{n-2} \Phi(x_0, 2d) \leq 4^{n-2} C_8 \varepsilon_1 \left(\frac{4d}{r_0}\right)^{2\alpha} \leq 4^{n-2} C_8 \varepsilon_1 (4\sigma)^{2\alpha} \leq \varepsilon_1.$$

Hence we can apply (2.11) with $r = d/2$ and obtain

$$\Phi(x_1, \rho) \leq 4^{n-2} C_8 C_9 \varepsilon_1 \left(\frac{4d}{r_0}\right)^{2\alpha} \left(\frac{2\rho}{d}\right)^{2\alpha} \leq C_{10} \varepsilon_1 \left(\frac{\rho}{r_0}\right)^{2\alpha}.$$

Thus we get (2.12) for all case.

REMARK. To apply the integral characterization of Hölder continuous functions the following consideration is necessary: Let $x_1 \in \Omega \cup \Gamma_1$ and assume that $\Phi(x_1, r_0) \leq \varepsilon_0$ for some $r_0 < \min\{R_0, \text{dist}(x_1, \Gamma_0)\}$. Because of the continuity of $\Phi(x, r_0)$ with respect to x , there exists a number $\delta > 0$, with $\delta + r_0 < \min\{R_0, \text{dist}(x_1, \Gamma_0)\}$ such that $\Phi(x, r_0) < \varepsilon_0$ for every $x \in B_\delta(x_1) \cap (\Omega \cup \Gamma_1)$. From (2.12) we get

$$(2.15) \quad \int_{B_\rho(x) \cap \Omega} |Du|^2 dx \leq \frac{C_{11}}{r_0^2} \rho^{n-2+2\alpha}$$

for all $x \in B_\delta(x_1) \cap (\Omega \cup \Gamma_1)$ and all $\rho < r_0$.

§3. Differentiable coefficient case.

In this section we treat the case that the coefficients $A^{\alpha\beta}(x, u)$ are differentiable, so that every bounded minimum u is a solution of Euler

equation,

$$(3.1) \quad \int_{\Omega} \sum_{i=1}^N A^{\alpha\beta}(x, u) D_{\alpha} u^i D_{\beta} \psi^i dx = -\frac{1}{2} \int_{\Omega} \sum_{1 \leq i, h \leq N} A^{\alpha\beta}_h(x, u) D_{\alpha} u^i D_{\beta} u^h \psi^i dx$$

for every $\psi \in V^2 \cap L^{\infty}(\Omega)$ (V^m is defined in section 1.), where $A^{\alpha\beta}_h(x, u) = \partial A^{\alpha\beta}(x, u) / \partial u^h$.

As usual we suppose that

$$(3.2) \quad |A^{\alpha\beta}(x, u)| \leq M, \quad A^{\alpha\beta}(x, u) \xi_{\alpha} \xi_{\beta} \geq \lambda |\xi|^2, \quad \lambda > 0 \quad \text{for all } \xi \in \mathbf{R}^n.$$

Then we get the following theorem corresponding to Theorem 5.1 of [4].

THEOREM 3.1. *Assume that*

$$(3.3) \quad -\frac{1}{2} \sum_{1 \leq i, h \leq N} u^i A^{\alpha\beta}_h(x, u) D_{\alpha} u^i D_{\beta} u^h \leq \lambda^* |Du|^2$$

with $\lambda^* < \lambda$. Then every bounded solution of the mixed boundary value problem (***) is Hölder continuous in $\Omega \cup \Gamma_1$.

PROOF. On account of Theorem 2.1, it is sufficient to show that for every $x_0 \in \Omega \cup \Gamma_1$ we have

$$(3.4) \quad \rho^{2-n} \int_{B_{\rho}(x_0) \cap \Omega} |Du|^2 dx \leq \epsilon_0,$$

for some $\rho > 0$. Since it is known in [4] that this is the case for $x_0 \in \Omega$, we only have to treat the case that $x_0 \in \Gamma_1$.

Let $R < (1/2) \text{dist}(x_0, \Gamma_0)$, $\eta \in H_0^{1,2}(B_{2R}(x_0))$, $\eta \geq 0$. Taking $\psi = \eta u$ in (3.1) we get

$$(3.5) \quad \lambda \int_{B_{2R}(x_0) \cap \Omega} \eta |Du|^2 dx \leq -\frac{1}{2} \int_{B_{2R}(x_0) \cap \Omega} A^{\alpha\beta} D_{\alpha} |u|^2 D_{\beta} \eta dx \\ + \lambda^* \int_{B_{2R}(x_0) \cap \Omega} \eta |Du|^2 dx.$$

Choose coordinate such that $x_0 = 0$ and $\Gamma_1 \cap B_{2R}(x_0) = \Gamma_1 \cap B_{2R}(0) \subset \{x \in \mathbf{R}^n : x^n = 0\}$, and define x_* , \bar{u} and $\sigma^{\alpha\beta}$ as in the section 2. Let

$$\bar{A}^{\alpha\beta}(x, v) = \begin{cases} A^{\alpha\beta}(x, v) & \text{if } x \in \Omega, \\ \sigma^{\alpha\beta} A^{\alpha\beta}(x_*, v) & \text{if } x \in B_{2R}(x_0) - \Omega. \end{cases}$$

Then from (3.5), the function $z := M^2(2R) - |\bar{u}|^2$, where $M(t) := \sup_{B_t} |\bar{u}|$, is a non-negative supersolution of an elliptic operator, i.e.

$$\int_{B_{2R}(0)} \bar{A}^{\alpha\beta}(x, \bar{u}) D_\alpha z D_\beta \eta dx \geq 0$$

for all $\eta \in C_0^\infty(B_{2R}(0))$, $\eta \geq 0$ and $z \geq 0$. Therefore from the weak Harnack inequality, we get

$$(3.6) \quad R^{-n} \int_{B_{2R}(0)} z dx \leq C_{12} \inf_{B_R(0)} z .$$

Now let $w \in H_0^{1,2}(B_{2R}(0))$ be a solution of the equation

$$(3.7) \quad \int_{B_{2R}(0)} \bar{A}^{\alpha\beta}(x, \bar{u}) D_\alpha w D_\beta \psi dx = R^{-2} \int_{B_{2R}(0)} \psi dx ,$$

for all $\psi \in H_0^{1,2}(B_{2R}(0))$. Taking $\psi = wz$ we obtain

$$(3.8) \quad \frac{1}{2} \int_{B_{2R}} \bar{A}^{\alpha\beta} D_\alpha w^2 D_\beta z dx + \int_{B_{2R}} z \bar{A}^{\alpha\beta} D_\alpha w D_\beta w dx = R^{-2} \int_{B_{2R}} wz dx$$

where $B_{2R} = B_{2R}(0)$, $\bar{A}^{\alpha\beta} = \bar{A}^{\alpha\beta}(x, \bar{u})$. It follows from (3.7) and the boundary condition $w|_{\partial B_{2R}} = 0$, that w is a non-negative weak solution of $D_\alpha(\bar{A}^{\alpha\beta} D_\beta w) = -1/R^2 < 0$. By the maximum principle we have $w > 0$ in the interior of B_{2R} and hence, by the weak Harnack inequality, we have $w \geq \alpha_1 > 0$ in B_R . Moreover we have $w \leq \alpha_2$ in B_{2R} . α_1 and α_2 are constants independent of R .

Now let $\eta = w^2$, from (3.8) and boundedness of w , we get

$$\int_{B_{2R}} \bar{A}^{\alpha\beta} D_\alpha \eta D_\beta z dx \leq C_{13} R^{-2} \int_{B_{2R}} z dx ,$$

which, together with (3.5) and (3.6), implies

$$(3.9) \quad \begin{aligned} (\lambda - \lambda^*) \int_{B_R(0) \cap \Omega} |Du|^2 dx &\leq -C_{14} \int_{B_{2R}(0) \cap \Omega} A^{\alpha\beta} D_\alpha |u|^2 D_\beta \eta dx \\ &\leq C_{15} \int_{B_{2R}(0)} \bar{A}^{\alpha\beta} D_\alpha z D_\beta \eta dx \\ &\leq C_{16} R^{-2} \int_{B_{2R}(0)} z dx \\ &\leq C_{17} R^{n-2} \inf_{B_R(0)} z \\ &\leq C_{17} R^{n-2} [M^2(2R) - M^2(R)] . \end{aligned}$$

On the other hand we have

$$(3.10) \quad \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} [M^2(2^{1-k}R) - M^2(2^{-k}R)] \leq M^2(2R) \leq \sup_{\Omega} |u|^2 ,$$

and inequalities (3.9) and (3.10) imply (3.4) with $\rho=2^{-k}R$ for some $k>0$. This completes the proof.

Combining Theorem 3.1. with the results of [4] and [8], we get regularity for minima of the solution of (**) for any point of $\bar{\Omega} - (\Gamma_0 \cap \bar{\Gamma}_1)$:

THEOREM 3.2. *Let Ω be a bounded domain in R^n , $n \geq 2$ with boundary $\partial\Omega$ of class C^2 , Γ_1 a relatively open subset of $\partial\Omega$, and $\Gamma_0 = \partial\Omega - \Gamma_1$. Let*

$$F(u) := \int_{\Omega} \sum_{i=1}^N A^{\alpha\beta}(x, u) D_{\alpha} u^i D_{\beta} u^i dx, \quad A^{\alpha\beta} = A^{\beta\alpha},$$

*be a quadratic functional, where $A^{\alpha\beta}(x, u)$ are differentiable and satisfy (3.2) and (3.3). Assume that Dirichlet boundary condition ϕ of (**) is in class $H^{1,p}(\Omega, R^N)$, $p > n$. Then any bounded solution of (**) is in class $C^{0,\alpha}(\bar{\Omega} - (\Gamma_0 \cap \bar{\Gamma}_1))$ for some $\alpha \in (0, 1)$.*

References

- [1] A. BALDES, Harmonic mappings with partially free boundary, *Manuscripta Math.*, **40** (1982), 255-275.
- [2] S. CAMPANATO, Equazioni ellisse del secondo ordine e spazi $L^{2,\lambda}$, *Ann. Mat. Pura Appl.*, **69** (1965), 321-382.
- [3] M. GIAQUINTA, Remarks on the regularity of weak solutions to some variational inequalities, *Math. Z.*, **177** (1981), 15-31.
- [4] M. GIAQUINTA and E. GIUSTI, On the regularity of minima of variational integrals, *Acta Math.*, **148** (1982), 31-46.
- [5] M. GIAQUINTA and E. GIUSTI, The singular set of the minima of certain quadratic functionals, Preprint 435 SBF 72, Bonn, 1981.
- [6] M. GIAQUINTA and G. MODICA, Regularity results for some class of higher order nonlinear elliptic systems, *J. Reine Angew. Math.*, **311/312** (1979), 145-169.
- [7] S. HILDEBRANDT and K.-O. WIDMAN, Some regularity results for quasilinear elliptic systems of second order, *Math. Z.*, **142** (1975), 67-86.
- [8] J. JOST and M. MEIER, Boundary regularity for minima of certain quadratic functionals, *Math. Ann.*, **262** (1983), 549-561.

Present Address:

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS

KEIO UNIVERSITY

HIYOSHI, KOHOKU-KU, YOKOHAMA

223