

Differentiability of Densities

Yoichi MIYATA

Waseda University Honjo Senior High School

(Communicated by K. Komatsu)

Abstract. Suppose that p_θ is a probability density of sample X , T is a mapping, $g_\theta(t)$ is an induced probability density by T and $k_\theta(x)$ is a conditional density given $T = t$. Then, the following results are proved under some conditions. (a) L^2 -differentiability of the family $(\sqrt{p_\theta})$ is equivalent to that of $(\sqrt{g_\theta})$ and $(\sqrt{k_\theta})$. (b) Regularity of the family (p_θ) is equivalent to that of (g_θ) and (k_θ) .

1. Introduction.

Let μ be a σ -finite measure on a σ -algebra \mathcal{F} of sets in a space \mathcal{X} . T is a mapping from \mathcal{X} into a space \mathcal{L} . ν_0 is the measure induced in \mathcal{L} on the σ -algebra \mathcal{A} ; i.e. \mathcal{A} is the σ -algebra of sets A in \mathcal{L} such that $T^{-1}A \in \mathcal{F}$, and $\nu_0(A) = \mu(T^{-1}A)$.

Notice that ν_0 is not necessarily σ -finite. But there always exists a σ -finite measure ν on \mathcal{A} which dominates ν_0 . Indeed μ is dominated by some finite measure μ_1 and the measure induced in \mathcal{L} from μ_1 is finite and dominates ν_0 . Let Θ be an open parameter set in \mathbb{R}^k , θ an element of Θ and p_θ a density function on \mathcal{X} . Put

$$Q_\theta(A) = \int_{T^{-1}A} p_\theta(x) d\mu, \quad A \in \mathcal{A},$$
$$\nu(A) = 0 \Rightarrow \mu(T^{-1}A) = 0 \Rightarrow Q_\theta(A) = 0.$$

Hence $\nu \gg Q_\theta$, and so by the Radon-Nikodym theorem there exists a function g_θ on \mathcal{L} such that

$$\int_{T^{-1}A} p_\theta d\mu = Q_\theta(A) = \int_A g_\theta d\nu, \quad A \in \mathcal{A}.$$

We shall write $g_\theta(t) = E[p_\theta|T = t]$ which is the conditional expectation given $T = t$.

We denote an inner product by (\cdot, \cdot) , the transpose of a row vector \mathbf{a} by \mathbf{a}' and $|\mathbf{a}| = \sqrt{(\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{a})}$. $f \in L^p(\mu)$ (resp. $f \in L^p(Q_\theta)$) means $\int |f|^p d\mu < \infty$ (resp. $\int |f|^p g_\theta(t) d\nu < \infty$). We define

$$k_\theta(x) = \begin{cases} p_\theta(x)/g_\theta(T(x)) & \text{on } \{x : g_\theta(T(x)) \neq 0\} \\ 0 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}.$$

This is a conditional density given T . It is well known that the family $(\sqrt{g_\theta})$ induced by T is L^2 -differentiable with the derivative $E[\dot{p}_\theta(x)|T]/(2\sqrt{g_\theta(t)})$ if the family $(\sqrt{p_\theta})$ is L^2 -differentiable. Recent references are Bickel, et al. [1] and Ibragimov and Hasminskii [4]. Further the family $(\sqrt{k_\theta})$ is *smooth* in the sense of conditional densities if the family $(\sqrt{p_\theta})$ is *smooth*. This result is proved by Kuboki [2], using Lebesgue Convergence Theorem and a concept of loosely convergence. The subject of this paper is to give some properties of L^2 -differentiability of the families $(\sqrt{p_\theta})$ and $(\sqrt{k_\theta})$. In Section 3, we shall prove L^2 -differentiability of $(\sqrt{k_\theta})$ under that of $(\sqrt{p_\theta})$ by a direct calculation (Theorem 3.1). Conversely, when both the families $(\sqrt{g_\theta})$ and $(\sqrt{k_\theta})$ are L^2 -differentiable respectively, is the family $(\sqrt{p_\theta})$ L^2 -differentiable? This is true. We shall prove this in Theorem 3.2 and also refer the factorization of Fisher information matrix. Furthermore, it is proved that under some conditions, regularity of (p_θ) is equivalent to that of (g_θ) and (k_θ) (Theorems 3.5 and 3.6). Section 4 deals with the proofs of these theorems.

2. Definition and properties of the conditional expectation.

To simply notations, we shall write $g_\theta(t)$ or $g_\theta T(x)$ for $g_\theta(T(x))$ and denote the square root of densities by $s_\theta := \sqrt{p_\theta}$, $q_\theta := \sqrt{g_\theta}$ and $r_\theta := \sqrt{k_\theta}$.

DEFINITION 2.1. The family $(\sqrt{p_\theta})$ is L^2 -differentiable if there exists $\dot{s}_\theta \in L^2(\mu)$ such that for every $\theta \in \Theta$,

$$\int |\sqrt{p_{\theta+h}(x)} - \sqrt{p_\theta(x)} - (\dot{s}_\theta(x), h)|^2 d\mu = o(|h|^2). \quad (2.1)$$

DEFINITION 2.2. The family $(\sqrt{k_\theta})$ is L^2 -differentiable in the sense of conditional densities if there exists $\dot{r}_\theta \in L^2(Q_\theta)$ such that for every $\theta \in \Theta$,

$$\int |\sqrt{k_{\theta+h}(x)} - \sqrt{k_\theta(x)} - (\dot{r}_\theta(x), h)|^2 g_\theta(T(x)) d\mu = o(|h|^2). \quad (2.2)$$

We shall say that the family $(\sqrt{k_\theta})$ is *conditional L^2 -differentiable given T* if the family $(\sqrt{k_\theta})$ is L^2 -differentiable in the sense of (2.2). We shall prove in Theorem 3.1 that under L^2 -differentiability of $(\sqrt{p_\theta})$, the family (k_θ) satisfies (2.2) with the derivative

$$\dot{r}_\theta(x) = \begin{cases} \frac{\dot{s}_\theta(x)}{q_\theta T(x)} - \frac{s_\theta(x)\dot{q}_\theta T(x)}{q_\theta T(x)^2} & \text{on } \{x : g_\theta T(x) \neq 0\} \\ 0 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases},$$

where \dot{q}_θ is L^2 -derivative of $\sqrt{g_\theta}$.

DEFINITION 2.3. The family $(\sqrt{p_\theta})$ is continuous L^2 -differentiable if it satisfies (2.1) and the L^2 -derivative \dot{s}_θ is L^2 -continuous, i.e., for every $\theta \in \Theta$,

$$\int |\dot{s}_{\theta+h}(x) - \dot{s}_\theta(x)|^2 d\mu \rightarrow 0 \quad \text{as } |h| \rightarrow 0. \quad (2.3)$$

DEFINITION 2.4. The family $(\sqrt{k_\theta})$ is continuous L^2 -differentiable if it satisfies (2.2) and the L^2 -derivative \dot{r}_θ is L^2 -continuous in the following sense.

For every $\theta \in \Theta$,

$$\int |\dot{r}_{\theta+h}(x) - \dot{r}_\theta(x)|^2 g_\theta T(x) d\mu \rightarrow 0 \quad \text{as } |h| \rightarrow 0. \quad (2.4)$$

We sometimes denote that (p_θ) (resp. (k_θ)) is *regular* if the family $(\sqrt{p_\theta})$ (resp. $(\sqrt{k_\theta})$) is continuous L^2 -differentiable.

REMARK 2.5. By the property of the conditional expectation (see Nabeya [5]), $E[\frac{p_\theta(x)}{g_\theta(t)} 1_{[g_\theta > 0]} | T] = \frac{1_{[g_\theta > 0]}}{g_\theta(t)} E[p_\theta(x) | T] = 1_{[g_\theta > 0]}$. Hence, it follows that $E[k_\theta(x) | T] = 1_{[g_\theta(t) > 0]} \leq 1$ a.e.v.

The score functions of X and a mapping $T = t$ are defined respectively by

$$l_\theta(x) = \frac{\dot{p}_\theta(x)}{p_\theta(x)} 1_{[x: p_\theta > 0]}, \quad l_\theta(t) = \frac{\dot{g}_\theta(t)}{g_\theta(t)} 1_{[t: g_\theta > 0]},$$

where \dot{p}_θ (resp. \dot{g}_θ) is L^1 -derivative of p_θ (resp. g_θ). It is well-known that $l_\theta(x) = 2\dot{s}_\theta(x)/s_\theta(x) 1_{[s_\theta > 0]}$ and $l_\theta(t) = 2\dot{q}_\theta(t)/q_\theta(t) 1_{[q_\theta > 0]}$ if the family $(\sqrt{p_\theta})$ is L^2 -differentiable. See Bickel, et al. [1].

3. Main results.

First, we consider the following conditions.

CONDITION A. $\int |l_\theta(t)|^2 k_{\theta+h}(x) g_\theta T(x) d\mu$ exists for small h .

CONDITION B. $\int |l_{\theta+h}(x)|^2 k_{\theta+h}(x) g_\theta T(x) d\mu$ and $\int |l_{\theta+h}(t)|^2 g_\theta T(x) d\mu$ exist for small h , respectively.

The above conditions are needed as the integrability when we shall prove Theorems 3.1, 3.2 and so on. Condition A is a weak assumption. Actually, condition A is satisfied automatically from $\int k_\theta(x, y) d\nu \leq 1$ in the case of $k_\theta(x, y) = p_\theta(x, y)/p_\theta(x)$. The detail is referred in Example 3.3.

THEOREM 3.1. Suppose that $(\sqrt{p_\theta})$ is L^2 -differentiable and condition A is satisfied. Then the family $(\sqrt{k_\theta})$ is L^2 -differentiable in the sense of (2.2) with the derivative

$$\dot{r}_\theta(x) = \frac{\dot{s}_\theta(x) q_\theta T(x) - s_\theta(x) \dot{q}_\theta T(x)}{q_\theta T(x)^2} 1_{[x: g_\theta T(x) > 0]}.$$

THEOREM 3.2. Suppose that the family $(\sqrt{k_\theta})$ is L^2 -differentiable in the sense of (2.2) with the derivative $\dot{r}_\theta(x)$, $(\sqrt{g_\theta})$ is L^2 -differentiable with the derivative $\dot{q}_\theta(t)$ and that condition A is satisfied. Then the family $(\sqrt{p_\theta})$ is L^2 -differentiable with the derivative

$$\dot{s}_\theta(x) = \dot{r}_\theta(x) \sqrt{g_\theta(T(x))} + \sqrt{k_\theta(x)} \dot{q}_\theta(T(x)).$$

L^2 -differentiability of induced probability densities is common knowledge (see Bickel, et al. [1]). Hence, by combining Theorems 3.1 and 3.2, we can see that the family $(\sqrt{p_\theta(x)})$ is

L^2 -differentiable iff $(\sqrt{g_\theta(t)})$ is L^2 -differentiable and $(\sqrt{k_\theta(x)})$ is conditional L^2 -differentiable given T .

EXAMPLE 3.3. Suppose that $\{\mathcal{X}, \mathcal{A}, \mu\}$ and $\{\mathcal{Y}, \mathcal{B}, \nu\}$ are two measure spaces. Let \mathcal{A} and \mathcal{B} be σ -algebras of subsets of \mathcal{X} and \mathcal{Y} , and μ and ν be σ -finite measures on \mathcal{X} and \mathcal{Y} , respectively. $\{\mathcal{X} \times \mathcal{Y}, \mathcal{A} \times \mathcal{B}, \mu \times \nu\}$ is the cartesian product space of $\{\mathcal{X}, \mathcal{A}, \mu\}$ and $\{\mathcal{Y}, \mathcal{B}, \nu\}$. Let (P_θ^{XY}) be probability distributions on $\mathcal{X} \times \mathcal{Y}$ with densities $p_\theta(x, y)$ relative to a σ -finite measure $\mu \times \nu$. Then the induced probability density by a mapping $T : \mathcal{X} \times \mathcal{Y} \rightarrow \mathcal{X}$ is marginal $p_\theta(x)$. Thus the family $(\sqrt{p_\theta(x, y)})$ is L^2 -differentiable iff both the families $(\sqrt{p_\theta(x)})$ and $(\sqrt{p_\theta(y|x)})$ are L^2 -differentiable. Here, L^2 -differentiability of $(\sqrt{p_\theta(y|x)})$ is correspond to (2.2), i.e., there exists $\dot{s}_\theta(y|x) \in L^2(P_\theta^X \times \nu)$ such that

$$\iint |\sqrt{p_{\theta+h}(y|x)} - \sqrt{p_\theta(y|x)} - (h, \dot{s}_\theta(y|x))|^2 p_\theta(x) d\mu d\nu = o(|h|^2).$$

The concept of L^2 -differentiability is available for a discrete probability function with an open parameter set since counting measure μ is σ -finite.

EXAMPLE 3.4. Suppose that the conditional density of x given k is

$$f_p(x|k) = \binom{n}{x-[k]} p^{x-[k]} (1-p)^{n-x+[k]} \quad x = [k], [k]+1, \dots, [k]+n$$

and a random variable k is according to $N(\mu, \sigma^2)$, where $[\cdot]$ is Gaussian integer and $0 < p < 1$, μ and σ are unknown parameters, that is $\theta = (p, \mu, \sigma)$. Since $p^{x-[k]}(1-p)^{n-x+[k]}$ is ordinary differentiable with respect to p , it is obvious that

$$\sum_{x=[k]}^{n+[k]} \left(\frac{\sqrt{f_{p+h}(x|k)} - \sqrt{f_p(x|k)}}{h} - \dot{s}_p(x|k) \right)^2 \rightarrow 0 \quad \text{as } |h| \rightarrow 0, \quad (3.1)$$

where $\dot{s}_p(x|k) = \sqrt{\binom{n}{x-[k]}} \left(\frac{x-[k]-np}{2} \right) p^{\frac{x-[k]-2}{2}} (1-p)^{\frac{n-x+[k]-2}{2}}$. Furthermore, putting $w = x - [k]$, (3.1) does not depend on k . Thus it is verified that $(\sqrt{f_p(x|k)})$ is conditional L^2 -differentiable given k . On the other hand, it is well known that the normal density of k is L^2 -differentiable. Hence so is the joint density of (X, K) .

Subsequently, we shall state the factorization of information matrix. We define the information matrix of p_θ, g_θ as

$$I_X(\theta) = 4 \int \dot{s}_\theta(x) \dot{s}_\theta(x)' d\mu, \quad I_T(\theta) = 4 \int \dot{r}_\theta(t) \dot{r}_\theta(t)' d\nu,$$

respectively and the conditional information matrix of $k_\theta(x)$ given T as $I_{X|T}(\theta) = E[(\dot{k}_\theta \dot{k}'_\theta) / k_\theta | T]$. It is known that $I_X(\theta) = E_\theta^T \{I_{X|T}(\theta)\} + I_T(\theta)$ under L^2 -differentiability of the family $(\sqrt{p_\theta})$. See Kuboki [2].

THEOREM 3.5. Suppose that the family $(\sqrt{k_\theta})$ is conditional L^2 -differentiable given T and $(g_\theta(t))$ is L^2 -differentiable. Then,

$$I_X(\theta) = E_\theta^T \{I_{X|T}(\theta)\} + I_T(\theta).$$

PROOF. Applying Theorem 3.2, the family $(\sqrt{p_\theta})$ is L^2 -differentiable from the assumption. By noting $\dot{g}_\theta(t) = E[\dot{p}_\theta(x)|T]$ and $\dot{r}_\theta(x) = \dot{s}_\theta(x)/q_\theta(t) - s_\theta(x)\dot{q}_\theta(t)/(q_\theta(t)^2)$, the assertion is proved. \square

There are some papers which treat continuous L^2 -differentiability instead of mere L^2 -differentiability because things are easier. Here we shall state the following theorems concerned with regularity. Let a score function be $l_\theta(x) = (\dot{p}_\theta(x)/p_\theta(x))1_{[p_\theta > 0]}$.

THEOREM 3.6. *Suppose that the family (p_θ) is regular and conditions A, B are satisfied. Then the family (k_θ) is regular in the sense of Definition 2.4.*

THEOREM 3.7. *Suppose that the family (g_θ) is regular, the family (k_θ) is regular in the sense of Definition 2.4 with the derivative \dot{r}_θ and conditions A, B are satisfied. Then the family (p_θ) is regular.*

When the family (p_θ) is regular, regularity of induced probability densities by T is proved by Bickel, et al. [1]. Hence, by combining Theorems 3.6 and 3.7, we can see that under conditions A, B, regularity of the family (p_θ) is equivalent to that of (g_θ) and (k_θ) .

Finally, we shall introduce the useful theorem for checking L^2 -differentiability of regression models. Let the conditional density of y given x be $p_\theta(y|x) = p_\theta(x, y)/p_\theta(x)$ on $\{x : p_\theta > 0\}$ and 0 on $\{x : p_\theta = 0\}$. In some cases, it is difficult to check L^2 -differentiability of $(\sqrt{p_\theta(y|x)})$ in measure $P_\theta^X \times \nu$ even if $(\sqrt{p_\theta(y|x)})$ is L^2 -differentiable in measure ν . The following Theorem 3.8 gives the sufficient condition of conditional L^2 -differentiability given $T : \mathcal{X} \times \mathcal{Y} \rightarrow \mathcal{X}$, i.e.,

$$\iint |s_{\theta+h}(y|x) - s_\theta(y|x) - (\dot{s}_\theta(y|x), h)|^2 d\nu dP_\theta^X = o(|h|^2) \quad \text{for every } \theta.$$

This result is proved by Strasser [3], Theorem 3.4, p. 120. Suppose that for every $x \in \mathcal{X}$, the family $(\sqrt{p_\theta(y|x)})$ is L^2 -differentiable in measure ν , i.e.,

$$\int |s_{\theta+h}(y|x) - s_\theta(y|x) - (\dot{s}_\theta(y|x), h)|^2 d\nu = o(|h|^2) \quad \text{for every } \theta.$$

Note that we shall use the same notation $\dot{s}_\theta(y|x)$ for the L^2 -derivative in measure $P_\theta^X \times \nu$ as well as for the L^2 -derivative in measure ν . For the following theorem, we consider continuous L^2 -differentiability instead of mere L^2 -differentiability. We denote Fisher's information matrix with respect to ν by $I_{Y|x}(\theta) := 4 \int \dot{s}_\theta(y|x)\dot{s}_\theta(y|x)' d\nu$. Let $I_{Y|x,h}(\theta) := I_{Y|x}(\theta + h)$. $I_{Y|x,h}(\theta)$ is called to be *uniformly P_θ^X -integrable* if $\lim_{M \rightarrow \infty} \sup_h \int_{I_{Y|x,h}(\theta) > M} |I_{Y|x,h}(\theta)| dP_\theta^X = 0$ for every $\theta \in \Theta$. If $I_{Y|x,h}(\theta)$ is uniformly P_θ^X -integrable, it follows that

$$E_\theta^X \{I_{Y|x,h}(\theta)\} \rightarrow E_\theta^X \{I_{Y|x}(\theta)\} \quad \text{as } |h| \rightarrow 0. \quad (3.2)$$

Of course, if there exist P_θ^X -integrable functions $H_h(x : \theta)$ such that for any small h , $I_{Y|x,h}(\theta) \leq H_h(x : \theta)$ a.e. P_θ^X and $\int H_h(x : \theta) dP_\theta^X \rightarrow \int H(x : \theta) dP_\theta^X$ as $|h| \rightarrow 0$, then (3.2) holds from Lebesgue Convergence Theorem. Let $\lambda = \nu \times P_\theta^X$. $\dot{s}_\theta(y|x)$ is called to be $\nu \times P_\theta^X$ -continuous if for every $\theta \in \Theta$, $\lambda\{(x, y) : |\dot{s}_{\theta+h}(y|x) - \dot{s}_\theta(y|x)| \geq \varepsilon\} \rightarrow 0$ as $|h| \rightarrow 0$.

THEOREM 3.8. *Suppose that for every $x \in \mathcal{X}$, the family $(\sqrt{p_\theta(y|x)})$ is continuous L^2 -differentiable in measure ν with the derivative $\dot{s}_\theta(y|x)$. Let Fisher's information matrix with respect to ν be $I_{Y|x}(\theta)$. If the family of functions $I_{Y|x,h}(\theta)$ satisfies (3.2) and $\dot{s}_\theta(y|x)$ is $\nu \times P_\theta^X$ -continuous, then the family $(\sqrt{p_\theta(y|x)})$ is continuous L^2 -differentiable in the sense of Definition 2.4.*

EXAMPLE 3.9 (Normal Linear Model).

$$Y_i = \alpha + \beta x_i + \varepsilon_i \quad (i = 1, \dots, n)$$

where $\varepsilon_1, \dots, \varepsilon_n \stackrel{\text{i.i.d.}}{\sim} N(0, \sigma^2)$, σ is known and α and β are unknown.

Suppose that random variables x_1, \dots, x_n are i.i.d with common density f_θ which is continuous L^2 -differentiable at θ with the expectation $\mu(\theta) = E_\theta X_i$, and each measure of Y_i, x_i ($i = 1, \dots, n$) is Lebesgue measure. Let $Y = (Y_1, \dots, Y_n)'$ and $x = (x_1, \dots, x_n)'$. Let $z(\cdot)$ be a density of standard normal distribution and the family $(p_{\alpha,\beta}(y|x))$ be the conditional densities of Y given x . It is verified that $p_{\alpha,\beta}(y|x) = \sigma^{-n} \prod_{i=1}^n z((y_i - \alpha - \beta x_i)/\sigma)$ is continuous L^2 -differentiable in measure μ^Y . (See Bickel, et al. [1], Proposition 2).

Since $I_{Y|x,h}(\alpha, \beta, \theta) = \begin{pmatrix} n/\sigma^2 & \sum_{i=1}^n x_i/\sigma^2 & 0 \\ \sum_{i=1}^n x_i/\sigma^2 & \sum_{i=1}^n x_i^2/\sigma^2 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$ does not depend on h and $\mu(\theta)$ is continuous from L^2 -differentiability of f_θ , $E^X\{I_{Y|x}(\alpha, \beta, \theta)\} = \begin{pmatrix} n/\sigma^2 & n\mu(\theta)/\sigma^2 & 0 \\ n\mu(\theta)/\sigma^2 & n(\sigma^2 + \mu(\theta)^2)/\sigma^2 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$ satisfies (3.2).

Thus, $(p_{\alpha,\beta}(y|x))$ satisfies (2.2) and (2.4) from Theorem 3.8. Applying Theorem 3.6, $p_{\theta,\alpha,\beta}(x, y) = \sigma^{-n} \prod_{i=1}^n z((y_i - \alpha - \beta x_i)/\sigma) f_\theta(x_i)$ is continuous L^2 -differentiable.

4. Proofs.

In this section, we shall prove the theorems stated in Section 3. Let the partition of \mathcal{L} , $A_h := \{t : g_\theta(t) > 0 \text{ and } g_{\theta+h}(t) > 0\}$, $B_h := \{t : g_\theta(t) > 0 \text{ and } g_{\theta+h}(t) = 0\}$ and $C_h := \{t : g_\theta(t) = 0 \text{ and } g_{\theta+h}(t) > 0\}$.

LEMMA 4.1. *Suppose that the family $(\sqrt{p_\theta})$ is L^2 -differentiable and $\xi(T(x))$ is a function such that $\int \xi(t(x))k_{\theta+h}(x)g_\theta T(x)d\mu < \infty$. Then the following holds*

- (i) $\int (r_{\theta+h}(x) - r_\theta(x))^2 g_\theta T(x) d\mu = O(|h|^2)$
- (ii) $\int \xi(t(x))(r_{\theta+h}(x) - r_\theta(x))^2 g_\theta T(x) d\mu = o(1)$.

PROOF. First, we shall prove Lemma 4.1(i).

$$\begin{aligned}
& \int_{T^{-1}A_h} \left(\frac{s_{\theta+h}(x)}{q_{\theta+h}T(x)} - \frac{s_\theta(x)}{q_\theta T(x)} \right)^2 g_\theta T(x) d\mu \\
& \leq \int_{T^{-1}A_h} (q_{\theta+h}T(x) - q_\theta T(x))^2 \frac{p_{\theta+h}(x)}{g_{\theta+h}T(x)} d\mu + \int (s_{\theta+h}(x) - s_\theta(x))^2 d\mu \\
& = \int_{A_h} (q_{\theta+h}(t) - q_\theta(t))^2 E \left[\frac{p_{\theta+h}(x)}{g_{\theta+h}T(x)} \middle| T \right] dv + O(|h|^2) \tag{4.1}
\end{aligned}$$

From L^2 -differentiability of $(\sqrt{g_\theta})$ and Remark 2.5, we have (4.1) = $O(|h|^2)$. On the other hand, it is easily verified that $\int_{T^{-1}B_h} |r_{\theta+h}(x) - r_\theta(x)|^2 g_\theta T(x) d\mu = O(|h|^2)$ and $\int_{T^{-1}C_h} |r_{\theta+h}(x) - r_\theta(x)|^2 g_\theta T(x) d\mu = 0$. Hence (i) is proved. Next, we shall prove Lemma 4.1(ii). By using the partition of integral domain, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
& \int |\xi(T(x))| |\sqrt{k_{\theta+h}(x)} - \sqrt{k_\theta(x)}|^2 g_\theta T(x) d\mu \\
& \leq \int_{T^{-1}[\xi(t) > \frac{1}{|h|}]} |\xi(T(x))| |\sqrt{k_{\theta+h}(x)} - \sqrt{k_\theta(x)}|^2 g_\theta T(x) d\mu \tag{4.2}
\end{aligned}$$

$$+ \frac{1}{|h|} \int |\sqrt{k_{\theta+h}(x)} - \sqrt{k_\theta(x)}|^2 g_\theta T(x) d\mu \tag{4.3}$$

It holds from Lemma 4.1(i) that (4.3) $\rightarrow 0$ as $|h| \rightarrow 0$. Since we have $E[k_\theta(x)|T] \leq 1$ a.e. ν for every θ from Remark 2.5, (4.2) is bounded above by

$$\begin{aligned}
& \int_{[\xi(t) > \frac{1}{|h|}]} |\xi(t)| g_\theta(t) (E[k_{\theta+h}(x)|T] + E[k_\theta(x)|T]) dv \\
& \leq 2 \int |\xi(t)| 1_{[\xi(t) > \frac{1}{|h|}]} g_\theta(t) dv. \tag{4.4}
\end{aligned}$$

It follows from Lebesgue Convergence Theorem that (4.4) $\rightarrow 0$ as $|h| \rightarrow 0$. Hence (ii) is proved. \square

PROOF OF THEOREM 3.1. From $r_\theta = s_\theta/q_\theta$ and $r_{\theta+h} = s_{\theta+h}/q_{\theta+h}$ on $T^{-1}A_h$, it follows that

$$\begin{aligned}
& \int_{T^{-1}A_h} |r_{\theta+h}(x) - r_\theta(x) - (h, \dot{r}_\theta(x))|^2 g_\theta T(x) d\mu \\
& \leq 2 \int_{T^{-1}A_h} |s_{\theta+h}(x) - s_\theta(x) - (h, \dot{s}_\theta(x))|^2 d\mu \tag{4.5}
\end{aligned}$$

$$+ 2 \int_{T^{-1}A_h} |(q_{\theta+h}T(x) - q_\theta T(x))r_{\theta+h}(x) - (h, r_\theta(x)\dot{q}_\theta T(x))|^2 d\mu. \tag{4.6}$$

It is clear that (4.5) = $o(|h|^2)$. (4.6) is bounded above by

$$4 \int |q_{\theta+h}T(x) - q_{\theta}T(x) - (h, \dot{q}_{\theta}T(x))|^2 k_{\theta+h}(x) d\mu \quad (4.7)$$

$$+ 4 \int |r_{\theta+h}(x) - r_{\theta}(x)|^2 \left(h, \frac{\dot{q}_{\theta}T(x)}{q_{\theta}T(x)} \right)^2 g_{\theta}T(x) d\mu. \quad (4.8)$$

By Remark 2.5, it holds that (4.7) = $o(|h|^2)$. Setting $l_{\theta}(t) = 2(\dot{q}_{\theta}T(x)/q_{\theta}T(x))$, it follows from Lemma 4.1 (ii) that (4.8) = $o(|h|^2)$. Hence the assertion is proved. \square

LEMMA 4.2. *Suppose that the family $(\sqrt{k_{\theta}})$ is conditional L^2 -differentiable given T . Then,*

$$\int |\sqrt{k_{\theta+h}(x)} - \sqrt{k_{\theta}(x)}|^2 g_{\theta}T(x) d\mu = O(|h|^2).$$

PROOF.

$$\begin{aligned} & \int |\sqrt{k_{\theta+h}(x)} - \sqrt{k_{\theta}(x)}|^2 g_{\theta}T(x) d\mu \\ & \leq 2 \int |\sqrt{k_{\theta+h}(x)} - \sqrt{k_{\theta}(x)} - (\dot{r}_{\theta}(x), h)|^2 g_{\theta}T(x) d\mu + 2 \int |(h, \dot{r}_{\theta}(x))|^2 g_{\theta}T(x) d\mu \\ & = O(|h|^2). \end{aligned}$$

Thus, the lemma is proved. \square

LEMMA 4.3. *Suppose that $(\sqrt{k_{\theta}})$ is L^2 -differentiable and $\int \xi(t(x))k_{\theta+h}(x)g_{\theta}T(x)d\mu < \infty$ for small h .*

$$\int |\xi(T(x))| |\sqrt{k_{\theta+h}(x)} - \sqrt{k_{\theta}(x)}|^2 g_{\theta}T(x) d\mu = o(1).$$

PROOF. This is proved by the same argument as in the proof of Lemma 4.1 (ii). \square

PROOF OF THEOREM 3.2. Since $p_{\theta}(x) = k_{\theta}(x)g_{\theta}(t)$ on $\{x : g_{\theta} > 0\}$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_{T^{-1}A_h} |\sqrt{p_{\theta+h}(x)} - \sqrt{p_{\theta}(x)} - (\dot{s}_{\theta}(x), h)|^2 d\mu \\ & \leq 3 \int |\sqrt{k_{\theta+h}(x)} - \sqrt{k_{\theta}(x)} - (\dot{r}_{\theta}(x), h)|^2 g_{\theta}T(x) d\mu \quad (4.9) \end{aligned}$$

$$+ 3 \int |\sqrt{g_{\theta+h}T(x)} - \sqrt{g_{\theta}T(x)} - (\dot{q}_{\theta}T(x), h)|^2 k_{\theta+h}(x) d\mu \quad (4.10)$$

$$+ 3 \int |\sqrt{k_{\theta+h}(x)} - \sqrt{k_{\theta}(x)}|^2 (\dot{q}_{\theta}T(x), h)^2 d\mu. \quad (4.11)$$

It is clear from the assumption that (4.9) = $o(|h|^2)$. Applying Remark 2.5, (4.10) = $o(|h|^2)$. (4.11) does not exceed

$$\frac{3}{4}|h|^2 \int |\sqrt{k_{\theta+h}(x)} - \sqrt{k_{\theta}(x)}|^2 |l_{\theta}(t)|^2 g_{\theta}T(x) d\mu,$$

where $l_\theta(t) = 2\dot{q}_\theta(t)/q_\theta(t)$. It follows from Lemma 4.3 that (4.9) = $o(|h|^2)$. On the other hand, we have $p_{\theta+h} = 0$ a.e. μ on $T^{-1}B_h$ since $0 = \int_{B_h} g_{\theta+h} dv = \int_{T^{-1}B_h} p_{\theta+h} d\mu$. Hence

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_{T^{-1}B_h} |\sqrt{p_{\theta+h}(x)} - \sqrt{p_\theta(x)} - (\dot{s}_\theta(x), h)|^2 d\mu \\ & \leq \int_{T^{-1}B_h} p_\theta(x) d\mu + \int_{T^{-1}B_h} |(\dot{s}_\theta(x), h)|^2 d\mu \\ & \leq \int_{B_h} g_\theta(t) dv + |h|^2 \int_{T^{-1}B_h} |\dot{s}_\theta(x)|^2 d\mu \\ & = o(|h|^2). \end{aligned}$$

It is easily verified that $\int_{T^{-1}C_h} |\sqrt{p_{\theta+h}(x)} - \sqrt{p_\theta(x)} - (\dot{s}_\theta(x), h)|^2 d\mu = o(|h|^2)$. Thus, the assertion is proved. \square

LEMMA 4.4. *Suppose that the family $(\sqrt{g_\theta})$ is regular and $\int |l_{\theta+h}(t)|^2 g_\theta T(x) d\mu < \infty$. Then the following holds*

$$\int |l_{\theta+h}(t) - l_\theta(t)|^2 g_\theta T(x) d\mu = o(1).$$

PROOF.

$$\begin{aligned} & 4 \int_{T^{-1}A_h} \left| \frac{\dot{q}_{\theta+h} T(x)}{q_{\theta+h} T(x)} - \frac{\dot{q}_\theta T(x)}{q_\theta T(x)} \right|^2 g_\theta T(x) d\mu \\ & = 4 \int_{T^{-1}A_h} \left| \dot{q}_{\theta+h} T(x) \frac{q_\theta T(x)}{q_{\theta+h} T(x)} - \dot{q}_\theta T(x) \right|^2 d\mu \\ & \leq 2 \int_{T^{-1}A_h} |q_{\theta+h} T(x) - q_\theta T(x)|^2 |l_{\theta+h}(t)|^2 d\mu + 8 \int |\dot{q}_{\theta+h} T(x) - \dot{q}_\theta T(x)|^2 dv \\ & = 4 \int_{A_h} \left(\frac{q_{\theta+h}(t)}{q_\theta(t)} - 1 \right)^2 |l_{\theta+h}(t)|^2 g_\theta(t) dv + o(1). \end{aligned} \quad (4.12)$$

By the same argument as Lemma 4.1 (ii), (4.12) tends to 0 as $|h| \rightarrow 0$. It is verified that $\int_{T^{-1}B_h} |l_{\theta+h}(t) - l_\theta(t)|^2 g_\theta T(x) d\mu = o(1)$ and $\int_{T^{-1}C_h} |l_{\theta+h}(t) - l_\theta(t)|^2 g_\theta T(x) d\mu = 0$. Hence the assertion is proved. \square

LEMMA 4.5. *Suppose that the family $(\sqrt{p_\theta})$ is regular and $\int |l_{\theta+h}(x)|^2 k_{\theta+h}(x) g_\theta T(x) d\mu < \infty$. Then, it follows that*

$$\int |l_{\theta+h}(x) r_{\theta+h}(x) - l_\theta(x) r_\theta(x)|^2 g_\theta T(x) d\mu = o(1).$$

PROOF. Since $l_\theta(x)r_\theta(x) = \dot{s}_\theta(x)/q_\theta T(x)$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} & 4 \int_{T^{-1}A_h} \left| \frac{\dot{s}_{\theta+h}(x)}{q_{\theta+h}T(x)} - \frac{\dot{s}_\theta(x)}{q_\theta T(x)} \right|^2 g_\theta T(x) d\mu \\ & \leq 8 \int_{T^{-1}A_h} \left| \frac{\dot{s}_{\theta+h}(x)}{q_{\theta+h}T(x)} q_\theta T(x) - \dot{s}_{\theta+h}(x) \right|^2 d\mu \\ & \quad + 8 \int |\dot{s}_{\theta+h}(x) - \dot{s}_\theta(x)|^2 d\mu. \end{aligned} \quad (4.13)$$

Putting $\xi_{\theta+h}(t) := E[|l_{\theta+h}(x)r_{\theta+h}(x)|^2 | T]$, the following inequality holds in (4.13) by the same argument as Lemma 4.1 (ii).

$$\begin{aligned} (4.13) & \leq 8 \int_{T^{-1}A_h} |q_{\theta+h}T(x) - q_\theta T(x)|^2 |l_{\theta+h}(x)r_{\theta+h}(x)|^2 d\mu \\ & = 8 \int_{A_h} |q_{\theta+h}(t) - q_\theta(t)|^2 E[|l_{\theta+h}(x)r_{\theta+h}(x)|^2 | T] dv \\ & \leq \frac{8}{|h|} \int |q_{\theta+h}(t) - q_\theta(t)|^2 dv + 8 \int_{|\xi_{\theta+h}(t)| > \frac{1}{\sqrt{|h|}}} |\xi_{\theta+h}(t)|(g_{\theta+h}(t) + g_\theta(t)) dv. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore the assertion is proved. \square

PROOF OF THEOREM 3.5. Since L^2 -differentiability of the family $(\sqrt{k_\theta})$ is proved in Theorem 3.1, it is sufficient to prove L^2 -continuity of the derivative $\dot{r}_\theta(x)$. By using $\dot{r}_\theta(x) = (l_\theta(x) - l_\theta(t))r_\theta(x)$, it follows that

$$\begin{aligned} & \int |\dot{r}_{\theta+h}(x) - \dot{r}_\theta(x)|^2 g_\theta T(x) d\mu \\ & = \int |(l_{\theta+h}(x) - l_{\theta+h}(t))r_{\theta+h}(x) - (l_\theta(x) - l_\theta(t))r_\theta(x)|^2 g_\theta T(x) d\mu \\ & \leq 2 \int |l_{\theta+h}(x)r_{\theta+h}(x) - l_\theta(x)r_\theta(x)|^2 g_\theta T(x) d\mu \end{aligned} \quad (4.14)$$

$$+ 2 \int |l_{\theta+h}(t)r_{\theta+h}(x) - l_\theta(t)r_\theta(x)|^2 g_\theta T(x) d\mu. \quad (4.15)$$

It follows from Lemma 4.5 that (4.14) $\rightarrow 0$ as $|h| \rightarrow 0$. (4.15) does not exceed

$$4 \int |l_{\theta+h}(t) - l_\theta(t)|^2 g_\theta(t) dv + 4 \int |r_{\theta+h}(x) - r_\theta(x)|^2 |l_\theta(t)|^2 g_\theta(t) d\mu. \quad (4.16)$$

Using Lemma 4.3 and 4.4, (4.16) $\rightarrow 0$ as $|h| \rightarrow 0$. Therefore (4.15) $\rightarrow 0$ as $|h| \rightarrow 0$. Hence the assertion is proved. \square

PROOF OF THEOREM 3.6. It is sufficient to prove that the L^2 -derivative $\dot{s}_\theta(x)$ is L^2 -continuous since L^2 -differentiability is proved in Theorem 3.2. For $\dot{s}_\theta = \dot{r}_\theta\sqrt{g_\theta} + \sqrt{k_\theta}\dot{q}_\theta$,

$$\begin{aligned} & \int |\dot{s}_{\theta+h}(x) - \dot{s}_\theta(x)|^2 d\mu \\ &= \int |\dot{r}_{\theta+h}(x)\sqrt{g_{\theta+h}(t)} + \sqrt{k_{\theta+h}(x)}\dot{q}_{\theta+h}(t) - \dot{r}_\theta(x)\sqrt{g_\theta(t)} - \sqrt{k_\theta(x)}\dot{q}_\theta(t)|^2 d\mu \\ &\leq 4 \int |\dot{r}_{\theta+h}(x) - \dot{r}_\theta(x)|^2 g_\theta T(x) d\mu \end{aligned} \quad (4.17)$$

$$+ 4 \int |\sqrt{g_{\theta+h}(t)} - \sqrt{g_\theta(t)}|^2 |\dot{r}_{\theta+h}(x)|^2 d\mu \quad (4.18)$$

$$+ 4 \int |\dot{q}_{\theta+h}(t) - \dot{q}_\theta(t)|^2 k_{\theta+h}(x) d\mu \quad (4.19)$$

$$+ 4 \int |\sqrt{k_{\theta+h}(x)} - \sqrt{k_\theta(x)}|^2 |\dot{q}_\theta(t)|^2 d\mu. \quad (4.20)$$

We have (4.18) $\rightarrow 0$ as $|h| \rightarrow 0$ by the same argument in (4.12). Applying Remark 2.5, (4.19) $\rightarrow 0$ as $|h| \rightarrow 0$. By Lemma 4.3, (4.20) $\rightarrow 0$ as $|h| \rightarrow 0$. Hence the assertion is proved. \square

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS. The author thanks the editor and the referee for their helpful comments which have improved the presentation of the present paper.

References

- [1] P. J. BICKEL, C. A. J. KLAASSEN, Y. RITOV and J. A. WELLNER, *Efficient and adaptive estimation for semiparametric models*, Johns Hopkins Univ. press (1993).
- [2] H. KUBOKI, A generalization of the relative conditional expectation, *Ann. Inst. Statist. Math.* **36** (1984), 181–197.
- [3] H. STRASSER, Differentiability of statistical experiment, *Statistics & Decisions* **16** (1998), 113–130.
- [4] I. A. IBRAGIMOV and R. Z. HAS'MINSKII, *Statistical Estimation Asymptotic Theory*, Springer (1981).
- [5] S. NABEYA, *Mathematical Statistics* (in Japanese), Kyōritu Press (1978).

Present Address:

WASEDA UNIVERSITY HONJO SENIOR HIGH SCHOOL,
OKUBOYAMA NISHITOMITA, HONJO-SHI, SAITAMA, 367-0045 JAPAN.
e-mail: ymiyata@mn.waseda.ac.jp