

Real abelian fields satisfying the Hilbert-Speiser condition for some small primes p

By Humio ICHIMURA

Faculty of Science, Ibaraki University, Bunkyo 2-1-1, Mito, Ibaraki 310-8512, Japan

(Communicated by Shigefumi MORI, M.J.A., Dec. 14, 2015)

Abstract: For a prime number p , we say that a number field F satisfies the Hilbert-Speiser condition (H_p) if each tame cyclic extension N/F of degree p has a normal integral basis. In this note, we determine the real abelian number fields satisfying (H_p) for odd prime numbers p with $h(\mathbf{Q}(\sqrt{-p})) = 1$.

Key words: Hilbert-Speiser number fields; real abelian fields.

1. Introduction. We say that a finite Galois extension N/F of a number field F with group G has a normal integral basis (NIB for short) when \mathcal{O}_N is cyclic over the group ring $\mathcal{O}_F[G]$. Here, \mathcal{O}_F denotes the ring of integers of F . It is well known that N/F is necessarily tame if it has an NIB. Let p be a prime number, and $\Gamma = (\mathbf{Z}/p\mathbf{Z})^+$ be a cyclic group of order p . We say that a number field F satisfies the Hilbert-Speiser condition (H_p) when each tame Γ -extension N/F has an NIB. There are several results on number fields satisfying (H_p) . In particular, all the abelian fields F satisfying (H_3) are determined in Carter [3] and the author [10] when $[F : \mathbf{Q}] = 2$, and by Yoshimura [20] when $[F : \mathbf{Q}] > 2$. The imaginary abelian fields satisfying (H_p) for the case $p \geq 5$ are determined in [11–13]. The number of real (resp. imaginary) abelian fields satisfying (H_3) is 18 (resp. 9). The numbers of imaginary abelian fields satisfying (H_p) are 3, 1 and 0 when $p = 5, 7$, and $p \geq 11$, respectively. The main tools are (i) a theorem of McCulloh [15], (ii) a theorem of Greither *et al.* [6, Corollary 7], and (iii) the complex conjugation acting on several objects associated to the base field F . The first one is of quite fundamental nature and it describes, in the locally free class group $Cl(\mathcal{O}_F[\Gamma])$ associated to the group ring $\mathcal{O}_F[\Gamma]$, the subset of the classes $[\mathcal{O}_N]$ for all tame Γ -extensions N/F . The second one was obtained from this theorem studying the Swan submodule of $Cl(\mathcal{O}_F[\Gamma])$, and it implies that when $p \geq 5$, an imaginary abelian field F satisfies (H_p)

only when F/\mathbf{Q} is unramified at p . (See [8, Proposition 3.4], [11, Lemma 2.2], [5, Theorem 1.3]).

Recently, Greither and Johnston ([5, Theorem 1.1]) proved that if $p \geq 7$, a *totally real* number field F satisfies (H_p) only when F/\mathbf{Q} is unramified at p , using [15] with detailed analysis of the group $Cl(\mathcal{O}_F[\Gamma])$ and ramification index. The main purpose of this note is to deal with real abelian fields satisfying (H_p) for those odd prime numbers p with $h(\mathbf{Q}(\sqrt{-p})) = 1$, where $h(\mathbf{Q}(\sqrt{-p}))$ is the class number of $\mathbf{Q}(\sqrt{-p})$. As is well known, the condition on p implies that

$$p = 3, 7, 11, 19, 43, 67, 163.$$

For this, see Cox [4, Theorem 7.30] for instance. First, we show the following result using [15].

Proposition 1. *Let p be a prime number with $p \equiv 3 \pmod{4}$. Let F be a number field unramified at p , and let $N = F(\sqrt{-p})$. If F satisfies (H_p) , then the exponent of the ideal class group Cl_N of N divides $h(\mathbf{Q}(\sqrt{-p}))$.*

As we mentioned above, the abelian number fields satisfying (H_3) are already determined. So, we let $p \geq 7$. From Proposition 1 and [5, Theorem 1.1] mentioned above, we obtain the following assertion using some computational results on abelian fields.

Proposition 2. *Let $p \geq 7$ be a prime number with $h(\mathbf{Q}(\sqrt{-p})) = 1$. When $p = 7$ (resp. 11), a real abelian field F satisfies (H_p) if and only if $F = \mathbf{Q}(\sqrt{5})$ or $\mathbf{Q}(\sqrt{13})$ (resp. $F = \mathbf{Q}(\cos 2\pi/7)$). When $p = 19, 43, 67$ or 163, there is no real abelian field satisfying (H_p) .*

Remark 1. When $p = 2$, it is known that a number field F satisfies (H_2) if and only if the ray

2010 Mathematics Subject Classification. Primary 11R33, 11R18.

class group of F defined modulo 2 is trivial ([9, Proposition 2]). Imaginary abelian fields satisfying (H_2) are determined in [3] and [20].

2. Proof of Proposition 1. First, we recall the theorem of McCulloh mentioned in §1. Let $G = (\mathbf{Z}/p\mathbf{Z})^\times$ be the multiplicative group, which we naturally identify with the Galois group $\text{Gal}(\mathbf{Q}(\zeta_p)/\mathbf{Q})$. Here, ζ_p is a primitive p th root of unity. We put

$$\theta_G = \frac{1}{p} \sum_{a=1}^{p-1} a\sigma_a^{-1} \in \mathbf{Q}[G]$$

where $\sigma_a = a \bmod p \in G$. Then the Stickelberger ideal \mathcal{S}_G of the group ring $\mathbf{Z}[G]$ is defined by

$$\mathcal{S}_G = \mathbf{Z}[G] \cap \mathbf{Z}[G]\theta_G.$$

For a number field F , let Cl_F be the ideal class group of F . Further, we denote by $R(\mathcal{O}_F[\Gamma])$ the subset of $Cl(\mathcal{O}_F[\Gamma])$ consisting of the locally free classes $[\mathcal{O}_N]$ for all tame Γ -extensions N/F , and denote by $Cl^0(\mathcal{O}_F[\Gamma])$ the kernel of the map $Cl(\mathcal{O}_F[\Gamma]) \rightarrow Cl_F$ induced from the augmentation map $\mathcal{O}_F[\Gamma] \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_F$. It is known that $R(\mathcal{O}_F[\Gamma]) \subseteq Cl^0(\mathcal{O}_F[\Gamma])$ and that F satisfies (H_p) if and only if $R(\mathcal{O}_F[\Gamma]) = \{0\}$. The group ring $\mathbf{Z}[G]$ acts on $Cl^0(\mathcal{O}_F[\Gamma])$ through the natural action of $G = (\mathbf{Z}/p\mathbf{Z})^\times$ on the additive group $\Gamma = (\mathbf{Z}/p\mathbf{Z})^+$. Let $Cl^0(\mathcal{O}_F[\Gamma])^{\mathcal{S}_G}$ denote the subgroup of $Cl^0(\mathcal{O}_F[\Gamma])$ generated by the classes c^α for all $c \in Cl^0(\mathcal{O}_F[\Gamma])$ and $\alpha \in \mathcal{S}_G$. The main theorem of [15] asserts that

$$(1) \quad R(\mathcal{O}_F[\Gamma]) = Cl^0(\mathcal{O}_F[\Gamma])^{\mathcal{S}_G}.$$

Let k be an imaginary subfield of $\mathbf{Q}(\zeta_p)$, and let $\Delta = \Delta_k$ be the quotient of $G = \text{Gal}(\mathbf{Q}(\zeta_p)/\mathbf{Q})$ corresponding to k ; $\Delta = \text{Gal}(k/\mathbf{Q})$. We denote by \mathcal{S}_Δ the image of the ideal \mathcal{S}_G under the restriction map $\mathbf{Z}[G] \rightarrow \mathbf{Z}[\Delta]$. Let $s_G \in \mathbf{Z}[G]$ (resp. $s_\Delta \in \mathbf{Z}[\Delta]$) be the sum of all elements of G (resp. Δ). Denote by A_G (resp. A_Δ) the elements α of $\mathbf{Z}[G]$ (resp. $\mathbf{Z}[\Delta]$) such that $\alpha(1+J) = a \cdot s_G$ (resp. $a \cdot s_\Delta$) for some $a \in \mathbf{Z}$. Here, J is the complex conjugation in G (resp. Δ). The ideal \mathcal{S}_G (resp. \mathcal{S}_Δ) is contained in A_G (resp. A_Δ) by Sinnott [16, Lemma 2.1]. Denote by h_M the class number of a number field M , and by h_M^- the relative class number when M is an imaginary abelian field. We set $h_p^- = h_M^-$ when $M = \mathbf{Q}(\zeta_p)$. By [16, Theorem 2.1], we have the following class number formulas:

$$(2) \quad [A_G : \mathcal{S}_G] = h_p^- \quad \text{and} \quad [A_\Delta : \mathcal{S}_\Delta] = h_k^-.$$

We see that $A_\Delta = \mathbf{Z}[\Delta]$ when and only when $p \equiv 3 \pmod{4}$ and $k = \mathbf{Q}(\sqrt{-p})$. This is a key point of the following argument.

Proof of Proposition 1. Let p and F be as in Proposition 1. Assume that F satisfies (H_p) ; namely that $R(\mathcal{O}_F[\Gamma]) = \{0\}$. Put $K = F(\zeta_p)$, and $\varpi = \varpi_p = \zeta_p - 1$. Since F/\mathbf{Q} is unramified at p , we see that $\text{Gal}(K/F)$ is naturally identified with $G = \text{Gal}(\mathbf{Q}(\zeta_p)/\mathbf{Q})$ and that $Cl^0(\mathcal{O}_F[\Gamma])$ is isomorphic, as a $\mathbf{Z}[G]$ -module, to the ray class group $Cl_{K,\varpi}$ of K defined modulo $\varpi\mathcal{O}_K$ by Brinkhuis [1, Proposition 2.1];

$$(3) \quad Cl^0(\mathcal{O}_F[\Gamma]) \cong Cl_{K,\varpi}.$$

Therefore, by (1) and $R(\mathcal{O}_F[\Gamma]) = \{0\}$, the Stickelberger ideal \mathcal{S}_G annihilates $Cl_{K,\varpi}$. In particular, it annihilates the absolute class group Cl_K . Let $k = \mathbf{Q}(\sqrt{-p})$ and $\Delta = \text{Gal}(k/\mathbf{Q})$. We have $N = Fk$, and $\Delta = \text{Gal}(N/F)$ under the identification $G = \text{Gal}(K/F)$. It follows that \mathcal{S}_Δ annihilates Cl_N since the norm map $Cl_K \rightarrow Cl_N$ is surjective by Washington [17, Theorem 10.1]. In our situation, we have $A_\Delta = \mathbf{Z}[\Delta]$ as we mentioned above. Therefore, it follows from (2) that $h(\mathbf{Q}(\sqrt{-p})) \in \mathcal{S}_\Delta$. Thus, multiplication by $h(\mathbf{Q}(\sqrt{-p}))$ annihilates Cl_N . \square

Corollary. *Let p and F be as in Proposition 1. Assume that F satisfies (H_p) . Then $h_F = 1$ if we further assume that $h(\mathbf{Q}(\sqrt{-p}))$ and $p-1$ are relatively prime.*

Proof. It follows from Proposition 1 that the exponent of Cl_F divides $h(\mathbf{Q}(\sqrt{-p}))$ since the norm map $Cl_N \rightarrow Cl_F$ is surjective. On the other hand, we see that

$$s_G = \sum_{\sigma \in G} \sigma = (1 + \sigma_{-1})\theta_G \in \mathcal{S}_G.$$

Since F satisfies (H_p) , the ideal \mathcal{S}_G annihilates Cl_K as we have seen in the proof of Proposition 1. In particular, s_G annihilates Cl_K . This implies that the exponent of Cl_F divides $p-1$ since the norm map $Cl_K \rightarrow Cl_F$ is surjective. Now, we obtain $h_F = 1$ from the second assumption. \square

Remark 2. At present, we have no example of an abelian field F which satisfies (H_p) for some p but $h_F > 1$. On the other hand, Byott *et al.* [2, §6.3] give an example of a non-Galois number field F satisfying (H_5) but $h_F = 2$. It is of degree 4 and unramified at 5 over \mathbf{Q} , and has exactly 2 real infinite places.

3. Proof of Proposition 2. The following

lemmas are consequences of (1), and were shown in [12, Proposition 6] and in [11, Lemma 5.1], respectively.

Lemma 1 ([12]). *Let F be a totally real number field, p a prime number and $K = F(\zeta_p)$. If F satisfies (H_p) , then the exponent of the minus class group $Cl_{\bar{K}}$ divides $2h_{\bar{K}}$.*

Lemma 2 ([11]). *Let p be a prime number with $p \equiv 3 \pmod{4}$, and let $q = (p-1)/2$. Let F be a totally real number field unramified at p , and let $N = F(\sqrt{-p})$ and $K = F(\zeta_p)$. Assume that the following conditions are satisfied:*

- (I) q is a prime number.
- (II) The prime number 2 remains prime in $\mathbf{Q}(\zeta_q)$.
- (III) $h_K = h_{\bar{K}} = 2^{q-1}$.
- (IV) $h_N = 1$.
- (V) $(\mathcal{O}_K/\varpi)^\times = \mathcal{O}_K^\times \pmod{\varpi}$ where $\varpi = \zeta_p - 1$.

Then F satisfies the condition (H_p) .

Proof of Proposition 2. We use the same notation as in §2. Let $p \geq 7$ be a prime number with $h(\mathbf{Q}(\sqrt{-p})) = 1$. Let F be a real abelian field satisfying (H_p) , and $N = F(\sqrt{-p})$, $K = F(\zeta_p)$. Then F/\mathbf{Q} is unramified at p by [5, Theorem 1.1], and $h_N = 1$ by Proposition 1. All imaginary abelian fields M with $h_M = 1$ are determined by Yamamura [18]. In our setting where $M = N = F(\sqrt{-p})$, we see that F/\mathbf{Q} is unramified at p and $h_N = 1$ if and only if (i) $p = 7$ and F equals $\mathbf{Q}(\sqrt{5})$, $\mathbf{Q}(\sqrt{13})$, $\mathbf{Q}(\sqrt{61})$ or the cubic cyclic field of conductor 9 or 13 or (ii) $p = 11$ and F equals $\mathbf{Q}(\sqrt{2})$, $\mathbf{Q}(\sqrt{17})$ or the cubic cyclic field of conductor 7.

For each of the above 8 pairs (p, F) , we check whether or not the condition (H_p) is satisfied. For these pairs, we have $p = 7$ or 11, and hence $h_{\bar{K}} = 1$. Therefore, by Lemma 1, $h_{\bar{K}}$ is necessarily a power of 2 if the condition (H_p) is satisfied. Among the 8 pairs, $h_{\bar{K}}$ is a power of 2 only when $p = 7$ and $F = \mathbf{Q}(\sqrt{5})$ or $\mathbf{Q}(\sqrt{13})$ or when $p = 11$ and $F = \mathbf{Q}(\cos 2\pi/7)$. We can check this by a table of Hasse [7, Tafel II] (see resp. Yoshino and Hirabayashi [21, 22]) on relative class numbers of imaginary abelian fields of conductor f with $f \leq 100$ (resp. $100 < f < 200$), except for the case where $p = 7$ and $F = \mathbf{Q}(\sqrt{61})$. For the exceptional case, we see that $h_{\bar{K}} = 19$ by a large table of Yamamura [19] on relative class numbers of imaginary abelian fields of non prime power conductor < 10000 . For this case, see also Remark 3.

Let us deal with the remaining three cases. When $p = 11$ and $F = \mathbf{Q}(\cos 2\pi/7)$, we have already

shown in [11, p. 93] that (H_p) is satisfied using Lemma 2. Let us deal with the case where $p = 7$ and $F = \mathbf{Q}(\sqrt{5})$ or $\mathbf{Q}(\sqrt{13})$. As $p = 7$ remains prime in F , the multiplicative $(\mathcal{O}_K/\varpi)^\times = (\mathcal{O}_F/7)^\times$ is a cyclic group of order 48. Let $\epsilon = (1 + \sqrt{5})/2$ or $(3 + \sqrt{13})/2$, and $\xi = 1 + \zeta_7$ ($\equiv 2 \pmod{\varpi}$). These are units of K . We easily see that the orders of the classes $[\epsilon]$ and $[\xi]$ in $(\mathcal{O}_K/\varpi)^\times$ are equal to 16 and 3, respectively. Thus, the condition (V) in Lemma 2 is satisfied in both cases. When $F = \mathbf{Q}(\sqrt{5})$, we have $h_K = 1$ by [18], and hence the ray class group $Cl_{K, \varpi}$ is trivial as (V) is satisfied. Therefore, F satisfies (H_7) by (1) and (3). Finally, let $F = \mathbf{Q}(\sqrt{13})$. The conditions (I) and (II) in Lemma 2 are clearly satisfied. We have $h_{K^+} = 1$ and $h_{\bar{K}} = 2^2$ by Mäki [14, p. 74] and [7, Tafel II], respectively. Here, K^+ is the maximal real subfield of K . Further, $h_N = 1$ by [18]. Hence, the conditions (III) and (IV) are satisfied. Therefore, F satisfies (H_7) by Lemma 2. \square

Remark 3. Let $K = \mathbf{Q}(\sqrt{61}, \zeta_7)$. We can also show that $h_{\bar{K}}$ is not a power of 2 as follows: Let $\tilde{h}_{\bar{K}}^+$ be the narrow class number of the maximal real subfield K^+ . We have $\tilde{h}_{\bar{K}}^+ = 1$ by [14, p. 88]. As K/K^+ is ramified only at the unique prime ideal of K^+ over 7 and the infinite prime divisors, we can show that h_K is odd. However, we have $h_K > 1$ by [18], and hence we see that $h_{\bar{K}} (= h_K)$ is not a 2-power.

Acknowledgement. The author thanks the referee for carefully reading the manuscript and for several valuable comments which improved the presentation of the paper.

References

- [1] J. Brinkhuis, Normal integral bases and complex conjugation, *J. Reine Angew. Math.* **375/376** (1987), 157–166.
- [2] N. P. Byott, J. E. Carter, C. Greither and H. Johnston, On the restricted Hilbert-Speiser and Leopoldt properties, *Illinois J. Math.* **55** (2011), no. 2, 623–639.
- [3] J. E. Carter, Normal integral bases in quadratic and cyclic cubic extensions of quadratic fields, *Arch. Math. (Basel)* **81** (2003), no. 3, 266–271; Erratum, *Arch. Math. (Basel)* **83** (2004), no. 6, vi–vii.
- [4] D. A. Cox, *Primes of the form $x^2 + ny^2$* , A Wiley-Interscience Publication, Wiley, New York, 1989.
- [5] C. Greither and H. Johnston, On totally real Hilbert-Speiser fields of type C_p , *Acta Arith.* **138** (2009), no. 4, 329–336.
- [6] C. Greither, D. R. Replogle, K. Rubin and A.

- Srivastav, Swan modules and Hilbert-Speiser number fields, *J. Number Theory* **79** (1999), no. 1, 164–173.
- [7] H. Hasse, *Über die Klassenzahl abelscher Zahlkörper*, Akademie Verlag, Berlin, 1952.
- [8] T. Herreng, Sur les corps de Hilbert-Speiser, *J. Théor. Nombres Bordeaux* **17** (2005), no. 3, 767–778.
- [9] H. Ichimura, Note on the ring of integers of a Kummer extension of prime degree. V, *Proc. Japan Acad. Ser. A Math. Sci.* **78** (2002), no. 6, 76–79.
- [10] H. Ichimura, Normal integral bases and ray class groups, *Acta Arith.* **114** (2004), no. 1, 71–85.
- [11] H. Ichimura, Hilbert-Speiser number fields and the complex conjugation, *J. Math. Soc. Japan* **62** (2010), no. 1, 83–94.
- [12] H. Ichimura and H. Sumida-Takahashi, Imaginary quadratic fields satisfying the Hilbert-Speiser type condition for a small prime p , *Acta Arith.* **127** (2007), no. 2, 179–191.
- [13] H. Ichimura and H. Sumida-Takahashi, On Hilbert-Speiser type imaginary quadratic fields, *Acta Arith.* **136** (2009), no. 4, 385–389.
- [14] S. Mäki, *The determination of units in real cyclic sextic fields*, *Lecture Notes in Mathematics*, 797, Springer, Berlin, 1980.
- [15] L. R. McCulloh, Galois module structure of elementary abelian extensions, *J. Algebra* **82** (1983), no. 1, 102–134.
- [16] W. Sinnott, On the Stickelberger ideal and the circular units of an abelian field, *Invent. Math.* **62** (1980/81), no. 2, 181–234.
- [17] L. C. Washington, *Introduction to cyclotomic fields*, 2nd ed., *Graduate Texts in Mathematics*, 83, Springer, New York, 1997.
- [18] K. Yamamura, The determination of the imaginary abelian number fields with class number one, *Math. Comp.* **62** (1994), no. 206, 899–921.
- [19] K. Yamamura, <http://tnt.math.se.tmu.ac.jp/pub/ac11/rcn/composite/>
- [20] Y. Yoshimura, Abelian number fields satisfying the Hilbert-Speiser condition at $p=2$ or 3 , *Tokyo J. Math.* **32** (2009), no. 1, 229–235.
- [21] K. Yoshino and M. Hirabayashi, On the relative class number of the imaginary abelian number field I, *Memoirs of the College of Liberal Arts, Kanazawa Medical University* **9** (1981), 5–53.
- [22] K. Yoshino and M. Hirabayashi, On the relative class number of the imaginary abelian number field II, *Memoirs of the College of Liberal Arts, Kanazawa Medical University* **10** (1982), 33–81.