

## $A_\infty$ constants between $BMO$ and weighted $BMO$

By Yohei TSUTSUI

Department of Mathematics, Faculty of Science and Engineering, Waseda University,  
Okubo 3-4-1, Shinjuku-ku, Tokyo 169-8555, Japan

(Communicated by Masaki KASHIWARA, M.J.A., Dec. 12, 2013)

**Abstract:** In this short article, we consider estimates of the ratio

$$\|f\|_{BMO(w)}/\|f\|_{BMO}$$

from above and below, where  $w$  belongs to Muckenhoupt class  $A_\infty$ . The upper bound of the ratio was proved by Hytönen and Pérez in [6] with the optimal power. We establish the lower bound of the ratio and give two other proofs of the upper bound.

**Key words:**  $BMO$ ; Muckenhoupt classes.

**1. Introduction.** In this paper, we are interested in estimates of the ratio

$$\|f\|_{BMO(w)}/\|f\|_{BMO}$$

with respect to the weight  $w$  belonging to Muckenhoupt class  $A_\infty$ . Our purposes are to establish the lower bound of the ratio and to give two other proofs of the upper bound due to Hytönen and Pérez in [6].

In [9], Muckenhoupt and Wheeden proved that for any  $w \in A_\infty$ , it holds  $BMO(w) = BMO$ . Recently, Hytönen and Pérez [6] gave the upper bound of the ratio;

$$(1.1) \quad \|f\|_{BMO(w)} \leq c_n \|w\|_{A_\infty} \|f\|_{BMO},$$

where  $\|w\|_{A_\infty}$  is Wilson's  $A_\infty$  constant, see Definition 2.6. Moreover, they [6] proved that the power 1 of  $\|w\|_{A_\infty}$  cannot be replaced by any smaller quantity. Main result in this paper is the following lower bound of the ratio.

**Theorem 1.1.** *There exists  $c_n > 0$  such that for any  $w \in A_\infty$ ,*

$$(1.2) \quad \|f\|_{BMO} \leq c_n \log(2[w]_{A_\infty}) \|f\|_{BMO(w)}.$$

**Remark 1.2.**

- (a) We do not know whether the order  $\log(2[w]_{A_\infty})$  is optimal or not.
- (b) If the inequality

$$\|f\|_{BMO} \leq c_n \|f\|_{BMO(w)}$$

is true, the exponent 0 of  $[w]_{A_\infty}$  is optimal. In

fact, for  $w(x) = t\chi_E(x) + \chi_{E^c}(x) \in A_1$  with a compact set  $E \subset \mathbf{R}^n$  and large  $t$ , it follows

$$\|\log w\|_{BMO} = \|\log w\|_{BMO(w)} = \frac{1}{2} \log t.$$

We will give two other proofs of the upper bound (1.1). To verify (1.1) in [6], they used the reverse Hölder inequality;

$$\langle w^{r_w} \rangle_Q^{1/r_w} \leq 2 \langle w \rangle_Q,$$

for a cube  $Q \subset \mathbf{R}^n$  and  $r_w = 1 + (c_n \|w\|_{A_\infty})^{-1}$ . Our proofs of (1.1) are not based on this type inequality. Our main tools are a dual inequality with the sharp maximal operator  $M_\lambda^\sharp$  due to Lerner [7] and another representation of  $\|w\|_{A_\infty}$ .

These estimates are related to the sharp weighted inequalities for Calderón-Zygmund operators. The sharp weighted inequality for an operator  $T$  means the inequality

$$(1.3) \quad \|Tf\|_{L^p(w)} \leq c_{n,p,T} \Phi([w]_{A_p}) \|f\|_{L^p(w)}$$

with the optimal growth function  $\Phi$  on  $[1, \infty)$  in the sense that  $\Phi$  cannot be replaced by any smaller function. Recently, Hytönen [5] solved so-called  $A_2$  conjecture i.e., for any Calderón-Zygmund operator  $T$  (1.3) holds with  $\Phi(t) = t$ . By combining this with the extrapolation theorem in [1], we can see that for  $p \in (1, \infty)$  (1.3) with  $\Phi(t) = t^{\max(1, 1/(p-1))}$  holds and the exponent  $\max(1, 1/(p-1))$  is optimal. From the upper bound (1.1), it immediately follows

$$\|Tf\|_{BMO(w)} \leq c_n \|T\|_{L^\infty \rightarrow BMO} \|w\|_{A_\infty} \|f\|_{L^\infty(w)}$$

which corresponds to (1.3) with  $p = \infty$ . Further,

---

2010 Mathematics Subject Classification. Primary 42B35.

they [6] showed the optimality of the exponent 1 of  $\|w\|_{A_\infty}$ . On the other hand, our lower bound (1.2) yields that

$$\|T\|_{BMO(w) \rightarrow BMO(w)} \leq c_n \|T\|_{BMO \rightarrow BMO} \|w\|_{A_\infty} \log(2[w]_{A_\infty}).$$

**2. Preliminaries.** We say  $w$  a *weight* if  $w$  is a non-negative and locally integrable function. For a subset  $E \subset \mathbf{R}^n$ ,  $\chi_E$  means the characteristic function of  $E$  and  $|E|$  denotes the volume of  $E$ . By a ‘‘cube’’  $Q$  we mean a cube in  $\mathbf{R}^n$  with sides parallel to the coordinate axes. Throughout this article we use the following notations;  $w(Q) = \int_Q w dx$ ,  $\langle f \rangle_Q = \frac{1}{|Q|} \int_Q f dx$  and  $\langle f \rangle_{Q;w} = \frac{1}{w(Q)} \int_Q f w dx$ .

Firstly, we recall definitions of Muckenhoupt classes  $A_p$  and  $BMO$  spaces.

**Definition 2.1.** A weight  $w$  is said to be in the Muckenhoupt class if the following  $A_p$  constant  $[w]_{A_p}$  is finite;

$$[w]_{A_1} := \sup_Q \langle w \rangle_Q \|w^{-1}\|_{L^\infty(Q)},$$

$$[w]_{A_p} := \sup_Q \langle w \rangle_Q \langle w^{1-p'} \rangle_Q^{p-1}, \text{ for } p \in (1, \infty)$$

and

$$[w]_{A_\infty} := \sup_Q \langle w \rangle_Q \exp(\langle \log w^{-1} \rangle_Q).$$

**Remark 2.2.**

- (a)  $[w]_{A_p} \geq 1$  and  $p < q \Rightarrow A_p \subset A_q$ .
- (b) Because  $\lim_{r \searrow 0} \langle |f|^r \rangle_Q^{1/r} = \exp(\langle \log |f| \rangle_Q)$ , it follows  $\lim_{p \nearrow \infty} [w]_{A_p} = [w]_{A_\infty}$ .

**Definition 2.3.** With a weight  $w$ , one defines  $BMO(w)$  as the space of locally integrable functions  $f$  with respect to  $w$  such that

$$\|f\|_{BMO(w)} = \sup_Q \langle |f - \langle f \rangle_{Q;w}| \rangle_{Q;w} < \infty.$$

**Remark 2.4.** There is another weighted  $BMO$ ,  $BMO_w$ , which is defined by

$$\|f\|_{BMO_w} = \sup_Q \inf_{c \in \mathbf{C}} \frac{1}{w(Q)} \int_Q |f - c| dx < \infty.$$

It is known that for  $w \in A_\infty$ , this space is the dual space of the weighted Hardy space  $H^1(w)$ , i.e.,  $BMO_w = (H^1(w))^*$ , see [3].

The definition of Wilson’s constant  $\|w\|_{A_\infty}$  uses the restricted Hardy-Littlewood maximal operator.

**Definition 2.5.** For any measurable subset  $E \subset \mathbf{R}^n$ , Hardy-Littlewood maximal operator  $M_E$

restricted to  $E$  is defined by

$$M_E f(x) = \sup_{E \supset R \ni x} \langle |f| \rangle_R,$$

where the supremum is taken over all cubes  $R$  containing  $x$  and included in  $E$ . When  $E = \mathbf{R}^n$ , we write  $M = M_E$ .

**Definition 2.6.**

$$\|w\|_{A_\infty} = \sup_Q \frac{1}{w(Q)} \int_Q M_Q w dx.$$

**Remark 2.7.**

- (a)  $w \in A_\infty \iff \|w\|_{A_\infty} < \infty$ , and  $\|w\|_{A_\infty} \leq c_n [w]_{A_\infty}$ .
- (b) There are several equivalent quantities to  $\|w\|_{A_\infty}$ ;

$$\begin{aligned} \|w\|_{A_\infty} &\approx \sup_Q \frac{1}{w(Q)} \int_Q w \log \left( e + \frac{1}{\langle w \rangle_Q} \right) dx \\ &\approx \sup_Q \frac{1}{\langle w \rangle_Q} \|w\|_{L \log L(Q)} \\ &\approx \sup_Q \frac{1}{w(Q)} \int_{2Q} M(\chi_Q w) dx \\ &\approx \sup_Q \frac{1}{w(Q)} \int_{2Q} |R_j(\chi_Q w)| dx, \end{aligned}$$

where  $j = 1, \dots, n$ ,  $\|f\|_{L \log L(Q)}$  is defined by

$$\inf \left\{ \lambda > 0; \left\langle \frac{|f|}{\lambda} \log \left( e + \frac{|f|}{\lambda} \right) \right\rangle_Q \leq 1 \right\}$$

and  $R_j$  is the  $j$ -th Riesz transformation. The first and second equivalences are proved by  $L \log L$  theory due to Stein [10]. The third and fourth ones were proved by Fujii [2]. From the third representation, we obtain an inequality

$$M(\chi_Q w)(2Q) \leq c_n \|w\|_{A_\infty} w(Q),$$

which should be compared with the doubling inequality with  $[w]_{A_\infty}$ ;

$$w(2Q) \leq 2^{2n} [w]_{A_\infty}^{2n} w(Q),$$

see for example [4].

**3. Lower bound.** Owing to a version of John-Nirenberg inequality in the context of non-doubling measures in [8], one obtains a variant of the equivalence

$$(3.1) \quad \|f\|_{BMO} \approx \sup_Q \|f - \langle f \rangle_Q\|_{\exp L(Q)}$$

with constants independent of weights.

**Lemma 3.1.** *There exist constants  $c_1, c_2 > 0$  such that for any  $w \in A_\infty$ , it follows*

$$\begin{aligned} c_1 \sup_Q \|f - \langle f \rangle_{Q;w}\|_{\exp L(Q;w)} &\leq \|f\|_{BMO(w)} \\ &\leq c_2 \sup_Q \|f - \langle f \rangle_{Q;w}\|_{\exp L(Q;w)}, \end{aligned}$$

where  $\|f\|_{\exp L(Q;w)}$  is defined by

$$\inf \left\{ \lambda > 0; \left\langle \exp \left( \frac{|f|}{\lambda} \right) - 1 \right\rangle_{Q;w} \leq 1 \right\}.$$

With this lemma, we give a proof of our lower bound, Theorem 1.1.

*Proof of Theorem 1.1.* From the definition of  $\|f\|_{\exp L(Q;w)}$  above, it follows

$$\left\langle \exp \left( \frac{|f|}{\|f\|_{\exp L(Q;w)}} \right) \right\rangle_{Q;w} \leq 2.$$

By using the version of Jensen's inequality

$$(3.2) \quad \exp \langle g \rangle_Q \leq [w]_{A_\infty} \langle \exp(g) \rangle_{Q;w},$$

one obtains

$$\langle |f| \rangle_Q \leq \log(2[w]_{A_\infty}) \|f\|_{\exp L(Q;w)}.$$

The proof is completed by this inequality and Lemma 3.1 as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} \langle |f - \langle f \rangle_Q| \rangle_Q &\leq 2 \langle |f - \langle f \rangle_{Q;w}| \rangle_Q \\ &\leq 2 \log(2[w]_{A_\infty}) \|f - \langle f \rangle_{Q;w}\|_{\exp L(Q;w)} \\ &\leq c_n \log(2[w]_{A_\infty}) \|f\|_{BMO(w)}. \end{aligned}$$

□

**Remark 3.2.** The inequality (3.2) is equivalent to

$$(3.3) \quad \exp(\log |f|)_Q \leq [w]_{A_\infty} \langle |f| \rangle_{Q;w},$$

which should be compared with (4.1). (3.3) can be verified by taking  $p \nearrow \infty$  in

$$\langle |f|^{1/p} \rangle_Q^p \leq [w]_{A_p} \langle |f| \rangle_{Q;w},$$

see 2 in Remark 2.2.

**4. Two other proofs of the upper bound.** Here, we give two other proofs of the upper bound without reverse Hölder inequality.

#### 4.1. Method based on a dual inequality.

The key inequality in this method is the following dual inequality with local sharp maximal operator due to Lerner [7];

**Proposition 4.1.** *There exists  $c_n > 0$  so that for any  $\lambda < c_n$*

$$\frac{1}{|Q|} \int_Q |f - \langle f \rangle_Q| g dx \leq c_n \int_Q M_\lambda^\sharp f M_Q g dx,$$

where  $M_\lambda^\sharp f(x) = \sup_{Q \ni x} \inf_{c \in \mathbb{C}} (\chi_Q(f - c))^*(\lambda|Q|)$ , ( $0 < \lambda < 1$ ) and  $g^*$  means the non-increasing rearrangement of  $g$ .

Using this proposition, we can immediately show the optimal upper bound (1.1) as follows:

*Proof of (1.1).*

$$\begin{aligned} \langle |f - \langle f \rangle_{Q;w}| \rangle_{Q;w} &\leq 2 \langle |f - \langle f \rangle_Q| \rangle_{Q;w} \\ &\leq c_n \frac{1}{w(Q)} \int_Q M_\lambda^\sharp f M_Q w dx \\ &\leq c_n \|f\|_{BMO} \|w\|_{A_\infty}. \end{aligned}$$

□

**4.2. Method based on another representation of  $\|w\|_{A_\infty}$ .** Next, we give a proof of (1.1) by using another representation of  $\|w\|_{A_\infty}$ .

**Proposition 4.2.**

$$\|w\|_{A_\infty} \approx \sup_{Q,f} \frac{\langle |f| \rangle_{Q;w}}{\|f\|_{\exp L(Q)}},$$

where  $\|f\|_{\exp L(Q)}$  is defined by

$$\inf \left\{ \lambda > 0; \left\langle \exp \left( \frac{|f|}{\lambda} \right) - 1 \right\rangle_Q \leq 1 \right\}.$$

**Remark 4.3.** This form should be compared with

$$[w]_{A_\infty} = \sup_{Q,f} \frac{\exp(\log |f|)_Q}{\langle |f| \rangle_{Q;w}},$$

see for example [3].

We show this proposition and then give a proof of (1.1).

*Proof.* By Hölder inequality in the context of Orlicz spaces, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \langle |f| \rangle_{Q;w} &\leq c_n \frac{|Q|}{w(Q)} \|f\|_{\exp L(Q)} \|w\|_{L \log L(Q)} \\ &\leq c_n \|w\|_{A_\infty} \|f\|_{\exp L(Q)}. \end{aligned}$$

On the other hand, for a cube  $Q$ , from the duality, we can find a function  $g \in \exp L(Q)$  such that

$$\begin{aligned} \|w\|_{L \log L(Q)} \|g\|_{\exp L(Q)} &\leq c_n \frac{1}{|Q|} \left| \int_Q w g dx \right| \\ &\leq c_n \langle w \rangle_Q \langle |g| \rangle_{Q;w}, \end{aligned}$$

and then, by using the representation of  $\|w\|_{A_\infty}$  in Remark 2.7, one obtains

$$\|w\|_{A_\infty} \leq c_n \sup_Q \frac{1}{\langle w \rangle_Q} \|w\|_{L \log L(Q)}$$

$$\begin{aligned} &\leq c_n \sup_Q \frac{\langle |g| \rangle_{Q;w}}{\|g\|_{\exp L(Q)}} \\ &\leq c_n \sup_{Q,f} \frac{\langle |f| \rangle_{Q;w}}{\|f\|_{\exp L(Q)}}. \end{aligned}$$

□

*Proof of (1.1).* From Proposition 4.2, it holds

$$(4.1) \quad \langle |f| \rangle_{Q;w} \leq c_n \|w\|_{A_\infty} \|f\|_{\exp L(Q)}.$$

Therefore,

$$\begin{aligned} \langle |f - \langle f \rangle_{Q;w}| \rangle_{Q;w} &\leq 2 \langle |f - \langle f \rangle_Q| \rangle_{Q;w} \\ &\leq c_n \|w\|_{A_\infty} \|f - \langle f \rangle_Q\|_{\exp L(Q)} \\ &\leq c_n \|w\|_{A_\infty} \|f\|_{BMO}. \end{aligned}$$

□

**Acknowledgments.** This paper was completed during his stay in Friedrich-Schiller University Jena. The author would like to thank Profs. H.-J. Schmeißer, D. D. Haroske and H. Triebel for their hospitality. The author is grateful to Prof. Y. Komori-Furuya for having checked the manuscript carefully. The author is also grateful to the referee for reading this manuscript carefully and giving him some comments.

## References

- [ 1 ] O. Dragičević, L. Grafakos, M. C. Pereyra and S. Petermichl, Extrapolation and sharp norm estimates for classical operators on weighted Lebesgue spaces, *Publ. Mat.* **49** (2005), no. 1, 73–91.
- [ 2 ] N. Fujii, Weighted bounded mean oscillation and singular integrals, *Math. Japon.* **22** (1977/78), no. 5, 529–534.
- [ 3 ] J. García-Cuerva, *Weighted  $H^p$ -spaces*, *Disertaciones Math. (Rozprawy Mat.)* **162** (1979), 63pp.
- [ 4 ] L. Grafakos, *Modern Fourier analysis*, 2nd ed., *Graduate Texts in Mathematics*, 250, Springer, New York, 2009.
- [ 5 ] T. P. Hytönen, The sharp weighted bound for general Calderón-Zygmund operators, *Ann. of Math. (2)* **175** (2012), no. 3, 1473–1506.
- [ 6 ] T. Hytönen and C. Pérez, Sharp weighted bounds involving  $A_\infty$ , *Anal. PDE* **6** (2013), no. 4, 777–818.
- [ 7 ] A. K. Lerner, Weighted rearrangement inequalities for local sharp maximal functions, *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.* **357** (2005), no. 6, 2445–2465 (electronic).
- [ 8 ] J. Mateu, P. Mattila, A. Nicolau and J. Orobitg, BMO for nondoubling measures, *Duke Math. J.* **102** (2000), no. 3, 533–565.
- [ 9 ] B. Muckenhoupt and R. L. Wheeden, Weighted bounded mean oscillation and the Hilbert transform, *Studia Math.* **54** (1975/76), no. 3, 221–237.
- [ 10 ] E. M. Stein, Note on the class  $L \log L$ , *Studia Math.* **32** (1969), 305–310.