

## Absorbent property, Krasner type lemmas and spectral norms for a class of valued fields

*Dedicated to the memory of our Professor Nicolae Popescu*

By Sever Angel POPESCU

Technical University of Civil Engineering Bucharest, Department of Mathematics and Computer Science,  
B-ul Lacul Tei 122, sector 2, Bucharest 020396, OP 38, Bucharest, Romania

(Communicated by Kenji FUKAYA, M.J.A., Nov. 12, 2013)

**Abstract:** Let  $(K, \varphi)$  be a perfect valued field of rank 1, let  $\bar{\varphi}$  be an extension of the absolute (multiplicative) value  $\varphi$  to a fixed algebraic closure  $\bar{K}$  and let  $\|\cdot\|_{\varphi}$  be the corresponding spectral norm on  $K$ . Let  $(\bar{K}, \|\cdot\|_{\varphi})$  be a fixed completion of  $(\bar{K}, \|\cdot\|_{\varphi})$ . In this paper we generalize a result of A. Ostrowski [8] relative to the absorbent property of a subfield, from the case of a complete non-Archimedean valued field of characteristic 0 to our ring  $(\bar{K}, \|\cdot\|_{\varphi})$  (see Theorem 1, Theorem 4). We also apply these results to discuss in a more general context the following conjecture due to A. Zaharescu (2009): (For any  $x, y \in \mathbf{C}_p$ -the complex  $p$ -adic field, there exists  $t \in \mathbf{Q}_p$ -the  $p$ -adic number field, such that  $\mathbf{Q}_p(x, y) = \mathbf{Q}_p(x + ty)$ , where  $\tilde{L}$  means the  $p$ -adic topological closure of a subfield  $L$  of  $\mathbf{C}_p$  in  $\mathbf{C}_p$ ).

**Key words:** Valued fields; Krasner Lemma; spectral norms.

**Introduction.** In [8] (see also [11] or [5]) A. Ostrowski proved the following “mysterious” result: (Let  $(K, \varphi)$  be a perfect complete non-Archimedean valued field relative to a nontrivial multiplicative valuation  $\varphi$  and let  $\bar{\varphi}$  be the unique extension of  $\varphi$  to a fixed algebraic closure  $\bar{K}$  of  $K$ . Let  $\alpha \in \bar{K} \setminus K$  and let  $L$  be a subfield of  $\bar{K}$  which contains  $K$ , such that the distance from  $\alpha$  to  $L$  is strictly less than the distance of  $\alpha$  to the nearest conjugate of  $\alpha$ . Then  $L$  “absorbs”  $\alpha$ , i.e.,  $\alpha \in L$ ).

It appears that this result is stronger than the classical Krasner Lemma. We shall prove later (see Section 2) that in fact they are equivalent in a more general context. The main point in proving the above result of Ostrowski or that one of Krasner is the equivariance property of the valuation  $\bar{\varphi}$  with respect to the absolute Galois group  $G = \text{Gal}(\bar{K}/K)$ . This means that  $\bar{\varphi}(\sigma(x)) = \bar{\varphi}(x)$  for any  $x \in \bar{K}$  and  $\sigma \in G$  (see [7], [5], or [4]). If  $(K, \varphi)$  is not a henselian field, this  $\bar{\varphi}$  can be substituted with a special equivariant norm  $\|\cdot\|_{\varphi}$  which extends  $\varphi$  from  $K$  to  $\bar{K}$ . Now  $\bar{\varphi}$  is not unique and a candidate for such a norm is the so called  $\varphi$ -spectral norm (Archimedean or non-Archimedean) defined on  $\bar{K}$

as follows:

$$(0.1) \quad \|x\|_{\varphi} = \max\{\bar{\varphi}(\sigma(x)) : \sigma \in G\}, x \in \bar{K}.$$

(See also [1], [2], [9], [10]). In the case of a henselian field  $(K, \varphi)$ , since for any  $\sigma \in G$ ,  $\bar{\varphi} \circ \sigma$  is a new multiplicative absolute value on  $\bar{K}$ , one has that  $\bar{\varphi} \circ \sigma = \bar{\varphi}$  and then  $\|x\|_{\varphi} = \bar{\varphi}(x)$  for any  $x \in \bar{K}$ . It is very easy to see that the  $\varphi$ -spectral norm depends only on  $\varphi$  and not on the fixed extension  $\bar{\varphi}$  of it (see also [1]). This is true because any other valuation on  $\bar{K}$  which extends  $\varphi$  is of the form  $\bar{\varphi} \circ \sigma$  for a  $K$ -automorphism  $\sigma$  of  $\bar{K}$  (see for instance [7], or [5]). The philosophy of this paper is to substitute the unique extension  $\bar{\varphi}$  of  $\varphi$  in the complete or henselian cases with the above defined  $\varphi$ -spectral norm in the case of a general separable valued field of rank 1 (non-Archimedean or Archimedean).

Some other interesting results connected with this paper one can find, for the particular case  $K = \mathbf{Q}_p$ -the  $p$ -adic number field, in [6] and in [3].

By using the above defined  $\varphi$ -spectral norm  $\|\cdot\|_{\varphi}$  on a fixed algebraic closure  $\bar{K}$  of  $K$ , in both cases, non-Archimedean or Archimedean, we generalize Ostrowski’s and Krasner’s results (Theorem 1 and Theorem 2) for the valued field  $(\bar{K}, \|\cdot\|_{\varphi})$ . If instead of  $(\bar{K}, \|\cdot\|_{\varphi})$  one takes its completion  $(\tilde{K}, \|\cdot\|_{\varphi})$  relative to the  $\varphi$ -spectral norm  $\|\cdot\|_{\varphi}$ , one

---

2000 Mathematics Subject Classification. Primary 12J10, 12J25, 12F09; Secondary 13A18, 12F99.

obtains another two variants for Ostrowki's and Krasner's results, this time for a class of closed subbrings of the ring  $\widetilde{K}$  (Theorem 4 and Corollary 1).

In Section 2 we prove that the class of triplets  $(K, \varphi, \|\cdot\|)$ , where  $\|\cdot\|$  is an arbitrary equivariant (relative to  $G = Gal(\widetilde{K}/K)$ ) norm, for which the Ostrowski's absorbent property for closed subbrings of  $\widetilde{K}_{\|\cdot\|}$  (the completion of  $(\widetilde{K}, \|\cdot\|)$ ) works, is the same with the class of triplets  $(K, \varphi, \|\cdot\|)$  for which Krasner's Lemma works (Theorem 5). In Definition 4 we introduce a new class of triplets  $(K, \varphi, \|\cdot\|)$ , called *appropriate triples*. Shortly speaking, for such a triplet, any closed subbring  $L$  of  $\widetilde{K}_{\|\cdot\|}$  is completely defined by its algebraic part, i.e.,  $L = L \cap \widetilde{K}$ . They are important because for such triples one could have a Galois type theory which connects the set of closed subfields of  $\widetilde{K}_{\|\cdot\|}$  and the set of closed subgroups of  $G$ . Moreover, this last group can be identified with the group of all continuous  $K$ -automorphisms of  $\widetilde{K}$ . In 1 we discuss such a situation.

We also discuss the state of art of a Zaharescu's conjecture (Conjecture 1) for a more general case (see Corollary 2).

**1. The spectral norm case.** Let  $(K, \varphi)$  be a perfect valued field of rank 1, where  $\varphi$  is a nontrivial multiplicative Archimedean or non-Archimedean absolute value on  $K$ . Let  $\overline{K}$  be a fixed algebraic closure of  $K$  and let  $\overline{\varphi}$  be a fixed extension of  $\varphi$  to  $\overline{K}$ . We define on  $\overline{K}$  the following norm, which will be called the  $\varphi$ -spectral norm of  $\overline{K}$  (it does not depend on  $\overline{\varphi}$ ):

$$(1.1) \quad \|x\|_\varphi = \max\{\overline{\varphi}(\sigma(x)) : \sigma \in G\},$$

where  $x \in \overline{K}$  and  $G = Gal(\overline{K}/K)$  is the absolute Galois group of  $K$ .

**Remark 1.** Since any other multiplicative valuation on  $\overline{K}$  is of the form  $\overline{\varphi} \circ \mu$ , where  $\mu \in G$  (see [7] or [5]) the  $\varphi$ -spectral norm does not depend on the choice of extension  $\overline{\varphi}$  of  $\varphi$  to  $\overline{K}$ . It is not complicated to prove (see also [1]) that this  $\varphi$ -spectral norm is indeed a  $K$ -norm on  $\overline{K}$ :

- i)  $\|x\|_\varphi = 0$  if and only if  $x = 0$  for any  $x$  in  $\overline{K}$ .
- ii)  $\|\alpha x\|_\varphi = \varphi(\alpha)\|x\|_\varphi$  for any  $x$  in  $\overline{K}$  and for any  $\alpha \in K$ .
- iii)  $\|xy\|_\varphi \leq \|x\|_\varphi \|y\|_\varphi$  for any  $x$  and  $y$  in  $\overline{K}$ .
- iv)  $\|x + y\|_\varphi \leq \max\{\|x\|_\varphi, \|y\|_\varphi\}$ , if  $\varphi$  is non-Archimedean and  $\|x + y\|_\varphi \leq \|x\|_\varphi + \|y\|_\varphi$ , if  $\varphi$  is Archimedean.

v)  $\|\sigma(x)\|_\varphi = \|x\|_\varphi$  for any  $x$  in  $\overline{K}$  and for any  $\sigma \in G$ , i.e., the  $\varphi$ -spectral norm is  $G$ -equivariant.

Let  $c_*$  be equal to  $1/2$  if  $\varphi$  is Archimedean and  $c_* = 1$  if  $\varphi$  is non-Archimedean. Let  $L \subset \overline{K}$  be a subfield of the algebraic closure  $\overline{K}$  of  $K$  such that  $K \subset L$ . For any  $\alpha \in \overline{K}$  we define the  $\varphi$ -spectral distance of  $\alpha$  to  $L$  as follows:

$$(1.2) \quad distspec_\varphi(L, \alpha) = \inf_{\beta \in L} \|\alpha - \beta\|_\varphi.$$

We shall prove later that  $\alpha \in L$  if and only if  $distspec_\varphi(L, \alpha) = 0$ . Using a deep idea of Ostrowski ([8], or [5]) and looking at it at a more general level, we find the following result.

**Theorem 1** (The absorbent theorem). *Let  $K \subset L \subset \overline{K}$  as above and let*

$$\omega(\alpha) = \min_{\sigma \in G} \{\|\alpha - \sigma(\alpha)\|_\varphi : \alpha \neq \sigma(\alpha)\},$$

*if  $\alpha \notin K$  and  $\omega(\alpha) = 0$  if  $\alpha \in K$ . Let now  $\alpha \in \overline{K} \setminus K$  such that  $distspec_\varphi(L, \alpha) < c_*\omega(\alpha)$ . Then  $\alpha \in L$ , i.e.,  $L$  absorbs  $\alpha$ . The same statement is true if instead of the  $\varphi$ -spectral norm  $\|\cdot\|_\varphi$  we take any  $\varphi$ -norm  $\|\cdot\|$  on  $\overline{K}$ , which is  $G$ -equivariant.*

*Proof.* We assume on contrary that  $\alpha \notin L$ . Then, by using the classical Galois theory, there exists at least one  $\sigma_0 \in G$  such that  $\sigma_0(x) = x$  for all  $x \in L$  and  $\sigma_0(\alpha) \neq \alpha$ . a) If  $\varphi$  is a non-Archimedean valuation ( $c_* = 1$ ) then,

$$\begin{aligned} distspec_\varphi(L, \alpha) &< \omega(\alpha) \leq \|\alpha - \sigma_0(\alpha)\|_\varphi \\ &\leq \max\{\|\alpha - x\|_\varphi, \|x - \sigma_0(\alpha)\|_\varphi\}, \end{aligned}$$

for any  $x \in L$ . Since  $\sigma_0(x) = x$  for any  $x \in L$  and since

$$(1.3) \quad \|\alpha - x\|_\varphi = \|\sigma_0(\alpha) - \sigma_0(x)\|_\varphi = \|\sigma_0(\alpha) - x\|_\varphi,$$

we finally get:

$$distspec_\varphi(L, \alpha) < \omega(\alpha) \leq \|\alpha - x\|_\varphi$$

for any  $x \in L$ . Taking infimum on the right, we obtain:

$$distspec_\varphi(L, \alpha) < \omega(\alpha) \leq distspec_\varphi(L, \alpha),$$

a contradiction. b) If  $\varphi$  is an Archimedean valuation ( $c_* = 1/2$ ) then:

$$\begin{aligned} distspec_\varphi(L, \alpha) &< \frac{1}{2}\omega(\alpha) \leq \frac{1}{2}\|\alpha - \sigma_0(\alpha)\|_\varphi \\ &\leq \frac{1}{2}\|\alpha - x\|_\varphi + \frac{1}{2}\|x - \sigma_0(\alpha)\|_\varphi. \end{aligned}$$

But, as in (1.3), one has that

$$\|\alpha - x\|_\varphi = \|x - \sigma_0(\alpha)\|_\varphi$$

for any  $x \in L$ . So

$$\text{distspec}_\varphi(L, \alpha) < \frac{1}{2}\omega(\alpha) \leq \|\alpha - x\|_\varphi$$

for any  $x \in L$ . Taking infimum on the right, we get:

$$\text{distspec}_\varphi(L, \alpha) < \frac{1}{2}\omega(\alpha) \leq \text{distspec}_\varphi(L, \alpha),$$

a contradiction. Thus, in any of the two cases we obtain a contradiction. So  $\alpha \in L$ .  $\square$

**Remark 2.** Let  $K, \bar{K}, L, \alpha$  be as above and assume that  $\alpha \in \tilde{L} \cap \bar{K}$ , where  $\tilde{L}$  is the topological completion of  $L$  with respect to the  $\varphi$ -spectral norm  $\|\cdot\|_\varphi$ . Then  $\text{distspec}_\varphi(L, \alpha) = 0$  and, from the last theorem, one has that  $\alpha \in L$ . This means that  $L$  is topologically closed in  $\bar{K}$ . But this does not mean that  $L$  is complete relative to the  $\varphi$ -spectral norm, i.e., it is not closed in  $\bar{K}$ , the completion of  $\bar{K}$  relative to the same  $\varphi$ -spectral norm. In other words, its closure in  $\bar{K}$  does not contain algebraic elements besides those of  $L$  itself. To see that  $L$  is not complete in general, let us take  $K = \mathbf{Q}_p$  and  $L = \bar{K} = \mathbf{Q}_p$ . Then  $\tilde{L} = \mathbf{C}_p$  and we know (see [4] for instance) that  $L \neq \tilde{L}$  in this case. Moreover, it is not difficult to prove that for any infinite extension  $L$  of  $\mathbf{Q}_p$ ,  $L \neq \tilde{L}$ , where  $\tilde{L}$  is the topological closure of  $L$  in  $\mathbf{C}_p$ , the complex  $p$ -adic number field.

In particular we also get a generalization of the classical Krasner's lemma ([7], [4] or [5]).

**Theorem 2** (Krasner's Lemma for  $\bar{K}$ ). *Let  $K, \bar{K}, \varphi, \bar{\varphi}$  be as above and let  $\alpha$  be an element of  $\bar{K} \setminus K$ . Let  $y \in \bar{K}$  be such that  $\|\alpha - y\|_\varphi < c_*\omega(\alpha)$ , where  $\omega(\alpha) = \min_{\sigma \in G} \{\|\alpha - \sigma(\alpha)\|_\varphi : \alpha \neq \sigma(\alpha)\}$ . Then  $K(\alpha) \subset K(y)$ .*

*Proof.* It is sufficient to prove that  $\alpha \in K(y)$ . In view of Theorem 1, it is also sufficient to prove that  $\text{distspec}_\varphi(K(y), \alpha) < c_*\omega(\alpha)$ . Since

$$\text{distspec}_\varphi(K(y), \alpha) \leq \|\alpha - y\|_\varphi < c_*\omega(\alpha),$$

the desired condition is satisfied and the proof of the theorem is completed.  $\square$

Let  $\bar{K}$  be the completion of  $\bar{K}$  with respect to the  $\varphi$ -spectral norm  $\|\cdot\|_\varphi$ . It is easy to see that  $\bar{K}$  is in general a ring and that it is a field if and only if  $\|\cdot\|_\varphi$  is a multiplicative absolute value, i.e., if and only if  $\bar{\varphi}$  is the unique extension of  $\varphi$  to  $\bar{K}$ , i.e., if and only if  $(K, \varphi)$  is henselian (see also [1]). Since  $\bar{K}$ , the topological closure of  $K$  in  $\bar{K}$ , is a completion

of  $(K, \varphi)$ , we have enough (infinite) transcendental elements in  $\bar{K}$  over  $K$ .  $\bar{K}$  becomes a normed ring as follows. Let  $x = \{\widehat{x}_n\}$  be the class of a Cauchy sequence  $\{x_n\}$  with respect to the  $\varphi$ -spectral norm on  $\bar{K}$ ,  $x_n \in \bar{K}$  for any  $n \in \mathbf{N}$ . Since

$$\left| \|x_{n+p}\|_\varphi - \|x_n\|_\varphi \right| \leq \|x_{n+p} - x_n\|_\varphi,$$

the sequence  $\{\|x_n\|_\varphi\}$  is a Cauchy sequence and one can easily define

$$\|x\|_\varphi \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|x_n\|_\varphi.$$

This definition does not depend on the choice of the Cauchy sequence  $\{x_n\}$  in the class of  $x$ . Now, if  $x \in \bar{K}$ , we can embed  $x$  in  $\bar{K}$  by the following ring morphism  $x \rightsquigarrow (x, x, \dots, x, \dots)$ . It is easy to see that  $\|x\|_\varphi = \|x\|_\varphi$  for any  $x \in \bar{K}$ .

Assume in the following that  $\bar{K} \neq \bar{K}$ , i.e., that there exists at least one element  $y$  in  $\bar{K}$  which is transcendental over  $K$ . Moreover, if  $\alpha \in \bar{K}$ ,  $y \in \bar{K}$ , transcendental over  $K$ , and if  $\varepsilon > 0$ , the "spectral open ball"

$$B(\alpha, \varepsilon) = \{z \in \bar{K} : \|z - \alpha\|_\varphi < \varepsilon\}$$

contains an infinite number of transcendental elements of the form:  $\alpha + ty$ ,  $t \in K$ , with  $\varphi(t) < \frac{\varepsilon}{\|y\|_\varphi}$ . Since  $\varphi$  is not the trivial absolute value, the set

$$\left\{ t \in K : \varphi(t) < \frac{\varepsilon}{\|y\|_\varphi} \right\}$$

is infinite.

Therefore, one can find in  $\bar{K}$  subfields  $L \subsetneq \bar{K}$  such that

$$\text{distspec}_\varphi(L, \alpha) = \inf_{z \in L} \{\|z - \alpha\|_\varphi\}$$

is as small as we want. Take for instance  $t \in K$  with  $\varphi(t) < \frac{\varepsilon}{\|y\|_\varphi}$  and put  $L = K(\alpha + ty)$ . Then

$$\text{distspec}_\varphi(L, \alpha) \leq \|\alpha + ty - \alpha\|_\varphi = \varphi(t)\|y\|_\varphi < \varepsilon.$$

Let us denote by the same letter  $G$  the group of all continuous (with respect to  $\|\cdot\|_\varphi$ ) automorphisms of  $\bar{K}$  over  $K$ . Each such automorphism  $\sigma$  is completely determined by its restriction to  $\bar{K}$ . Since  $\|\sigma(x)\|_\varphi = \|x\|_\varphi$  for any ring automorphism  $\sigma$  of  $\bar{K}$  over  $K$  and for any  $x \in \bar{K}$ , we see that the restriction to  $\bar{K}$  of any such ring automorphism of  $\bar{K}$  is continuous on  $\bar{K}$ , even it is not continuous on  $\bar{K}$ . But, given  $\mu \in \text{Gal}(\bar{K}/K)$ , there is a unique extension of  $\mu$  to a ring continuous automorphism  $\tilde{\mu}$  of  $\bar{K}$  over  $K$ . In what follows we consider only such continuous extensions. This is why  $G = \text{Gal}(\bar{K}/K)$ .

**Theorem 3.** For any  $\sigma \in G$  and  $x \in \widetilde{K}$  one has that

$$\|\sigma(x)\|_{\varphi}^{-} = \|x\|_{\varphi}^{-},$$

i.e.,  $\|\cdot\|_{\varphi}^{-}$  is an equivariant norm with respect to  $G$ .

*Proof.* Let  $x_n \rightarrow x$ ,  $x_n \in \overline{K}$ , relative to  $\|\cdot\|_{\varphi}^{-}$ . Since  $\sigma$  is continuous, one has that

$$\|\sigma(x_n)\|_{\varphi}^{-} \rightarrow \|\sigma(x)\|_{\varphi}^{-}.$$

But  $\|\cdot\|_{\varphi}^{-}$  is equivariant with respect to  $G = Gal(\overline{K}/K)$  (see Remark 1), so  $\|\sigma(x_n)\|_{\varphi}^{-} = \|x_n\|_{\varphi}^{-}$ . Since  $x_n \rightarrow x$  relative to  $\|\cdot\|_{\varphi}^{-}$ , one has that

$$\|x_n\|_{\varphi}^{-} = \|x_n\|_{\varphi}^{-} \rightarrow \|x\|_{\varphi}^{-}.$$

The uniqueness of the limit of a sequence in a metric space implies that

$$\|\sigma(x)\|_{\varphi}^{-} = \|x\|_{\varphi}^{-},$$

i.e., the statement of the theorem.  $\square$

**Definition 1.** A perfect valued field  $(K, \varphi)$  of rank 1 with a nontrivial absolute value  $\varphi$  is said to be an appropriate field if for any closed subring  $L \subset \widetilde{K}$  one has that

$$L \cap \widetilde{K} = L.$$

Here  $\widetilde{M}$  means the topological closure of  $M$  in  $\widetilde{K}$  with respect to the norm  $\|\cdot\|_{\varphi}^{-}$  on  $\widetilde{K}$ .

For instance, if  $(K, \varphi)$  is a perfect complete field, then in [6] it is proved that  $(K, \varphi)$  is an appropriate field.

**Remark 3.** If  $(K, \varphi)$  is a henselian field then it is an appropriate field.

**Example 1.** Let  $K = \mathbf{Q}$  be the rational number field and let  $\varphi = |\cdot|_p$  be the  $p$ -adic absolute value on  $\mathbf{Q}$  for a fixed prime number  $p$ . Let  $\|\cdot\|_p$  be the  $|\cdot|_p$ -spectral norm on  $\overline{\mathbf{Q}}$ , the field of algebraic numbers. Let  $(\widetilde{\mathbf{Q}}_p, \|\cdot\|_p)$  be the completion of  $\overline{\mathbf{Q}}$  with respect to  $\|\cdot\|_p$ . Then, Theorem 6.3 of [10] says that  $(\mathbf{Q}, |\cdot|_p)$  is an appropriate field which is not henselian.

**Remark 4.** If  $(K, \varphi)$  is an appropriate field and if for any subring  $L$ ,  $K \subset L \subset \widetilde{K}$ , one defines:

$$distspec_{\varphi}^{-}(L, z) = \inf_{y \in L} \left\{ \|y - z\|_{\varphi}^{-} \right\},$$

for any  $z \in \widetilde{K}$ , the extended spectral distance with respect to  $\varphi$ , then we easily get:

$$\begin{aligned} (1.4) \quad distspec_{\varphi}^{-}(L, z) &= distspec_{\varphi}^{-}(\widetilde{L}, z) \\ &= distspec_{\varphi}^{-}(\widetilde{L} \cap \widetilde{K}, z) = distspec_{\varphi}^{-}(\widetilde{L} \cap \overline{K}, z). \end{aligned}$$

We now extend Theorem 1 to closed subrings  $L \subset \widetilde{K}$  which are not necessarily algebraic over  $K$ .

**Theorem 4.** Let  $(K, \varphi)$  be an appropriate field and  $L$  be a closed subring of  $\widetilde{K}$ ,  $K \subset L$ . Let  $\alpha \in \overline{K} \setminus K$  such that  $distspec_{\varphi}^{-}(L, \alpha) < c_*\omega(\alpha)$ . Then  $\alpha \in L$ .

*Proof.* Assume by contradiction that  $\alpha \notin L$ . Then  $\alpha \notin L \cap \overline{K}$  which is an algebraic extension of  $K$ . Being a ring and an algebraic extension of  $K$ , it is a field. Then, the classical Galois theory says that there exists  $\sigma_0 \in G = Gal(\overline{K}/K)$  such that  $\sigma_0(\alpha) \neq \alpha$  and  $\sigma_0(x) = x$  for all  $x \in L \cap \overline{K}$ .

Now the proof follows in the same manner like the proof of Theorem 1 by simply substituting  $L$  with  $L \cap \overline{K}$ . Finally we obtain that  $\alpha \in L \cap \overline{K}$ , i.e.,  $\alpha \in L$  and the proof of the theorem is completed.  $\square$

**Corollary 1** (Krasner's Lemma for  $\widetilde{K}$ ). Let  $(K, \varphi)$  be an appropriate field and let  $y$  be an element of  $\widetilde{K}$ . Let  $\alpha$  be in  $\overline{K} \setminus K$  such that  $\|\alpha - y\|_{\varphi}^{-} < c_*\omega(\alpha)$ , where  $\omega(\alpha) = \min_{\sigma \in G} \{\|\alpha - \sigma(\alpha)\|_{\varphi}^{-} : \alpha \neq \sigma(\alpha)\}$ . Then  $K(\alpha) \subset K(y)$ .

The proof of this corollary is similar to the proof of Theorem 2 and we omit it.

**Corollary 2** (a primitive element theorem for  $\widetilde{K}$ ). Let  $(K, \varphi)$  be an appropriate field and let  $\alpha \in \overline{K}$ ,  $y \in \widetilde{K}$ . Then there exists an infinite number of elements  $t \in K$  such that  $K(\alpha, y) = K(\alpha + ty)$ .

*Proof.* Since  $\alpha + ty \in K(\alpha, y)$  for any  $t \in K$ , it remains to prove that for some restrictions on  $t \in K$  one has that  $\alpha \in K(\alpha + ty)$ . In Theorem 4 we take  $L = K(\alpha + ty)$ . If  $y = 0$  we have nothing to prove. The same is true if  $\alpha \in K$ . Assume that  $y \neq 0$  and  $\alpha \notin K$ . There exists an infinite number of elements  $t \neq 0$  in  $K$  such that  $\varphi(t) < \frac{c_*\omega(\alpha)}{\|y\|_{\varphi}^{-}}$  ( $\varphi$  is a nontrivial multiplicative absolute value!). For such a  $t$  one has:

$$\begin{aligned} distspec_{\varphi}^{-}(L, \alpha) &\leq \|\alpha + ty - \alpha\|_{\varphi}^{-} \\ &= \varphi(t)\|y\|_{\varphi}^{-} < c_*\omega(\alpha). \end{aligned}$$

Let us apply now Theorem 4 and find that  $\alpha \in L$  and the theorem is completely proved.  $\square$

**Remark 5.** Let  $K = \mathbf{Q}_p$ , the  $p$ -adic number field and let  $\varphi = |\cdot|_p$  be the usual  $p$ -adic absolute value on  $\mathbf{Q}_p$ . Let  $\overline{\mathbf{Q}}_p$  be a fixed algebraic closure of  $\mathbf{Q}_p$  and let denote by the same letter  $\varphi$  the unique extension of  $\varphi$  to  $\overline{\mathbf{Q}}_p$ . Since  $\mathbf{Q}_p$  is complete, the corresponding spectral norm on  $\overline{\mathbf{Q}}_p$  is exactly  $\varphi$ . Hence,  $\widetilde{\mathbf{Q}}_p$ , the completion of  $\overline{\mathbf{Q}}_p$  with respect to this

last spectral norm is exactly  $\mathbf{C}_p$ , the complex  $p$ -adic number field. Now, if one takes an arbitrary  $y \in \mathbf{C}_p$  and an element  $\alpha \in \overline{\mathbf{Q}_p}$ , then Corollary 2 says that for any  $t$  small enough ( $\varphi(t) < \frac{\omega(\alpha)}{\|y\|_\varphi}$ , if  $y \neq 0$  and  $\alpha \notin \mathbf{Q}_p$ ) one has that  $\mathbf{Q}_p(\widetilde{\alpha, y}) = \mathbf{Q}_p(\widetilde{\alpha + ty})$ . This is a proof of a particular case of an intricate conjecture proposed by Prof. Alexandru Zaharescu (Illinois University) in 2009.

**Conjecture 1** (Zaharescu's conjecture). Let  $x, y$  be two arbitrary elements in  $\mathbf{C}_p$ , the complex  $p$ -adic number field. Then there exists  $t \in \mathbf{Q}_p$ , the  $p$ -adic number field, such that  $\mathbf{Q}_p(\widetilde{x, y}) = \mathbf{Q}_p(\widetilde{x + ty})$ . Here, tilde means the topological closure of the corresponding subfield of  $\mathbf{C}_p$  with respect to the  $p$ -adic topology.

From [6] we know that there exists an element  $z \in \mathbf{C}_p$  with  $\mathbf{Q}_p(\widetilde{x, y}) = \mathbf{Q}_p(\widetilde{z})$ , but we do not know if there exists such a  $z$  (called a topological generator!) of the particular form  $z = x + ty$ ,  $t \in \mathbf{Q}_p$  like in the primitive element theorem case. Remark 5 says that Zaharescu's conjecture is true if one of the two elements  $x$  or  $y$  is algebraic over  $\mathbf{Q}_p$ . In general we have no answer for this interesting conjecture.

**2. The case of a general norm.** Let  $(K, \varphi)$  be a perfect valued field with a nontrivial multiplicative valuation  $\varphi$ . Let  $\overline{K}$  be a fixed algebraic closure of  $K$  and let  $\|\cdot\|$  be an equivariant norm on  $\overline{K}$  with respect to  $G = Gal(\overline{K}/K)$ , which extends  $\varphi$ . Let  $\widetilde{K}_{\|\cdot\|}$  be a completion of  $\overline{K}$  relative to  $\|\cdot\|$  and let  $\|\cdot\|^\sim$  be the canonical extension of  $\|\cdot\|$  to  $\widetilde{K}_{\|\cdot\|}$ .

**Definition 2.** We say that the triplet  $(K, \varphi, \|\cdot\|)$  has the absorbent property if for any closed subring  $L$  of  $\widetilde{K}_{\|\cdot\|}$ ,  $K \subset L$ , and for any  $\alpha \in \overline{K} \setminus K$  with

$$dist_{\|\cdot\|^\sim}(L, \alpha) < c_*\omega(\alpha)$$

one has that  $\alpha \in L$ . Here

$$dist_{\|\cdot\|^\sim}(L, \alpha) = \inf_{y \in L} \{ \|y - \alpha\|^\sim \}$$

and  $c_* = 1$  or  $c_* = \frac{1}{2}$  whenever  $\varphi$  is non-Archimedean or Archimedean respectively.

For instance, if  $(K, \varphi)$  is complete then, relative to the unique extension  $\overline{\varphi}$  of  $\varphi$  to  $\overline{K}$  the triplet  $(K, \varphi, \overline{\varphi})$  has the absorbent property (see [6] and Theorem 4).

**Definition 3.** Let us preserve the above notation and hypotheses. We say that the triplet

$(K, \varphi, \|\cdot\|)$  verifies Krasner's Lemma if for any  $\alpha \in \overline{K} \setminus K$  and  $y \in \widetilde{K}_{\|\cdot\|}$  with  $\|y - \alpha\|^\sim < c_*\omega(\alpha)$  one has that  $\alpha \in \widetilde{K}(y)$ .

**Theorem 5.** The triplet  $(K, \varphi, \|\cdot\|)$  has the absorbent property if and only if it verifies Krasner's Lemma.

*Proof.* a) Assume that  $(K, \varphi, \|\cdot\|)$  has the absorbent property. Let  $\alpha \in \overline{K} \setminus K$  and  $y \in \widetilde{K}_{\|\cdot\|}$  with  $\|y - \alpha\|^\sim < c_*\omega(\alpha)$ . Since

$$dist_{\|\cdot\|^\sim}(\widetilde{K}(y), \alpha) \leq \|y - \alpha\|^\sim < c_*\omega(\alpha)$$

and since  $(K, \varphi, \|\cdot\|)$  has the absorbent property, one obtain that  $\alpha \in \widetilde{K}(y)$ .

b) Conversely, we suppose that  $(K, \varphi, \|\cdot\|)$  verifies Krasner's Lemma. Let  $L, K \subset L \subset \widetilde{K}_{\|\cdot\|}$  be a closed subring in  $\widetilde{K}_{\|\cdot\|}$ , which contains  $K$ . Let  $\alpha \in \overline{K} \setminus K$  be such that  $dist_{\|\cdot\|^\sim}(L, \alpha) < c_*\omega(\alpha)$ . Then there exists at least one  $\beta \in L$  with

$$\|\beta - \alpha\|^\sim < c_*\omega(\alpha).$$

Since  $(K, \varphi, \|\cdot\|)$  verifies Krasner's Lemma we get that  $\alpha \in \widetilde{K}(\beta) \subset L$ , because  $L$  is closed, i.e.,  $\alpha \in L$ , so  $(K, \varphi, \|\cdot\|)$  has the absorbent property and the proof is completed.  $\square$

**Definition 4.** With the above notation and hypotheses, we say that the triplet  $(K, \varphi, \|\cdot\|)$  is an appropriate triplet if for any closed subring  $L, K \subset L \subset \widetilde{K}_{\|\cdot\|}$  one has that  $L \cap \overline{K} = L$ .

For instance, if  $(K, \varphi)$  is complete and if  $\|x\| = \overline{\varphi}(x)$  for any  $x \in \overline{K}$ , where  $\overline{\varphi}$  is the unique extension of  $\varphi$  to  $\overline{K}$ , then the triplet  $(K, \varphi, \overline{\varphi})$  is an appropriate triplet (see [6]).

It is not so difficult to prove the corresponding generalization of Theorem 4.

**Theorem 6.** Let  $(K, \varphi, \|\cdot\|)$  be an appropriate triple. Then  $(K, \varphi, \|\cdot\|)$  has the absorbent property.

*Proof.* Let  $(K, \varphi, \|\cdot\|)$  be an appropriate triple and let  $L$  a closed subring of  $\widetilde{K}_{\|\cdot\|}$ . Let  $\alpha$  be in  $\overline{K} \setminus K$  such that  $dist_{\|\cdot\|^\sim}(L, \alpha) < c_*\omega(\alpha)$ . Since  $L = L \cap \overline{K}$  one has that

$$dist_{\|\cdot\|^\sim}(L, \alpha) = dist_{\|\cdot\|^\sim}(L \cap \overline{K}, \alpha) < c_*\omega(\alpha).$$

From Theorem 1 we get that  $\alpha \in L \cap \overline{K} \subset L$ , i.e.,  $(K, \varphi, \|\cdot\|)$  has the absorbent property.  $\square$

**Acknowledgement.** The author express his gratitude to the referee(s) for some advises which led to the improvement of the statement of Remark 2 and the proof of Theorem 4.

The ideas of this paper are deeply connected with the activity of our Seminar of Algebra and Number Theory “Nicolae Popescu”-IMAR, Bucharest.

### References

- [ 1 ] V. Alexandru and A. Popescu,  $v$ -maximal extensions, Henselian fields and conservative fields, *Bull. Math. Soc. Sci. Math. Roumanie (N.S.)* **56(104)** (2013), no. 1, 55–64.
- [ 2 ] V. Alexandru, A. Popescu, E. L. Popescu and S. Sultana,  $v$ -adic maximal extensions, spectral norms and absolute Galois groups, *Monatsh. Math.* **158** (2009), no. 3, 223–233.
- [ 3 ] V. Alexandru, N. Popescu and A. Zaharescu, On the closed subfields of  $\mathbf{C}_p$ , *J. Number Theory* **68** (1998), no. 2, 131–150.
- [ 4 ] E. Artin, *Algebraic numbers and algebraic functions*, Gordon and Breach, New York, 1967.
- [ 5 ] G. Groza and A. Popescu, *Extensions of valued fields* (Romanian), Editura Academiei Romane, Bucharest, 2011.
- [ 6 ] A. Ioviță and A. Zaharescu, Completions of r.a.t.-valued fields of rational functions, *J. Number Theory* **50** (1995), no. 2, 202–205.
- [ 7 ] J. Neukirch, *Algebraic number theory*, translated from the 1992 German original and with a note by Norbert Schappacher, *Grundlehren der Mathematischen Wissenschaften*, 322, Springer, Berlin, 1999.
- [ 8 ] A. Ostrowski, *Untersuchungen zur arithmetischen Theorie der Körper (Die Theorie der Teilbarkeit in allgemeinen Körper)*, *Math. Z.* **39** (1934), 269–404.
- [ 9 ] V. Pasol, A. Popescu and N. Popescu, Spectral norms on valued fields, *Math. Z.* **238** (2001), no. 1, 101–114.
- [ 10 ] E. L. Popescu, N. Popescu and C. Vraciu, Completion of the spectral extension of  $p$ -adic valuation, *Rev. Roumaine Math. Pures Appl.* **46** (2001), no. 6, 805–817.
- [ 11 ] P. Roquette, On the history of valuation theory, Part 1, <http://www.rzuser.uni-heidelberg.de/~ci3/>.