

A property of the Fourier transform of probability measures on the real line related to the renewal theorem

By Yasuki ISOZAKI

Department of Mathematical and Physical Science, Kyoto Institute of Technology,
Matsugasaki, Sakyo-ku, Kyoto 606-8585, Japan

(Communicated by Masaki KASHIWARA, M.J.A., Oct. 12, 2012)

Abstract: We study the weak convergence of some measures related to the renewal theorem, extending a result by Feller and Orey.

Key words: Random walk; renewal theory; weak convergence; Fourier transform.

1. Introduction and the main result. Let F be a probability measure on \mathbf{R} , F^{n*} be its n -fold convolution. We assume $m = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} x F(dx) \in (0, \infty)$ since it is the most interesting case in the renewal theory. We denote the Fourier transform $\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} e^{izx} F(dx)$ by $\varphi(z)$.

If $A \subset \mathbf{R}$ is a Borel set and x is a real number, the sets $-A$, xA , and $x + A$ are defined in the obvious way by symmetry, expansion (or contraction), and translation. We say that F is periodic with the period $\omega > 0$ if ω is the greatest positive number such that F is supported on $\omega\mathbf{Z}$. If such ω does not exist, we set $\omega = 0$.

Let $\{X_n\}_{n=0,1,\dots}$ be a sequence of independent random variables with the common distribution F and set $S_0 = 0$, $S_n = \sum_{k=1}^n X_k$. Thus $\{S_n\}_{n=0,1,\dots}$ forms a transient random walk on \mathbf{R} going to $+\infty$.

We also set, for any interval I , $U(I) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} F^{n*}(I)$, which is the 0-resolvent measure for the random walk $\{S_n\}$.

As the renewal theory (see [5], [1], [4], [2]) reveals, there are following cases: If $\omega > 0$, then $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} U(\{n\omega\}) = \frac{\omega}{m}$; If $\omega = 0$, then $\lim_{x \rightarrow \infty} U(x + I) = \frac{|I|}{m}$ for any interval I where $|I|$ denotes the length

of I . In any case, $\lim_{x \rightarrow -\infty} U(x + I) = 0$.

For this, Feller and Orey [6] give a rather short proof, which is based on the symmetrized measure

V defined by $V(I) := \frac{1}{2}(U(I) + U(-I))$. Let us review very briefly their method in the case $\omega = 0$. They prove

$$(1) \quad \lim_{x \rightarrow \infty} V(x + I) = \frac{|I|}{2m}$$

and make use of transience of $\{S_n\}$. The proof of (1) relies on the following weak convergence (2) of a family of finite measures. Let $m_s(dz) = \frac{1}{1+z^2} \Re\left(\frac{1}{1-s\varphi(z)}\right) dz$ and $m(dz) = \frac{\pi}{m} \delta_0(dz) + \frac{1}{1+z^2} \Re\left(\frac{1}{1-\varphi(z)}\right) dz$, a mixture of a point mass and an absolutely continuous one. It is shown in [6] that

$$(2) \quad m_s(dz) \implies m(dz) \quad \text{as } s \rightarrow 1 - 0$$

if $\omega = 0$, where \implies indicates weak convergence.

Remark 1.1. It holds $\Re\left(\frac{1}{1-s\varphi(z)}\right) \geq \frac{1}{2}$ and $\Re\left(\frac{1}{1-\varphi(z)}\right) \geq \frac{1}{2}$. Indeed, $w = \frac{1}{1-z}$ maps the unit disc $\{z \in \mathbf{C} \mid |z| \leq 1\}$ conformally to $\{\infty\} \cup \{w \in \mathbf{C} \mid \Re w \geq \frac{1}{2}\}$. An extreme example can be found in Example 2.1 in Section 2, although in the case $\omega > 0$. As we make $s \rightarrow 1 - 0$, the density $\frac{1}{1+z^2} \Re\left(\frac{1}{1-s\varphi(z)}\right)$ of $m_s(dz)$ produces an acute thorn, which will form a point mass of $m(dz)$. Some examples of thorns are observed in Examples 2.1 and 2.2.

Remark 1.2. At every z such that $\varphi(z) = 1$, we can prove $\varphi'(z) = im$, whether $\omega = 0$ or $\omega > 0$. Hence $\frac{1}{1-\varphi(z)}$ has only isolated singularities, which forms a negligible set, so that the measure $\frac{1}{1+z^2} \Re\left(\frac{1}{1-\varphi(z)}\right) dz$ is well-defined. The set of singularity is $\frac{2\pi}{\omega}\mathbf{Z}$ if $\omega > 0$ while $z = 0$ is the only singularity if $\omega = 0$.

2000 Mathematics Subject Classification. Primary 60K05; Secondary 60G50.

Remark 1.3. In many cases, $\Re(\frac{1}{1-\varphi(z)})$ behaves rather mildly near a singularity a : If $\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} |x|^{1+\delta} F(dx) < \infty$ for some $\delta \in (0, 1)$, then $\Re(\frac{1}{1-\varphi(z)}) = O(|z-a|^{-1+\delta})$ as $z \rightarrow a$. This is an exercise involving the expansion $\varphi(z) = 1 + im(z-a) + O(|z-a|^{1+\delta})$.

In this note, we are motivated to understand (2) deeper and aim to establish the following result which includes also the case $\omega > 0$.

Theorem 1.1. For any $\alpha > 0$ and $0 \leq s < 1$, let $m_s^{(\alpha)}(dz) = \frac{1}{1+|z|^{\alpha+1}} \Re(\frac{1}{1-s\varphi(z)}) dz$.

Then the family of finite measures $m_s^{(\alpha)}(dz)$ converges weakly, say, to $m^{(\alpha)}(dz)$:

$$(3) \quad m_s^{(\alpha)}(dz) \implies m^{(\alpha)}(dz) \quad \text{as } s \rightarrow 1 - 0.$$

Moreover, if $\omega = 0$ then $m^{(\alpha)}(dz) = \frac{\pi}{m} \delta_0(dz) + \frac{1}{1+|z|^{\alpha+1}} \Re(\frac{1}{1-\varphi(z)}) dz$; if $\omega > 0$ then $m^{(\alpha)}(dz) = \sum_{n \in \mathbf{Z}} \frac{\pi}{m(1+(2\pi|n|/\omega)^{\alpha+1})} \delta_{2\pi n/\omega}(dz) + \frac{1}{1+|z|^{\alpha+1}} \Re(\frac{1}{1-\varphi(z)}) dz$.

The proof will be given in Section 3.

Theorem 1.1 gives an explanation for the roles played by the assumption $\omega = 0$ and the factor $1/(1+z^2)$ in (2). Moreover, if we make $\alpha \leq 0$ in the expression of $m_s^{(\alpha)}(dz)$ and $m^{(\alpha)}(dz)$, we easily deduce that they are infinite measures from Remark 1.1. In this sense, the statement of Theorem 1.1 is exhaustive concerning the value of α that enables weak convergence.

2. Examples. In this section, we investigate several examples of F and φ . Let $\alpha > 0$.

Example 2.1. If $\omega > 0$, $\varphi(z)$ is a periodic function with the fundamental period $\frac{2\pi}{\omega}$. The simplest case among them is $F(dz) = \delta_m(dz)$: the unit mass at $m = \omega > 0$. In this case, $\varphi(z) = e^{imz}$ and $\Re(\frac{1}{1-\varphi(z)}) = \frac{1}{2}$. The limit measure is hence $m^{(\alpha)}(dz) = \sum_{n \in \mathbf{Z}} \frac{\pi}{m(1+(2\pi|n|/m)^{\alpha+1})} \delta_{2\pi n/m}(dz) + \frac{1}{2(1+|z|^{\alpha+1})} dz$. Next let us observe how $m_s^{(\alpha)}(dz)$ produces a series of acute thorns at each point in $\frac{2\pi}{m} \mathbf{Z}$. We have

$$\begin{aligned} \Re\left(\frac{1}{1-s\varphi(z)}\right) &= \Re\left(\frac{1}{1-se^{imz}}\right) \\ &= \frac{1}{2} + \frac{(1-s^2)/2}{(1+s^2)-2s\cos(mz)}. \end{aligned}$$

Here the first term corresponds to the absolutely continuous part of $m^{(\alpha)}(dz)$. In a neighborhood of $z = 2\pi n/m$, where n is an integer, it holds

$$\begin{aligned} \cos(mz) &= \cos(m(z-2\pi n/m)) \\ &= 1 - (1+o(1)) \frac{1}{2} m^2 (z-2\pi n/m)^2 \end{aligned}$$

and hence

$$\begin{aligned} &\frac{(1-s^2)/2}{(1+s^2)-2s\cos(mz)} \\ &= (1+o(1)) \frac{1-s}{(1-s)^2 + m^2(z-2\pi n/m)^2} \end{aligned}$$

as $s \rightarrow 1 - 0$. The last term is very close to a scaled/translated version $\frac{1}{1-s} f(\frac{z-2\pi n/m}{1-s})$ of a function $f(x) = \frac{1}{1+m^2x^2}$, approximating a point mass $\nu \delta_{2\pi n/m}$ with $\nu = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} f(x) dx = \pi/m$.

Example 2.2. If $\omega = 0$ and F is not singular with respect to the Lebesgue measure, (3) follows from (2) in a straightforward manner as follows. To begin with, we note that $\sup_{\varepsilon < |z| < \infty} |\varphi(z)| < 1$ for any $\varepsilon > 0$ and hence $\Re(\frac{1}{1-s\varphi(z)})$ converges to $\Re(\frac{1}{1-\varphi(z)})$ uniformly on $\{\varepsilon < |z| < \infty\}$. In view of (2), $1_{[-1,1]}(z) \Re(\frac{1}{1-s\varphi(z)}) dz$ converges weakly to $\frac{\pi}{m} \delta_0(dz) + 1_{[-1,1]}(z) \Re(\frac{1}{1-\varphi(z)}) dz$ as $s \rightarrow 1 - 0$, which convergence can be traced back to [3]. For $|z| > 1$, $\sup_{0 < s < 1} (\frac{1}{1-s\varphi(z)}) < \infty$. It is then immediate to deduce (3) since $\frac{1}{1+|z|^{\alpha+1}}$ is an integrable function. Among Example 2.2, the exponential distribution is the most remarkable case: $F(dx) = \frac{1}{m} e^{-x/m} dx$. In this case, $\varphi(z) = \frac{1}{1-imz}$ and $\Re(\frac{1}{1-\varphi(z)}) = 1$. The limit measure is hence $m^{(\alpha)}(dz) = \frac{\pi}{m} \delta_0(dz) + \frac{1}{1+|z|^{\alpha+1}} dz$. Next let us observe how $m_s^{(\alpha)}(dz)$ produces an acute thorn at $z = 0$. We have

$$\begin{aligned} \Re\left(\frac{1}{1-s\varphi(z)}\right) &= \Re\left(\frac{1}{1-s/(1-imz)}\right) \\ &= 1 + s \frac{1-s}{(1-s)^2 + m^2 z^2}. \end{aligned}$$

Here the first term corresponds to the absolutely continuous part of $m^{(\alpha)}(dz)$ and the second term is very close to a scaled version $\frac{1}{1-s} f(\frac{z}{1-s})$ of a function $f(x) = \frac{1}{1+m^2x^2}$, approximating $\nu \delta_0$ with $\nu = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} f(x) dx = \pi/m$.

Example 2.3. The case $\omega = 0$ and F is singular is the most troublesome one. To be specific, let $a > 0$, $b > 0$, and $0 < c < 1$ be such that b/a is an irrational number and set $F = c\delta_a + (1-c)\delta_b$. Its Fourier transform $\varphi(z) = c \exp(iaz) + (1-c) \exp(ibz)$ satisfies $\liminf_{z \rightarrow \pm\infty} |\varphi(z) - 1| \leq$

$\liminf_{k \in \mathbf{Z}, k \rightarrow \pm\infty} |\varphi(2\pi k/a) - 1| = 0$. Indeed, $\varphi(2\pi k/a) = c + (1 - c) \exp(2\pi \frac{b}{a} ki)$ and the sequence $\{\exp(2\pi \frac{b}{a} ki); k \in \mathbf{Z}\}$ runs densely over the unit disc in \mathbf{C} . Hence it holds $\limsup_{z \rightarrow \pm\infty} \Re(\frac{1}{1-\varphi(z)}) dz = \infty$ and, for any fixed $s \in [0, 1)$, $\limsup_{z \rightarrow \pm\infty} \Re(\frac{1}{1-s\varphi(z)}) = 1/(1-s)$. So one can not expect a priori bound $C(1 + |z|^{\alpha+1})^{-1}$ for the density of $m_s^{(\alpha)}$ on $\{|z| > 1\}$ as in Example 2.2. Still Theorem 1.1 implies that $m_s^{(\alpha)}$ converges weakly.

3. Proof of Theorem 1. Since the random walk $\{S_n\}_{n=0,1,\dots}$ is transient, we have $U((-h, h)) = V((-h, h)) < \infty$ for any $h > 0$.

Define a family of measures V_s for $0 \leq s < 1$ by

$$V_s(I) = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} s^n (F^{n*}(I) + F^{n*}(-I)).$$

Each V_s is a finite measure on \mathbf{R} . As $s \rightarrow 1 - 0$, $V_s((-h, h)) \nearrow V((-h, h)) < \infty$. The following statement is given in [6] but we prove it here for the sake

of reader's convenience. Let $\mathcal{F}g(z) = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} e^{izx} g(x) dx$ and $\mathcal{F}^{-1}\gamma(x) = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} e^{-ixz} \gamma(z) dz \equiv \frac{1}{2\pi} \mathcal{F}\gamma(-x)$ for integrable functions $g(x)$ and $\gamma(z)$.

Lemma 3.1. *For any function $g(x) \in L^1(\mathbf{R})$ such that $\mathcal{F}g(z) \in L^1(\mathbf{R})$, we have, for any $y \in \mathbf{R}$,*

$$(4) \quad \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} g(y-x) V_s(dx) = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} e^{-iyz} \mathcal{F}g(z) \Re\left(\frac{1}{1-s\varphi(z)}\right) dz.$$

Proof. The Fourier transform of V_s is given by

$$\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} e^{izx} V_s(dx) = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} s^n (\varphi(z)^n + \varphi(-z)^n) = \Re\left(\frac{1}{1-s\varphi(z)}\right).$$

The equation (4) follows from the Parseval identity or the Fubini theorem. \square

In the next lemma we prove the existence of a function with a crucial property.

Lemma 3.2. *Let $0 < \alpha < 1$ and $\tau(z) = ((1 - |z|) \vee 0)^2$, $\delta_\alpha(z) = \exp(-|z|^\alpha)$, and $\psi_\alpha(z) = \tau(z)\delta_\alpha(z)$. We also set $t = \mathcal{F}^{-1}\tau$, $d_\alpha = \mathcal{F}^{-1}\delta_\alpha$, and $p_\alpha = \mathcal{F}^{-1}\psi_\alpha$.*

Then ψ_α is bounded, nonnegative, supported on a compact set; p_α is bounded, strictly positive, and $p_\alpha(x) \asymp \frac{1}{|x|^{\alpha+1}} \wedge 1$, where ‘ \asymp ’ means that the ratio $r(x)$ between both sides satisfies $0 < \inf_{x \in \mathbf{R}} r(x) \leq$

$\sup_{x \in \mathbf{R}} r(x) < \infty$. In particular, ψ_α and p_α are both integrable and continuous.

Moreover, the functions that appear here are even and real-valued.

Proof. It follows from the formula I.2.4 in [7] that $t(x) = \frac{4}{x^2} (1 - \frac{\sin x}{x}) \asymp \frac{1}{|x|^2} \wedge 1$.

It is known that $d_\alpha(x)$ is the density of a symmetric α -stable law. As such, $d_\alpha(x)$ is infinitely differentiable (see, e.g., [8, exercise 1.5 (p.49)]), strictly positive, and satisfies $d_\alpha(x) \asymp \frac{1}{|x|^{\alpha+1}} \wedge 1$.

Let ‘ $*$ ’ denote the convolution of two functions. Then $p_\alpha(x) = \mathcal{F}^{-1}(\tau\delta_\alpha)(x) = (t * d_\alpha)(x)$, from which follows $p_\alpha(x) \asymp \frac{1}{|x|^{\alpha+1}} \wedge 1$. The other statements can be deduced easily. \square

Proof of Theorem 1. For $h \in (0, 1)$, set

$$g_h(x) := h \psi_\alpha(x/h^{1/\alpha}).$$

Since ψ_α is an even function, $\frac{1}{2\pi} \mathcal{F}g_h(z) = \mathcal{F}^{-1}g_h(z) = h^{1+1/\alpha} p_\alpha(h^{1/\alpha}z)$. Thus it holds $\text{supp}(g_h) = [-h^{1/\alpha}, h^{1/\alpha}]$, $\|g_h\|_\infty = h$, and $\mathcal{F}g_h(z) \asymp \frac{1}{|z|^{\alpha+1}} \wedge h^{1+1/\alpha}$.

Choosing $g = g_h$ and $y = 0$ in (4), we obtain

$$(5) \quad \begin{aligned} & \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \mathcal{F}g_h(z) \Re\left(\frac{1}{1-s\varphi(z)}\right) dz \\ &= \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} g_h(x) V_s(dx) \\ &\leq h V_s([-h^{1/\alpha}, h^{1/\alpha}]) \\ &\leq h V_s([-1, 1]) \leq h V([-1, 1]). \end{aligned}$$

On one hand, there exists a positive constant C_0 (depending on α) such that

$$\mathcal{F}g_h(z) |z|^{\alpha+1} > \frac{1}{C_0}$$

if $|z| > h^{-1/\alpha}$. We have from (5) that

$$\begin{aligned} & m_s^{(\alpha)}([-h^{-1/\alpha}, h^{-1/\alpha}]^c) \\ &\leq \int_{|z| > h^{-1/\alpha}} \frac{1}{|z|^{\alpha+1}} \Re\left(\frac{1}{1-s\varphi(z)}\right) dz \\ &\leq \int_{|z| > h^{-1/\alpha}} C_0 \mathcal{F}g_h(z) \Re\left(\frac{1}{1-s\varphi(z)}\right) dz \\ &\leq 2\pi C_0 h V([-1, 1]) \end{aligned}$$

for any $h \in (0, 1)$ and $s \in [0, 1)$.

On the other hand, if we fix $h \in (0, 1)$, then there exists a positive constant $C_1(h)$ depending on h (and α) such that

$$\mathcal{F}g_h(z) > \frac{1}{C_1(h)}$$

for any $z \in [-h^{-1/\alpha}, h^{-1/\alpha}]$. Hence

$$\begin{aligned} m_s^{(\alpha)}([-h^{-1/\alpha}, h^{-1/\alpha}]) &\leq \int_{|z| \leq h^{-1/\alpha}} \Re\left(\frac{1}{1-s\varphi(z)}\right) dz \\ &\leq \int_{|z| \leq h^{-1/\alpha}} C_1(h) \mathcal{F}g_h(z) \Re\left(\frac{1}{1-s\varphi(z)}\right) dz \\ &\leq 2\pi C_1(h) h V([-1, 1]) \end{aligned}$$

for any $s \in [0, 1)$.

These bounds imply that $\{m_s^{(\alpha)}(dz); s \in [0, 1)\}$ is a tight family of finite measures on \mathbf{R} and there exists a finite measure $m^{(\alpha)}(dz)$ such that (3) holds.

If $\omega = 0$, the density $\frac{1}{1+|z|^{\alpha+1}} \Re\left(\frac{1}{1-s\varphi(z)}\right)$ converges uniformly to $\frac{1}{1+|z|^{\alpha+1}} \Re\left(\frac{1}{1-\varphi(z)}\right)$ as $s \rightarrow 1-0$ in every compact interval excluding the origin. Hence $m^{(\alpha)}(dz) = \nu\delta_0(dz) + \frac{1}{1+|z|^{\alpha+1}} \Re\left(\frac{1}{1-\varphi(z)}\right) dz$ where $\nu \in [0, \infty)$ is the mass assigned to the origin by the limit measure. To be consistent with (2), we must have $\nu = \frac{\pi}{m}$.

If $\omega > 0$, then $\varphi(z) = 1$ if and only if $z \in \frac{2\pi}{\omega} \mathbf{Z}$. It follows that $\frac{1}{1+|z|^{\alpha+1}} \Re\left(\frac{1}{1-s\varphi(z)}\right)$ converges, as $s \rightarrow 1-0$, to $\frac{1}{1+|z|^{\alpha+1}} \Re\left(\frac{1}{1-\varphi(z)}\right)$ uniformly on any compact set K such that $K \cap \frac{2\pi}{\omega} \mathbf{Z} = \emptyset$. Hence the limit measure can have point masses only at points belonging to $\frac{2\pi}{\omega} \mathbf{Z}$. It is straightforward to verify

$$m^{(\alpha)}(\{2\pi n/\omega\}) = \frac{m^{(\alpha)}(\{0\})}{(1+(2\pi|n|/\omega)^{\alpha+1})}$$

by periodicity.

To prove $m^{(\alpha)}(\{0\}) = \frac{\pi}{m}$, we introduce $\tilde{F}_\varepsilon = F * N(0, \varepsilon)$, where ‘*’ denotes the convolution of two measures and $N(0, \varepsilon)$ is the normal distribution with mean 0 and variance $\varepsilon \in (0, \infty)$. It is absolutely continuous and Theorem 1.1 (the non-periodic case) is applicable.

Since \tilde{F}_ε is the probability distribution of the sum of X_1 and an independent centered normal random variable,

$$(6) \quad \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} x \tilde{F}_\varepsilon(dx) = m.$$

The Fourier transform $\tilde{\varphi}_\varepsilon(z)$ of \tilde{F}_ε is given by $e^{-\varepsilon z^2/2} \varphi(z)$. Let

$$m_s^{(\alpha; \varepsilon)}(dz) = \frac{1}{1+|z|^{\alpha+1}} \Re\left(\frac{1}{1-se^{-\varepsilon z^2/2}\varphi(z)}\right) dz.$$

Then this family converges weakly to, say, $m^{(\alpha; \varepsilon)}(dz)$. In particular, $m^{(\alpha; \varepsilon)}(\{0\}) = \frac{\pi}{m}$ by (6).

We denote the Radon-Nikodym density $\frac{dm_s^{(\alpha; \varepsilon)}(z)}{dm_s^{(\alpha)}(z)} = \frac{\Re\left(\frac{1}{1-se^{-\varepsilon z^2/2}\varphi(z)}\right) dz}{\Re\left(\frac{1}{1-s\varphi(z)}\right) dz}$ by $\xi(\varepsilon, s, z)$.

We define the error terms $R(z)$ and $I(z)$ in the expansion $\varphi(z) = 1 + imz + R(z) + iI(z)$ so that $|R(z)| + |I(z)| = o(z)$ as $z \rightarrow 0$ and $R(z)$ and $I(z)$ are real valued.

For all $\varepsilon \in (0, \frac{1}{3})$ that is sufficiently small, we can find a neighborhood $U_\varepsilon \subset (-\frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2})$ of $z = 0$ such that $1 - 2\varepsilon \leq se^{-\varepsilon z^2/2} \leq 1$, $|I(z)| \leq \varepsilon|z|$, and $|R(z)| \leq \varepsilon|z|$ for any $s \in [1 - \varepsilon, 1)$ and $z \in U_\varepsilon$. Moreover, it follows that $R(z) \leq -\frac{1}{2}(m - \varepsilon)^2 z^2 < 0$ from $|\varphi(z)| \leq 1$. We set

$$C_1(\varepsilon) := \inf_{s \in [1-\varepsilon, 1), z \in U_\varepsilon} \xi(\varepsilon, s, z),$$

$$C_2(\varepsilon) := \sup_{s \in [1-\varepsilon, 1), z \in U_\varepsilon} \xi(\varepsilon, s, z).$$

It is elementary but tedious to prove that

$$\lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow +0} C_1(\varepsilon) = \lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow +0} C_2(\varepsilon) = 1$$

using the above estimates. We omit its proof. By the definition of $m^{(\alpha; \varepsilon)}$, we have

$$C_1(\varepsilon) m^{(\alpha; \varepsilon)}(\{0\}) \leq m^{(\alpha)}(\{0\}) \leq C_2(\varepsilon) m^{(\alpha; \varepsilon)}(\{0\}).$$

Since ε is arbitrary and $m^{(\alpha; \varepsilon)}(\{0\}) = \frac{\pi}{m}$, we have $m^{(\alpha)}(\{0\}) = \frac{\pi}{m}$. \square

References

- [1] D. Blackwell, A renewal theorem, *Duke Math. J.* **15** (1948), 145–150.
- [2] D. Blackwell, Extension of a renewal theorem, *Pacific J. Math.* **3** (1953), 315–320.
- [3] K. L. Chung and W. H. J. Fuchs, On the distribution of values of sums of random variables, *Mem. Amer. Math. Soc.* **6** (1951), 1–12.
- [4] K. L. Chung and J. Wolfowitz, On a limit theorem in renewal theory, *Ann. of Math. (2)* **55** (1952), 1–6.
- [5] P. Erdős, W. Feller and H. Pollard, A property of power series with positive coefficients, *Bull. Amer. Math. Soc.* **55** (1949), 201–204.
- [6] W. Feller and S. Orey, A renewal theorem, *J. Math. Mech.* **10** (1961), 619–624.
- [7] F. Oberhettinger, *Tables of Fourier transforms and Fourier transforms of distributions*, translated and revised from the German, Springer, Berlin, 1990.
- [8] G. Samorodnitsky and M. S. Taquq, *Stable non-Gaussian random processes*, Stochastic Modeling, Chapman & Hall, New York, 1994.