

## Hartogs-Osgood theorem for separately harmonic functions

By Sachiko HAMANO

Department of Mathematics, Nara Women's University,  
Kitauoya-Nishimachi, Nara 630-8506, JAPAN

(Communicated by Heisuke HIRONAKA, M.J.A., Feb. 13, 2007)

**Abstract:** Let  $h$  be a separately harmonic function on an open neighborhood of a  $(m-1)$ -dimensional compact submanifold  $\Sigma$  in  $\mathbf{R}^m$  with  $m \geq 2$ . We show that  $h$  can be extended to a separately harmonic function on the bounded component of  $\mathbf{R}^m - \Sigma$ .

**Key words:** Separately harmonic; potential theory.

**1. Introduction and main theorem.** A famous and fundamental theorem of Hartogs states that a separately holomorphic function, i.e. a holomorphic function with respect to each variable, is holomorphic. In particular, a separately holomorphic function is analytic.

Even in the case of real variables, there are various analogues of Hartogs theorem. For instance, Lelong showed in [6] a kind of Hartogs theorem for harmonic functions.

**Fact 1** (Lelong). Let  $u(x, y)$  be defined on  $B_1 \times B_2$ , where  $B_1$  is the unit ball in  $\mathbf{R}^m$  and  $B_2$  is the unit ball in  $\mathbf{R}^n$ . If  $u(x, y)$  is separately harmonic, that is,  $u(x, \cdot)$  is harmonic on  $B_2$  for each  $x$  and  $u(\cdot, y)$  is harmonic on  $B_1$  for each  $y$ , then  $u$  is harmonic on  $B_1 \times B_2$ .

See also Avanissian [2], Siciak [8], Zaharjuta [12], Stein [9], Hervé [4] for related results.

Another famous and fundamental theorem, which shows a serious difference between  $\mathbf{C}$  and  $\mathbf{C}^n$  ( $n \geq 2$ ), is Hartogs-Osgood theorem.

**Fact 2** (Osgood). Let  $D \subset \mathbf{C}^n$  ( $n \geq 2$ ) be a domain, and  $K$  a compact subset of  $D$  such that  $D - K$  is connected. Then every holomorphic function on  $D - K$  can be extended to a holomorphic function on  $D$ .

We prove the following analogue of Hartogs-Osgood theorem for separately harmonic functions. First, we recall the definition of separately harmonic functions.

**Definition.** We say that a function  $u : D \rightarrow \mathbf{R}$ , where  $D$  is a domain on  $\mathbf{R}^m = \mathbf{R}^{m_1} \times \cdots \times \mathbf{R}^{m_k}$ , where  $m_1, \dots, m_k$  are fixed natural numbers,  $m_1 + \cdots + m_k = m$ , and  $m \geq k \geq 2$ , is *separately harmonic*

on  $D$  if  $u$  is harmonic on each  $\mathbf{R}^{\nu}$  ( $\nu = m_1, \dots, m_k$ ) separately, i.e. the following identities hold on  $D$ :

$$\sum_{\nu=1}^{m_1} \frac{\partial^2 u}{\partial x_\nu^2} = 0, \quad \sum_{\nu=m_1+1}^{m_1+m_2} \frac{\partial^2 u}{\partial x_\nu^2} = 0, \dots,$$

$$\sum_{\nu=m_1+\cdots+m_{k-1}+1}^m \frac{\partial^2 u}{\partial x_\nu^2} = 0.$$

In Section 2, we show:

**Theorem 1.** Let  $D$  be a bounded domain in  $\mathbf{R}^m$  with  $m \geq 2$  whose boundary consists of a  $(m-1)$ -dimensional submanifold  $\Sigma$ . Let  $h$  be a separately harmonic function on an open neighborhood  $V$  of  $\Sigma$  in  $\mathbf{R}^m$ . Then  $h$  can be extended to a separately harmonic function on  $D$ .

Compare Theorem 1 with the following fact in [3].

**Fact 3** (Hecart). Let  $D \subset \mathbf{R}^m$  and  $G \subset \mathbf{R}^n$  be domains. Let  $E \subset D$  and  $F \subset G$  be compact sets which satisfy the Leja condition with respect to harmonic polynomials. Then there exists an open set  $\Omega \subset \mathbf{R}^{m+n}$  such that each separately harmonic function  $u : (D \times F) \cup (E \times G) \rightarrow \mathbf{R}$  extends to a harmonic function on  $\Omega$ .

**Remark.** Fact 1 is false if “harmonic” is replaced by “subharmonic”. Wiegerinck [10] gave an example  $u(x, y)$  which is not subharmonic but separately subharmonic. On the other hand, some additional conditions for separately subharmonic functions to be subharmonic were given by many authors, for example, Riihentausta [7], Armitage and Gardiner [1].

We may ask whether  $u$  is subharmonic on  $B_1 \times B_2$  if  $u(x, \cdot)$  is harmonic on  $B_2$  for each  $x$  and  $u(\cdot, y)$  is subharmonic on  $B_1$  for each  $y$  (where  $B_1$  and  $B_2$  are as in Fact 1). Kołodziej and Thorbiörnson [5]

showed that the answer is yes if  $u(\cdot, y)$  is of class  $C^2$  for each  $y$ .

**2. Proof of Theorem 1.** Let  $D, \partial D, V$  and  $h$  be as in Theorem 1. It is enough to show that, for a given integer  $k$  ( $1 \leq k < m$ ), a separately harmonic function  $h$  on  $V \subset \mathbf{R}^m = \mathbf{R}^k \times \mathbf{R}^{m-k}$  with  $m \geq 2$  can be extended to a separately harmonic function on  $D$ , because separately harmonic functions are always harmonic. We need to consider only the case that  $m \geq 3$ : when  $m = 2$ , from the assumption,

$$h(x_1, x_2) = ax_1x_2 + bx_1 + cx_2 + d$$

on  $V$  with suitable constants  $a, b, c$ , and  $d$ . On the other hand, the right-hand side of the above equation is a separately harmonic function on  $\mathbf{R}^2$ , and hence  $h$  is extended to a separately harmonic function on  $\mathbf{R}^2$ .

Assume that  $m \geq 3$ . We take an open tubular neighborhood  $W \Subset V$  of  $\Sigma$ , i.e. an open neighborhood of  $\Sigma$  diffeomorphic to  $\Sigma \times (-1, 1)$  whose boundary consists of two smooth  $(m-1)$ -dimensional submanifold  $\Sigma_i$  ( $i = 1, 2$ ) homotopic to  $\pm\partial D$ . We put

$$D_1 = D \cup W \text{ and } D_2 = \mathbf{R}^m - (D - W).$$

Then

$$D_1 \cup D_2 = \mathbf{R}^m, D_1 \cap D_2 = W \text{ and } \partial D_i = \Sigma_i \text{ (} i = 1, 2\text{)}.$$

**Lemma 2.** *There exist harmonic functions  $h_i$  on  $D_i$  ( $i = 1, 2$ ) such that*

$$(2.1) \quad h_2 - h_1 = h \text{ on } W.$$

*Proof.* Take open tubular neighborhoods  $T_i$  of  $\Sigma_i$  ( $i = 1, 2$ ) in  $V$  such that  $\overline{T_1} \cap \overline{T_2} = \emptyset$ . Let  $\chi_i \in C^\infty(\mathbf{R}^m)$  ( $i = 1, 2$ ) such that  $0 \leq \chi_i \leq 1$ ,

$$\chi_1(x) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{on } T_1 \cup (\mathbf{R}^m - D_1) \\ 0 & \text{on } T_2 \cup (\mathbf{R}^m - D_2), \end{cases}$$

$$\chi_2(x) = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{on } T_1 \cup (\mathbf{R}^m - D_1) \\ 1 & \text{on } T_2 \cup (\mathbf{R}^m - D_2), \end{cases}$$

and

$$\chi_1 + \chi_2 = 1 \text{ on } \mathbf{R}^m.$$

If we extend  $\chi_i h$  (by setting) to be 0 on  $D_i - W$ , then  $\chi_i h \in C^\infty(D_i)$  ( $i = 1, 2$ ). Further, since  $\chi_i h = h$  on  $T_i \subset V$ , if we extend  $\Delta(\chi_i h)$  (by setting) to be 0 on  $\mathbf{R}^m - D_i$ , then  $\Delta(\chi_i h) \in C_0^\infty(\mathbf{R}^m)$  ( $i = 1, 2$ ), where  $\text{Supp } \Delta(\chi_i h) \subset W - (T_1 \cup T_2) \Subset W$ . Moreover, we have

$$(2.2) \quad \chi_1 h + \chi_2 h = h \text{ on } V, \quad \Delta(\chi_1 h) + \Delta(\chi_2 h) = 0 \text{ on } \mathbf{R}^m.$$

Define

$$N_i(x) := c_m \int_{\mathbf{R}^m} \frac{\Delta(\chi_i h)(y)}{\|y - x\|^{m-2}} dV_y, \quad x \in \mathbf{R}^m$$

for each  $i = 1, 2$ , where  $c_m = \frac{1}{(m-2)\omega_m}$ ,  $\omega_m$  is the euclidean surface area of the unit sphere in  $\mathbf{R}^m$ , and  $dV_y$  is the euclidean volume element of  $\mathbf{R}^m$  at  $y$ . Set

$$h_1 := -(\chi_1 h + N_1) \quad \text{on } D_1,$$

$$h_2 := \chi_2 h + N_2 \quad \text{on } D_2.$$

Then  $h_i$  ( $i = 1, 2$ ) are harmonic functions on  $D_i$  satisfying (2.1). In fact, since  $N_i$  satisfy Poisson's equation, we have  $\Delta N_i = -\Delta(\chi_i h)$  on  $\mathbf{R}^m$ , and hence  $h_i$  are harmonic on  $D_i$ .

By (2.2), we have  $N_1 + N_2 = 0$  on  $\mathbf{R}^m$ , which implies the assertion.  $\square$

**Remark.** The argument as in the proof of the above lemma is used in various situations. See, for instance, [11].

**Lemma 3.** *The functions  $h_i$  defined above are separately harmonic functions on  $D_i$  ( $i = 1, 2$ ).*

*Proof.* We prove the assertion for  $i = 1$ , since the proof for  $i = 2$  is exactly same.

Set

$$\tilde{\Delta}_1 := \sum_{\nu=1}^k \frac{\partial^2}{\partial x_\nu^2}, \quad \tilde{\Delta}_2 := \sum_{\nu=k+1}^m \frac{\partial^2}{\partial x_\nu^2}.$$

Fix a non-empty open set  $U \Subset T_1 \cap D_1 \subset W$ . Then we first show that

$$(2.3) \quad \tilde{\Delta}_1 h_1 = \tilde{\Delta}_2 h_1 = 0 \text{ on } U.$$

Take another open tubular neighborhood  $W_0$  of  $\Sigma$  in  $W$  such that  $\overline{U} \cap \overline{W_0} = \emptyset$ . The boundary of  $W_0$  consists of two smooth  $(m-1)$ -dimensional submanifold  $\Sigma_{0,i}$  ( $i = 1, 2$ ) with  $\Sigma_{0,i} \subset T_i$  ( $i = 1, 2$ ). Since  $\Delta(\chi_1 h) \in C_0^\infty(\mathbf{R}^m)$ , we have

$$-\tilde{\Delta}_j h_1(x_0) = \tilde{\Delta}_j(\chi_1 h)(x_0) + c_m \int_{\mathbf{R}^m} \frac{\tilde{\Delta}_j\{\Delta(\chi_1 h)(y)\}}{\|y - x_0\|^{m-2}} dV_y$$

for every  $x_0 \in U$  and every  $j = 1, 2$ , where  $\tilde{\Delta}_j$  in the integral is taken with respect to  $(y_1, \dots, y_k)$  when  $j = 1$  and  $(y_{k+1}, \dots, y_m)$  when  $j = 2$ . Since  $\chi_1 = 1$  on  $T_1$  and  $\text{Supp } \Delta(\chi_1 h) \subset W - (T_1 \cup T_2) \Subset W_0$ , it follows that

$$-\tilde{\Delta}_j h_1(x_0) = c_m \int_{W_0} \frac{\Delta\{\tilde{\Delta}_j(\chi_1 h)(y)\}}{\|y - x_0\|^{m-2}} dV_y$$

for  $j = 1, 2$ . Since  $x_0 \notin W_0$ , Green's formula gives that the right hand side of the above equality is

$$c_m \int_{\Sigma_{0,1} - \Sigma_{0,2}} \left( \frac{1}{\|y - x_0\|^{m-2}} \frac{\partial}{\partial n_y} (\tilde{\Delta}_j(\chi_1 h)) - (\tilde{\Delta}_j(\chi_1 h)) \frac{\partial}{\partial n_y} \left( \frac{1}{\|y - x_0\|^{m-2}} \right) \right) dS_y.$$

Here,  $\chi_1 = 0$  on  $T_2 \supset \Sigma_{0,2}$  and  $\chi_1 = 1$  on  $T_1 \supset \Sigma_{0,1}$ , and hence this integral equals

$$c_m \int_{\Sigma_{0,1}} \left( \frac{1}{\|y - x_0\|^{m-2}} \frac{\partial}{\partial n_y} (\tilde{\Delta}_j h) - (\tilde{\Delta}_j h) \frac{\partial}{\partial n_y} \left( \frac{1}{\|y - x_0\|^{m-2}} \right) \right) dS_y.$$

Since  $h$  is separately harmonic on  $V \supset \Sigma_{0,1}$ , we have  $\tilde{\Delta}_j h = 0$  ( $j = 1, 2$ ) on  $\Sigma_{0,1}$ . Therefore, the above integral is 0, which implies (2.3).

Next, we prove

$$(2.4) \quad \tilde{\Delta}_1 h_1 = \tilde{\Delta}_2 h_1 = 0 \text{ on } D_1.$$

Since  $h_1$  is harmonic on  $D_1$  by Lemma 2,  $h_1$  is real-analytic on  $D_1$ . Hence  $\tilde{\Delta}_1 h_1$  and  $\tilde{\Delta}_2 h_1$  are also real-analytic on  $D_1$ . Then, by uniqueness of real analytic continuation, we have (2.4).  $\square$

**Lemma 4.** *The function  $h_2$  is identically equal to 0 on  $D_2$ .*

*Proof.* Let  $D'$  be the projection of  $D_1$  on the  $\mathbf{R}^k$  of variables  $x' = (x_1, \dots, x_k)$ , which is bounded in  $\mathbf{R}^k$ . Take a non-empty open set  $U' \Subset \mathbf{R}^k - \overline{D'}$ . For fixed  $x'_0 \in U'$ , let  $L(x'_0)$  be the real  $(m - k)$ -dimensional plane  $\{x'_0\} \times \mathbf{R}^{m-k}$  in  $\mathbf{R}^m$ . Since  $L(x'_0) \subset D_2$ , we have  $\tilde{\Delta}_2 h_2(x'_0, x_{k+1}, \dots, x_m) = 0$  on  $L(x'_0)$ . Since  $N_2(x) = O(1/\|x\|)$  at  $x = \infty$ , by the maximum principle for harmonic functions,  $h_2 = 0$  on  $L(x'_0)$ . Thus  $h_2 = 0$  on  $U' \times \mathbf{R}^{m-k}$ . Again by uniqueness of real analytic continuation, we conclude the assertion.  $\square$

Thus by Lemma 4, we conclude that  $h = -h_1$

on  $V$ , and hence  $-h_1$  is the desired extension of  $h$ .

**Acknowledgements.** The author would like to express hearty thanks to Profs. Hiroshi Yamaguchi and Masahiko Taniguchi for their helpful comments. This research was partially supported by Yoshida Scholarship Foundation.

## References

- [ 1 ] D. H. Armitage and S. J. Gardiner, Conditions for separately subharmonic functions to be subharmonic, *Potential Anal.* **2** (1993), no. 3, 255–261.
- [ 2 ] V. Avannissian, Sur l'harmonicit  des fonctions s par ment harmoniques, in *S minaire de Probabilit s (Univ. Strasbourg, Strasbourg, 1966/67)*, Vol. I, 3–17, Springer, Berlin, 1967.
- [ 3 ] J.-M. H cart, Ouverts d'harmonicit  pour les fonctions s par ment harmoniques, *Potential Anal.* **13** (2000), no. 2, 115–126.
- [ 4 ] M. Herv , *Analytic and plurisubharmonic functions in finite and infinite dimensional spaces*, Lecture Notes in Math., 198, Springer, Berlin, 1971.
- [ 5 ] S. Kołodziej and J. Thorbi rnson, Separately harmonic and subharmonic functions, *Potential Anal.* **5** (1996), no. 5, 463–466.
- [ 6 ] P. Lelong, Fonctions plurisousharmoniques et fonctions analytiques de variables r elles, *Ann. Inst. Fourier (Grenoble)* **11** (1961), 515–562.
- [ 7 ] J. Siciak, Separately analytic functions and envelopes of holomorphy of some lower dimensional subsets of  $C^n$ , *Ann. Polon. Math.* **22** (1969/1970), 145–171.
- [ 8 ] J. Siciak, Separately analytic functions and envelopes of holomorphy of some lower dimensional subsets of  $C^n$ , *Ann. Polon. Math.* **22** (1969), 145–171.
- [ 9 ] E. M. Stein and G. Weiss, *Introduction to Fourier analysis on Euclidean spaces*, Princeton Mathematical Series, 32, Princeton University Press, 1971.
- [ 10 ] J. Wiegerinck, Separately subharmonic functions need not be subharmonic, *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.* **104** (1988), no. 3, 770–771.
- [ 11 ] U. Cegrell and H. Yamaguchi, Representation of magnetic fields by jump theorem for harmonic functions. (to appear).
- [ 12 ] V. P. Zaharjuta, Separately analytic functions, generalizations of the Hartogs theorem, and envelopes of holomorphy, *Mat. Sb. (N.S.)* **101(143)** (1976), no. 1, 57–76, 159.