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#### Abstract

In this paper, we construct explicit lifts of quintic Jacobi sums for finite fields via integer solutions of Dickson's system. Namely we give a procedure to compute quintic Jacobi sums for extended field $\mathbf{F}_{p^{s+t}}$ by using quintic Jacobi sums for $\mathbf{F}_{p^{s}}$ and for $\mathbf{F}_{p^{t}}$. We also have the multiplication formula from $\mathbf{F}_{p^{s}}$ to $\mathbf{F}_{p^{n s}}$ as a special case. By the quintuplication formula, we obtain the explicit factorization of the quintic period polynomials for finite fields.
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1. Introduction. Let $e \geq 2$ be a positive integer and $q=p^{r}$ a prime power such that $q \equiv$ $1(\bmod e)$. Write $q=e f+1$. Let $\zeta_{p}$ be a $p$-th primitive root of unity, $\gamma$ a fixed generator of $\mathbf{F}_{q}^{*}$. Gaussian periods $\eta_{0, r}, \ldots, \eta_{e-1, r}$ of degree $e$ for $\mathbf{F}_{q}$ are defined by

$$
\eta_{i, r}:=\sum_{j=0}^{f-1} \zeta_{p}^{\operatorname{Tr}\left(\gamma^{e j+i}\right)}
$$

where $\operatorname{Tr}$ is the trace map $\operatorname{Tr}: \mathbf{F}_{q} \rightarrow \mathbf{F}_{p}$, and the period polynomial $P_{e, r}(X)$ of degree $e$ for $\mathbf{F}_{q}$ is given by $P_{e, r}(X):=\prod_{i=0}^{e-1}\left(X-\eta_{i, r}\right)$. We also use the reduced form $P_{e, r}^{*}(X):=\prod_{i=0}^{e-1}\left(X-\eta_{i, r}^{*}\right)$, where $\eta_{i, r}^{*}=e \eta_{i, r}+1$, since the coefficient of $X^{e-1}$ of $P_{e, r}^{*}(X)$ is vanished. In the classical case $q=p$, Gauss [7] showed that the period polynomial $P_{e, 1}(X)$ is irreducible over $\mathbf{Q}$. However this is not always true for general $q=p^{r}$. In 1981, for $\delta=\operatorname{gcd}(e,(q-1) /(p-1))$, Myerson [15] showed that the period polynomial $P_{e, r}(X)$ splits over $\mathbf{Q}$ into $\delta$ factors

$$
P_{e, r}(X)=\prod_{k=0}^{\delta-1} P_{e, r}^{(k)}(X)
$$

where $P_{e, r}^{(k)}(X)$ is in $\mathbf{Z}[X]$ and irreducible or a power of an irreducible polynomial. Note that $P_{e, r}(X)$ is irreducible over $\mathbf{Q}$ if and only if $p \equiv 1(\bmod e)$ and $(r, e)=1$, i.e. $\delta=1$, (see [15]). The explicit determination of the factors of $P_{e, r}(X)$, if reducible, is important because it is known that the (exponential) Gauss sum $g_{r}(e)$ is one of the roots of $P_{e, r}^{*}(X)$ (see

[^0][4]). Myerson [15] determined the factors $P_{e, r}^{(k)}(X)$ for $e=2,3,4$. In 2004, Gurak [9] gave the factors $P_{e, r}^{(k)}(X)$ for the case $e \mid 8,12$ (see also [8]). However it seems to be hard to determine the explicit factors $P_{e, r}^{(k)}(X)$ for general prime degree. In this paper, we shall give the factors $P_{e, r}^{(k)}(X)$ in the quintic case $e=5$ by constructing explicit lifts of quintic Jacobi sums.

Here we describe briefly our construction of lifts of quintic Jacobi sums via Dickson's system. Let $\chi$ be a character of order $e$ on $\mathbf{F}_{q}^{*}$ such that $\chi(\gamma)=\zeta_{e}$ and we extend it to $\mathbf{F}_{q}$ by $\chi(0)=0$. The Jacobi sum $J_{r}\left(\chi^{m}, \chi^{n}\right)$ of degree $e$ for $\mathbf{F}_{q}, q=p^{r}$, is defined by

$$
J_{r}\left(\chi^{m}, \chi^{n}\right):=\sum_{\alpha \in \mathbf{F}_{q}} \chi^{m}(\alpha) \chi^{n}(1-\alpha)
$$

We now suppose that $e=5$ and $p \equiv 1(\bmod 5)$, since the case $p \not \equiv 1(\bmod 5)$ is tractable (see Section 6 ). The following system of Diophantine equations is called Dickson's system:

$$
\left\{\begin{aligned}
16 p^{r} & =x^{2}+125 w^{2}+50 v^{2}+50 u^{2} \\
x w & =v^{2}-4 v u-u^{2} \\
x & \equiv-1 \quad(\bmod 5)
\end{aligned}\right.
$$

It is known that there exist exactly four integer solutions of Dickson's system, related to $p^{r}$, which satisfy the condition $p \nmid x^{2}-125 w^{2}$, and we denote them by $S(p, r)^{U}$. The crucial facts are that $S(p, r)^{U}$ gives the value of $J_{r}(\chi, \chi)$ and $P_{5, r}(X)$ can be described by using the value of $J_{r}(\chi, \chi)$. In Section 4 , we shall make a lift of quintic Jacobi sums by using integer solutions of Dickson's system. This means that we
give the procedure of constructing four integer solutions $S(p, s+t)^{U}$ by using $S(p, s)^{U}$ and $S(p, t)^{U}$. This method gives us an algorithm for fast computation of quintic Jacobi sums for $\mathbf{F}_{q}$ (cf. [22]). In Section 5, we shall give the multiplication formula of the lift from $S(p, s)^{U}$ to $S(p, n s)^{U}$ explicitly. Let $\sigma$ be a non-singular linear transformation of order four such that $\sigma(x, w, v, u)=(x,-w,-u, v)$. Using the quintuplication formula, we obtain the explicit factorization of the quintic period polynomial.

Theorem 1. Let $p \equiv 1(\bmod 5), q=p^{5 s}$ and $(x, w, v, u) \in S(p, s)^{U}$. The quintic reduced period polynomial $P_{5,5 s}^{*}(X)$ for $\mathbf{F}_{q}$ splits over $\mathbf{Q}$ as follows:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& P_{5,5 s}^{*}(X)= \\
& \left(X+\frac{p^{s}}{16}\left(x^{3}-25 L\right)\right) \prod_{i=0}^{3}\left(X-\frac{p^{s}}{64} \sigma^{i}\left(x^{3}-25 M\right)\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

where

$$
\begin{aligned}
L= & 2 x\left(v^{2}+u^{2}\right)+5 w\left(11 v^{2}-4 v u-11 u^{2}\right) \\
M= & 2 x^{2} u+7 x v^{2}+20 x v u-3 x u^{2}+125 w^{3} \\
& +200 w^{2} v-150 w^{2} u+5 w v^{2}-20 w v u \\
& -105 w u^{2}-40 v^{3}-60 v^{2} u+120 v u^{2}+20 u^{3} .
\end{aligned}
$$

## 2. Review of the cyclotomic numbers.

We review the method which gives the period polynomials using the Jacobi sums. The cyclotomic numbers $A_{i, j},(i, j=0, \ldots, e-1)$ of order $e$ for $\mathbf{F}_{q}$ are defined by
$A_{i, j}:=\#\left\{\left(v_{1}, v_{2}\right) \left\lvert\, \begin{array}{c}0 \leq v_{1}, v_{2} \leq f-1 \\ 1+\gamma^{e v_{1}+i} \equiv \gamma^{e v_{2}+j}(\bmod q)\end{array}\right.\right\}$.
Note that the cyclotomic numbers $A_{i, j}$ depend on a choice of $\gamma$. One can find the basic properties of $A_{i, j}$ in $[4,15]$. Especially we can see the following relations of Gaussian periods.

$$
\eta_{m, r} \eta_{m+i, r}=\sum_{j=0}^{e-1}\left(A_{i, j}-D_{i} f\right) \eta_{m+j, r}
$$

where $D_{i}=\delta_{0, i}$ (resp. $\delta_{\frac{e}{2}, i}$ ), if $p f$ is even (resp. odd) and $\delta_{i, j}$ is Kronecker's delta. It follows that Gaussian periods $\eta_{i, r}$ are eigenvalues of the $e \times e$ matrix $M:=\left[A_{i, j}-D_{i} f\right]_{0 \leq i, j \leq e-1}$. Hence we can obtain the period polynomial $P_{e, r}(X)$ as the characteristic polynomial of the matrix $M$. The crucial fact is that the cyclotomic numbers can be given by Jacobi sums when degree $e$ is prime. Let $l$ be an odd prime. In the case $e=l$ and $p \equiv 1(\bmod l)$, by using Jacobi sums,

Katre and Rajwade [14] determined cyclotomic numbers of order $l$ for $\mathbf{F}_{q}$ without $\gamma$-ambiguity. Acharya and Katre [1] extended this result for order 2l. One can find a detailed historical survey for the cyclotomic problem in [4] and [14], and we also can study recent topics for Jacobi sums and period polynomials in $[2,10,11,16-22]$.
3. Known results of the quintic case. We recall known results in the quintic case $e=5$ such that $p \equiv 1(\bmod 5)$. The following system of Diophantine equations is called "Dickson's system" since the case $r=1$ was discovered by Dickson [5].

$$
\left\{\begin{align*}
16 p^{r} & =x^{2}+125 w^{2}+50 v^{2}+50 u^{2}  \tag{1}\\
x w & =v^{2}-4 v u-u^{2} \\
x & \equiv-1 \quad(\bmod 5)
\end{align*}\right.
$$

We denote by $S(p, r)$ the set of all integer solutions of Dickson's system related to $p^{r}$. It is known that $\# S(p, r)=(r+1)^{2}$, (see [14, Section 2$\left.]\right)$. We define a non-singular linear transformation $\sigma: \mathbf{Z}^{4} \rightarrow \mathbf{Z}^{4}$ of order four by

$$
\sigma:(x, w, v, u) \mapsto(x,-w,-u, v) .
$$

Note that if $(x, w, v, u) \in S(p, r)$ then $\sigma^{i}(x, w, v, u) \in$ $S(p, r)$ for $i=1,2,3$. We denote by $\langle(x, w, v, u)\rangle$ the $\sigma$-orbit of a 4 -tuple $(x, w, v, u)$ :

$$
\langle(x, w, v, u)\rangle:=\left\{\sigma^{i}(x, w, v, u) \mid i=0,1,2,3\right\} .
$$

In [13], Katre and Rajwade gave the following result. The Dickson's system (1) has exactly four integer solutions $\langle(x, w, v, u)\rangle$ which satisfy the condition

$$
\begin{equation*}
p \nmid x^{2}-125 w^{2} . \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

For one of these four solutions satisfying

$$
\begin{equation*}
\gamma^{(q-1) / 5} \equiv \frac{X_{1}-10 X_{2}}{X_{1}+10 X_{2}} \quad(\bmod p) \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $X_{1}=x^{2}-125 w^{2}$ and $X_{2}=2 x u-x v-25 v w$, the Jacobi sum $J_{r}(\chi, \chi)$ for $\mathbf{F}_{q}$ is given by
$J_{r}(\chi, \chi)=\frac{1}{4}\left(C \zeta_{5}+\sigma^{3}(C) \zeta_{5}^{2}+\sigma(C) \zeta_{5}^{3}+\sigma^{2}(C) \zeta_{5}^{4}\right)$,
where $C=x-5 w-4 v-2 u$, and conversely for this value of $J_{r}(\chi, \chi),(x, w, v, u)$ gives the unique solution of Dickson's system which satisfies (2) and (3). Moreover the cyclotomic numbers of order five for $\mathbf{F}_{p^{r}}$, related to $\gamma$, are unambiguously given by

$$
\begin{aligned}
& A_{0,0}=\left(p^{r}-14+3 x\right) / 25 \\
& A_{0,1}=\left(4 p^{r}-16-3 x+25 w+50 v\right) / 100 \\
& A_{0,2}=\sigma^{3}\left(A_{0,1}\right), A_{0,3}=\sigma\left(A_{0,1}\right), A_{0,4}=\sigma^{2}\left(A_{0,1}\right) \\
& A_{1,2}=\left(2 p^{r}+2+x-25 w\right) / 50, A_{1,3}=\sigma^{2}\left(A_{1,2}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

Using the above $A_{i, j}$, we have the quintic period polynomial $P_{5, r}(X)$ as the characteristic polynomial of the matrix $\left[A_{i, j}-D_{i} f\right]_{0 \leq i, j \leq 4}$. Here we describe the reduced form of the quintic period polynomial:

$$
\begin{align*}
& P_{5, r}^{*}(x, w, v, u ; X) \\
& =X^{5}-10 p^{r} X^{3}+5 p^{r} x X^{2} \\
& \quad+\frac{5 p^{r}}{4}\left(4 p^{r}-x^{2}+125 w^{2}\right) X  \tag{4}\\
& \quad+\frac{p^{r}}{8}\left(x^{3}-8 p^{r} x-625 w\left(v^{2}-u^{2}\right)\right)
\end{align*}
$$

Note that all coefficients of $P_{5, r}^{*}(X)$ are $\sigma$-invariants since $P_{5, r}^{*}(X)$ does not depend on a choice of $\gamma$. This representation, however, gives us no information about explicit factors of $P_{5, r}^{*}(X)$ when $r=5 s$.

Remark. From the equation

$$
\sigma\left(J_{r}(\chi, \chi)\right)=J_{r}\left(\chi^{2}, \chi^{2}\right)
$$

we see that if $(x, w, v, u)$ gives the Jacobi sum $J(\chi, \chi)$ then the other solutions $\sigma(x, w, v, u), \sigma^{2}(x, w, v, u)$, $\sigma^{3}(x, w, v, u)$ give $J_{r}\left(\chi^{2}, \chi^{2}\right), J_{r}\left(\chi^{4}, \chi^{4}\right), J_{r}\left(\chi^{3}, \chi^{3}\right)$ respectively.
4. Lift of Jacobi sums. As in Section 3, we suppose that $p \equiv 1(\bmod 5)$. We shall construct a lift of Jacobi sums via Dickson's system.

Definition. Four integer solutions of Dickson's system which satisfy (2) are called essentially unique and we denote them by $S(p, r)^{U}$.

The aim of this section is to give the procedure to compute the set $S(p, s+t)^{U}$ by using $S(p, s)^{U}$ and $S(p, t)^{U}$. This is achieved by using certain quadratic forms which are called multiplicative on algebraic varieties in [12]. The following proposition, which can be given as a special case of [12, Theorem 4], plays a key role of our construction.

Proposition 2. Let $q(\mathbf{X})=X_{1}^{2}+125 X_{2}^{2}+$ $50 X_{3}^{2}+50 X_{4}^{2}$ and $V$ a hypersurface defined by $X_{1} X_{2}=X_{3}^{2}-4 X_{3} X_{4}-X_{4}^{2}$. There exists a bilinear map $\varphi: \mathbf{Z}^{4} \times \mathbf{Z}^{4} \rightarrow \mathbf{Z}^{4}$ such that $\varphi(V \times V) \subset V$ and $q(\mathbf{v}) q(\mathbf{w})=q(\varphi(\mathbf{v}, \mathbf{w}))$ for any $\mathbf{v}, \mathbf{w} \in V$. Moreover the bilinear map $\varphi$ is given as follows:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\varphi(\mathbf{X}, \mathbf{Y})=\left(X_{1} Y_{1}+125 X_{2} Y_{2}+50 X_{3} Y_{3}+50 X_{4} Y_{4}\right. \tag{5}
\end{equation*}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& X_{2} Y_{1}+X_{1} Y_{2}-2 X_{3} Y_{3}+4 X_{4} Y_{3}+4 X_{3} Y_{4}+2 X_{4} Y_{4} \\
& X_{3} Y_{1}-5 X_{3} Y_{2}+10 X_{4} Y_{2}-X_{1} Y_{3}+5 X_{2} Y_{3}-10 X_{2} Y_{4} \\
& \left.X_{4} Y_{1}+10 X_{3} Y_{2}+5 X_{4} Y_{2}-10 X_{2} Y_{3}-X_{1} Y_{4}-5 X_{2} Y_{4}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

We have the following remarkable equation:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\varphi(\sigma(\mathbf{X}), \sigma(\mathbf{Y}))=\sigma(\varphi(\mathbf{X}, \mathbf{Y})) \tag{6}
\end{equation*}
$$

Using $\varphi$ in (5), we can construct a lift of integer solutions of Dickson's system.

Proposition 3. For $\mathbf{s}=\left(x_{s}, w_{s}, v_{s}, u_{s}\right) \in$ $S(p, s)$ and $\mathbf{t}=\left(x_{t}, w_{t}, v_{t}, u_{t}\right) \in S(p, t)$, the sixteen 4-tuples $\left\langle\varphi\left(\mathbf{s}, \sigma^{i}(\mathbf{t})\right) / 4\right\rangle, 0 \leq i \leq 3$, are integer solutions of Dickson's system related to $p^{s+t}$.

Proof. It is known that an integer solution $(x, w, v, u)$ of Dickson's system satisfies the following congruences (see [13, Lemma 1 (d)]).

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
-x+w+2 u \equiv 0(\bmod 4) \\
-x-w+2 v \equiv 0(\bmod 4)
\end{array}\right.
$$

Using this, we can show that the sixteen 4 -tuples $\varphi\left(\sigma^{i}(\mathbf{s}), \sigma^{j}(\mathbf{t})\right) / 4,(0 \leq i, j \leq 3)$, are in $\mathbf{Z}^{4}$ (see also [12, Lemma 9]). From (6), they separate four $\sigma$ orbits. And we can easily check that they satisfy the conditions (1) from Proposition 2.

Definition. For $\mathbf{s}=\left(x_{s}, w_{s}, v_{s}, u_{s}\right) \in S(p, s)$ and $\mathbf{t}=\left(x_{t}, w_{t}, v_{t}, u_{t}\right) \in S(p, t)$, we define 4 -tuples of integers $\mathbf{s} \stackrel{i}{*} \mathbf{t}$, for $0 \leq i \leq 3$, by

$$
\mathbf{s}^{i} * \mathbf{t}:=\varphi\left(\mathbf{s}, \sigma^{i}(\mathbf{t})\right) / 4
$$

where $\varphi$ is defined in (5).
We have that $\langle\mathbf{s} \stackrel{i}{*} \mathbf{t}\rangle \subset S(p, s+t)$ for $0 \leq i \leq 3$ from Proposition 3. Next we consider when there exists integer $i$ such that $\left\langle\mathbf{s}{ }^{i}{ }^{\mathbf{t}}\right\rangle=S(p, s+t)^{U}$, i.e. which 4-tuples $\langle\mathbf{s} \stackrel{i}{*} \mathbf{t}\rangle$ correspond to the Jacobi sum $J_{s+t}(\chi, \chi)$ for $\mathbf{F}_{p^{s+t}}$. For $\mathbf{r}=(x, w, v, u) \in S(p, r)$, we put

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
g_{1}(\mathbf{r}):=x^{2}-125 w^{2}, & g_{2}(\mathbf{r}):=v^{2}+v u-u^{2} \\
g_{3}(\mathbf{r}):=2 x u-x v-25 w v, & g_{4}(\mathbf{r}):=g_{3}(\sigma(\mathbf{r}))
\end{array}
$$

Lemma 4. Let $\mathbf{r}=(x, w, v, u) \in S(p, r)$. $p \nmid g_{1}(\mathbf{r})$ if and only if $p \nless g_{k}(\mathbf{r})$ for $k=2,3,4$.

Proof. See, for example, [13, Lemma 2].
The following proposition gives an explicit lift of quintic Jacobi sums by using essentially unique solutions of Dickson's system.

Theorem 5 (Addition formula). Let $\mathbf{s} \in S(p, s)$ and $\mathbf{t} \in S(p, t)$. There exists integer $i,(0 \leq i \leq 3)$ such that $\left\langle\mathbf{s}{ }^{i} * \mathbf{t}\right\rangle=S(p, s+t)^{U}$ if and only if $\langle\mathbf{s}\rangle=S(p, s)^{U}$ and $\langle\mathbf{t}\rangle=S(p, t)^{U}$.

Proof. We should show that $p \mid g_{1}\left(\mathbf{s}_{*}^{i} \mathbf{t}\right)$ for $0 \leq i \leq 3$ if and only if $p \mid g_{1}(\mathbf{s})$ or $p \mid g_{1}(\mathbf{t})$. We can obtain the following remarkable equation:

$$
\begin{aligned}
16 g_{1}\left(\mathbf{s}^{0} * \mathbf{t}\right)= & g_{1}(\mathbf{s}) g_{1}(\mathbf{t})+2000 g_{2}(\mathbf{s}) g_{2}(\mathbf{t}) \\
& +20 g_{3}(\mathbf{s}) g_{3}(\mathbf{t})+20 g_{4}(\mathbf{s}) g_{4}(\mathbf{t})
\end{aligned}
$$

We also have similar equations for $g_{1}\left(\mathbf{s}_{\stackrel{i}{*}} \mathbf{t}\right),(i=$ $1,2,3)$ using $g_{1}(\sigma(\mathbf{t}))=g_{1}(\mathbf{t}), g_{2}(\sigma(\mathbf{t}))=-g_{2}(\mathbf{t})$, $g_{3}(\sigma(\mathbf{t}))=g_{4}(\mathbf{t}), g_{4}(\sigma(\mathbf{t}))=-g_{3}(\mathbf{t})$. If $p \mid g_{1}\left(\mathbf{s}_{*}^{i} \mathbf{t}\right)$ for $0 \leq i \leq 3$ then $p$ divides $\sum_{i=0}^{3}(-1)^{i} g_{1}(\mathbf{s} * \mathbf{t})=$ $8000 g_{2}(\mathbf{s}) g_{2}(\mathbf{t})$, and then $p \mid g_{1}(\mathbf{s})$ or $p \mid g_{1}(\mathbf{t})$ from Lemma 4. If $p \mid g_{1}(\mathbf{s})$ or $p \mid g_{1}(\mathbf{t})$ then it follows that $p \mid g_{1}\left(\mathbf{s}_{*}^{i} \mathbf{t}\right)$ for $0 \leq i \leq 3$ from Lemma 4 .
Theorem 5 enables us to compute the value of quintic Jacobi sums for general $\mathbf{F}_{q}$ (cf. [22]). However we should choose the suitable integer $i$ which depends on the first choice of $\mathbf{s}$ and $\mathbf{t}$. In the next section, we shall dissolve this ambiguity and give the multiplication formula explicitly.
5. Multiplication formula. First we consider the case $s=t$ in Theorem 5 in order to establish the duplication formula. For $\mathbf{s}=(x, w, v, u) \in$ $S(p, s)^{U}$, we have the following equalities:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \langle\mathbf{s} * \mathbf{s}\rangle=\left\{\left(4 p^{s}, 0,0,0\right)\right\} \\
& \langle\mathbf{s} * \mathbf{s}\rangle=\left\langle\mathbf{s}^{3} * \mathbf{s}\right\rangle=\left\langle\left(\frac{x^{2}-125 w^{2}}{4}, v^{2}+v u-u^{2}\right.\right. \\
& \left.\left.\frac{-x(v+u)+5 w(v+3 u)}{4}, \frac{x(v-u)+5 w(3 v-u)}{4}\right)\right\rangle
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{align*}
\langle\mathbf{s} * \mathbf{s}\rangle=\langle & \left(\frac{-8 p^{s}+x^{2}+125 w^{2}}{2}, x w\right.  \tag{7}\\
& \left.\left.\frac{x v-5 w v+10 w u}{2}, \frac{x u+10 w v+5 w u}{2}\right)\right\rangle
\end{align*}
$$

Hence if we have $S(p, s)^{U}$ then we can obtain nine (different) integral solutions of Dickson's system related to $p^{2 s}$. This corresponds to the fact that Dickson's system related to $p$ has four solutions and to $p^{2}$ nine solutions. The following formula gives us which above are essentially unique.

Proposition 6 (Duplication formula). For $\mathbf{s} \in S(p, s)^{U}$, we have $S(p, 2 s)^{U}=\left\langle\mathbf{s}^{2} \mathbf{s}^{\mathbf{s}}\right\rangle$ as in (7).

Proof. It remains to show that $\mathbf{s} \stackrel{2}{*} \mathbf{s}$ satisfy the condition (2). Write $\left(x_{2 s}, w_{2 s}, v_{2 s}, u_{2 s}\right):=\mathbf{s} \stackrel{2}{*} \mathbf{s}$. We have that $x_{2 s} \equiv\left(x^{2}+125 w^{2}\right) / 2\left(\bmod p^{s}\right)$ and

$$
\left(\frac{x^{2}+125 w^{2}}{2}\right)^{2}-125(x w)^{2}=\frac{\left(x^{2}-125 w^{2}\right)^{2}}{4}
$$

Hence we obtain

$$
x_{2 s}^{2}-125 w_{2 s}^{2} \equiv \frac{\left(x^{2}-125 w^{2}\right)^{2}}{4} \quad\left(\bmod p^{s}\right)
$$

Thus $p \nmid x_{2 s}^{2}-125 w_{2 s}^{2}$ follows from $p \nmid x^{2}-125 w^{2}$.
From the direct computation, we see that the symbol ${ }_{*}^{i}$ satisfy the following law:

Lemma 7. For $\mathbf{s} \in S(p, s), \mathbf{t} \in S(p, t), \mathbf{u} \in$ $S(p, u)$, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathbf{s}^{2} * \mathbf{t} & =\mathbf{t} \stackrel{2}{*} \mathbf{s} \\
(\mathbf{s} \stackrel{2}{*} \mathbf{t}) \stackrel{j}{*} \mathbf{u} & =\mathbf{s} \stackrel{2}{*}(\mathbf{t} \stackrel{j}{*} \mathbf{u}), \quad j=0,1,2,3
\end{aligned}
$$

Remark. In general, we see that $\mathbf{s}{ }^{i} \mathbf{t} \neq \mathbf{t}{ }^{i} \mathbf{s}$ and $(\mathbf{s} \stackrel{i}{*} \mathbf{t}) \stackrel{j}{*} \mathbf{u} \neq \mathbf{s} \stackrel{i}{*}(\mathbf{t} \stackrel{j}{*} \mathbf{u})$, for $i=0,1,3, j=$ $0,1,2,3$.
From Lemma 7, we can define the $n$-th power of the symbol ${ }^{2}$ as follows:

$$
\mathbf{s}^{(n)}:=\mathbf{s} * 2^{*} \mathbf{s}^{2} \cdots \stackrel{2}{*} \mathbf{s}, \quad(n \text { times })
$$

Using $\mathbf{s}^{(n)}$, we obtain the multiplication formula:
Theorem 8 (Multiplication formula). Suppose that $\mathbf{s} \in S(p, s)^{U}$. Then $S(p, n s)^{U}=\left\langle\mathbf{s}^{(n)}\right\rangle$.

Proof. We should show that if $S(p, n s)^{U}=$ $\left\langle\mathbf{s}^{(n)}\right\rangle$ then $S(p,(n+1) s)^{U}=\left\langle\mathbf{s}^{(n+1)}\right\rangle$. The case $n=1$ follows from Proposition 6. Thus we assume that $S(p, n s)^{U}=\left\langle\mathbf{s}^{(n)}\right\rangle$. From Theorem 5, there exists an integer $i$ such that $\mathbf{s}^{(n)} \stackrel{i}{*} \mathbf{s} \in S(p,(n+1) s)^{U}$. However the integer $i$ must be 2 because we obtain that $\mathbf{s}^{(n-1)} \stackrel{2}{*}(\mathbf{s} \stackrel{i}{*} \mathbf{s}) \in S(p,(n+1) s)^{U}$ by Lemma 7 and hence $\mathbf{s} \stackrel{i}{*} \mathbf{s} \in S(p, 2)^{U}$ from Theorem 5 .
Here we describe the triplication, the quadruplication and the quintuplication formula which can be obtained by iterating the duplication formula.

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathbf{s}^{(3)}= & \left(\frac{x\left(-12 p^{s}+x^{2}+375 w^{2}\right)}{4},\right. \\
& \left.\frac{w\left(-12 p^{s}+3 x^{2}+125 w^{2}\right)}{4}, \frac{\sigma(F)}{4}, \frac{F}{4}\right),
\end{aligned}
$$

where

$$
F=-4 p^{s} u+x^{2} u+20 x w v+10 x w u+125 w^{2} u
$$

$\mathbf{s}^{(4)}=\left(\frac{G_{1}}{8}, \frac{x w\left(-8 p^{s}+x^{2}+125 w^{2}\right)}{2}, \frac{\sigma\left(G_{2}\right)}{8}, \frac{G_{2}}{8}\right)$, where $G_{1}=16 p^{s}\left(2 p^{s}-x^{2}-125 w^{2}\right)+x^{4}+750 x^{2} w^{2}+$ $15625 w^{4}, G_{2}=-8 p^{s}(x u+10 w v+5 w u)+x^{3} u+$ $30 x^{2} w v+15 x^{2} w u+375 x w^{2} u+1250 w^{3} v+625 w^{3} u$.

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathbf{s}^{(5)}=\left(\frac{x H_{1}}{16}, \frac{5 w H_{2}}{16}, \frac{\sigma\left(H_{3}\right)}{16}, \frac{H_{3}}{16}\right) \tag{8}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $H_{1}=20 p^{s}\left(4 p^{s}-x^{2}-375 w^{2}\right)+x^{4}+1250 x^{2} w^{2}+$ $78125 w^{4}, H_{2}=4 p^{s}\left(4 p^{s}-3 x^{2}-125 w^{2}\right)+x^{4}+$ $250 x^{2} w^{2}+3125 w^{4}, H_{3}=4 p^{s}\left(4 p^{s} u-3 x^{2} u-60 x w v-\right.$ $\left.30 x w u-375 w^{2} u\right)+x^{4} u+40 x^{3} w v+20 x^{3} w u+$ $750 x^{2} w^{2} u+5000 x w^{3} v+2500 x w^{3} u+15625 w^{4} u$.

Using (8), we can prove Theorem 1 which gives an explicit factorization of the reduced period polynomial $P_{5,5 s}^{*}(X)$ for $\mathbf{F}_{p^{5 s}}$.

Proof of Theorem 1. From (4), we have $P_{5,5 s}^{*}\left(x_{5 s}, w_{5 s}, v_{5 s}, u_{5 s} ; X\right)$ for $\mathbf{F}_{q},\left(q=p^{5 s}\right)$, where $\left(x_{5 s}, w_{5 s}, v_{5 s}, u_{5 s}\right) \in S(p, 5 s)^{U}$. Using (8), we have that $S(p, 5 s)^{U}=\left\langle\mathbf{s}^{(5)}\right\rangle$ where $\mathbf{s}=(x, w, v, u) \in$ $S(p, s)^{U}$. Since $P_{5,5 s}^{*}(X)$ does not depend on a choice of $\gamma$, we obtain $P_{5,5 s}^{*}(X)$ using not $\left(x_{5 s}, w_{5 s}, v_{5 s}, u_{5 s}\right)$ but $\mathbf{s}=(x, w, v, u) \in S(p, s)^{U}$ as $P_{5,5 s}^{*}\left(\mathbf{s}^{(5)} ; X\right)$. And then the assertion can be checked by direct computation.

Gauss sums $g_{r}(b, e), \quad\left(b \in \mathbf{F}_{q}\right)$ of degree $e$ for $\mathbf{F}_{q}$ are defined by

$$
g_{r}(b, e):=\sum_{\alpha \in \mathbf{F}_{q}} \zeta_{p}^{\operatorname{Tr}\left(b \alpha^{e}\right)}
$$

(see, for example, [4]). We see that Gaussian periods and Gauss sums have the following relation

$$
e \eta_{i, r}+1=g_{r}\left(\gamma^{i}, e\right), \text { for } i=0, \ldots, e-1
$$

From the definition, we have $g_{r}\left(\gamma^{i}, e\right)=\eta_{i, r}^{*}$, for $0 \leq$ $i \leq e-1$. Hence the Gauss sums $g_{r}\left(\gamma^{i}, e\right)$ are roots of $P_{e, r}^{*}(X)$. For $i=0$, we write $g_{r}(e):=g_{r}(1, e)=$ $g_{r}\left(\gamma^{0}, e\right)$. As a corollary of Theorem 1, we obtain the location of the quintic Gauss sums for $\mathbf{F}_{p^{5 s}}$.

Corollary 9. Let $p \equiv 1(\bmod 5), q=p^{5 s}$. The Gauss sum $g_{5 s}(5)$ for $\mathbf{F}_{q}$ is given by $g_{5 s}(5)=$ $p^{s}\left(-x^{3}+25 L\right) / 16$, where $L$ is in Theorem 1.

Proof. Since $g_{5 s}(5)$ does not depend on a choice of $\gamma$, the assertion follows from $\sigma\left(-x^{3}+25 L\right)=$ $-x^{3}+25 L$ and (6).

Remark. It is not difficult to compute only the value of the Gauss sum $g_{5 s}(5)$ above. Indeed it is known that $g_{5 s}(5)$ can be given by using Eisenstein sums (see [4, Chapter 12]). By Theorem 1 and (4), we also see that $g_{5 s}(5)$ is the product of $g_{s}\left(\gamma_{s}^{i}, 5\right), 0 \leq$ $i \leq 4$, where $\gamma_{s}$ is a generator of $\mathbf{F}_{p^{s}}^{*}$ :

$$
g_{5 s}(5)=\prod_{i=0}^{4} g_{s}\left(\gamma_{s}^{i}, 5\right)
$$

Example. For $p=11$, we have that

$$
\begin{aligned}
& S(11,1)=S(11,1)^{U}=\langle(-1,1,0,-1)\rangle \\
& S(11,2)^{U}=\langle(19,-1,-5,-2)\rangle \\
& S(11,3)^{U}=\langle(-61,-1,5,-18)\rangle \\
& S(11,4)^{U}=\langle(-241,-19,-50,11)\rangle \\
& S(11,5)^{U}=\langle(-396,-100,150,-30)\rangle \\
& P_{5,1}^{*}(X)=X^{5}-110 X^{3}-55 X^{2}+2310 X+979 \\
& P_{5,5}^{*}(X)=X^{5}-1610510 X^{3}-318880980 X^{2} \\
& \quad+349760093485 X+36198435398004 \\
& =(X+99)(X+649)(X+979)(X-451)(X-1276)
\end{aligned}
$$

And we also obtain that $g_{5}(5)=-979=-11 \cdot 89$.
6. Appendix: tractable case. Let $e \geq 2$ be a positive integer and $q=p^{r}$ a prime power such that $q \equiv 1(\bmod e)$. In this section, we assume that

$$
-1 \text { is a power of } p(\bmod e) .
$$

It is known that this situation is more tractable. For example, Evans [6] showed that -1 is a power of $p(\bmod e)$ if and only if the Jacobi sum $J_{r}\left(\chi^{s}, \chi^{t}\right)$ is pure (i.e. some non-zero integral power of it is real) for all $s, t \in \mathbf{Z}$. The cyclotomic numbers $A_{i, j}$ of order $e$ for $\mathbf{F}_{q}$ are called uniform if $A_{0, i}=A_{i, 0}=A_{i, i}$ and $A_{i, j}=A_{1,2}(i \neq j)$, for $1 \leq i, j \leq e-1$. And Gaussian periods $\eta_{i, r}$ of degree $e$ for $\mathbf{F}_{q}$ are also called uniform if for some fixed $c$ and $\eta$ we have $\eta_{i, r}=\eta$ for $i \neq c$. Baumert, Mills and Ward [3] showed that the following conditions are equivalent for $e \geq 3$ : (i) -1 is a power of $p(\bmod e)$, (ii) The cyclotomic numbers of order $e$ for $\mathbf{F}_{q}$ are uniform, (iii) The Gaussian periods of degree $e$ for $\mathbf{F}_{q}$ are uniform.

For $e=l$, where $l$ is an odd prime, Anuradha and Katre [2] evaluated Jacobi sums and cyclotomic numbers of order $l$ for $\mathbf{F}_{q}$ as follows. For a prime $p$ such that $m=\operatorname{ord} p(\bmod l)$ is even, $q=p^{r} \equiv$ $1(\bmod l)$, and $r=m s,(s \geq 1)$,
$J_{r}\left(\chi, \chi^{n}\right)=(-1)^{s-1} p^{r / 2}$, for $1 \leq n \leq l-2$,
(9)

$$
\begin{aligned}
l^{2} A_{0,0} & =q-3 l+1-(l-1)(l-2)(-1)^{s} q^{1 / 2} \\
l^{2} A_{0, j} & =q-l+1+(l-2)(-1)^{s} q^{1 / 2}, \text { for } j \neq 0 \\
l^{2} A_{i, j} & =q+1-2(-1)^{s} q^{1 / 2}, \text { for } i, j, i-j \neq 0
\end{aligned}
$$

By (9), we can easily obtain the following lemma which includes the quintic case $l=5$ such that $p \not \equiv$ $1(\bmod 5)$.

Lemma 10. Let $l$ be an odd prime. Suppose $m=$ ord $p(\bmod l)$ is even and $q=p^{m s} \equiv 1(\bmod l)$, $(s \geq 1)$. The reduced period polynomial $P_{l, m s}^{*}(X)$ of degree $l$ for $\mathbf{F}_{q}$ splits over $\mathbf{Q}$ as follows:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& P_{l, m s}^{*}(X)= \\
& \left\{\begin{array}{l}
\left(X-q^{1 / 2}\right)^{l-1}\left(X+(l-1) q^{1 / 2}\right), \text { if } s \text { is even } \\
\left(X+q^{1 / 2}\right)^{l-1}\left(X-(l-1) q^{1 / 2}\right), \text { if } s \text { is odd. }
\end{array}\right.
\end{aligned}
$$

Proof. The period polynomial $P_{l, m s}(X)$ of degree $l$ is given as the characteristic polynomials of the matrix $\left[A_{i, j}-\delta_{0, i} f\right]_{0 \leq i, j \leq l-1}$, since $p f$ is even. It is easily verified that

$$
\begin{aligned}
& P_{l, m s}(X)=\left(X-A_{0,1}+A_{1,2}\right)^{l-2}\left(\left(X-A_{0,0}+f\right) \times\right. \\
& \left.\quad\left(X-A_{0,1}-(l-2) A_{1,2}\right)+(l-1) A_{0,1}\left(f-A_{0,1}\right)\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

because the cyclotomic numbers are uniform. Thus the assertion follows from (9).
The calculations in this paper were carried out with Maple and Mathematica [23].

Acknowledgements. The author is grateful to the referee for pointing out a misunderstanding in the manuscript. Theorem 8 was improved by the referee's valuable comments. He is also grateful to Prof. Ki-ichiro Hashimoto who gave him helpful comments and various suggestions during this study.

## References

[ 1 ] V. V. Acharya and S. A. Katre, Cyclotomic numbers of order $2 l, l$ an odd prime, Acta Arith. 69 (1995), 51-74.
[2] N. Anuradha and S. A. Katre, Number of points on the projective curves $a Y^{l}=b X^{l}+c Z^{l}$ and $a Y^{2 l}=b X^{2 l}+c Z^{2 l}$ defined over finite fields, $l$ an odd prime, J. Number Theory 77 (1999), 288-313.
[ 3 ] L. D. Baumert, W. H. Mills and R. L. Ward, Uniform cyclotomy, J. Number Theory 14 (1982), 67-82.
[ 4 ] B. C. Berndt, R. J. Evans and K. S. Williams,

Gauss and Jacobi sums, Canadian Mathematical Society Series of Monographs and Advanced Texts, Wiley, New York, 1998.
[5] L. E. Dickson, Cyclotomy, higher congruences and Waring's problem, Amer. J. Math. 57 (1935), 391-424.
[ 6 ] R. J. Evans, Pure Gauss sums over finite fields, Mathematika. 28 (1981), 239-248.
[ 7 ] C. F. Gauss, Disquisitiones Arithmeticae, Section 358.
[8] S. Gurak, Period polynomials for $F_{p^{2}}$ of fixed small degree, in Finite fields and applications (Augsburg, 1999) 196-207, Springer, Berlin.
[ 9 ] S. Gurak, Period polynomials for $\mathbf{F}_{q}$ of fixed small degree, in Number theory, 127-145, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, 2004.
[10] K. Hashimoto and A. Hoshi, Families of cyclic polynomials obtained from geometric generalization of Gaussian period relations, Math. Comp. 74 (2005), 1519-1530.
[11] K. Hashimoto and A. Hoshi, Geometric generalization of Gaussian period relations with application to Noether's problem for meta-cyclic groups, Tokyo J. Math. 28 (2005), 13-32.
[12] A. Hoshi, Multiplicative quadratic forms on algebraic varieties, Proc. Japan Acad. Ser. A Math. Sci. 79 (2003), no.4, 71-75.
[13] S. A. Katre and A. R. Rajwade, Unique determination of cyclotomic numbers of order five, Manuscripta Math. 53 (1985), 65-75.
[14] S. A. Katre and A. R. Rajwade, Complete solution of the cyclotomic problem in $\mathbf{F}_{q}^{*}$ for any prime modulus $l, q=p^{\alpha}, p \equiv 1(\bmod l)$, Acta Arith. 45 (1985), 183-199.
[15] G. Myerson, Period polynomials and Gauss sums for finite fields, Acta Arith. 39 (1981), 251-264.
[16] F. Thaine, Properties that characterize Gaussian periods and cyclotomic numbers, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. 124 (1996), 35-45.
[17] F. Thaine, On the coefficients of Jacobi sums in prime cyclotomic fields, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. 351 (1999), 4769-4790.
[18] F. Thaine, Families of irreducible polynomials of Gaussian periods and matrices of cyclotomic numbers, Math. Comp. 69 (2000), 1653-1666.
[19] F. Thaine, Jacobi sums and new families of irreducible polynomials of Gaussian periods, Math. Comp. 70 (2001), 1617-1640.
[20] F. Thaine, On Gaussian periods that are rational integers, Michigan Math. J. 50 (2002), 313-337.
[21] F. Thaine, Cyclic polynomials and the multiplication matrices of their roots, J. Pure Appl. Algebra 188 (2004), 247-286.
[22] P. V. Wamelen, Jacobi sums over finite fields, Acta Arith. 102 (2002), 1-20.
[23] S. Wolfram, The Mathematica book, Fourth ed., Wolfram Media, Inc., Cambridge Univ. Press, Cambridge-New York, 1999.


[^0]:    2000 Mathematics Subject Classiffcation. Primary 11E25, 11L05, 11T22, 11 T 24 .

