

Theorem 2. *Let*

$$Z(s) = \prod_p (1 - \mathbf{f}_p p^{-s})^{-1} \\ = 1 + \mathbf{f}_2 2^{-s} + \mathbf{f}_3 3^{-s} + \mathbf{f}_2^2 4^{-s} + \mathbf{f}_5 5^{-s} \\ + \mathbf{f}_2 \mathbf{f}_3 6^{-s} + \mathbf{f}_7 7^{-s} + \dots$$

with the usual ordering on p . Then:

(1) $Z(s)$ converges componentwise absolutely in $\text{Re}(s) > 1$.

(2) $Z(s) = \begin{pmatrix} \zeta(s) & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & \zeta(s) & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & * \end{pmatrix}.$

(3) $\det Z(s) = \zeta(s-1)$.

Now we look at the trace. We define the trace of an infinite matrix $A = (a(m, n))_{m, n \geq 1}$ as

$$\text{trace}(A) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a(n, n)$$

when this infinite sum converges.

Theorem 3. *For a prime p and $m \geq 1$,*

$$\text{trace}(\mathbf{f}_p^m) = p^m.$$

Theorem 4. *Let p and q be distinct primes.*

Then:

(1) $\min\{p, q\} \leq \text{trace}(\mathbf{f}_p \mathbf{f}_q) \leq \max\{p^2, q^2\}$.

(2) $\text{trace}(\mathbf{f}_p \mathbf{f}_q) = \text{trace}(\mathbf{f}_q \mathbf{f}_p)$.

(3) $\text{trace}([\mathbf{f}_p, \mathbf{f}_q]) = 0$ for $[\mathbf{f}_p, \mathbf{f}_q] = \mathbf{f}_p \mathbf{f}_q - \mathbf{f}_q \mathbf{f}_p$.

This shows that the non-commutativity between \mathbf{f}_p and \mathbf{f}_q is not detected by the trace. Now we introduce the weighted trace. For a complex number s and an infinite matrix $A = (a(m, n))_{m, n \geq 1}$ we define

$$\text{trace}_s(A) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{a(n, n)}{n^s},$$

which is considered as a zeta function. We remark that formally

$$\text{trace}_{-1}(A) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} n \cdot a(n, n)$$

is indicating a Casimir energy (see [KW2, KW3]). For example, in the case of $A = \mathbf{1}$, the infinite unit matrix,

$$\text{trace}_s(\mathbf{1}) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{n^s} = \zeta(s)$$

is the Riemann zeta function, and

$$\text{trace}_{-1}(\mathbf{1}) = -\frac{1}{12}$$

is the usual Casimir energy.

Theorem 5. *For distinct primes p and q , $\text{trace}_s([\mathbf{f}_p, \mathbf{f}_q])$ is non-zero in general. For example:*

(1) $\text{trace}_{-1}([\mathbf{f}_2, \mathbf{f}_3]) = -2$.

(2) $\text{trace}_{-1}([\mathbf{f}_2, \mathbf{f}_5]) = -5$.

(3) $\text{trace}_{-1}([\mathbf{f}_3, \mathbf{f}_5]) = -5$.

This would indicate that the Casimir energy matrix

$$R_{-1} = (\text{trace}_{-1}([\mathbf{f}_p, \mathbf{f}_q]))_{p, q: \text{primes}}$$

is an interesting skew symmetric infinite matrix. We hope to return to this theme on another opportunity.

2. Proof of Theorem 1. From Kornblum [Kor] we have

$$(2.1) \quad \det_{\kappa_p}(1 - \mathbf{f}_p t) = 1 - pt.$$

In fact

$$(2.2) \quad \det_{\kappa_p}(1 - \mathbf{f}_p t) = \prod_{n=1}^{\infty} (1 - t^n)^{\kappa_p(n)} \\ = 1 - pt,$$

where the last equality (2.2) comes from the formula

$$(2.3) \quad \kappa_p(n) = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{d|n} \mu\left(\frac{n}{d}\right) p^d.$$

Actually, from

$$\log\left(\prod_{n=1}^{\infty} (1 - t^n)^{\kappa_p(n)}\right) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \kappa_p(n) \log(1 - t^n) \\ = - \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \frac{\kappa_p(n)}{m} t^{nm} \\ = - \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{m} \left(\sum_{n|m} n \kappa_p(n)\right) t^m$$

and

$$\log(1 - pt) = - \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{m} p^m t^m,$$

we see that the equality

$$\prod_{n=1}^{\infty} (1 - t^n)^{\kappa_p(n)} = 1 - pt$$

is equivalent to

$$(2.4) \quad \sum_{n|m} n \kappa_p(n) = p^m \text{ for all } m \geq 1.$$

Then, the Möbius inversion formula shows the equivalence between (2.3) and (2.4).

We notice that Dedekind [Ded] obtained the formula (2.3) for $\kappa_p(n)$ as the number of the monic irreducible polynomials in $\mathbf{F}_p[T]$ of degree n . In other words, this means that the space $\overline{\mathbf{F}_p}$ has $\kappa_p(n)$ orbits of length n under the action of Frob_p . This corresponds to

$$\zeta(s, \text{Spec}\mathbf{F}_p[T]) = (1 - p^{1-s})^{-1}.$$

Now, we calculate $\det_{\kappa_p}(1 - \mathbf{f}_p^m t)$. A direct way is to notice that Kornblum's formula (2.1) implies

$$\det_{\kappa_p}(1 - \mathbf{f}_p \zeta t) = 1 - p \zeta t$$

for $\zeta^m = 1$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} \det_{\kappa_p}(1 - \mathbf{f}_p^m t^m) &= \det_{\kappa_p} \left(\prod_{\zeta^m=1} (1 - \mathbf{f}_p \zeta t) \right) \\ &= \prod_{\zeta^m=1} \det_{\kappa_p}(1 - \mathbf{f}_p \zeta t) \\ &= \prod_{\zeta^m=1} (1 - p \zeta t) \\ &= 1 - p^m t^m, \end{aligned}$$

and we replace t^m by t . □

3. Proof of Theorem 2.

(1) Let

$$Z(s) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{F(n)}{n^s}.$$

Then, each $F(n)$ is an infinite permutation matrix. Hence matrix components of $F(n)$ are 1 or 0. This shows that $Z(s)$ converges componentwise absolutely in $\text{Re}(s) > 1$.

(2) The matrix components of $F(n)$ at $(1, 1)$, $(1, 2)$, $(2, 1)$, $(2, 2)$ are calculated in a straightforward way.

(3) Theorem 1 implies

$$\begin{aligned} \det(Z(s)) &= \prod_p \det_{\kappa_p}(1 - \mathbf{f}_p p^{-s})^{-1} \\ &= \prod_p (1 - p^{1-s})^{-1} \\ &= \zeta(s - 1). \end{aligned}$$

4. Proof of Theorem 3. Notice that

$$\text{trace}(\mathbf{f}_p^m) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \kappa_p(n) \text{trace}(Z_n^m)$$

with

$$\text{trace}(Z_n^m) = \begin{cases} n & \text{if } n|m, \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Thus

$$\text{trace}(\mathbf{f}_p^m) = \sum_{n|m} n \kappa_p(n) = p^m,$$

where we used (2.4). □

5. Proof of Theorem 4.

(1) We denote by $\mathbf{f}_p \mathbf{f}_q(n, n)$ the (n, n) -component of the infinite permutation matrix $\mathbf{f}_p \mathbf{f}_q$. We show first that

$$\mathbf{f}_p \mathbf{f}_q(n, n) = 0 \text{ if } n > \max\{p^2, q^2\}.$$

Suppose that $\mathbf{f}_p \mathbf{f}_q(N, N) = 1$. Denote by $\mathbf{f}_p(m, n)$ and $\mathbf{f}_q(m, n)$ the (m, n) -component of \mathbf{f}_p and \mathbf{f}_q respectively. Then

$$\mathbf{f}_p \mathbf{f}_q(N, N) = \sum_n \mathbf{f}_p(N, n) \mathbf{f}_q(n, N).$$

Write

$$(5.1) \quad N = \sum_{j=1}^{k-1} j \kappa_p(j) + (l-1)k + m$$

with $k \geq 1$, $1 \leq l \leq \kappa_p(k)$, and $1 \leq m \leq k$. This means that the N -th row of \mathbf{f}_p coincides with the m -th row of the l -th matrix Z_k of size k appearing in \mathbf{f}_p . Then $\mathbf{f}_p(N, n) = 1$ only for

$$(5.2) \quad n = \sum_{j=1}^{k-1} j \kappa_p(j) + (l-1)k + (m+1)_k,$$

where

$$(m+1)_k = \begin{cases} m+1 & \text{if } m = 1, \dots, k-1 \\ 1 & \text{if } m = k. \end{cases}$$

Hence it must be $\mathbf{f}_q(n, N) = 1$ for this n . Writing

$$(5.3) \quad n = \sum_{j=1}^{k'-1} j \kappa_q(j) + (l'-1)k' + m'$$

with $k' \geq 1$, $1 \leq l' \leq \kappa_q(k')$, and $1 \leq m' \leq k'$ similarly to (5.1), we have

$$(5.4) \quad N = \sum_{j=1}^{k'-1} j \kappa_q(j) + (l'-1)k' + (m'+1)_{k'}$$

as in (5.2). Hence, from (5.1)–(5.4) we get

$$(5.5) \quad m - (m+1)_k = N - n = (m'+1)_{k'} - m'.$$

To treat (5.5), we divide into four cases: (a) $m = k$, $m' = k'$, (b) $m = k$, $m' \neq k'$, (c) $m \neq k$, $m' = k'$, (d) $m \neq k$, $m' \neq k'$. In the case (a) we have $k - 1 = 1 - k'$, so we get $k = k' = 1$, hence $N \leq p, q$.

In the case (b) we have $k - 1 = 1$, so $k = 2$, and $N \leq p^2$. In the case (c) we have $-1 = 1 - k'$, so $k' = 2$, and $N \leq q^2$. The case (d) does not occur since the condition (5.5) implies $-1 = 1$ in this case. Thus, we get $N \leq \max\{p^2, q^2\}$. So, $\mathbf{f}_p \mathbf{f}_q(n, n) = 0$ if $n > \max\{p^2, q^2\}$. Hence

$$\text{trace}(\mathbf{f}_p \mathbf{f}_q) \leq \max\{p^2, q^2\}.$$

The inequality

$$\text{trace}(\mathbf{f}_p \mathbf{f}_q) \geq \min\{p, q\}$$

is obvious.

(2) Here, it is convenient to use the permutational description. We denote by $f_p \in S_\infty$ the permutation corresponding to \mathbf{f}_p . In other words \mathbf{f}_p is the matrix representation of f_p :

$$\mathbf{f}_p = (\delta_{m, f_p(n)})_{m, n \geq 1}.$$

Then we get

$$\text{trace}(\mathbf{f}_p \mathbf{f}_q) = \#\text{Fix}(f_p f_q)$$

and

$$\text{trace}(\mathbf{f}_q \mathbf{f}_p) = \#\text{Fix}(f_q f_p),$$

where

$$\text{Fix}(\sigma) = \{n = 1, 2, 3, \dots \mid \sigma(n) = n\}$$

for $\sigma \in S_\infty$. Hence it is sufficient to show

$$\#\text{Fix}(f_p f_q) = \#\text{Fix}(f_q f_p),$$

and we see this equality from the bijection $\text{Fix}(f_p f_q) \rightarrow \text{Fix}(f_q f_p)$ given by $n \mapsto f_p^{-1}(n)$.

(3) This follows from (2). □

6. Proof of Theorem 5. The results are obtained from direct calculations as follows:

(1)

$$\begin{aligned} \text{trace}_s(\mathbf{f}_2 \mathbf{f}_3) &= 1 + 2^{-s} + 6^{-s} + 8^{-s}, \\ \text{trace}_s(\mathbf{f}_3 \mathbf{f}_2) &= 1 + 2^{-s} + 7^{-s} + 9^{-s}, \\ \text{trace}_s([\mathbf{f}_2, \mathbf{f}_3]) &= 6^{-s} - 7^{-s} + 8^{-s} - 9^{-s}, \end{aligned}$$

(2)

$$\begin{aligned} \text{trace}_s(\mathbf{f}_2 \mathbf{f}_5) &= 1 + 2^{-s} + 6^{-s} + 12^{-s} + 16^{-s} \\ &\quad + 20^{-s} + 24^{-s}, \\ \text{trace}_s(\mathbf{f}_5 \mathbf{f}_2) &= 1 + 2^{-s} + 7^{-s} + 13^{-s} + 17^{-s} \\ &\quad + 21^{-s} + 25^{-s}, \\ \text{trace}_s([\mathbf{f}_2, \mathbf{f}_5]) &= 6^{-s} - 7^{-s} + 12^{-s} - 13^{-s} \\ &\quad + 16^{-s} - 17^{-s} + 20^{-s} - 21^{-s} \\ &\quad + 24^{-s} - 25^{-s}, \end{aligned}$$

(3)

$$\begin{aligned} \text{trace}_s(\mathbf{f}_3 \mathbf{f}_5) &= 1 + 2^{-s} + 3^{-s} + 6^{-s} + 7^{-s} \\ &\quad + 8^{-s} + 9^{-s} + 10^{-s} + 14^{-s} \\ &\quad + 16^{-s} + 20^{-s} + 22^{-s}, \\ \text{trace}_s(\mathbf{f}_5 \mathbf{f}_3) &= 1 + 2^{-s} + 3^{-s} + 6^{-s} + 7^{-s} \\ &\quad + 8^{-s} + 9^{-s} + 11^{-s} + 15^{-s} \\ &\quad + 17^{-s} + 21^{-s} + 23^{-s}, \\ \text{trace}_s([\mathbf{f}_3, \mathbf{f}_5]) &= 10^{-s} - 11^{-s} + 14^{-s} - 15^{-s} \\ &\quad + 16^{-s} - 17^{-s} + 20^{-s} - 21^{-s} \\ &\quad + 22^{-s} - 23^{-s}. \end{aligned}$$

We notice that the permutational description f_p is more comfortable for these calculations. □

Acknowledgement. The author would like to express his hearty thanks to the referee for suggesting various improvements on descriptions.

References

[Ded] Dedekind, D.: Abriss einer Theorie der höhern Congruenzen in Bezug auf einen reelen Primzahl-Modulus. *J. Reine Angew. Math.*, **54**, 1–26 (1857).

[Dei] Deitmar, A.: Schemes over \mathbf{F}_1 . (2004). (Preprint).

[Kor] Kornblum, H.: Über die Primfunktionen in einer arithmetischen Progression. *Math. Zeit.*, **5**, 100–111 (1919).

[Kur1] Kurokawa, N.: Multiple zeta functions: an example. *Adv. Stud. Pure Math.*, 21, Kinokuniya, Tokyo, pp. 219–226 (1992).

[Kur2] Kurokawa, N.: Special values of Selberg zeta functions. *Contemp. Math.*, 83, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, pp. 133–150 (1989).

[KK1] Kurokawa, N., and Koyama, S.: Multiple sine functions. *Forum Math.* **15**, 839–876 (2003).

[KK2] Koyama, S., and Kurokawa, N.: Multiple zeta functions: the double sine function and the signed double Poisson summation formula. *Compositio Math.*, **140**, 1176–1190 (2004).

[KK3] Koyama, S., and Kurokawa, N.: Multiple Euler products. *St. Petersburg Math. J.* (2004). (In press).

[KOW] Kurokawa, N., Ochiai, H., and Wakayama, M.: Absolute derivations and zeta functions. *Doc. Math.* **2003**, Extra Vol., 565–584 (2003).

[KW1] Kurokawa, N., and Wakayama, M.: Absolute tensor products. *Int. Math. Res. Not.*, **2004**(5), 249–260 (2004).

[KW2] Kurokawa, N., and Wakayama, M.: Casimir effects on Riemann surfaces. *Indag. Math. (N.S.)*, **13**, 63–75 (2002).

- [KW3] Kurokawa, N., and Wakayama, M.: Absolute Casimir Elements. Iwanami, Tokyo (2002), (in Japanese).
- [M] Manin, Y.: Lectures on zeta functions and motives (according to Deninger and Kurokawa). *Astérisque*, **228**, 121–163 (1995).
- [S] Soulé, C.: Les variétés sur le corps à un élément. (2003–2004). (Preprint).