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#### Abstract

The function $f_{\alpha}(z)=\left(\{(1+z) /(1-z)\}^{\alpha}-1\right) /(2 \alpha)$ with a complex constant $\alpha \neq 0$ is not univalent in the disk $U=\{|z|<1\}$ if and only if $\alpha$ is not in the union $A$ of the closed disks $\{|z+1| \leqslant 1\}$ and $\{|z-1| \leqslant 1\}$. By making use of a geometric quantity we can describe how $f_{\alpha}$ "continuously tends to be" univalent in the whole $U$ as $\alpha$ tends to each boundary point of $A$ from outside.
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1. Introduction. For a nonzero complex constant $\alpha$ let us define

$$
f_{\alpha}(z)=\frac{1}{2 \alpha}\left\{\left(\frac{1+z}{1-z}\right)^{\alpha}-1\right\}
$$

for $z$ in $U=\{|z|<1\}$, where the branch of the $\operatorname{logarithm}$ is chosen so that $\log 1=0$ in

$$
\left(\frac{1+z}{1-z}\right)^{\alpha}=\exp \left(\alpha \log \frac{1+z}{1-z}\right)
$$

The specified case of $f_{\alpha}$ is the Koebe function $f_{2}(z)=z /(1-z)^{2}$. In particular, $f_{\alpha}^{\prime}(z) \neq 0$ for all $z \in U$.

It is a classical result of E . Hille $[\mathrm{H}]$ that $f_{\alpha}$ is univalent in $U$ if and only if $\alpha \neq 0$ is in the union $A$ of the closed disks $\{|z+1| \leqslant 1\}$ and $\{|z-1| \leqslant$ $1\}$. Note that $z$ is in $A$ if and only if $|z|^{2} \leqslant 2|\operatorname{Re} z|$, whereas $z$ is on the boundary $\partial A$ of $A$ if and only if $|z|^{2}=2|\operatorname{Re} z|$. Let $\rho_{\alpha}$ be the maximum of $r, 0<$ $r \leqslant 1$, such that $f_{\alpha}$ is univalent in the non-Euclidean disk $\Delta(z, r)=\{w:|w-z| /|1-\bar{z} w|<r\}$ for each $z \in U$. The set $\Delta(z, r)$ actually is the Euclidean disk with the Euclidean center $\left(1-r^{2}\right) z /\left(1-r^{2}|z|^{2}\right)$ and the Euclidean radius $r\left(1-|z|^{2}\right) /\left(1-r^{2}|z|^{2}\right)$. Such a $\rho_{\alpha}>0$ for $\alpha \notin A$ does exist as will be clarified in

Theorem. Suppose that $\alpha \notin A$. If io is real, then

$$
\begin{equation*}
\rho_{\alpha}=\sqrt{\lambda+1-\sqrt{\lambda^{2}+2 \lambda}} \tag{1.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\lambda=2 / \sinh ^{2}(\pi /|\alpha|)$. If io is not real, then

$$
\begin{equation*}
\rho_{\alpha} \geqslant \sqrt{\mu+1-\sqrt{\mu^{2}+2 \mu}} \tag{1.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

[^0]where $\mu=2 \cot ^{2}\left(\pi|\operatorname{Re} \alpha| /|\alpha|^{2}\right)$. If $\alpha$ itself is real, then the equality holds in (1.2).

A consequence is that if $\beta \in \partial A$ and if $\alpha \notin$ $A$ with $|\alpha-\beta| \rightarrow 0$, then $\rho_{\alpha} \rightarrow 1$. Namely, $f_{\alpha}$ "continuously tends to be" univalent in the whole $U$. This is obvious for $\beta \neq 0$ by (1.2) because $\mu \rightarrow 0$. For each sequence $\alpha_{n} \notin A$ with $\alpha_{n} \rightarrow 0$, both (1.1) and (1.2) show that $\rho_{\alpha_{n}} \rightarrow 1$.
2. Proof of the theorem. For $z$ in the half-plane $H=\{z ; \operatorname{Re} z>0\}$ the set $\Delta_{H}(z, \rho)=$ $\{w ;|w-z| /|w+\bar{z}|<\rho\}, 0<\rho<1$, is the image of $\Delta\left(T^{-1}(z), \rho\right)$ by the mapping $T(\zeta)=(1+\zeta) /(1-$ $\zeta)$, and $\Delta_{H}(z, \rho)$ has the Euclidean center $c(z)=$ $\left(z+\rho^{2} \bar{z}\right) /\left(1-\rho^{2}\right)$ and the Euclidean radius $r(z)=$ $(2 \rho \operatorname{Re} z) /\left(1-\rho^{2}\right)$. Hence $\sin \theta=r(z) /|c(z)|$ with $0<\theta<\pi / 2$ and $2 \theta$ is the opening angle of $\Delta_{H}(z, \rho)$ viewed from the origin. Consequently,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sin ^{2} \theta=\frac{4 X \rho^{2}}{\rho^{4}+2(2 X-1) \rho^{2}+1} \tag{2.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

for $X=\cos ^{2}(\arg z),|\arg z|<\pi / 2$.
The image $\mathscr{D}$ of $\Delta_{H}(z, \rho)$ by $\log \zeta$ is contained in the rectangular domain of width

$$
\log \frac{|c(z)|+r(z)}{|c(z)|-r(z)}=\log \frac{1+\sin \theta}{1-\sin \theta}
$$

and of height $2 \theta$. The boundary of $\mathscr{D}$ touches the rectangle at exactly four points.

Suppose first that $i \alpha$ is real. Then $\zeta^{\alpha}=$ $\exp (\alpha \log \zeta)$ is univalent in $\Delta_{H}(z, \rho)$ if and only if

$$
|\alpha| \log \frac{1+\sin \theta}{1-\sin \theta} \leqslant 2 \pi
$$

To obtain the maximum $\rho(z)$ of $\rho$ one has only to solve the equation $\sin \theta=\tanh (\pi /|\alpha|)$ and $\rho=\rho(z)$
in (2.1). After a short labor one then has

$$
\rho(z)^{2}=\lambda X+1-\sqrt{\lambda^{2} X^{2}+2 \lambda X}
$$

The right-hand side function of $X$ attains its minimum at $X=1$, namely, if and only if $z$ is on the real axis, so that

$$
\rho_{\alpha}^{2}=\min _{z \in U} \rho(z)^{2}=\lambda+1-\sqrt{\lambda^{2}+2 \lambda}
$$

In the case where $i \alpha$ is not real, the function $\zeta^{\alpha}$ is univalent in $\Delta_{H}(z, \rho)$ if $\theta \leqslant \pi|\operatorname{Re} \alpha| /|\alpha|^{2}$ $(<\pi / 2)$, or equivalently, if $\sin \theta \leqslant \delta$, where $\delta=$ $\sin \left(\pi|\operatorname{Re} \alpha| /|\alpha|^{2}\right)$. Consequently, this time,

$$
\rho(z)^{2} \geqslant \mu X+1-\sqrt{\mu^{2} X^{2}+2 \mu X}
$$

where $\rho(z)$ is again the maximum of $\rho$. Following the same lines as in the proof of (1.1), one finally observes (1.2). In particular, if $\alpha$ itself is real, then the function $\zeta^{\alpha}$ is univalent in $\Delta_{H}(z, \rho)$ if and only if $\theta=\pi /|\alpha|$. It is now easy to prove that the equality holds in (1.2).

It is open to prove whether or not the equality holds in (1.2) for nonreal $\alpha$.

It follows from (1.1) that $\left(1-\rho_{\alpha}\right) e^{\pi /|\alpha|} \rightarrow 2$ as $\alpha \rightarrow 0$ along the imaginary axis $B$, whereas, it follows from (1.2) that

$$
0 \leqslant \lim \sup \frac{1-\rho_{\alpha}}{1-2|\operatorname{Re} \alpha| /|\alpha|^{2}} \leqslant \frac{\pi}{2}
$$

as $\alpha$ tends to a point of $\partial A$ within the complex plane minus $A$ and $B$. In particular, if $c$ is real, then

$$
\lim _{|c| \rightarrow 2+0} \frac{1-\rho_{c}}{|c|-2}=\frac{\pi}{4}
$$

If $\alpha$ is not in $A$, one can prove that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\rho_{\alpha} \leqslant \sqrt{\frac{3}{\left|1-\alpha^{2}\right|}} \tag{2.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

This is significant in case $\left|1-\alpha^{2}\right|>3$ or $\alpha$ is in
the exterior of the specified Jordan curve, namely, the lemniscate $\Gamma=\left\{\left|1-z^{2}\right|=3\right\}$. In particular, it follows from (2.2) that $\rho_{\alpha} \rightarrow 0$ as $\alpha \rightarrow \infty$. Let us return to the Theorem for a moment. If $B \ni \alpha \rightarrow \infty$, then $\left(1-e^{-2 \pi /|\alpha|}\right) \rho_{\alpha} \rightarrow 0$, whereas, if $\alpha$ is real and if $|\alpha| \rightarrow+\infty$, then $\rho_{\alpha} /|\alpha| \rightarrow 0$.

One can observe that $A$ is contained in the interior of $\Gamma$ except for 2 and -2 , and $\partial A$ touches $\Gamma$ at 2 and -2 where both curves have the common tangents $\{\operatorname{Re} z=2\}$ and $\{\operatorname{Re} z=-2\}$, respectively.

For the proof of (2.2) set $f=f_{\alpha}$ and $\rho=\rho_{\alpha}$ for simplicity, and further set

$$
\begin{equation*}
\|f\| \equiv \sup _{z \in u}\left(1-|z|^{2}\right)^{2}\left|S_{f}(z)\right|=2\left|1-\alpha^{2}\right| \tag{2.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $S_{f}=f^{\prime \prime \prime} / f^{\prime}-(3 / 2)\left(f^{\prime \prime} / f^{\prime}\right)^{2}$ is the Schwarzian derivative of $f$. Fix $z \in U$ and set $T(w)=(\rho w+$ $z) /(1+\bar{z} \rho w)$, so that the function

$$
f \circ T(w)=a_{0}+a_{1} w+a_{2} w^{2}+a_{3} w^{3}+\cdots
$$

of $w$ is univalent in $U$. It then follows from the Bieberbach theorem [B], [G, p. 35, Theorem 2] that

$$
\begin{aligned}
\rho^{2}\left(1-|z|^{2}\right)^{2}\left|S_{f}(z)\right| & =\left|S_{f \circ T}(0)\right| \\
& =6\left|\frac{a_{3}}{a_{1}}-\left(\frac{a_{2}}{a_{1}}\right)^{2}\right| \leqslant 6
\end{aligned}
$$

Hence $\rho^{2}\|f\| \leqslant 6$, so that (2.2) is immediate from this and (2.3).
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