

$L_p(1, \chi) \pmod p$

By Jangheon OH

Department of Applied Mathematics, College of Natural Sciences, Sejong University
98 Gunja-Dong, Gwangjin-Gu, Seoul 143-747, Korea
(Communicated by Shigefumi MORI, M. J. A., Jan. 14, 2003)

Abstract: In this paper, we compute $L_p(1, \chi) \pmod p$ when χ is the nontrivial character of a real quadratic field. As a result, we give a sufficient condition for Iwasawa invariants $\mu_p(k)$, $\lambda_p(k)$ to vanish when p splits in a real quadratic field k .

Key words: Greenberg’s conjecture; Iwasawa invariants; special value of p -adic L -function.

1. Introduction. Let k be a number field and p a prime number. For a \mathbf{Z}_p -extension $k = k_0 \subset k_1 \subset \dots \subset k_n \subset \dots \subset k_\infty$ with Galois groups $\text{Gal}(k_n/k) \simeq \mathbf{Z}/p^n\mathbf{Z}$, let A_n be the p -Sylow subgroup of the ideal class group of k_n . Then, by Iwasawa, there exists integers $\mu_p(k)$, $\lambda_p(k)$ and $\nu_p(k)$ such that $|A_n| = p^{\lambda_p(k)n + \mu_p(k)p^n + \nu_p(k)}$ for sufficiently large n . Greenberg’s conjecture [2] claims that both $\mu_p(k)$, $\lambda_p(k)$ vanishes for the cyclotomic \mathbf{Z}_p -extension of any totally real number field k . Several authors studied Greenberg’s conjecture when k is a real quadratic field and p is a small prime. But little is known in the case of large primes. In this paper, we give a sufficient condition for the Iwasawa invariants $\mu_p(k)$, $\lambda_p(k)$ to vanish when k is real quadratic, so that we can determine whether Greenberg’s conjecture holds for large primes. The followings are the main results of this paper.

Theorem 1. *Let χ be an even Dirichlet character of conductor Δ , and p be an odd prime relatively prime to Δ . Let $L_p(s, \chi)$ be the p -adic L -function associated with χ . Then*

$$\chi(p)^{-1}L_p(1, \chi) \equiv \sum_{t=1}^{p-1} \left(1 + \frac{1}{2} + \dots + \frac{1}{t}\right) (\chi(rt) + \chi(rt+1) \dots + \chi(rt+r-1)),$$

where r and s are integers such that $rp + s\Delta = 1$.

Corollary 1. *Let D be a square free integer, $k = \mathbf{Q}(\sqrt{D})$ a real quadratic field, and χ the nontrivial character of k . Let Δ be the discriminant of k and p an odd prime which splits in k . Then*

$$v_p \left(\sum_{t=1}^{p-1} \left(1 + \dots + \frac{1}{t}\right) (\chi(rt) + \dots + \chi(rt+r-1)) \right) = 0 \Rightarrow \mu_p(\mathbf{Q}(\sqrt{D})) = \lambda_p(\mathbf{Q}(\sqrt{D})) = 0,$$

where v_p is the valuation of \mathbf{C}_p^* normalized by $|p|_{v_p} = (1/p)$.

2. Proof of theorems. First we prove Theorem 1.

Proof. Let ζ_Δ be a primitive Δ -th root of unity. By Proposition 2 in [3], we see that

$$L_p(1, \chi) \equiv \frac{\chi(p)}{\Delta} \sum_{i=1}^{\Delta} \frac{-(1-X^{ri})^p + (1-X^{rip})}{p(1-X^i)} \sum_{j=1}^{\Delta} \chi(j)X^{ij} \Big|_{X=\zeta_\Delta}.$$

So

$$L_p(1, \chi) \equiv \frac{\chi(p)}{\Delta p} (A(\zeta_\Delta) - B(\zeta_\Delta)),$$

where $A(X) = \sum_{i=1}^{\Delta} \frac{1-X^{rip}}{1-X^i} \sum_{j=1}^{\Delta} \chi(j)X^{ij}$, $B(X) = \sum_{i=1}^{\Delta} \frac{(1-X^{ri})^p}{1-X^i} \sum_{j=1}^{\Delta} \chi(j)X^{ij}$. First we compute the value of $A(\zeta_\Delta)$:

$$\begin{aligned} A(X) &= \sum_{i=1}^{\Delta} \frac{1-X^{rip}}{1-X^i} \sum_{j=1}^{\Delta} \chi(j)X^{ij} \\ &= \sum_{i=1}^{\Delta} (1 + X^i + \dots + X^{(rp-1)i}) \sum_{j=1}^{\Delta} \chi(j)X^{ij} \\ &= \sum_{i=1}^{\Delta} \sum_{t=0}^{rp-1} \sum_{j=1}^{\Delta} \chi(j)X^{(j+t)i} \\ &= \sum_{t=0}^{rp-1} \sum_{j=1}^{\Delta} \chi(j) \sum_{i=1}^{\Delta} X^{(j+t)i}. \end{aligned}$$

2000 Mathematics Subject Classification. Primary 11R23; Secondary 11S40.

So,

$$A(\zeta_\Delta) = \sum_{t=0}^{rp-1} \chi(t)\Delta = 0.$$

Next we compute the value of $B(\zeta_\Delta)$:

Let $(1 - T)^{p-1} = \sum_{t=0}^{p-1} C_t T^t$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} B(X) &= \sum_{i=1}^{\Delta} \frac{(1 - X^{ri})^p}{1 - X^i} \sum_{j=1}^{\Delta} \chi(j) X^{ij} \\ &= \sum_{i=1}^{\Delta} \frac{(1 - X^{ri})}{1 - X^i} (1 - X^{ri})^{p-1} \sum_{j=1}^{\Delta} \chi(j) X^{ij} \\ &= \sum_{i=1}^{\Delta} (1 + \dots + X^{(r-1)i}) \sum_{t=0}^{p-1} C_t X^{rit} \sum_{j=1}^{\Delta} \chi(j) X^{ij} \\ &= \sum_{i=1}^{\Delta} \sum_{t=0}^{p-1} \sum_{j=1}^{\Delta} \chi(j) X^{(rt+j)i} C_t \\ &\quad + \sum_{i=1}^{\Delta} \sum_{t=0}^{p-1} \sum_{j=1}^{\Delta} \chi(j) X^{(rt+j+1)i} C_t \\ &\quad + \dots + \sum_{i=1}^{\Delta} \sum_{t=0}^{p-1} \sum_{j=1}^{\Delta} \chi(j) X^{(rt+j+r-1)i} C_t \\ &= \sum_{t=0}^{p-1} \sum_{j=1}^{\Delta} \chi(j) C_t \sum_{i=1}^{\Delta} X^{(rt+j)i} \\ &\quad + \sum_{t=0}^{p-1} \sum_{j=1}^{\Delta} \chi(j) C_t \sum_{i=1}^{\Delta} X^{(rt+j+1)i} \\ &\quad + \dots + \sum_{t=0}^{p-1} \sum_{j=1}^{\Delta} \chi(j) C_t \sum_{i=1}^{\Delta} X^{(rt+j+r-1)i}. \end{aligned}$$

So

$$B(\zeta_\Delta) = \Delta \sum_{t=0}^{p-1} C_t (\chi(rt) + \chi(rt+1) + \dots + \chi(rt+r-1)).$$

Hence

$$\begin{aligned} L_p(1, \chi) &\equiv \frac{\chi(p)}{\Delta p} (A(\zeta_\Delta) - B(\zeta_\Delta)) \\ &\stackrel{\text{mod } p}{\equiv} \left(-\frac{\chi(p)}{p} \sum_{t=0}^{p-1} C_t (\chi(rt) + \chi(rt+1) + \dots \right. \\ &\quad \left. + \chi(rt+r-1)) \right). \end{aligned}$$

Note that $C_t \equiv 1 \pmod{p}$. By using $C_0 = 1$ and for $t \geq 1$

$$\begin{aligned} C_t &= \frac{(p-1)!}{(p-1-t)!t!} (-1)^t \\ &\equiv 1 - \left(1 + \frac{1}{2} + \dots + \frac{1}{t} \right) p \pmod{p^2} \end{aligned}$$

we conclude that

$$\begin{aligned} L_p(1, \chi) &\stackrel{\text{mod } p}{\equiv} -\frac{\chi(p)}{p} \sum_{t=0}^{p-1} (\chi(rt) + \dots + \chi(rt+r-1)) \\ &\quad + \chi(p) \sum_{t=1}^{p-1} \left(1 + \dots + \frac{1}{t} \right) (\chi(rt) + \dots + \chi(rt+r-1)) \\ &= \chi(p) \sum_{t=1}^{p-1} \left(1 + \dots + \frac{1}{t} \right) (\chi(rt) + \dots + \chi(rt+r-1)). \end{aligned}$$

This completes the proof. \square

Let D_n be the subgroup of A_n consisting of ideal classes represented by products of prime ideals of k_n lying above p . Taya [4] proved the following theorem using a theorem of Greenberg [2].

Theorem 2. *Let k be a totally real number field and p an odd prime number. Assume that p splits completely in k and also that Leopoldt's conjecture is valid for k and p . Then the following are equivalent.*

- (1) $\lambda_p(k) = \mu_p(k) = 0$.
- (2) $|D_n| = |A_0| p^{v_p(R_p(k)) - [k:\mathbf{Q}] + 1}$ for some $n \geq 0$.

Here $R_p(k)$ is the p -adic regulator of k . When k is a real abelian number field, we can compute $v_p(R_p(k))$ by the theorem of Colmez [1]:

$$\begin{aligned} \lim_{s \rightarrow 1} (s-1) \zeta_p(s, k) &= \frac{2^{[k:\mathbf{Q}] - 1} h_k R_p(k)}{\sqrt{d_k}} \prod_{\mathfrak{p}|p} (1 - N(\mathfrak{p})^{-1}). \end{aligned}$$

When p splits completely in k , then it follows from the above formula that

$$\begin{aligned} \prod_{1 \neq \chi \in \text{Gal}(k/\mathbf{Q})} L_p(1, \chi) &= \frac{2^{[k:\mathbf{Q}] - 1} h_k R_p(k) (1 - p^{-1})^{[k:\mathbf{Q}] - 1}}{\sqrt{d_k}}. \end{aligned}$$

Note that $v_p(R_p(k)) \geq [k:\mathbf{Q}] - 1$, hence if the left hand side of the above formula is a p adic unit, we see that $p \nmid h_k$. Note also that Leopoldt's conjecture holds for any real abelian extension over \mathbf{Q} . Now the proof of Corollary 1 follows directly from Theorem 1, Theorem 2 and discussion above.

Remark 1. Note that $\chi(t) = (\Delta/t)$, and $\chi(t) = (t/D)$ when $D \equiv 1 \pmod{4}$. Here $(*)$ is a

Kronecker symbol. For $p, \Delta < 200$ with $p \equiv 1(\Delta)$,

$$L_p(1, \chi) \equiv \sum_{t=1}^{p-1} \left(1 + \frac{1}{2} + \dots + \frac{1}{t}\right) \chi(t) \not\equiv 0 \pmod p$$

except for $p = 181, \Delta = 60$. Hence $\mu_p(\mathbf{Q}(\sqrt{D})) = \lambda_p(\mathbf{Q}(\sqrt{D})) = 0$ except for $\mathbf{Q}(\sqrt{15})$ and $p = 181$ when $p, \Delta < 200$. We do not know whether the Greenberg's conjecture holds for $k = \mathbf{Q}(\sqrt{15})$ and $p = 181$.

3. The case of conductor p . In this section we turn our attention to the evaluation of $(L_p(1, \chi) \pmod p)$ when the conductor of a Dirichlet character χ is p . Let ω be the Teichmuller character of conductor p .

Theorem 3. *Let $p \equiv 1 \pmod 4$ be a prime number, $\chi = \omega^{(p-1)/2}$ be the nontrivial character for $\mathbf{Q}(\sqrt{p})$. Then we have*

$$\begin{aligned} L_p(1, \chi) &\equiv 2B_{(p-1)/2} \\ &\equiv \sum_{a=1}^{(p-1)/2} \binom{p}{a} \left(\frac{2}{p}a^{p-1} + a^{p-2}\right) \pmod p. \end{aligned}$$

Here (\cdot) is a Kronecker symbol and B_n is a n -th Bernoulli number.

Proof. The first equality is already known (see the proof of Theorem 5.37 in Washington [5]). By Corollary 5.15 [5], $B_{1, \omega^{(p-3)/2}} \equiv B_{(p-1)/2} / (p-1)/2 \equiv -2B_{(p-1)/2} \pmod p$. From the decomposition $a = \omega(a)\langle a \rangle$,

$$\begin{aligned} &\frac{1}{p} \sum_{a=1}^{p-1} \binom{p}{a} a^{p-1} \\ &= \frac{1}{p} \sum_{a=1}^{p-1} \chi(a) a^{p-1} = \frac{1}{p} \sum_{a=1}^{p-1} \chi(a) \langle a \rangle^{p-1} \\ &= \frac{1}{p} \sum_{a=1}^{p-1} \chi(a) (\langle a \rangle^{p-1} - 1) \\ &= \frac{1}{p} \sum_{a=1}^{p-1} \chi(a) (\langle a \rangle - 1) (\langle a \rangle^{p-2} + \dots + \langle a \rangle + 1) \\ &\equiv (p-1) \frac{1}{p} \sum_{a=1}^{p-1} \chi(a) (\langle a \rangle - 1) \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} &\equiv -\frac{1}{p} \sum_{a=1}^{p-1} \chi(a) \langle a \rangle = -\frac{1}{p} \sum_{a=1}^{p-1} \omega^{(p-1)/2}(a) \omega^{-1}(a) a \\ &= -B_{1, \omega^{(p-3)/2}} \equiv 2B_{(p-1)/2} \pmod p, \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} &\frac{1}{p} \sum_{a=1}^{p-1} \binom{p}{a} a^{p-1} \\ &= \frac{1}{p} \sum_{a=1}^{(p-1)/2} \binom{p}{a} a^{p-1} + \frac{1}{p} \sum_{a=1}^{(p-1)/2} \binom{p}{p-a} (p-a)^{p-1} \\ &\equiv \frac{2}{p} \sum_{a=1}^{(p-1)/2} \binom{p}{a} a^{p-1} + \sum_{a=1}^{(p-1)/2} \binom{p}{a} a^{p-2} \pmod p, \end{aligned}$$

which completes the proof. \square

Remark 2. Let $p \equiv 1 \pmod 4$ be a prime number, $h, \epsilon = (t + u\sqrt{p})/2 > 1$ be the class number and fundamental unit for $\mathbf{Q}(\sqrt{p})$. Then, by Ankeny-Artin-Chowla,

$$\frac{u}{t} h \equiv B_{(p-1)/2} \pmod p.$$

Since $h < \sqrt{p}$, the above congruence actually determines h if $p \nmid B_{(p-1)/2}$. For $p < 6, 270, 713$, no examples of $p \nmid B_{(p-1)/2}$ are known (See p. 82 for details in [5]).

Acknowledgements. This work was supported by grant R01-2002-000-00032-0 from the Basic Research Program of the Korea Science & Engineering Foundation.

References

- [1] Colmez, P.: Residu en $s = 1$ des fonctions zeta p -adiques. Invent. Math., **91**, 371-389 (1988).
- [2] Greenberg, R.: On the Iwasawa invariants of totally real fields. Amer. J. Math., **98**, 263-284 (1976).
- [3] Oh, J.: ℓ -adic L -functions and rational function measures. Acta Arith., **83**, 369-379 (1998).
- [4] Taya, H.: On p -adic zeta functions and \mathbf{Z}_p -extensions of certain totally real number fields. Tohoku Math. J., **51**, 21-33 (1999).
- [5] Washington, L.: Introduction to Cyclotomic Fields. Grad. Texts in Math., 83, Springer-Verlag, New York (1982).