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#### Abstract

We answer two questions on Pell's equations and periods of quadratic irrationals raised by the second author while computing some cohomology groups.
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## 1. Question one on fundamental unit.

In [4, §5], Ono compared certain non-abelian cohomology groups of congruence group $\Gamma_{0}(\ell)$ with that of $\Gamma_{1}(\ell)$, where $\ell$ is a prime $\equiv 3 \bmod 4$. During the investigation, he suspected that $\mathcal{U}\left(\Gamma_{0}(\ell)\right)=$ $\mathcal{U}\left(\Gamma_{1}(\ell)\right)$, which is, if stated in plainer language and more completely,

Theorem. Let $\left(x_{0}, y_{0}\right)$ be the fundamental solution to the Pell's equation:

$$
\begin{equation*}
x^{2}-y^{2} \ell=1 \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\ell$ is a prime number. Then $x_{0} \equiv 1 \bmod \ell \Leftrightarrow$ $\ell=2$ or $\ell \equiv 7 \bmod 8$.

Note that since $x^{2}-1 \equiv 0 \bmod \ell$, we have $x \equiv$ $\pm 1 \bmod \ell$. It turns out that the above beautiful theorem has been discovered before. See for example, [8]. Here we present an elementary proof:

Without loss of generality, the values of variables and each component of solutions we will refer to are positive integers.
" $\Leftarrow$ ": The case when $\ell=2$ is trivial. Now we will prove for any $\ell \equiv 7 \bmod 8$, not necessarily prime, (1) has no solution with $x \equiv-1 \bmod \ell$. Suppose otherwise that we have $x=m \ell-1$ for some (positive) integer $m$. Upon substitution we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
y^{2}=m(m \ell-2) \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

There are two cases.
Case one: $m$ is odd. Then $(m, m \ell-2)=1$, which implies both $m$ and $m \ell-2$ are squares. Then $m \equiv 1 \bmod 8$ and hence, $m \ell-2 \equiv 5 \bmod 8$, which is impossible as $m \ell-2$ is a square.

[^0]Case two: $m=2 n$ for some integer $n$. Upon substitution, we have $y^{2}=4 n(n \ell-1)$. Since ( $n, n \ell-$ 1) $=1$, both $n$ and $n \ell-1$ are squares. Then $n \equiv$ $0,1,4 \bmod 8$ and hence, $n \ell-1 \equiv-1,6,3 \bmod 8$, which is again impossible as $n \ell-1$ is a square. Thus we complete the "if" part.
$" \Rightarrow$ ": An inspection on the multiplicative group structure of solutions of the Pell's equation tells that if $x_{0} \equiv 1 \bmod \ell$, so are all other solutions. So it suffices to show that there exists at least one (positive) solution to (1) with $x \equiv-1 \bmod \ell$ when $\ell \neq 2$ and $\ell \not \equiv 7 \bmod 8$.

There are two cases.
Case one: $\quad \ell \equiv 1 \bmod 4$. Let $(a, b)$ be such that $a^{2}-b^{2} \ell=-1$. For example, by the elementary theory of continued fractions, $(a, b)$ can be the $(k-1)$-th convergent of the continued fraction of $\sqrt{\ell}$, where $k$ is the period of that continued fraction. Setting $m=$ $2 b^{2}, y=2 b a$, we obtain a solution to (2) and hence also a desired solution to (1).

Case two: $\quad \ell \equiv 3 \bmod 8$. Note that

$$
\left(x_{0}+1\right)\left(x_{0}-1\right)=y_{0}^{2} \ell
$$

We claim that $x_{0}$ is an even number. Otherwise suppose $x_{0}$ is odd. Then $\left(x_{0}+1, x_{0}-1\right)=2$ and hence, $x_{0} \pm 1=2 a^{2} \ell$ and $x_{0} \mp 1=2 b^{2}$ for some $a, b$. The upper signs can not hold as it would imply $b^{2} \equiv$ $-1 \bmod \ell$, a contradiction to $\ell \equiv 3 \bmod 8$ by reciprocity law. As for the lower signs, we would find $b^{2}-a^{2} \ell=1$, i.e., a solution $(a, b)$ that is smaller than $\left(x_{0}, y_{0}\right)$, which is impossible as $\left(x_{0}, y_{0}\right)$ is the smallest solution.

Now that $x_{0}$ is an even number, $\left(x_{0}+1, x_{0}-\right.$ $1)=1$. So $x_{0} \pm 1=a^{2} \ell$ and $x_{0} \mp 1=b^{2}$ for some $a, b$. The lower signs can not hold as it would imply $b^{2} \equiv 2 \bmod \ell$, again a contradiction to $\ell \equiv 3 \bmod 8$ by reciprocity law. So the upper signs must hold. That is to say, $b^{2}-a^{2} \ell=-2$. We then obtain a
solution to (2), namely, $m=a^{2}, y=a b$, and hence also a desired solution to (1). This completes the "only if" part.
2. Question two on period length of continued fraction. The (simple) continued fraction of an irrational number is (eventually) periodic if and only if that number is a quadratic irrational, i.e., an irrational number in a real quadratic extension of $\mathbf{Q}$ (Lagrange, 1770). Ono was initially interested in the length $k=k(\ell)$ of the (shortest) periods of the continued fraction of $\sqrt{\ell}$, where $\ell$ is a prime number. In [5] he suggested that

$$
\begin{aligned}
& k \equiv 0 \bmod 4 \text { if } \ell \equiv 7 \bmod 8 \\
& k \equiv 2 \bmod 4 \text { if } \ell \equiv 3 \bmod 8
\end{aligned}
$$

In fact, the following is already proved by Lagrange (1770).
$k$ is odd if $\ell=2$ or $\ell \equiv 1 \bmod 4$.
As we expected this basic result was not news. See [2, Corollary 1] or [1]. However, we would like to present a proof that suggests a little more in this case.

Let $k$ be even so $k=2 n+2$ for some $n \geq 0$. By [6, Problem 7.20], we can write

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sqrt{\ell}=\left[q_{0} ; q_{1}, \ldots, q_{n}, q_{n+1}, q_{n}, \ldots, q_{1}, q_{0}, \frac{1}{\sqrt{\ell}}\right] \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

Note that throughout this article partial quotients of a continued fraction is indexed beginning with 0 which signifies the integral part of it.

Suppose $n=0$, i.e., $\sqrt{\ell}=\left[q_{0} ; \overline{r, 2 q_{0}}\right]$, where $q_{0}=$ $\lfloor\sqrt{\ell}\rfloor$, then $\ell=q_{0}^{2}+2 q_{0} / r$. So $r \mid 2 q_{0}$. Since $k \neq 1$, $r \neq 2 q_{0}$. Since $\ell$ is prime, $\left(q_{0}, 2 q_{0} / r\right)=1$ and hence $q_{0}=r$. Being an odd number, $\ell=q_{0}^{2}+2 \equiv 3 \bmod 8$.

Now let $n>0$. Let $\left[q_{0}, q_{1}, \ldots, q_{n}\right]=a_{n} / b_{n}$, $\left[q_{0}, q_{1}, \ldots, q_{n-1}\right]=a_{n-1} / b_{n-1}$. It is well-known that:

$$
\begin{equation*}
a_{n} b_{n-1}-b_{n} a_{n-1}=(-1)^{n+1} \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

We also know by $[6$, Problem 7.7$]$ that $\left[q_{n}, \ldots\right.$, $\left.q_{1}, q_{0}\right]=a_{n} / a_{n-1},\left[q_{n}, \ldots, q_{1}\right]=b_{n} / b_{n-1}$. So,

$$
\left[q_{n}, \ldots, q_{1}, q_{0}, \frac{1}{\sqrt{\ell}}\right]=\frac{\frac{1}{\sqrt{\ell}} a_{n}+b_{n}}{\frac{1}{\sqrt{\ell}} a_{n-1}+b_{n-1}}
$$

The right hand side of equality (3) becomes

$$
\frac{\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{\ell}} a_{n}+b_{n}\right)\left(q_{n+1} a_{n}+a_{n-1}\right)+\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{\ell}} a_{n-1}+b_{n-1}\right) a_{n}}{\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{\ell}} a_{n}+b_{n}\right)\left(q_{n+1} b_{n}+b_{n-1}\right)+\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{\ell}} a_{n-1}+b_{n-1}\right) b_{n}}
$$

Hence (3) implies two equalities, one being trivial and the other one being:
(5) $\quad a_{n}\left(q_{n+1} a_{n}+2 a_{n-1}\right)=\ell b_{n}\left(q_{n+1} b_{n}+2 b_{n-1}\right)$.

Suppose $\ell \mid a_{n}$. As $\left(a_{n}, q_{n} a_{n}+2 a_{n-1}\right)=\left(a_{n}, 2\right) \mid$ 2 , we know $\ell \nmid q_{n+1} a_{n}+2 a_{n}$. Since $b_{n}\left(q_{n+1} a_{n}+\right.$ $\left.2 a_{n-1}\right)-a_{n}\left(q_{n+1} b_{n}+2 b_{n-1}\right)=2\left(b_{n} a_{n-1}-a_{n} b_{n-1}\right)=$ $2(-1)^{n}$, we know $\left(q_{n+1} a_{n}+2 a_{n-1}, q_{n+1} b_{n}+2 b_{n-1}\right) \mid$ 2. Hence, from (5), we have

$$
q_{n+1} a_{n}+2 a_{n-1} \mid 2 b_{n}
$$

Then $a_{n} / b_{n}<2$. However, $a_{n} / b_{n}$ is a best approximation to $\sqrt{\ell}$. Hence, $\sqrt{\ell}<2$. That is, $\ell=2$ or 3 which is impossible as $k(2)=1$ and $k(3)=2$. So $\ell \nmid a_{n}$.

We know that $\left(a_{n}, b_{n}\right)=1$. So from (5), we have

$$
a_{n} \mid q_{n+1} b_{n}+2 b_{n-1}
$$

By [6, Problem 7.20], $q_{n+1}<\sqrt{\ell}$. Furthermore, $a_{n}>$ $\sqrt{\ell} b_{n}-1$ as $a_{n} / b_{n}$ is a best approximation to $\sqrt{\ell}$. So if $\ell>16$, we must have $2 a_{n}>q_{n+1} b_{n}+2 b_{n-1}$ and hence from the divisibility above:

$$
\begin{equation*}
a_{n}=q_{n+1} b_{n}+2 b_{n-1} \tag{6}
\end{equation*}
$$

which can be easily verified for $\ell<16$. Then combining with (5), we also have:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\ell b_{n}=q_{n+1} a_{n}+2 a_{n-1} \tag{7}
\end{equation*}
$$

Hence,
(8) $\quad a_{n}^{2}-\ell b_{n}^{2}=2\left(a_{n} b_{n-1}-b_{n} a_{n-1}\right)=2(-1)^{n+1}$.

We know $\ell \neq 2$. If $n$ is even, then $(-2 / \ell)=1$ and hence $\ell \equiv 1,3 \bmod 8$ by reciprocity law. Checking (8) module 8 , we see $\ell \equiv 1 \bmod 8$ is not possible. Hence, $\ell \equiv 3 \bmod 8$. Likewise, $\ell \equiv 7 \bmod 8$ if $n$ is odd. This concludes our proof.

Readers may note that for $\ell \equiv 3 \bmod 8$, the pair $\left(a_{n}, b_{n}\right)$ here is the same as the $(a, b)$ in the last paragraph of the proof of the previous theorem.

We see that the equations (6) and (7) lead to (8), whose right hand side is determined by the class of $k \bmod 4$. In fact, there are stronger links among them as reflected by part of the following theorem. To set up, let $\ell$ be a non-square (positive) integer, not necessarily prime. $\sqrt{\ell}=\left[q_{0}, q_{1}, \ldots\right]=\left[q_{0}, \overline{q_{1}, \ldots, q_{k}}\right]$, where $q_{0}=\lfloor\sqrt{\ell}\rfloor$ and $q_{1}, \ldots, q_{k}$ is the first (shortest) period. Let $a_{i} / b_{i}$ be its $i$-th convergent, $i \geq 0$. Set $a_{-1}=1$ and $b_{-1}=0$. Then they satisfy (4) for $n \geq 0$ and

$$
a_{i}=q_{i} a_{i-1}+a_{i-2} \quad \text { and } \quad b_{i}=q_{i} b_{i-1}+b_{i-2}
$$

for $i>0$. More over, $a_{i} / b_{i}$ is a best approximation to $\sqrt{\ell}$ for $i \geq 0$.

The following theorem can be viewed as a variant of the celebrated theorem of Lagrange on the solution of $x^{2}-y^{2} \ell= \pm 1$.

Theorem. The following statements are equivalent for $\ell \neq 2$.
(A) $x^{2}-y^{2} \ell= \pm 2$ is solvable.
(B) $a_{n}^{2}-b_{n}^{2} \ell= \pm 2$ for some $n$.
(C) $k$ is even and $\left(a_{n}, \ell\right)=1$ where $n=k / 2-1+t k$ for some $t \geq 0$.
(D) $a_{n}=q_{n+1} b_{n}+2 b_{n-1}=b_{n+1}+b_{n-1}$ for some $n \geq 0$.
(E) $\ell b_{n}=q_{n+1} a_{n}+2 a_{n-1}=a_{n+1}+a_{n-1}$ for some $n \geq 0$.
(F) $b_{n} \mid a_{n}-2 b_{n-1}$ for some $n>\frac{\log (5 \ell)}{2 \log ((1+\sqrt{5}) / 2)}+1$.
(G) $a_{n} \mid \ell b_{n}-2 a_{n-1}$ for some $n>0$.
(H) $b_{n-1} \mid a_{n}-b_{n+1}$ for some $n>\frac{\log (5 \ell)}{2 \log ((1+\sqrt{5}) / 2)}+1$ and $1<q_{n+1} \neq 2 q_{0}$ if $\ell \neq 3,7$.
(I) $a_{n-1} \mid \ell b_{n}-a_{n+1}$ for some $n>0$ and $1<$ $q_{n+1} \neq 2 q_{0}$ if $\ell \neq 3,7$.
(J) there is $2 \sqrt{\ell} / 3<q_{n+1} \neq 2 q_{0}$ for some $n \geq 0$ or $\ell=3,7,14,23$.
Proof. To prepare for the proof, we recall the continued fraction algorithm applied to $\sqrt{\ell}$ : Let $P_{0}=$ $0, Q_{0}=1$ and recursively compute for $i \geq 0$ :

$$
\begin{gather*}
q_{i}=\left\lfloor\left(P_{i}+\sqrt{\ell}\right) / Q_{i}\right\rfloor  \tag{9}\\
P_{i+1}=q_{i} Q_{i}-P_{i}  \tag{10}\\
Q_{i+1}=\left(\ell-P_{i+1}^{2}\right) / Q_{i} \tag{11}
\end{gather*}
$$

Then $P_{i}, Q_{i}, q_{i}$ are positive integers except for $P_{0}$ and, moreover, the values of $q_{i}$ 's are actually the same as before. These three sequences are pure periodic with period length $k$ if we ignore $P_{0}$ and $q_{0}$. $Q_{i}=1$ if and only if $i=t k$ for some integer $t$, which in turn, if and only if $i=0$ or $q_{i}=2 q_{0}$, which is the largest value of $q_{i}$ 's. We know $a_{i}^{2}-b_{i}^{2} \ell=$ $(-1)^{i+1} Q_{i+1}$ for all $i$ and:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\max \left(0, \sqrt{\ell}-Q_{i}\right)<P_{i}<\sqrt{\ell} \text { for } i>0 \tag{12}
\end{equation*}
$$

Note that (12) and (9) implies that:

$$
\begin{equation*}
-2<q_{i}-2 \sqrt{\ell} / Q_{i}<0 \tag{13}
\end{equation*}
$$

We also have the following two equalities that are fundamental to our proof.

$$
\begin{align*}
a_{i} & =P_{i+1} b_{i}+Q_{i+1} b_{i-1} \quad \text { for } \quad i \geq 0  \tag{14}\\
\ell b_{i} & =P_{i+1} a_{i}+Q_{i+1} a_{i-1} \quad \text { for } \quad i \geq 0 \tag{15}
\end{align*}
$$

All above can be found in $[3, \S 2.1]$. In particular, (14) and (15) are special cases of [3, Exer. 2.1.2 (g)]. To facilitate the proof, we introduce two more conditions (K) and (L):
(K) $Q_{n+1}=2$ for some $n \geq 0$.
(L) $P_{n+1}=q_{n+1}$ for some $n$ and it is greater than 1 if $\ell \neq 3,7$.
$(\mathrm{A}) \Leftrightarrow(\mathrm{B}):$ If $\ell>4$, this is an application of the often-called Legendre's theorem [3, Exer. 2.1.10 (b)]. Check directly for $\ell=3$ using $1^{2}-1^{2} \cdot 3=-2$ and $2^{2}-1^{2} \cdot 3=1$.
$(\mathrm{B}) \Leftrightarrow(\mathrm{K}):$ because $a_{i}^{2}-b_{i}^{2} \ell=(-1)^{i+1} Q_{i+1}$.
$(\mathrm{B}) \Leftrightarrow(\mathrm{C})$ : The " $\Leftarrow$ " part is the essence of what we have proved as above with $n$ replaced by $a_{k / 2-1+t k}$. The " $\Rightarrow$ " part is a special case of [3, Thm. 6.1.4, p. 193] and in fact, $\left|a_{k / 2-1+t k}^{2}-b_{k / 2-1+t k}^{2} \ell\right|=$ 2 for all $t \geq 0$. Also see [ $7, \S 4$ Lemma 1$]$.
$(\mathrm{K}) \Rightarrow(\mathrm{J}):$ By $(13), q_{n+1}>\sqrt{\ell}-2$, which is greater than $2 \sqrt{\ell} / 3$ if $\ell>36$. Check directly for $\ell<36$.
$(\mathrm{J}) \Rightarrow(\mathrm{K})$ : Check directly for $\ell=3,7,14,23$. Now assume $2 \sqrt{\ell} / 3<q_{n+1} \neq 2 q_{0}$. The last inequality implies $Q_{n+1} \neq 1$. By (13), $Q_{n+1}<2 \sqrt{\ell} / q_{n+1}<$ 3. So $Q_{n+1}=2$.
$(\mathrm{K}) \Rightarrow(\mathrm{L}):(12)$ becomes $-2<P_{n+1}-\sqrt{\ell}<0$. Hence by (9), $q_{n+1}=P_{n+1}$. Verify that if $\ell<9$, then $\ell=2,3,5,7$ and $Q_{n+1}=q_{n+1}=2$ for even $n$ if $\ell=6$. Furthermore, by (13), $q_{n+1}>\sqrt{\ell}-2>1$ for $\ell>9$.
$(\mathrm{L}) \Rightarrow(\mathrm{K}): Q_{1}, Q_{3}=2$ for $\ell=3,7$, respectively. Now we assume $P_{n+1}=q_{n+1}>1$. By (12), $\sqrt{\ell}=$ $P_{n+1}+c Q_{n+1}$ for some $0<c<1$. By (9),

$$
P_{n+1}<2 P_{n+1} / Q_{n+1}+c<P_{n+1}+1 .
$$

Hence, $1<Q_{n+1}<4$. If $Q_{n+1}=2$, we are done. Now assume $Q_{n+1}=3$. Then $P_{n+1} / 3<c<1$. Hence, $P_{n+1}=2$. By (10), $P_{n+2}=4$. By (11), $\ell=P_{n+2}^{2}+Q_{n+1} Q_{n+2}=16+3 Q_{n+2}$. On the other hand, $\ell<\left(P_{n+1}+Q_{n+1}\right)^{2}=25$. So $\ell=19,22$. For $\ell=19,22, Q_{3}=2$.
$(\mathrm{K}) \Rightarrow(\mathrm{D}),(\mathrm{E}),(\mathrm{F}),(\mathrm{G}),(\mathrm{H}),(\mathrm{I}):$ By the periodicity of $P$ 's and $q$ 's, we can assume $n$ is sufficiently large and hence we are done as we have (14) and (15).
$(\mathrm{F}) \Rightarrow(\mathrm{K})$ : By induction, $b_{i} \geq F_{i}$ for $i>0$, where $F_{i}$ is the Fibonacci numbers. The condition on $n$ is to ensure that $F_{n-1} \geq\lfloor\sqrt{\ell}\rfloor$. So $b_{n-1} \geq\lfloor\sqrt{\ell}\rfloor$. Note that $b_{n}$ and $b_{n-1}$ are coprime as we have (4). So the Diophantine equation

$$
\begin{equation*}
a_{n}=x b_{n}+y b_{n-1} \tag{16}
\end{equation*}
$$

has only one solution such that $0<x<\sqrt{\ell}$.
Since $n>2, b_{n}>b_{n-1}$. Hence (F) and (14) implies that $Q_{n+1} \neq 1$. So (14) shows that $a_{n}-$ $2 b_{n-1}>0$, i.e., the assumption ( F ) provides one such solution. Since we also have (14), $Q_{n+1}=2$.
$(\mathrm{G}) \Rightarrow(\mathrm{K})$ : Note that $a_{n-1} \geq a_{0}=\lfloor\sqrt{\ell}\rfloor$. The rest is similar to that of $(\mathrm{F}) \Rightarrow(\mathrm{K})$.
$(\mathrm{H}),(\mathrm{I}) \Rightarrow(\mathrm{K}):$ Note that $a_{n+1}=q_{n+1} a_{n}+a_{n-1}$, $b_{n+1}=q_{n+1} b_{n}+b_{n-1}$. We obtain (L) by argument similar to the previous ones. Hence (K).
$(\mathrm{D}) \Rightarrow(\mathrm{K})$ : Check directly for $n=0$. Now let $n>0 . q_{n+1}<\left(a_{n}-1\right) / b_{n}<\sqrt{\ell}<2 q_{0}$. The middle inequality holds as $a_{n} / b_{n}$ is a best approximation to $\sqrt{\ell}$. If $n=1, q_{n+1}+2 \geq a_{n} / b_{n}>\sqrt{\ell}$; if $n>1$, $q_{n+1}+2>a_{n} / b_{n}$ and again $q_{n+1}+2>\sqrt{\ell}$. Hence $q_{n+1}>2 \sqrt{\ell} / 3$ for $\ell>36$, which implies (K) through (J). For $\ell<36$, it suffices to check for $n \leq 6$ by (F).
$(\mathrm{E}) \Rightarrow(\mathrm{K}):$ Check directly if $n=0$. If $n>0$, then $(\mathrm{E}) \Rightarrow(\mathrm{G}) \Rightarrow(\mathrm{K})$.

This concludes the proof of the theorem. Readers could try finding another two equivalent conditions similar to (H) and (I).

Note that the extra conditions to exclude small $n$ can not be discarded. For example, let $\ell=29$. Then we have $b_{3} \mid a_{3}-2 b_{2}$ however $x^{2}-y^{2} \cdot 29= \pm 2$ is not solvable.

Also note the dummy index $n$ throughout the statements and proof of the theorem is meant to be the same except for the extra conditions. For example, the proof of $(B) \Rightarrow(C)$ actually shows that if $a_{n}^{2}-b_{n}^{2} \ell= \pm 2$ for some $n$, then $k$ is even and the same $n=k / 2-1+t k$ for some $t \geq 0$. Since $a_{i} / b_{i}<$ $\sqrt{\ell}$ for even $i$ and $a_{i} / b_{i}>\sqrt{\ell}$ for odd $i$, we have the following link alluded before: if $x^{2}-y^{2} \ell=\epsilon \cdot 2$ is solvable, then $k \equiv \epsilon-1 \bmod 4$, where $\ell \neq 2$ and $\epsilon=$ $\pm 1$.

The general picture of our theorem is that when
we have (A) for $\ell \neq 2$, then $k$ is even and if $n=k / 2-$ $1+t k$ for some $t \geq 0$, then we have (B), (D), (E), (K) and $P_{n+1}=P_{n+2}=q_{n+1}=$ the largest integer less than $\sqrt{\ell}$ and having the same parity as $\ell$. The last equality can be observed, say, from [6, Table 3, p.339] and its proof is left to the readers. Among the many families of $\ell$ 's that (A) holds, we list here four: $m^{2}-2$ for $m \geq 2, m^{2}+2$ for $m \geq 1,2 p^{i}$ and $p^{2 i-1}$ for prime $p \equiv 3 \bmod 4$ and positive $i$.

A similar theorem can be established for a nonsquare even $\ell \neq 12$ such that $x^{2}-y^{2} \ell= \pm 4$ has a primitive solution, i.e., $y$ is odd. We exclude odd $\ell$ to make sure that $k$ is even. For $\ell=12$, there is a solution $x=4, y=1$ that is not a convergent of the continued fraction of $\sqrt{12}$. Note that the above condition for $\ell$ is satisfied if $4 \mid \ell$ and $x^{2}-y^{2} \ell / 4=-1$ has a solution. Among the many families of such $\ell$ 's, we list here two: $m^{2}-4$ for even $m \geq 3,4 p^{2 i-1}$ for prime $p$ and positive $i$.
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