

## An inequality among infinitesimal characters related to the lowest $K$ -types of discrete series

By Masato WAKAYAMA

Graduate School of Mathematics, Kyushu University

(Communicated by Kiyosi ITÔ, M. J. A., April 13, 1998)

**1. Introduction.** Let  $G$  be a connected real semi-simple Lie group with finite center, and  $K$  a maximal compact subgroup of  $G$ . We denote by  $\mathfrak{g}$  and  $\mathfrak{k}$  the Lie algebras of  $G$  and  $K$  respectively. Let  $G = KAN$  be an Iwasawa decomposition of  $G$  and  $M$  a centralizer of  $A$  in  $K$ .

A famous Parthasarathy's Dirac operator inequality in [7] (see also, [1,5,8]) asserts that the length of the highest weight of a representation of  $\mathfrak{k}$  occurring in the Harish-Chandra module of an irreducible unitary representation of  $G$  must be at least the eigenvalue of the Casimir operator of  $\mathfrak{g}$ .

In the present note, we shall give some inequality for the infinitesimal characters of irreducible representations of  $M$ . This inequality resembles the Dirac operator inequality in character. In fact, it relates to the discrete series of  $G$  via a lowest  $K$ -type.

Since the group  $M$  is, in general, considerably small in  $K$  it seems hard to expect any inequalities among characters of representations of  $M$  which are obtained by the restriction of representations of  $K$ . Moreover, a proper meaning of the group  $M$  is somewhat mysterious although its structural definition is clear. In this sense, it is important to ask roles of  $M$  from various point of view. This is the aim of the study on a comparison among representations of  $M$ . In fact, we shall show that a "length" of the *dominant  $M$ -type* (see §2 for the precise definition) of the lowest  $K$ -type of a discrete series of  $G$  dominates all the other such dominant  $M$ -types which appear in a Weyl group-"orbit" of the lowest  $K$ -type. The inequality may have also a possibility to provide

an information about a "scale" of parameters among various embedding of discrete series into non-unitary principal series induced from a minimal parabolic subgroup  $MAN$ . We shall lastly propose some questions concerning the inequality.

The author would like to express his thanks to Professor H. Ochiai for his valuable comments.

**2. Statement and proof.** Assume that  $\text{rank}(K) = \text{rank}(G)$ . Then  $G$  contains a Cartan subgroup  $T$  which lies in  $K$ . We denote by  $\mathfrak{t}$  the Lie algebra of  $T$ . Let  $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{k} \oplus \mathfrak{p}$  be a Cartan decomposition of  $\mathfrak{g}$ . Note that  $\mathfrak{t} \subset \mathfrak{k}$ . Let  $(, ) = B|_{\mathfrak{p} \times \mathfrak{p}}$ , where  $B$  is the Cartan-Killing form of  $\mathfrak{g}$ . For any subalgebra  $\mathfrak{l}$  of  $\mathfrak{g}$  we denote by  $\mathfrak{l}_{\mathbb{C}}$  the complexification of  $\mathfrak{l}$ . Let  $\Delta = \Delta(\mathfrak{g}_{\mathbb{C}}, \mathfrak{t}_{\mathbb{C}})$  be the set of non-zero roots of the pair  $(\mathfrak{g}_{\mathbb{C}}, \mathfrak{t}_{\mathbb{C}})$  and let  $\Delta_{\mathfrak{k}}, \Delta_{\mathfrak{n}}$  be the set of compact, noncompact roots respectively, i.e.  $\Delta_{\mathfrak{k}} = \Delta_{\mathfrak{k}}(\mathfrak{k}_{\mathbb{C}}, \mathfrak{t}_{\mathbb{C}})$  and  $\Delta_{\mathfrak{n}} = \Delta \setminus \Delta_{\mathfrak{k}}$ . Let  $W(\mathfrak{g}_{\mathbb{C}}, \mathfrak{t}_{\mathbb{C}})$  be a Weyl group for the root system  $\Delta$ . Let  $\text{ad}: \mathfrak{k} \rightarrow SO(\mathfrak{p}, (, ))$  be the adjoint representation. If  $(\sigma, S)$  is the spin representation of  $SO(\mathfrak{p}, (, ))$  defined through the Clifford algebra of  $\mathfrak{p}$ , let  $L$  be the composition of  $\sigma$  and  $\text{ad}|_{\mathfrak{k}}$ . Since the dimension of  $\mathfrak{p}$  is always even, we have an irreducible decomposition of  $\sigma$ ;  $\sigma = \sigma^+ \oplus \sigma^-$ , where  $\sigma^{\pm}$  are the half-spin representations. Set  $L^{\pm} = \sigma^{\pm} \circ \text{ad}$ . For any choice of positive roots  $\Delta^+ \subset \Delta$  put  $\Delta_{\mathfrak{k}}^+ = \Delta^+ \cap \Delta_{\mathfrak{k}}$ ,  $\Delta_{\mathfrak{n}}^+ = \Delta^+ \cap \Delta_{\mathfrak{n}}$ ,  $2\delta = \langle \Delta^+ \rangle$ ,  $2\delta_{\mathfrak{k}} = \langle \Delta_{\mathfrak{k}}^+ \rangle$  and  $2\delta_{\mathfrak{n}} = \langle \Delta_{\mathfrak{n}}^+ \rangle$ , where generally we write  $\langle \Phi \rangle = \sum_{\alpha \in \Phi} \alpha$  for each subset  $\Phi \subset \Delta$ . Then it is known that the weights of  $(L, S)$  are of the form  $\delta_{\mathfrak{n}} - \langle Q \rangle$ , where  $Q \subset \Delta_{\mathfrak{n}}^+$ . We fix  $(L^+, S^+)$  so that  $\delta_{\mathfrak{n}}$  is a weight of  $L^+$ . If  $\lambda \in \mathfrak{t}_{\mathbb{C}}^*$  is a  $\Delta_{\mathfrak{k}}^+$ -dominant integral weight,  $\tau_{\lambda}$  will denote the irreducible representation of  $\mathfrak{k}_{\mathbb{C}}$  with highest weight  $\lambda$ . Each weight of  $L$  occurs with multiplicity one. In fact, Parthasarathy showed in [7] that

$$L^+ = \bigoplus_{\substack{s \in W^1 \\ \det s = 1}} \tau_{s\delta - \delta_{\mathfrak{k}}}, \quad L^- = \bigoplus_{\substack{s \in W^1 \\ \det s = -1}} \tau_{s\delta - \delta_{\mathfrak{k}}},$$

---

Dedicated to Professor Reiji Takahashi on the occasion of his seventieth birthday.

Partially supported by Grant-in-Aid for Scientific Research (B) No. 09440022, the Ministry of Education, Science, Sports and Culture of Japan.

where  $W^1$  is a subgroup of  $W(\mathfrak{g}_{\mathbb{C}}, \mathfrak{t}_{\mathbb{C}})$  defined by  $W^1 = \{s \in W(\mathfrak{g}_{\mathbb{C}}, \mathfrak{t}_{\mathbb{C}}) ; s\Delta^+ \supset \Delta_{\mathfrak{f}}^+\}$ .

We now recall some well-known results on the discrete series of  $G$  (see e.g. [3]). Let  $\mathcal{L}$  denote the weight lattice of  $T$ . Harish-Chandra has constructed a family of invariant eigendistributions  $\Theta_{\lambda(\Delta^+)}$ , where  $\lambda \in \mathcal{L} + \delta$  and  $\Delta^+$  is a system of positive roots such that  $\lambda$  is  $\Delta^+$ -dominant. When  $\lambda$  is regular,  $\Delta^+$  is uniquely determined by  $\lambda$  and  $\Theta_{\lambda(\Delta^+)}$  gives the character of a discrete series  $\omega(\lambda) = \omega(\lambda, \Delta^+)$ . Any such character arises in this way. Further the lowest  $K$ -type of  $\omega(\lambda)$  is given by  $\tau_{\lambda - \delta_{\mathfrak{f}} + \delta_{\mathfrak{n}}}$ .

Let  $\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_{\ell}$  be a fundamental sequence of positive non-compact roots (see, [4]). It is known that we may associate to this sequence a canonical Iwasawa decomposition of  $\mathfrak{g}$  as follows. Let  $\mathfrak{a}$  be the maximal abelian subspace of  $\mathfrak{p}$  given by  $\mathfrak{a} = \sum_{j=1}^{\ell} \mathbf{R}(E_{\alpha_j} + E_{-\alpha_j})$ , where  $E_{\alpha}$  represents the root vector corresponding to the root  $\alpha \in \Delta$ . Form restricted roots with respect to  $\mathfrak{a}$ , and define an ordering on the restricted roots by the basis  $E_{\alpha_1} + E_{-\alpha_1}, \dots, E_{\alpha_{\ell}} + E_{-\alpha_{\ell}}$ . Let  $\mathfrak{n}$  be the sum of the positive restricted root spaces. Then we have an Iwasawa decomposition  $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{k} \oplus \mathfrak{a} \oplus \mathfrak{n}$ . Let  $A$  and  $N$  be the analytic subgroups of  $G$  with Lie algebras  $\mathfrak{a}$  and  $\mathfrak{n}$  respectively,  $M$  for the centralizer of  $\mathfrak{a}$  in  $K$ . Let  $\mathfrak{m}$  be the Lie algebra of  $M$ .

Let  $(\tau_{\mu}, V_{\mu})$  be an irreducible representation of  $K$  (and of  $\mathfrak{k}$ ) with highest weight  $\mu$ , and let  $v_{\mu}$  be a nonzero highest weight vector. We denote by  $\sigma_{\mu}$  the restriction of an  $M$ -module  $\tau_{\mu}(M)$  to the  $M$ -cyclic subspace generated by  $v_{\mu}$ . Let  $H_{\mu}$  be the subspace of  $V_{\mu}$  in which  $\sigma_{\mu}$  operates. For simplicity, we shall put the following assumption on  $G$ :

**Assumption.**  $G$  has real rank one, or  $M$  is connected, or  $G/K$  is Hermitian symmetric.

Under this assumption the representation  $\sigma_{\mu}$  of  $M$  is irreducible. We call this representation  $\sigma_{\mu}$  the dominant  $M$ -type of  $\tau$ . The highest weight of  $\sigma_{\mu}$  on the Cartan subalgebra  $\mathfrak{h}_{\mathfrak{m}} = \mathfrak{t} \ominus \sqrt{-1} \sum_{j=1}^{\ell} \mathbf{R}H_{\alpha_j}$ , of  $\mathfrak{m}$ , with the relative ordering, is  $\mu|_{\mathfrak{h}_{\mathfrak{m}}}$ , and  $v_{\mu}$  is a highest weight vector. Let  $\mathcal{Z}(\mathfrak{m})$  be the center of the universal enveloping algebra of  $\mathfrak{m}$ . By Schur's Lemma,  $\mathcal{Z}(\mathfrak{m})$  acts by scalars in any irreducible representation of  $M$ . The resulting homomorphism  $\chi : \mathcal{Z}(\mathfrak{m}) \rightarrow \mathbf{C}$  is called the infinitesimal character. We denote by  $\chi_{\mu}|_{\mathfrak{h}_{\mathfrak{m}}}$  the

corresponding infinitesimal character of  $\sigma_{\mu}$  defined above. We denote by  $\Omega_M (\in \mathcal{Z}(\mathfrak{m}))$  the Casimir element of  $M$ . Let  $\Delta_{\mathfrak{m}} = (\mathfrak{m}, \mathfrak{h}_{\mathfrak{m}}) = \{\alpha \in \Delta ; (\alpha, \alpha_j) = 0 (1 \leq j \leq \ell)\}$  and put  $2\rho_{\mathfrak{m}} = \langle \Delta_{\mathfrak{m}}^+ \rangle$ . If  $\mu \in \mathfrak{t}_{\mathbb{C}}^*$  the restriction of  $\mu$  to  $\mathfrak{h}_{\mathfrak{m}}$  is precisely given by

$$(2.1) \quad \mu|_{\mathfrak{h}_{\mathfrak{m}}} = \mu - \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i=1}^{\ell} \langle \mu, \alpha_i \rangle \alpha_i,$$

where we define  $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$  by the formula  $\langle \mu, \alpha \rangle = 2(\mu, \alpha) / |\alpha|^2$  and  $|\alpha| = \sqrt{(\alpha, \alpha)}$ . It is well known that

$$\chi_{\mu|_{\mathfrak{h}_{\mathfrak{m}}}}(\Omega_M) = |\mu|_{\mathfrak{h}_{\mathfrak{m}}} + \rho_{\mathfrak{m}}|^2 - |\rho_{\mathfrak{m}}|^2.$$

We recall some fact concerning virtual representations of  $K$  and its restriction to  $M$ . Let  $R(K), R(M)$  be the representation rings of  $K, M$  respectively and let  $\iota : R(K) \rightarrow R(M)$  denote the ring homomorphism induced by the natural restriction inherited from the inclusion  $M \subset K$ . If  $\lambda \in \mathfrak{t}^*$  is  $\Delta_{\mathfrak{f}}^+$ -dominant integral, then  $\tau_{\lambda} \otimes L^+$  (or  $\tau_{\lambda} \otimes L^-$ ) integrates to a representation of  $K$  if and only if  $\lambda + \delta_{\mathfrak{n}} \in \mathcal{L}$ . We take a finite covering  $p : \tilde{G} \rightarrow G$  such that  $\delta_{\mathfrak{n}} \in \tilde{\mathcal{L}}$ , the weight lattice of  $\tilde{T} = p^{-1}(T)$ . If  $\tilde{K} = p^{-1}(K)$  then  $R(K)$  is identified with the obvious subring of  $R(\tilde{K})$ . We now have the following characterization of  $\ker \iota$  (see [6]).

**Lemma.** Suppose that  $\text{rank}(K) = \text{rank}(G)$ . Then we have

$$\ker \iota = \{\tau \otimes (L^+ - L^-) ; \tau \in R(\tilde{K}) \text{ and } \tau \otimes L^{\pm} \in R(K)\}.$$

In other words,  $\ker \iota$  is freely generated by

$$\{\eta_{\lambda - \delta_{\mathfrak{f}}} := \tau_{\lambda - \delta_{\mathfrak{f}}} \otimes (L^+ - L^-) ; \lambda - \delta_{\mathfrak{f}} \text{ is } \Delta_{\mathfrak{f}}^+ \text{-dominant and } \lambda - \delta_{\mathfrak{f}} + \delta_{\mathfrak{n}} \in \mathcal{L}\}.$$

This lemma leads us to focus naturally on the irreducible components of  $\eta_{\lambda - \delta_{\mathfrak{f}}}$ . Namely we look at the following irreducible decomposition of the tensor product:

$$(2.2) \quad \tau_{\lambda - \delta_{\mathfrak{f}}} \otimes \tau_{s\delta - \delta_{\mathfrak{f}}} = \tau_{\lambda + s\delta - 2\delta_{\mathfrak{f}}} \oplus \sum_{\mu} \tau_{\mu}.$$

Note that the representation  $\tau_{\lambda + s\delta - 2\delta_{\mathfrak{f}}}$  occurs exactly once in this decomposition. We remark further that  $\lambda + s\delta - 2\delta_{\mathfrak{f}} = \Lambda - (\delta - s\delta)$  for  $s \in W^1$ , where  $\Lambda$  is given by  $\Lambda = \lambda - \delta_{\mathfrak{f}} + \delta_{\mathfrak{n}}$ , the weight of the lowest  $K$ -type of  $\omega(\lambda)$ . The following theorem asserts that the value of the Casimir operator  $\Omega_M$  at each dominant  $M$ -type of  $\tau_{\lambda + s\delta - 2\delta_{\mathfrak{f}}}$  is at most that of  $\tau_{\Lambda}$ .

**Theorem.** Let  $G$  be a connected real semi-simple Lie group with finite center. Assume that  $G$

satisfies Assumption. We retain the notation above. Suppose that  $\lambda$  is a regular dominant integral form it\*. Put  $\Lambda = \lambda - \delta_{\mathfrak{t}} + \delta_{\mathfrak{n}} (= \lambda - 2\delta_{\mathfrak{t}} + \delta)$ . Then the inequality

$$(2.3) \quad \chi_{\Lambda|_{\mathfrak{h}_{\mathfrak{m}}}}(\Omega_M) \geq \chi_{\Lambda_Q|_{\mathfrak{h}_{\mathfrak{m}}}}(\Omega_M)$$

holds for all  $Q = Q_s = \delta - s\delta$  ( $s \in W^1$ ). Here we put  $\Lambda_Q = \Lambda - Q = \lambda - \delta_{\mathfrak{t}} + s\delta$ .

*Proof.* Since  $\chi_{\mu|_{\mathfrak{h}_{\mathfrak{m}}}}(\Omega_M) = |\mu|_{\mathfrak{h}_{\mathfrak{m}}} + \rho_{\mathfrak{m}}|^2 - |\rho_{\mathfrak{m}}|^2$  and we have a relation  $(\delta_{\mathfrak{t}} - \delta_{\mathfrak{n}})|_{\mathfrak{h}_{\mathfrak{m}}} = \rho_{\mathfrak{m}}$  (see (8.1) in [4]) we see that

$$\begin{aligned} \chi_{\Lambda|_{\mathfrak{h}_{\mathfrak{m}}}}(\Omega_M) - \chi_{\Lambda_Q|_{\mathfrak{h}_{\mathfrak{m}}}}(\Omega_M) &= |\Lambda|_{\mathfrak{h}_{\mathfrak{m}}} + \rho_{\mathfrak{m}}|^2 - |\Lambda_Q|_{\mathfrak{h}_{\mathfrak{m}}} + \rho_{\mathfrak{m}}|^2 \\ &= |\lambda|_{\mathfrak{h}_{\mathfrak{m}}}|^2 - |\lambda|_{\mathfrak{h}_{\mathfrak{m}}} - Q|_{\mathfrak{h}_{\mathfrak{m}}}|^2 \\ &= (Q|_{\mathfrak{h}_{\mathfrak{m}}}, 2\lambda - Q|_{\mathfrak{h}_{\mathfrak{m}}}) \\ &= (2\lambda - Q, Q) - \sum_{i=1}^{\ell} \frac{(Q, \alpha_i)}{|\alpha_i|^2} (2\lambda - Q, \alpha_i). \end{aligned}$$

We put here  $\lambda = \delta + \mu$ , where  $\mu$  is a dominant integral form for  $\Delta^+$ . Note the facts that  $(2\delta - Q, Q) = (\delta + s\delta, \delta - s\delta) = |\delta|^2 - |s\delta|^2 = 0$  and  $\frac{(2\delta, \alpha_i)}{|\alpha_i|^2} = \langle \delta, \alpha_i \rangle = 1$ . It follows that the last expression of the formula above is turned to be

$$(2.4) \quad (2\mu, Q) - \sum_{i=1}^{\ell} (Q, \alpha_i) \left\{ 1 - \frac{(Q, \alpha_i)}{|\alpha_i|^2} + \frac{(2\mu, \alpha_i)}{|\alpha_i|^2} \right\}.$$

Let us denote  $Q$  as in the form

$$(2.5) \quad Q = \sum_{i=1}^{\ell} m_i \alpha_i + R.$$

Here  $R = R_s$  is a sum of simple roots but it does not include any  $\alpha_i$ . We note that  $m_i$  is a non-negative integer and  $(R, \alpha_j) \leq 0$  for any  $j$ . Then we see that (2.4) can be rewritten as

$$(2.6) \quad (2\mu, R) - \sum_{i=1}^{\ell} \langle \mu, \alpha_i \rangle (R, \alpha_i) - \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i=1}^{\ell} \langle Q, \alpha_i \rangle |\alpha_i|^2 + \frac{1}{4} \sum_{i=1}^{\ell} \langle Q, \alpha_i \rangle^2 |\alpha_i|^2.$$

Since  $(2\mu, R) \geq 0$ ,  $\langle \mu, \alpha_i \rangle \geq 0$  and  $(R, \alpha_i) \leq 0$  we finally obtain

$$(2.7) \quad \chi_{\Lambda|_{\mathfrak{h}_{\mathfrak{m}}}}(\Omega_M) - \chi_{\Lambda_Q|_{\mathfrak{h}_{\mathfrak{m}}}}(\Omega_M) \geq \frac{1}{4} \sum_{i=1}^{\ell} \langle Q, \alpha_i \rangle (\langle Q, \alpha_i \rangle - 2) |\alpha_i|^2.$$

Since  $\langle Q, \alpha_i \rangle \leq 0$  or  $\geq 2$ , it is clear that the right hand is non-negative. In fact, it follows from the following simple observation; since  $\langle Q, \alpha_i \rangle = \langle \delta - s\delta, \alpha_i \rangle = \langle \delta, \alpha_i \rangle - \langle \delta, s^{-1}\alpha_i \rangle = 1 - \langle \delta, s^{-1}\alpha_i \rangle$ , it suffices to check the prop-

erty that if  $s^{-1}\alpha_i$  is negative (resp., positive) then  $\langle \delta, s^{-1}\alpha_i \rangle \leq -1$  (resp.,  $\langle \delta, s^{-1}\alpha_i \rangle \geq 1$ ), but this is obviously true. This concludes the proof.  $\square$

**Corollary.** We keep the notation and assumption of Theorem. Then the following equation holds:

$$(2.8) \quad \begin{aligned} &\chi_{\Lambda|_{\mathfrak{h}_{\mathfrak{m}}}}(\Omega_M) - \chi_{\Lambda_Q|_{\mathfrak{h}_{\mathfrak{m}}}}(\Omega_M) \\ &= (2\lambda - 2\delta, R_s) - \sum_{i=1}^{\ell} \langle \lambda - \delta, \alpha_i \rangle (R_s, \alpha_i) \\ &\quad + \frac{1}{4} \sum_{i=1}^{\ell} (\langle \delta, s^{-1}\alpha_i \rangle^2 - 1) |\alpha_i|^2, \end{aligned}$$

where  $R_s$  is defined by  $Q = Q_s = \delta - s\delta$  via the equation (2.5).  $\square$

**Remarks.** (1) By the corollary above, the equality in (2.3) holds if and only if  $s \in W^1$  (or  $R_s$ ) and  $\lambda$  (or  $\mu = \lambda - \delta$ ) satisfy the following conditions;

$(R_s|_{\mathfrak{h}_{\mathfrak{m}}}, \mu) = 0$ ,  $\langle \delta, s^{-1}\alpha_j \rangle = \pm 1$  ( $1 \leq j \leq \ell$ ). Here note that

$$\begin{aligned} (R_s|_{\mathfrak{h}_{\mathfrak{m}}}, \mu) &= (\mu|_{\mathfrak{h}_{\mathfrak{m}}}, R_s) \\ &= (\mu, R_s) - \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i=1}^{\ell} \langle \mu, \alpha_i \rangle (R_s, \alpha_i). \end{aligned}$$

(2) In view of the proof of Theorem it is clear that an inequality

$$|\Lambda|_{\mathfrak{h}_{\mathfrak{m}}} + \rho_{\mathfrak{m}}| \geq |\Lambda_Q|_{\mathfrak{h}_{\mathfrak{m}}} + \rho_{\mathfrak{m}}|$$

holds for any  $Q = Q_s$  for  $s \in W(\mathfrak{g}_{\mathbb{C}}, \mathfrak{t}_{\mathbb{C}})$ . It also shows that Theorem and Corollary remain true for any  $G$  without the assumption:  $\text{rank}(K) = \text{rank}(G)$ .

(3) Without Assumption, the irreducibility of the representation  $(\sigma_{\mu}, H_{\mu})$  of  $M$  does not true in general. But if we regard  $(\sigma_{\mu}, H_{\mu})$  as a representation of the Lie algebra  $\mathfrak{m}$  then the theorem remains true in an appropriate sense. Moreover, among the classical simple groups, Assumption can fail only for groups locally isomorphic to  $SO(m, n)$ .

We close this note by proposing some problems. Since the group  $M$  and its Lie algebra  $\mathfrak{m}$  are defined via a choice of a fundamental sequence of positive non-compact roots, say  $F = F(\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_{\ell})$ , we denote by  $M(F)$  and  $\mathfrak{m}(F)$  respectively the corresponding  $M$  and  $\mathfrak{m}$  for specifying the defining sequence  $F$ . For example, if  $G$  is of real rank one, then each non-compact simple root defines such a fundamental sequence (see, [4]). It naturally comes to the following

**Questions.** Put

$$C(\Lambda) = \max_F \chi_{\Lambda|_{\mathfrak{h}_{\mathfrak{m}(F)}}}(\Omega_{M(F)}).$$

- (1) *It is conjectured that the inequalities  $C(\Lambda) \geq \chi_\sigma(\Omega_{M(F)})$  would hold for all irreducible representations  $\sigma$  appearing in the restriction  $\iota(\tau)$  of  $\tau$  to  $M(F)$ . Here  $\tau$  represents an irreducible summand in  $\eta_{\lambda-\delta_{\mathfrak{k}}}$ .*
- (2) *Which  $\chi_{\Lambda|_{\mathfrak{h}_{\mathfrak{m}(F)}}}(\Omega_M)$  does attain the maximum value  $C(\Lambda)$ ? Describe the condition in terms of  $F$ . Moreover, when does  $\chi_\sigma(\Omega_{M(F)})$  attain the maximum?*

### References

- [ 1 ] A. Borel and N. Wallach: Continuous Cohomology, Discrete Subgroups and Representations of Reductive Lie Groups. Ann. Math. Studies 94, Princeton Univ. Press (1980).
- [ 2 ] J. Humphreys: Introduction to Lie Algebras and Representation Theory. GTM 9, Springer-Verlag (1972).
- [ 3 ] A. Knapp: Representation Theory of Semisimple Groups. Princeton Univ. Press (1986).
- [ 4 ] A. Knapp and N. Wallach: Szegő kernels associated with discrete series. Invent. Math., **34**, 163–200 (1976); Correction and Addition. Invent. Math., **62**, 341–346 (1980).
- [ 5 ] S. Kumaresan: On the canonical  $\mathfrak{k}$ -types in the irreducible unitary  $\mathfrak{g}$ -modules with non-zero relative cohomology. Invent. Math., **59**, 1–11 (1980).
- [ 6 ] R. Miatello: An alternating sum formula for multiplicities in  $L^2(\Gamma \backslash G)II$ . Math. Z., **182**, 35–43 (1983).
- [ 7 ] R. Parthasarathy: Dirac operator and the discrete series. Ann. of Math., **96**, 1–30 (1972).
- [ 8 ] D. Vogan, Jr. and G. Zuckerman: Unitary representations with non-zero cohomology. Composition Math., **53**, 51–90 (1984).