

## Existence of bounded solutions for semilinear degenerate elliptic equations with absorption term

By Toshio HORIUCHI

Department of Mathematical Science, Ibaraki University

(Communicated by Kiyosi ITÔ, M. J. A., March 12, 1998)

**1. Introduction.** Let  $N \geq 1$  and  $p > 1$ . Let  $F$  be a compact set and  $\Omega$  be a bounded open set of  $\mathbf{R}^N$  satisfying  $F \subset \Omega \subset \mathbf{R}^N$ . We also set  $\Omega' = \Omega \setminus \partial F$ , where  $\partial F = F \setminus \overset{\circ}{F}$  and  $\overset{\circ}{F}$  denotes the interior of  $F$ . Define

$$(1.1) \quad P = -\operatorname{div}(A(x) \nabla \cdot),$$

where  $A(x) \in C^1(\Omega')$  is positive in  $\Omega \setminus F$  and vanishes in  $\overset{\circ}{F}$ . First we shall consider removable singularities of solutions for degenerate semilinear elliptic equations. Assume that  $u \in C^0(\Omega') \cap C^2(\Omega \setminus F)$  satisfies

$$(1.2) \quad Pu + B(x)Q(u) = f(x), \quad \text{in } \Omega',$$

for  $f/B \in L^\infty(\Omega)$ . Here  $Q(u)$  is a nonlinear term defined in the section 2. Then we shall show the existence of a bounded solution in  $\Omega$  which coincides with  $u$  in  $\Omega' = \Omega \setminus \partial F$ . This result was established by H. Brezis and L. Veron in [2], under the assumptions that  $F$  consists of finite points,  $Q(t) = |t|^{p-1}t$  and  $A(x), B(x), C(x)$  are positive constants. (see also [5]). In this paper we generalize their results for an arbitrary compact set  $F$  in place of finite set and for a wider class of (degenerate) elliptic operators  $P$ .

Secondly as an application, we shall consider the Dirichlet boundary problem for genuinely degenerate semilinear elliptic operators:

$$(1.3) \quad \begin{cases} Pu + B(x)Q(u) = f(x), & \text{in } \Omega, \\ u = 0, & \text{on } \partial\Omega. \end{cases}$$

Then we shall establish the existence and uniqueness of bounded solutions  $u$  for this problem. When  $P$  is uniformly elliptic on  $\bar{\Omega}$ , this problem has been treated by many authors. But the development of the theory seems to be rather limited in the study of genuinely degenerate operators.

**2. Main results and applications.** Let  $N \geq 1$ . Let  $F$  and  $\Omega$  be a compact set and bounded open subset of  $\mathbf{R}^N$  respectively, satisfying  $F \subset \Omega$ , and set  $\Omega' = \Omega \setminus \partial F$ . Here  $\partial F$  is defined as  $\partial F = F \setminus \overset{\circ}{F}$ . In the next we define a modified distance to  $\partial F$ .

**Definition 1.** Let  $d(x) \in C^\infty(\Omega')$  be a non-negative function satisfying

$$(2.1) \quad C^{-1} \leq \frac{d(x)}{\operatorname{dist}(x, \partial F)} \leq C,$$

$|\partial^\gamma d(x)| \leq C(\gamma) \operatorname{dist}(x, \partial F)^{1-|\gamma|}$ ,  $x \in \Omega'$ , where  $C$  and  $C(\gamma)$  are positive numbers independent of each point  $x$ .

We suppose the following four assumptions: [H-1] (*Coefficients*).

$$(2.2) \quad \begin{cases} A(x) \in C^1(\Omega') \cap L^1_{\text{loc}}(\Omega), \\ A(x) = 0 \text{ in } \overset{\circ}{F} = F \setminus \partial F, \\ A(x) > 0 \text{ in } \Omega \setminus F, \\ B(x) \in L^\infty_{\text{loc}}(\Omega') \cap L^1_{\text{loc}}(\Omega), \\ B(x) > 0 \text{ in } \Omega' = \Omega \setminus \partial F, \\ C(x) \in L^\infty_{\text{loc}}(\Omega') \cap L^1_{\text{loc}}(\Omega), \\ C(x) \geq 0 \text{ in } \Omega. \end{cases}$$

[H-2] (*Nonlinear term*).

$$(2.3) \quad \begin{cases} Q(t) \text{ is monotone increasing and continuous on } \mathbf{R} \\ \text{such that } Q(0) = 0 \text{ and } Q(t)t > 0 \text{ for any } t \in \mathbf{R} \setminus \{0\}. \end{cases}$$

**Definition 2.** Let us set for  $x \in \Omega' = \Omega \setminus \partial F$

$$(2.4) \quad \begin{aligned} \tilde{A}(x) &= A(x) + d(x)|\nabla A(x)|, \\ \Phi(x) &= \operatorname{ess-sup}_{|y-x| < \frac{d(x)}{2}} \frac{\tilde{A}(y)}{B(y)}. \end{aligned}$$

[H-3]. There is a positive number  $\delta_0 > 0$  such that

$$(2.5) \quad \sup_{t \in \mathbf{R} \setminus \{0\}} \frac{|t|^{1+\delta_0}}{|Q(t)|} < +\infty, \text{ Super-linearity.}$$

and

$$(2.6) \quad \liminf_{\varepsilon \downarrow 0} \frac{1}{\varepsilon} \int_{0 < d(x) < \varepsilon} \tilde{A}(x) \left[ \left( \frac{\Phi(x)}{d(x)^2} \right)^{\frac{1}{\delta_0}} + 1 \right] \frac{dx}{d(x)} < +\infty.$$

---

This research was partially supported by Grant-in-Aid for Scientific Research (No. 09640153), Ministry of Education, Science, Sports, and Culture of Japan.

[H-4].

$$(2.7) \quad \sup_{x \in \Omega} \frac{C(x)}{B(x)} < +\infty$$

Now we are able to state our main results.

**Theorem 1.** Assume that [H-1], [H-2], [H-3] and [H-4]. Assume that  $u \in L_{loc}^\infty(\Omega')$  satisfies  $Pu \in L_{loc}^1(\Omega')$  in the distribution sense. Moreover we assume that for almost all  $x \in \{x \in \Omega'; u(x) \geq 0\}$ ,

$$(2.8) \quad Pu + B(x)Q(u) \leq C(x).$$

Then we have  $u_+ \in L_{loc}^\infty(\Omega)$ , where  $u_+ = \max(u, 0)$ .

The following is a direct consequence of this theorem. (The proof is omitted).

**Corollary 1.** Assume that [H-1], [H-2] and [H-3]. Instead of [H-4], assume that  $f(x) \in L_{loc}^\infty(\Omega') \cap L_{loc}^1(\Omega)$  satisfies for some positive number  $C$

$$(2.9) \quad |f(x)| \leq C \cdot B(x), \text{ for almost all } x \in \Omega.$$

Assume that  $u \in L_{loc}^\infty(\Omega')$  satisfies

$$(2.10) \quad Pu + B(x)Q(u) = f, \text{ in } D'(\Omega').$$

Then there exists a function  $v \in L_{loc}^\infty(\Omega)$  such that

$$(2.11) \quad \begin{cases} Pv + B(x)Q(v) = f, & \text{in } D'(\Omega), \\ v|_{\Omega'} = u. \end{cases}$$

As an application we consider the Dirichlet boundary value problem for degenerate semi-linear elliptic equation:

$$(2.12) \quad \begin{cases} Pu + B(x)Q(u) = f & \text{in } \Omega, \\ u = 0 & \text{on } \partial\Omega. \end{cases}$$

Then we have.

**Theorem 2.** Assume that [H-1], [H-2] and [H-3]. Instead of [H-4] assume that  $f(x) \in L^\infty(\bar{\Omega})$  satisfies for some positive number  $C$

$$(2.13) \quad |f(x)| \leq C \cdot B(x), \text{ for almost all } x \in \Omega.$$

Moreover we assume that  $A(x), B(x) \in C^0(\bar{\Omega})$ .

Then there exists a unique function

$$(2.14) \quad u \in L^\infty(\Omega) \cap H_{loc}^1(\bar{\Omega} \setminus F)$$

which satisfies (2.12) in the distribution sense and satisfies

$$(2.15) \quad \int_{\Omega} [A(x)|\nabla u|^2 + B(x)Q(u)u] dx \leq C \|f/B\|_{\infty}^2.$$

Here  $\lambda = \frac{2 + \delta_0}{1 + \delta_0}$  and  $C$  is a positive number independent of each function  $f$ .

In the rest of this subsection we shall show that in certain respects Theorem 1 gives best possible results. Let  $F$  be either the origin 0 or an  $m$ -dimensional  $C^\infty$  compact submanifolds in  $\mathbf{R}^N$  with  $0 < m \leq N - 1$ . We put  $d(x) = \text{dist}(x, F)$  which is smooth near  $F$ .

(2.16)  $L_\alpha u = -\text{div}(d(x)^{2\alpha} \nabla u)$  and  $Q(u) = |u|^{p-1}u$ . Assume that real numbers  $\alpha, \beta$  and  $\gamma$  satisfy the following four conditions which correspond to [H-1]–[H-4] in §2.

$$(h-1) \quad \beta > -\frac{N-m}{2} \text{ and } \gamma > -\frac{N-m}{2}.$$

Define

$$(2.17) \quad p_m^* = \begin{cases} 1 + 2 \frac{1 - \alpha + \beta}{N + 2\alpha - 2 - m}, & \text{if } \alpha < \beta + 1, \\ 1, & \text{if } \alpha \geq \beta + 1. \end{cases}$$

$$(h-2) \quad \begin{cases} p \geq p_m^*, & \text{if } \alpha < \beta + 1, \\ p > p_m^* = 1, & \text{if } \alpha \geq \beta + 1, \\ \alpha > -\frac{N-m-2}{2}. \end{cases}$$

$$(h-3) \quad \beta \leq \gamma.$$

Then we immediately have

**Theorem 3.** Let  $F$  and  $L_\alpha$  be as above. Assume that (h-1), (h-2) and (h-3). Assume that  $u \in L_{loc}^\infty(\Omega')$  satisfies  $L_\alpha u \in L_{loc}^1(\Omega')$  in the distribution sense. Moreover we assume that for almost all  $x \in \{x \in \Omega; u(x) \geq 0\}$

(2.18)  $L_\alpha u + b(x)d(x)^{2\beta}u^p \leq c(x)d(x)^{2\gamma}$ , for some positive smooth functions  $b(x)$  and  $c(x)$ . Then we have  $u^+ \in L_{loc}^\infty(\Omega)$ .

**Proof of Theorem 3.** Since  $Q(u) = |u|^{p-1}u$ , we can put  $\delta_0 = p - 1$  to obtain (2.5). To apply Theorem 1, it suffices to show that the condition (2.6) in [H-3] is satisfied. But a direct calculation leads us to

$$(2.19) \quad \frac{1}{\varepsilon} \int_{0 < d(x) < \varepsilon} \left( d(x)^{\frac{2(1-\alpha+\beta)}{\delta_0}} + 1 \right) d(x)^{2\alpha-1} dx = C' \text{diam}(F)^m \left( \varepsilon^{\frac{N+2\alpha-m-2}{p-1} (p - \frac{N+2\beta-m}{N+2\alpha-m-2})} + \varepsilon^{2\alpha+N-m-2} \right) < \infty.$$

Next we show the sharpness of the condition (h-2). We consider  $U(x) = d(x)^{-M}$  for  $M > 0$  in a small neighborhood of  $F$ . Then we shall solve

$$(2.20) \quad L_\alpha U(x) + b(x)d(x)^{2\beta}U(x)^p = 0, \text{ near } F.$$

Here we remark that

$$(2.21) \quad |\nabla d(x)| = 1 \text{ (near } F) \text{ and } \lim_{x \rightarrow F} d(x) \Delta d(x) = N - m - 1.$$

Hence if we choose  $\alpha, \beta, p, M$  and  $b(x)$  so that

$$(2.22) \quad \begin{cases} p = 1 + \frac{2(1-\alpha+\beta)}{M}, \\ M(d(x) \Delta d(x) + 2\alpha - 1 - M) + b(x) = 0, \\ M > N - m - 2 + 2\alpha, \end{cases}$$

then  $U$  clearly satisfies (2.20), but unbounded

near  $F$ .

**3. Lemmas.** We will state some preliminary facts that will be useful in the sequel.

**Lemma 3.1** (Kato's inequality). *Assume that  $u \in L^1_{loc}(\Omega')$  and  $Pu \in L^1_{loc}(\Omega')$ . Then we have*

$$(3.1) \quad Pu^+ \leq (Pu) \operatorname{sgn}^+ u,$$

$$\text{in } D'(\Omega'), \text{ where } \operatorname{sgn}^+ u = \begin{cases} 1, & \text{for } u > 0, \\ 1/2, & \text{for } u = 0, \\ 0, & \text{for } u < 0. \end{cases}$$

**Lemma 3.2** (Extension). *Assume that [H-1], [H-2] and [H-3].  $f \in L^1_{loc}(\Omega)$  and  $B(x) \cdot Q(u) \in L^1_{loc}(\Omega)$ , and assume that*

$$(3.2) \quad Pu \leq f, \quad \text{in } D'(\Omega').$$

*Then we have*

$$(3.3) \quad Pu \leq f, \quad \text{in } D'(\Omega).$$

**Lemma 3.3** (Pointwise estimate). *Assume that  $u \in L^\infty_{loc}(\Omega')$  satisfies  $Pu \in L^1_{loc}(\Omega')$  in the distribution sense. Assume that [H-1] – [H-4]. Moreover we assume that for almost all  $x \in \{x \in \Omega; u(x) \geq 0\}$*

$$(3.4) \quad Pu + B(x)Q(u) \leq C(x).$$

*Then we have, for some positive numbers  $C$  and  $\varepsilon_0$ ,*

$$(3.5) \quad u(x) \leq C[\Phi(x)^{\frac{1}{\delta_0}} d(x)^{-\frac{2}{\delta_0}} + 1],$$

*for  $x$  with  $0 < d(x) \leq \varepsilon_0$ .*

**Lemma 3.4** (Integrability). *Assume that [H-1] – [H-3]. Assume that  $u \in L^1_{loc}(\Omega')$  satisfies  $Pu \in L^1_{loc}(\Omega')$  in the distribution sense. Moreover we assume that for almost all  $x \in \{x \in \Omega; u(x) \geq 0\}$*

$$(3.6) \quad Pu + B(x)Q(u) \leq C(x).$$

*Then we have*

$$(3.7) \quad B(x)Q(u^+) \in L^1_{loc}(\Omega).$$

**4. A sketch of the proof of Theorem 1.**

From lemmas in the previous section we have for a sufficiently large  $\mu > 0$ ,

$$(4.1) \quad P(u - \mu)_+ + B(x) \operatorname{sgn}^+(u - \mu)(Q(u) - Q(\mu)) \leq 0, \quad \text{in } D'(\Omega).$$

Now we assume that without loss of generality  $\{x : d(x) < 1\} \subset \Omega$  and  $\mu \geq \sup_{1/2 < d(x) < 1} u(x)$ . Moreover we assume that  $(u - \mu)_+$  is smooth,

because we can approximate it by a sequence of smooth functions in a standard way. Then we integrate (4.1) to obtain  $u(x) \leq \mu$ , for  $d(x) < 1/2$ . This proves the assertion.

**5. A sketch of the proof of Theorem 2.** We assume that  $N > 1$  for simplicity. Since the uniqueness of solutions in  $L^\infty(\Omega) \cap H^1_{loc}(\bar{\Omega} \setminus F)$  follows easily from the monotonicity of the operator, we will show the existence of solutions. Let  $\{P_\varepsilon\}_{\varepsilon > 0}$  be the  $\varepsilon$ -regularization of  $P$ , namely  $P_\varepsilon = -\operatorname{div}[(\varepsilon + A(x)) \nabla \cdot]$ . We consider the Dirichlet problem (2.12) with  $P$  replaced by  $P_\varepsilon$ . Then we can show that there is a unique solution  $u_\varepsilon \in H^1_0(\Omega)$ . For the detailed argument, see [1] for example. From Young's inequality we can also establish the estimate (2.15) for  $u_\varepsilon$  uniformly in  $\varepsilon > 0$ . Next by the method of a priori estimate and compactness, we derive a subsequence  $\{u_{\varepsilon_j}\}_{j=1}^\infty$  from  $\{u_\varepsilon\}_{\varepsilon > 0}$  which converges weakly to some element  $\bar{u} \in H^1_{loc}(\bar{\Omega} \setminus F)$ . Since  $\bar{u}$  satisfies (2.12) in  $\Omega \setminus F$  in the sense of distribution, it follows from Theorem 1 and its corollary that there is a unique bounded function  $u$  satisfying (2.12) in  $\Omega$ . This proves the assertion.

**References**

- [1] H. Brezis and W. Strauss: Semilinear elliptic equations in  $L^1$ . J. Math. Soc. Japan, **25**, 565–590 (1973).
- [2] H. Brezis and L. Veron: Removable singularities for nonlinear elliptic equations. Archive Rational Mechanics and Analysis, **75**, 1–6 (1980).
- [3] T. Horiuchi: Removable singularities for semilinear degenerate elliptic equations and its application. J. of Kyoto Univ. (to appear).
- [4] T. Kato: Schrödinger operators, with singular potentials. Israel J. Math., **13**, 135–148 (1972).
- [5] L. Veron: Singularities of solutions of second order quasilinear equations. Pitman Research Notes in Mathematics Series, **353**, Longman (1996).