No. 2]

$$\widetilde{Tr}_{H}(k) \xrightarrow{\tau} C_{+}(k)$$



 $\tilde{\mathscr{E}}_{H}(k)$

where $\tau: Tr_H(k) \to C_+(k)$ is given by (4.4) $\tau(t) = (\tan(A/2), \tan(B/2), \tan(C/2))$. All other notation in (4.3) should be selfexplanatory and the proof goes similarly as before.

Examples and comments. When k = Q, elements of $Tr_H(Q)$ are called "rational triangles" or "Heron triangles" ([1] Chap. V). Heron of Alexandria noted that t = (13, 14, 15) belongs to $Tr_H(Q)$ with $\Delta = 84$. By our map (4.4) it corresponds to the point (1/2, 4/7, 2/3) of the quadric $C_+(Q)$. On the other hand, by our map (1.6) it corresponds to the point (1/4, 16/49, 4/9) of the quartic $S_+(Q)$.

Obviously, every right triangle $t = (a, b, c) \in Tr(k)$ belongs to $Tr_H(k)$. Assume that $C = \pi/2$; hence $a^2 + b^2 = c^2$. Then $\tau(t) = (a/(b+c), b/(a+c), 1)$ and $\theta(t) = (a^2/(b+c)^2, b^2/(a+c)^2, 1)$. In both cases the image of right triangles with $C = \pi/2$ is the intersection of the surface in k_+^3 and the plane z = 1 (or w = 1).

Needless to say, all equilateral triangles $t = (a, a, a), a \in k_+$, are similar and so they correspond to a single point in the quartic surface. If k does not contain $3^{\frac{1}{2}}$, then $t \notin Tr_H(k)$ because $\Delta_t = (3^{\frac{1}{2}}/4)a$.

§5. An involution. For $t = (a, b, c) \in Tr(k)$, put

(5.1)
$$t' = (a', b', c')$$
 with $a' = a(s - a)$,
 $b' = b(s - b), c' = c(s - c), s = \frac{1}{2}(a + b + c).$

Then one finds

(5.2)
$$s' - a' = (s - b)(s - c), s' - b' = (s - c)$$

 $(s - a), s' - c' = (s - a)(s - b),$

with $s' = \frac{1}{2} (a' + b' + c')$. By (5.1), (5.2), we obtain a map: $Tr(k) \rightarrow Tr(k)$. Furthermore, for

the image t'' = (a'', b'', c'') of t' = (a', b', c'), we get

(5.3)
$$a'' = a'(s' - a') = ad, b'' = bd, c'' = cd,$$

with $d = (s - a)(s - b)(s - c).$

In other words, we have $t'' \sim t$ and so the map $t \mapsto t'$ induces an involution * of $\widetilde{Tr}(k)$. The only fixed point of * is the class of equilateral triangle. By the diagram (2.5), we can transplant * on $S_+(k)$ and $\tilde{\mathscr{E}}(k)$. On the surface $S_+(k)$, the involution $P = (x, y, z) \mapsto P = (x^*, y^*, z^*)$ is determined by the relation:

(5.4)
$$xx^* = yy^* = zz^* = (xyz)/(x(yz)^{\frac{1}{2}} + y(zx)^{\frac{1}{2}} + z(xy)^{\frac{1}{2}}).$$

Example (Heron). Let k = Q and $t = (a, b, c) = (13, 14, 15) \in Tr(Q)$. We have s = 21, s - a = 8, s - b = 7, s - c = 6, $\Delta = (s(s - a) (s - b)(s - c))^{\frac{1}{2}} = 84$, hence $t \in Tr_{H}(Q)$. Next, by (5.1), we have t' = (a', b', c') = (104, 98, 90), s' = 146 and $(\Delta')^{2} = 16482816 = 2^{9} \cdot 3^{2} \cdot 7^{2} \cdot 73$, which means that $t' \notin Tr_{H}(Q)$; in other words, the involution * of Tr(Q) does not respect the subset $Tr_{H}(Q)$. Passing to the surface $S_{+}(Q)$, we have

$$\theta(t) = (1/2^2, 2^4/7^2, 2^2/3^2) \theta(t)^* = (2^5/73, 7^3/(2 \cdot 73), (2 \cdot 3^2)/73).$$

As for triples of elliptic curves, denoting by [P, Q] for the curve of type (3.1), we have

$$E_{t} = (E_{a}, E_{b}, E_{c}) = ([126, -84^{2}], [99, "], [70, "]),$$

$$E_{t}^{*} = (E_{a'}, E_{b'}, E_{c'}) = ([3444, -2^{9} \cdot 3^{2} \cdot 7^{2} \cdot 73], [4656, "], [6160, "]),$$

References

- Dickson, L. E.: History of the Theory of Numbers. vol. 2, Chelsea, New York (1971).
- [2] Ono, T.: Triangles and elliptic curves. I ~ VI. Proc. Japan Acad., 70A, 106-108(1994): 70A, 223-225 (1994); 70A, 311-314 (1994); 71A, 104-106 (1995); 71A, 137-139 (1995); 71A, 184-186 (1995).

I take this opportunity to make a correction to my paper (VI). On p. 186, in (4.6), $x^3 + 4x^2 - 3x$ should read $x^3 + 2x^2 - 3x$.