

On Hasse Zeta Functions of Enveloping Algebras of Solvable Lie Algebras

By Takako FUKAYA

Department of Mathematics, Tokyo Institute of Technology
(Communicated by Shokichi IYANAGA, M. J. A., Oct. 14, 1996)

1. Introduction 1.1. In [3], we generalized the definitions of Hasse zeta functions of commutative finitely generated rings over the ring \mathbf{Z} of integers, to non-commutative rings. In this paper we compute the Hasse zeta functions of the enveloping algebras of completely solvable Lie algebras having \mathfrak{p} -mappings.

For a (not necessarily commutative) finitely generated ring A over \mathbf{Z} , in [3] we defined the Hasse zeta function $\zeta_A(s)$ of A by

$$\zeta_A(s) = \prod_{r \geq 1} \zeta_{A,r}(s)$$

where r runs over integers ≥ 1 and,

$$\zeta_{A,r}(s) = \prod_{\mathfrak{p}} \exp \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{\#\mathfrak{S}_{A,r}(\mathbf{F}_{\mathfrak{p}^n})}{n} (\mathfrak{p}^{-s})^n$$

where $\mathfrak{S}_{A,r}$ is a certain scheme of finite type over \mathbf{Z} , \mathfrak{p} runs over prime numbers, and $\mathbf{F}_{\mathfrak{p}^n}$ is a finite field with \mathfrak{p}^n elements, so the function $\zeta_{A,r}(s)$ coincides with the product of Weil's zeta functions of $\mathfrak{S}_{A,r} \otimes_{\mathbf{Z}} \mathbf{F}_{\mathfrak{p}}$ [2] for all prime numbers \mathfrak{p} . We do not review the definition of $\mathfrak{S}_{A,r}$, but what we need in this paper is that for the algebraic closure K of $\mathbf{F}_{\mathfrak{p}}$, $\mathfrak{S}_{A,r}(K)$ is identified with the set of all isomorphism classes of r -dimensional irreducible representations of A over K , and $\mathfrak{S}_{A,r}(\mathbf{F}_{\mathfrak{p}^n})$ is identified with the $\text{Gal}(K/\mathbf{F}_{\mathfrak{p}^n})$ -fixed part of $\mathfrak{S}_{A,r}(K)$.

It has the expression

$$\zeta_A(s) = \prod_M (1 - N(M)^{-s})^{-1}$$

where M runs over the isomorphism classes of finite simple A -modules and $N(M) = \#\text{End}_A(M)$.

1.2. Recall that a solvable Lie algebra \mathfrak{g} over a field is said to be completely solvable if $[\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{g}]$ is nilpotent. (See [1].)

We obtain the following result.

Theorem 1.3. *Let R be a commutative finitely generated ring over \mathbf{Z} . Let \mathfrak{g} be a Lie algebra over R which is free of finite rank n as an R -module, and let A be the universal enveloping algebra of \mathfrak{g} . Assume that for each maximal ideal \mathfrak{m} of R , $\mathfrak{g}/\mathfrak{m}\mathfrak{g}$ is a completely solvable Lie algebra over R/\mathfrak{m} and*

has a \mathfrak{p} -mapping (see [1]). Then we have that the function $\zeta_A(s)$ converges, and

$$\zeta_A(s) = \zeta_R(s - n).$$

Remark 1.3.1. *For $x \in \mathfrak{g}$, let $\text{ad}(x)$ be the inner derivation of \mathfrak{g} defined by x , that is, $\text{ad}(x)(y) = [x, y]$ for $y \in \mathfrak{g}$. For a Lie algebra \mathfrak{g} over a field of characteristic \mathfrak{p} , \mathfrak{g} has a \mathfrak{p} -mapping $[\mathfrak{p}]$ if and only if the following condition holds: For any $x \in \mathfrak{g}$, there exists $y \in \mathfrak{g}$ such that $(\text{ad}(x))^{\mathfrak{p}} = \text{ad}(y)$.*

1.4. Example. Every nilpotent Lie algebra \mathfrak{g} such that $\mathfrak{g}^{\mathfrak{p}} = 0$ (\mathfrak{g}^i is defined by $\mathfrak{g}^0 = \mathfrak{g}$ and $[\mathfrak{g}^i, \mathfrak{g}] = \mathfrak{g}^{i+1}$ for $i \geq 0$) satisfies the condition of Theorem 1.3. This is because $(\text{ad}(x))^{\mathfrak{p}} = 0$ for any $x \in \mathfrak{g}$.

In section 2, we prove Theorem 1.3.

I would like to express my hearty gratitude to Professor Kazuya Kato for advice and encouragement.

2. Proof of Theorem 1.3. In this section we prove Theorem 1.3.

2.1. The zeta functions $\zeta_R(s)$, $\zeta_A(s)$ are products of $\zeta_{R/\mathfrak{m}}(s)$, $\zeta_{A/\mathfrak{m}A}(s)$ over all maximal ideals \mathfrak{m} of R , respectively, and $A/\mathfrak{m}A$ are the universal enveloping algebras of $\mathfrak{g}/\mathfrak{m}\mathfrak{g}$ over the finite fields R/\mathfrak{m} . So we may assume that R is a finite field k of characteristic \mathfrak{p} . Let K be the algebraic closure of k .

Theorem 1.3 follows from

Proposition 2.2. *Let \mathfrak{g} be a completely solvable Lie algebra over a finite field k of characteristic $\mathfrak{p} > 0$ of finite dimension n which has a \mathfrak{p} -mapping $[\mathfrak{p}]$. Let A be the universal enveloping algebra of \mathfrak{g} , and let \mathbf{F}_q be a finite extension of k . Then we have*

$$\#\mathfrak{S}_A^k(\mathbf{F}_q) = q^n$$

where $\mathfrak{S}_A = \prod_{r \geq 1} \mathfrak{S}_{A,r}$ and $\mathfrak{S}_A^k(\mathbf{F}_q)$ denotes the set of \mathbf{F}_q -rational points of \mathfrak{S}_A as a k -scheme.

We prove Proposition 2.2 in 2.3 and 2.4.

2.3. There is a surjective map φ from $\mathfrak{S}_A^k(K)$ onto $K^{\oplus n}$, the direct sum of n copies of K . Fix a basis $(e_i)_{1 \leq i \leq n}$ of \mathfrak{g} . For an element x of

$\mathfrak{S}_A^k(K)$, this map φ is defined by $\varphi(x) = (S(e_i))_{1 \leq i \leq n}$ where S is the "character" of x in the sense of [1] 5.2 (S is a k -linear map $\mathfrak{g} \rightarrow K$ such that $S(a)^p = x(a)^p - x(a^{[p]})$ for all $a \in \mathfrak{g}$). φ is surjective by Corollary 3.2 in Chapter 5 in [1].

The map φ is compatible with the action of the Galois group $\text{Gal}(K/k)$.

In what follows, we take a p -mapping of the Lie algebra \mathfrak{g} such that

$$h^{[p]} = 0 \text{ for any central element } h \text{ of } \mathfrak{g}.$$

In fact we can take such a p -mapping by Corollary 2.2 (3) in Chapter 2 in [1].

Let

$$\mathfrak{g}^* = \text{Hom}_{k\text{-linear}}(\mathfrak{g}, K).$$

Let

$$X = \{\alpha \in \mathfrak{g}^*; \alpha([\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{g}]) = 0\},$$

and let

$$G = \{\alpha \in X; \alpha(h^{[p]}) = \alpha(h)^p \text{ for any element } h \in \mathfrak{g}\}.$$

We regard X as the set of all one dimensional representations of \mathfrak{g} over K . Remark that G is a finite abelian group (see Proposition 8.8 (1) in Chapter 5 in [1]).

Notation. For $x \in \mathfrak{S}_A^k(K)$, and for $c \in X$, we denote by $x + c$ the tensor product of x and c (as representation).

We use the following result in [1] Chapter 5, Theorem 8.7.

2.3.1. Let x and x' be elements of $\mathfrak{S}_A^k(K)$. Then $\varphi(x) = \varphi(x')$ if and only if there exists an element α of G such that $x' = x + \alpha$.

2.4. Let

$$\text{Frob}_q: K \rightarrow K; x \mapsto x^q.$$

We denote the map $\mathfrak{S}_A^k(K) \rightarrow \mathfrak{S}_A^k(K)$ induced by Frob_q also by Frob_q . By 2.3.1, we have that the image of $x \in \mathfrak{S}_A^k(K)$ in $K^{\oplus n}$ under the map φ is an F_q -rational point if and only if there exists an element a of G such that

$$\text{Frob}_q(x) = x + a.$$

For $a \in G$, we put

$$F_a = \{x \in \mathfrak{S}_A^k(K); \text{Frob}_q(x) = x + a\}.$$

The following two lemmas 2.4.1 and 2.4.2 prove Proposition 2.2.

Lemma 2.4.1. *The following equation holds for any $a \in G$.*

$$\# F_a = \# \mathfrak{S}_A^k(F_q).$$

Proof. There exists $b \in X$ which satisfies $a = \text{Frob}_q(b) - b$. This is because K is algebraically closed. For $x \in \mathfrak{S}_A^k(K)$, the condition $x \in F_a$ is equivalent to $\text{Frob}_q(x) = x + \text{Frob}_q(b) - b$, and hence to $\text{Frob}_q(x - b) = x - b$. Hence there is a bijection from F_a into $\mathfrak{S}_A^k(F_q)$ by $x \mapsto x - b$. \square

Lemma 2.4.2. *The following equation holds for any $a \in G$.*

$$\# F_a = q^n.$$

To prove Lemma 2.4.2, we use the following Lemma 2.4.3-Lemma 2.4.5.

Lemma 2.4.3. *For each $x \in \mathfrak{S}_A^k(K)$, let G_x be the subgroup of G defined by*

$$G_x = \{\alpha \in G; x + \alpha = x\}.$$

Under the canonical map $\prod_{a \in G} F_a \rightarrow \cup_{a \in G} F_a = \varphi^{-1}(F_q^{\oplus n}) \subset \mathfrak{S}_A^k(K)$, the inverse image of an element x of $\cup_{a \in G} F_a$ is of order $\#(G_x)$.

Proof. For $x \in F_a$ and $a' \in G$, the condition $x \in F_{a+a'}$ is equivalent to the condition $a' \in G_x$. This proves the result. \square

Lemma 2.4.4. *For any $x \in \cup_{a \in G} F_a$, the order of $\varphi^{-1}(\varphi(x))$ is $\#(G/G_x)$.*

Proof. $\varphi^{-1}(\varphi(x))$ is the G -orbit of x , and hence its order is equal to $\#(G/G_x)$. \square

Lemma 2.4.5. *For $x \in \cup_{a \in G} F_a$ and $x' \in \varphi^{-1}(\varphi(x))$, $G_x = G_{x'}$.*

Proof. This follows from the fact that x' belongs to the G -orbit of x and G is commutative. \square

Now we prove Lemma 2.4.2. By Lemma 2.4.3-Lemma 2.4.5, the inverse image of any element of $F_q^{\oplus n}$ under the map $\varphi: \prod_{a \in G} F_a \rightarrow F_q^{\oplus n}$ is of order $\#(G)$. By Lemma 2.4.1, $\#(F_a)$ is independent of $a \in G$. Hence $\#(G) \cdot \#(F_a) = \#(G) \cdot q^n$. This shows $\#(F_a) = q^n$.

References

- [1] H. Strade and R. Farnsteiner: Modular Lie algebras and their representations. Pure and Applied Math., **116**, Marcel Dekker, Inc. (1988).
- [2] A. Weil: Numbers of solutions of equations over finite fields. Bull. Amer. Math. Soc., **55**, 497-508 (1949).
- [3] T. Fukaya: Hasse zeta functions of non-commutative rings (preprint).