## Positive Solution of Some Nonlinear Elliptic Equation with Neumann Boundary Conditions\*)

By Nicolae TARFULEA

Department of Mathematics, University of Craiova, Romania (Communicated by Kiyosi ITÔ, M. J. A., Sept. 12, 1995)

**Abstract:** In this note we show that there exists  $\Lambda_0$  such that, for every  $\lambda \in (0, \Lambda_0)$ , the problem:  $-\Delta u = \lambda u^q + W(x)u^p$  in  $\Omega$ , u > 0 in  $\Omega$ ,  $\frac{\partial u}{\partial n} = 0$  on  $\partial \Omega$ , where  $\Omega \subseteq \mathbb{R}^N$  is a bounded convex domain with smooth boundary, 0 < q < 1 < p and  $W \in C^1(\bar{\Omega})$ , has a solution  $u_1$  iff  $\int_0^\infty W(x) dx < 0. \text{ Moreover: } \|u_\lambda\|_\infty \to 0 \text{ as } \lambda \downarrow 0.$ 

1. Introduction. In this note we study the Neumann problem for a class of semilinear elliptic equations.

Let  $\Omega \subseteq \mathbb{R}^N$  be a bounded convex domain with smooth boundary  $\partial \Omega$  and consider the semilinear elliptic problem:

the problem:
$$(\mathbf{1}_{\lambda}) \begin{cases} -\Delta u = \lambda u^{q} + W(x)u^{p} & \text{in } \Omega, \\ u > 0 & \text{in } \Omega, \\ \frac{\partial u}{\partial n} = 0 & \text{on } \partial \Omega, \end{cases}$$

where 0 < q < 1 < p and  $W \in C^1(\bar{\Omega})$ . The influence of negative part of W is displayed in the following condition:

$$\int_{\mathcal{Q}} W(x) dx < 0.$$

As it turns out, condition (\*) was inspired by a corresponding necessary condition derived in [2]. The corresponding Dirichlet problem:

$$\begin{cases} -\Delta u = \lambda u^q + u^b & x \in \Omega \\ u > 0 & x \in \Omega \\ u = 0 & x \in \partial\Omega, \end{cases}$$
 with  $0 < q < 1 < p$ , has been extensively stu-

died in the paper of Ambrosetti, Brezis and Cerami [1]. Moreover, by the results of Boccardo, Escobedo and Peral [4], these results are extended for the p-laplacian. The purpose of the present note is to study (1) and our main result is the following:

**Theorem 1.1.** If (\*) is satisfied, then there exists  $\Lambda_0 \in R$ ,  $\Lambda_0 > 0$ , such that, for all  $\lambda \in$  $(0, \Lambda_0)$ , problem  $(1_{\lambda})$  has a solution  $u_{\lambda}$  and

$$\|u_{\lambda}\|_{\infty} \to 0$$
 as  $\lambda \downarrow 0$ .

The proof of the above theorem uses only elementary tools. It is based on the construction of explicit sub and super solutions for  $(1_1)$  and the application of the Sattinger results (see [6]).

## 2. The existence result.

**Lemma 2.1.** Suppose there exists  $\lambda > 0$ such that the problem  $(1_1)$  has a solution  $u_1$ . Then necessarily the condition (\*) must hold.

*Proof.* For each  $\varepsilon > 0$  put:

$$f_{\varepsilon}(u_{\lambda}) = \frac{1}{1-p} (u_{\lambda} + \varepsilon)^{1-p}.$$

We observe that:

we observe that:  

$$-\Delta f_{\varepsilon}(u_{\lambda}) = (u_{\lambda} + \varepsilon)^{-p} (\lambda u_{\lambda}^{q} + W(x)u_{\lambda}^{p}) + p(u_{\lambda} + \varepsilon)^{-p-1} |\nabla u_{\lambda}|^{2} \text{ in } \Omega,$$

$$\frac{\partial f_{\varepsilon}(u_{\lambda})}{\partial n} = (u_{\lambda} + \varepsilon)^{-p} \frac{\partial u_{\lambda}}{\partial n} = 0 \quad \text{on } \partial\Omega.$$

$$-\int_{\Omega} W(x) \frac{u_{\lambda}^{p}}{(u_{\lambda} + \varepsilon)^{p}} dx$$

$$= \int_{\Omega} p(u_{\lambda} + \varepsilon)^{-p-1} |\nabla u_{\lambda}|^{2} dx + \lambda \int_{\Omega} \frac{u_{\lambda}^{p}}{(u_{\lambda} + \varepsilon)^{p}} dx.$$

It follows that there exists  $\delta > 0$  such that:

$$\int_{\Omega} W(x) \frac{u_{\lambda}^{p}}{(u_{\lambda} + \varepsilon)^{p}} dx \le -\delta < 0, \text{ for all } \varepsilon \in (0,1).$$

Letting  $\varepsilon \to 0$ , we have:

$$\int_{\Omega} W(x) \, dx \le -\delta < 0.$$

Throughout, in the following, we suppose that the condition (\*) is satisfied.

**Lemma 2.2.** For all  $\lambda > 0$ , there exists a subsolution  $u_{\lambda}$ , strictly positive in  $\Omega$ , for the problem  $(1_i)$ .

<sup>\*)</sup> Partially supported by a CNCSU-Grant n°  $132 \setminus 95$ .

*Proof.* From [5], we know that the problem:

$$\begin{cases} -\Delta u = \lambda W(x)u & \text{in } \Omega \\ u > 0 & \text{in } \Omega \\ \frac{\partial u}{\partial n} = 0 & \text{on } \partial \Omega \end{cases}$$

has the first eigenvalue  $\lambda_1 > 0$  and the associated first eigenfunction  $\varphi_1$  is strictly positive in  $\Omega$ .

Let  $\varepsilon > 0$ . Any  $\varepsilon \varphi_1$  is a subsolution of  $(\mathbf{1}_{\lambda})$ , provided:

 $\varepsilon \lambda_1 W(x) \varphi_1 = -\Delta(\varepsilon \varphi_1) \leq \lambda \varepsilon^q \varphi_1^q + W(x) \varepsilon^p \varphi_1^p$  which is satisfied for all  $\varepsilon \in (0, \varepsilon_0)$ , with  $\varepsilon_0 = \varepsilon_0(\lambda)$  small enough.

Now, we put  $\underline{u}_{\lambda} = \varepsilon \varphi_1$  with  $\varepsilon \in (0, \varepsilon_0)$  and this ends the proof.

**Lemma 2.3.** There exists  $\Lambda_0 \in R$ ,  $\Lambda_0 > 0$ , such that, for every  $\lambda \in (0, \Lambda_0)$ , the problem  $(\mathbf{1}_{\lambda})$  has a supersolution  $\bar{u}_{\lambda}$ .

*Proof.* We observe that, since  $\int_{a}W(x)\,dx$  < 0, there exists  $\delta > 0$  such that:

$$\int_{\mathcal{Q}} W^+(x) dx < \left(\frac{1}{1+\delta}\right)^{\rho} \int_{\mathcal{Q}} W^-(x) dx,$$

where

$$W^{+}(x) = \max\{W(x), 0\}, W^{-}(x)$$
  
=  $\max\{-W(x), 0\}, x \in \Omega$ .

Let 
$$m = \left[\frac{2+\delta}{\delta}\right] + 1$$
, where  $\left[\frac{2+\delta}{\delta}\right] =$ 

 $\max \left\{ n \in Z : n \leq \frac{2+\delta}{\delta} \right\}$ , and let:

$$E_k = \left\{ v \in C^1(\bar{\Omega}) : \int_{\Omega} v dx = 0, \|v\|_{\infty} \le \frac{k}{m} \right\},\,$$

where  $k \in R$ , k > 0. Denote by  $H_{\lambda}(x, v)$  the quantity:

H<sub>\(\lambda\)</sub> 
$$(x, v) = \lambda v |v|^{q-1} + W(x)v|v|^{p-1}$$

$$-\frac{1}{vol\ \Omega} \int_{\Omega} (\lambda |v|^q + W(x)|v|^p) dx, x \in \Omega.$$

Observe that if  $v \in E_k$  then  $H_{\lambda}(x, k+v) \in C^1(\bar{\Omega})$ , since k+v>0 on  $\bar{\Omega}$ , and:

 $|H_{\lambda}(x, k+v)|$ 

$$\leq \lambda k^{q} \Big( 1 + \frac{1}{m} \Big)^{q} + 2 \| W \|_{\infty} k^{p} \Big( 1 + \frac{1}{m} \Big)^{p},$$

for every  $x\in \Omega$  and  $v\in E_k$ . It is well know, since  $H_\lambda(x,k+v)\in C^1(\bar\Omega)$  for  $v\in E_k$  and

since  $\int_{\mathcal{Q}} H_{\lambda}(x, k+v) dx = 0$ , that the problem:

$$\begin{cases} -\Delta f = H_{\lambda}(x, k+v) & \text{in } \Omega \\ \frac{\partial f}{\partial n} = 0 & \text{on } \partial \Omega \end{cases}$$

is solvable (see, for example, [3], Teorema 7.1.,

pp. 76-78) and there exists a unique solution  $f \in C^2(\Omega) \cap C^1(\bar{\Omega})$  verifying:  $\int_{\Omega} f dx = 0$ . For this solution a priori bounds are available. In fact, for all r > 1, there exists a constant  $c_r > 0$ , independent of  $\lambda$ , such that:

$$||f||_{W^{2,r}(\Omega)} \le c_r ||H_{\lambda}(x, k+v)||_{L^{r}(\Omega)}.$$

Then, for r > N, it follows that:

 $|| f ||_{\infty} \le c_{\alpha} || H_{\lambda}(x, k + v) ||_{L^{r}(\Omega)}$ 

$$\leq c_{2}(\operatorname{vol}\Omega)^{\frac{1}{r}} \left[ \lambda k^{q} \left( 1 + \frac{1}{m} \right)^{q} + 2 \| W \|_{\infty} k^{p} \left( 1 + \frac{1}{m} \right)^{p} \right],$$

where  $c_2$  is a positive constant which is independent of  $\lambda$ .

Observe that there exist  $\lambda_0$ ,  $k_0 > 0$  such that:  $\|f\|_{\infty} \leq \frac{k_0}{m}$ , for all  $\lambda \in (0, \lambda_0)$ . Hence the application:  $v \to f$  is well-defined and maps the convex closed set  $E_{k_0}$  into a precompact subset of  $E_{k_0}$ . By Schauder's theorem, we obtain a function  $v \in E_{k_0}$  such that:

$$\begin{cases} -\Delta v^* = H_{\lambda}(x, k_0 + v^*) & \text{in } \Omega \\ \frac{\partial v^*}{\partial n} = 0 & \text{on } \partial \Omega. \end{cases}$$

Let  $\bar{u}_{\lambda} = v^* + k_0$ . We have that:

$$k_0\left(1+\frac{1}{m}\right) \geq \bar{u}_{\lambda} \geq k_0\left(1-\frac{1}{m}\right)$$

for every  $\lambda \in (0, \lambda_0)$ . Observe that:

$$\begin{aligned} - \Delta \bar{u}_{\lambda} - \lambda \bar{u}_{\lambda}^{q} - W(x) \bar{u}_{\lambda}^{p} \\ &= -\frac{1}{vol \Omega} \int_{\Omega} \lambda \bar{u}_{\lambda}^{q} + W(x) \bar{u}_{\lambda}^{p} dx \,. \end{aligned}$$

Now, we prove that:

$$\int_{\Omega} W(x) \, \bar{u}_{\lambda}^{p} dx < 0,$$

for all  $\lambda \in (0, \lambda_0)$ . We have:

$$\int_{\Omega} W^{+}(x) \, \bar{u}_{0}^{p} dx \leq k_{0}^{p} \left(1 + \frac{1}{m}\right)^{p} \int_{\Omega} W^{+}(x) \, dx 
\leq \frac{k_{0}^{p}}{\left(1 + \delta\right)^{p}} \left(1 + \frac{1}{m}\right)^{p} \int_{\Omega} W^{-}(x) \, dx 
= \left(\frac{1}{1 + \delta}\right)^{p} \left(\frac{1 + \frac{1}{m}}{1 - \frac{1}{m}}\right)^{p} k_{0}^{p} \left(1 - \frac{1}{m}\right)^{p} \int_{\Omega} W^{-}(x) \, dx 
\leq \left(\frac{1}{1 + \delta}\right)^{p} \left(\frac{1 + \frac{1}{m}}{1 - \frac{1}{m}}\right)^{p} \int_{\Omega} W^{-}(x) \, \bar{u}_{\lambda}^{p} dx .$$

Since  $\left(\frac{1}{1+\delta}\right)^p \left(\frac{1+\frac{1}{m}}{1-\frac{1}{m}}\right)^p < 1$ , by the definition

of m, we obtain that:

$$\int_{\Omega} W(x) \, \bar{u}_{\lambda}^{\flat} dx < 0.$$

As a consequence, we can find  $\lambda'_0 > 0$  such that, for all  $\lambda \in (0, \lambda'_0)$ , we have:

$$\int_{O} (\lambda \bar{u}_{\lambda}^{q} + W(x)\bar{u}_{\lambda}^{p}) dx \leq 0.$$

Put  $\Lambda_0 = \min\{\lambda_0, \lambda_0'\}$  and we observe that, for every  $\lambda \in (0, \Lambda_0)$ , the problem  $(\mathbf{1}_{\lambda})$  has a supersolution  $\bar{u}_{\lambda}$  such that:

$$k_0\left(1+\frac{1}{m}\right) \geq \|\bar{u}_{\lambda}\|_{\infty} \geq k_0\left(1-\frac{1}{m}\right).$$

**Proof of Theorem 1.1.** Let  $\lambda \in (0, \Lambda_0)$ . Clearly, from the proofs of Lemmas 2.2. and 2.3., there exists a subsolution  $\underline{u}_{\lambda}$  and a supersolution  $\bar{u}_{\lambda}$ , for the problem  $(\mathbf{1}_{\lambda})$ , such that  $\underline{u}_{\lambda} \leq \bar{u}_{\lambda}$ . From the result of Sattinger (see [6]), we obtain a solution  $u_{\lambda}$  for  $(\mathbf{1}_{\lambda})$  such that  $\underline{u}_{\lambda} \leq u_{\lambda} \leq \bar{u}_{\lambda}$  in  $\bar{\Omega}$ . To complete the proof, it remains to show that  $\|u_{\lambda}\|_{\infty} \to 0$  as  $\lambda \downarrow 0$ . But, from the proof of Lemma 2.3.,

we observe that  $\|u_{\lambda}\|_{\infty} \leq \|\bar{u}_{\lambda}\|_{\infty} \leq k_0 \Big(1 + \frac{1}{m}\Big),$ 

for every  $\lambda \in (0, \Lambda_0)$ . Clearly, following the arguments used in this proof, for  $\lambda_0 > 0$  sufficiently small, we can choose  $k_0 > 0$  arbitrary small. This completes the proof.

Denote by  $\Lambda$  the quantity:

 $\Lambda = \sup\{\lambda > 0 : (\mathbf{1}_{\lambda}) \text{ has solution}\}.$ 

Clearly:  $\Lambda \geq \Lambda_0 > 0$ .

**Proposition 2.1.** For all  $\lambda \in (0, \Lambda)$  the problem  $(1_{\lambda})$  has a solution.

*Proof.* This proof is inspired by the proof of Lemma 3.2. in [1]. Let  $0 < \lambda < \Lambda$  and let  $\mu \in (\lambda, \Lambda)$  such that  $u_{\mu}$  is a solution of  $(\mathbf{1}_{\mu})$ . It is easy to show that  $u_{\mu}$  is a supersolution for  $(\mathbf{1}_{\lambda})$ . Choosing  $\varepsilon > 0$  sufficiently small, we have that  $\varepsilon \varphi < u_{\mu}$  and, from the results of Sattinger (see [6]), it follows that  $(\mathbf{1}_{\lambda})$  has a solution.

**Acknowledgments.** The author is grateful to his supervisor Prof. L. Boccardo for his excelent academic guidance and for helpful discussions during the preparation of this work.

## References

- [1] A. Ambrosetti, H. Brezis and G. Cerami: Combined effects of concave and convex nonlinearities in some elliptic problems. J. Funct. Anal., 122, 519-543 (1994).
- [2] C. Bandle, M. A. Pozio and A. Tesei: Existence and uniqueness of solutions of nonlinear Neumann problems. Math. Z., 199, 257-278 (1988).
- [3] V. Barbu: Probleme la limita pentru ecuatii cu derivate partiale. Ed. Academiei Romane, Bucuresti (1993).
- [4] L. Boccardo, M. Escobedo and I. Peral: A Dirichlet problem involving critical exponent. Nonlinear Anal. Theory Methods Appl. (to appear).
- [5] Y. H. Huang: On eigenvalue problems of the *p*-laplacian with Neumann boundary condition. Proc. Amer. Math. Soc., vol. 109, no. 1 (1990).
- [6] D. H. Sattinger: Monotone methods in nonlinear elliptic and parabolic boundary value problems. Indiana Univ. Math. J., 21, 979-1000 (1972).