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1. Introduction. Let $\Gamma$ be a discrete subgroup of $G=P S L(2, \mathbf{R})$. The group $\Gamma$ acts on the upper half plane $\mathbf{H}$ by the usual linear fractional transformation. We assume that the fundamental domain of $\Gamma$, which is denoted by $\Gamma \backslash \mathbf{H}$, is a finite volume surface with the hyperbolic metric. The Laplacian $\Delta$ acting on the space $L^{2}(\Gamma \backslash \mathbf{H})$ has the spectrum consisting of the discrete and continuous spectra in general. In this setting, as two different expression of the trace of an $G$-invariant integral operator $L: f(z) \rightarrow$ $\int_{\Gamma \backslash \mathbf{H}} \hat{K}\left(z, z^{\prime}\right) f\left(z^{\prime}\right) d z^{\prime}\left(f \in L^{2}(\Gamma \backslash \mathbf{H})\right)$ with the kernel function

$$
\hat{K}\left(z, z^{\prime}\right)=\sum_{\sigma \in \Gamma} k\left(\sigma z, z^{\prime}\right)-\hat{H}\left(z, z^{\prime}\right)
$$

where $k$ is a point pair invariant and $\hat{H}\left(z, z^{\prime}\right)$ is so defined that the continuous spectrum of $\Delta$ disappears, Selberg showed his famous trace formula of the following form (see [9]): for any function $h(\rho)(\rho \in \mathbf{C})$, which we call a test function, satisfying the condition A below,

$$
D(h)=I(h)+H(h)+E(h)+C P(h),
$$

where the left hand side $D(h)=\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} h\left(\rho_{n}\right)$ is the expansion of $\operatorname{Tr}(L)=\int_{r \backslash \mathbf{H}} \hat{K}(z, z) d z$ as the sum of the eigenvalue $h\left(\rho_{n}\right)$ of $L$ corresponding to the discrete spectrum $\lambda_{n}=\frac{1}{4}+\rho_{n}^{2}$ of $\Delta$, i.e. $L \varphi_{n}=h\left(\rho_{n}\right) \varphi_{n}$ for $\Delta \varphi_{n}=\lambda_{n} \varphi_{n}$, the right hand side is the expansion of $\operatorname{Tr}(L)$ with respect to the conjugacy classes of $\Gamma$, and $I(h)$ (resp. $H(h)$, $E(h)$ ) is the contribution of the identity (resp. hyperbolic, elliptic) conjugacy class of $\Gamma$, and $C P(h)$ is the sum of the contribution of the parabolic conjugacy classes of $\Gamma$ and the contribution of $\hat{H}\left(z, z^{\prime}\right)$. This formula has been one of the important objects of study in analytic number theory. Especially the studies of the relations among the Selberg zeta functions $Z_{\Gamma}(s)$ (see §2) which is induced from the term $H(h)$ of this formula for a special test function, the arithmetic
zeta functions, and the spectral zeta functions are very interesting in view of the 'unifying' theory of various zeta functions.

The condition A for a test function $h(\rho)$ is as follows:
(1) $h(-\rho)=h(\rho)$,
(2) $h(\rho)$ is holomorphic in the strip $|\operatorname{Im} \rho|$ $<c\left(\right.$ for some $\left.c>\frac{1}{2}\right)$,
(3) $h(\rho)=O\left(|\rho|^{-\alpha}\right)(\alpha>2)$ as $|\rho| \rightarrow \infty$ in the above strip.
According to the condition A , for example, $h(t, \rho)=e^{-t \rho}(t>0)$ can not be taken as a test function.

Now we consider the theta type function $\Theta_{\Gamma}(t)=\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} e^{-t \rho_{n}}(t>0)$ associated with the operator $\sqrt{\Delta-\frac{1}{4}}$ on $L^{2}(\Gamma \backslash \mathbf{H})$ (see §4). This function $\Theta_{\Gamma}(t)$ is very interesting because of the following view points: first, $\Theta_{\Gamma}(t)$ is an analogue of theta functions associated with $\Delta$ (see [8]); and secondly, $\Theta_{\Gamma}(t)$ is also an analogue of that associated with the zeros of zeta functions (see [1], [4], [5]). However, as stated above, the Selberg trace formula can be of no help to study $\Theta_{\Gamma}(t)$.

But for co-compact discrete subgroups $\Gamma$, Cartier-Voros[2] showed the modified Selberg trace formula to study $\Theta_{\Gamma}(t)$. In this case, $\Gamma$ has no elliptic and parabolic conjugacy class and no continuous spectrum. This Cartier-Voros type modified formula demands the following condition B for a test function $h(\rho)$.
(1) $h(\rho)$ is holomorphic in an open set $V \subset \mathbf{C}$ containing the closed half plane $\operatorname{Re} \rho \geq 0$,
(2) $h(\rho)=O\left(|\rho|^{-\alpha}\right)(\alpha>2)$ as $|\rho| \rightarrow \infty$ in the strip $|\operatorname{Im} \rho|<c($ for some $c>0)$,
(3) $h(\rho) d \log Z_{\Gamma}\left(\frac{1}{2}+i \rho\right)$ is integrable in $-\frac{\pi}{2} \leq \arg \rho \leq 0$, and $h(\rho) d \log Z_{\Gamma}\left(\frac{1}{2}\right.$ $-i \rho)$ is integrable in $0 \leq \arg \rho \leq \frac{\pi}{2}$.

Here 'integrable in the sector $\mathbf{S}$ ' means that for any two contours $C, C^{\prime}$ in $\mathbf{S}$ joining a some $\rho_{0} \in$ $\mathbf{S}$ to $\infty$, the integral along $C$ equals that along $C^{\prime}$. So one can apply the Cartier-Voros type Selberg trace formula for $h(t, \rho)$ and can study the properties of $\Theta_{\Gamma}(t)$.

From our arithmetic interests, we wish to discuss when $\Gamma$ is a congruence subgroup, which has a noncompact fundamental domain. In the following sections, we extend the Cartier-Voros type Selberg trace formula for such $\Gamma$ (§3), and give the properties of $\Theta_{\Gamma}(t)$ as its applications (§4). Note that our $\Theta_{\Gamma}(t)$ is many-valued. This fact does not occur in the case of co-compact $\Gamma$ (see [2]; also compare with [1], [5]).
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2. Determinant expression. In this section, we review the determinant expression of Selberg zeta functions that we need in $\S 3$. The details can be found in [6].

Let $\Gamma$ be the image of one of the typical congruence subgroups $\Gamma_{i}(N) \subset S L(2, \mathbf{Z})(i=0,1,2)$ by the natural quotient map $S L(2, \mathbf{R}) \rightarrow P S L(2$, $\mathbf{R})$. Let $0=\lambda_{0}<\lambda_{1} \leq \lambda_{2} \leq \cdots$ be the eigenvalues of the Laplace operator $\Delta$ on $L^{2}(\Gamma \backslash \mathbf{H})$. Then the discrete part of the determinant of $\Delta-$ $s(1-s)$ is defined by
$\operatorname{det}_{D}(\Delta-s(1-s)):=\prod_{n=0}^{\infty}\left(\lambda_{n}-s(1-s)\right) \quad$ for $s>1$, where $\Pi^{\prime}$ means a regularized product (see [5], [6], [7]). Now we denote the Selberg zeta function by

$$
Z_{\Gamma}(s):=\prod_{P} \prod_{k=0}^{\infty}\left(1-N(P)^{-(s+k)}\right) \quad \text { for } \operatorname{Re} s>1
$$

where $P$ runs through the primitive hyperbolic conjugacy classes of $\Gamma$, and $N(P)$ is its norm. Then one can prove that $Z_{\Gamma}(s)$ has a meromorphic continuation to the whole $s$-plane.

Then there exists a relation between $\operatorname{det}_{D}(\Delta$ $-s(1-s)$ ) and $Z_{\Gamma}(s)$ called determinant expression of Selberg zeta functions, that is (see [6])

$$
\begin{gathered}
\operatorname{det}_{D}(\Delta-s(1-s)) \operatorname{det}_{C}(\Delta, s) e^{c+c^{\prime} s(1-s)}= \\
Z_{I}(s) Z_{\Gamma}(s) Z_{E}(s) Z_{P}(s)
\end{gathered}
$$

where $\operatorname{det}_{c}(\Delta, s)$ is the contribution of the continuous spectrum of $\Delta$, and $Z_{I}(s)$ (resp. $Z_{E}(s)$, $\left.Z_{P}(s)\right)$ is the contribution of the identity (resp. elliptic, parabolic) conjugacy class of $\Gamma$, and $c, c^{\prime}$
are certain constants depending on $\Gamma$. From this identity, $\operatorname{det}_{D}(\Delta-s(1-s))$ is defined for all $s \in \mathbf{C}$ except for the poles of the right hand side. This relation contains the informations about the zeros and the poles of $Z_{P}(s)$ and gives the symmetric functional equation. That is, if we put $\hat{Z}(s):=Z_{I}(s) Z_{\Gamma}(s) Z_{E}(s) Z_{C P}(s), Z_{C P}(s):=Z_{P}(s)$ $\operatorname{det}_{c}^{-1}(\Delta, s)$ then $\hat{Z}(s)=\hat{Z}(1-s)$ holds. The determinant expression of $Z_{\Gamma}(s)$ plays a key role in the next section.
3. Cartier-Voros type Selberg trace formula. First we introduce the following notations. We consider an operator $\sqrt{\Delta-\frac{1}{4}}$ on $L^{2}(\Gamma \backslash \mathbf{H})$, and normalize its eigenvalue as

$$
\rho_{n}= \begin{cases}\left(\lambda_{n}-\frac{1}{4}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}}, & \text { if } \lambda_{n} \geq \frac{1}{4} \\ -i\left(\frac{1}{4}-\lambda_{n}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}}, & \text { if } 0 \leq \lambda_{n}<\frac{1}{4}\end{cases}
$$

We denote the number of the classes of order 2 (resp. 3) by $\boldsymbol{n}_{2}$ (resp. $\boldsymbol{n}_{3}$ ), and the number of inequivalent cusps by $K$. For the scattering matrix $\Phi(s)$ of $\Gamma$ whose entries come from the constant term of the Eisenstein series, we define the constant $K_{0}$ as $-\lim _{s \rightarrow \frac{1}{2}} \Phi(s)$. We denote by $\Lambda(n)$ $(n \in \mathbf{N})$ the von Mangoldt function. Then our first main theorem can be stated as follows.

Theorem 1. Let $h(\rho)$ be a function of a complex variable $\rho$ which satisfies the condition $B$ (see §1). Moreover when $\Delta$ has the eigenvalue $\frac{1}{4}$, we assume that $h(0)=0$. And let $g(u)$ be a Fourier transform of $h(\rho)$ :

$$
g(u):=\frac{1}{2 \pi} \int_{0}^{\infty}\left(e^{i \rho u}+e^{-i \rho u}\right) h(\rho) d \rho
$$

Then the following identity holds:
(1) $\mathscr{D}(h)=\mathscr{I}_{0}(h)+\mathscr{H}(h)+\mathscr{E}(h)+\mathscr{C} \mathscr{P}(h)$, where

$$
\begin{gathered}
\mathscr{D}(h)=\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} h\left(\rho_{n}\right) \\
\mathscr{I}_{0}(h)=\frac{\operatorname{vol}(\Gamma \backslash \mathbf{H})}{2 \pi} \int_{0}^{\infty} h(\rho) \rho \tanh \pi \rho d \rho \\
\mathscr{H}(h)=\int_{0}^{\infty} \frac{h(-i \kappa)-h(i \kappa)}{2 \pi i} d \log Z_{\Gamma}\left(\frac{1}{2}+\kappa\right) \\
\mathscr{E}(h)=\frac{n_{2}}{2} \int_{0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{e^{\rho \pi}+e^{-\rho \pi}} h(\rho) d \rho \\
+\frac{2 n_{3}}{3 \sqrt{3}} \int_{0}^{\infty} \frac{e^{\frac{1}{3} \rho \pi}+e^{-\frac{1}{3} \rho \pi}}{e^{\rho \pi}+e^{-\rho \pi}} h(\rho) d \rho
\end{gathered}
$$

$\mathscr{C P}(h)=-K g(0) \log 2+\frac{K+K_{0}}{4} h(0)$
$-\frac{K}{2 \pi} \int_{0}^{\infty}\left\{\frac{\Gamma^{\prime}}{\Gamma}(1+i \rho)+\frac{\Gamma^{\prime}}{\Gamma}(1-i \rho)\right\} h(\rho) d \rho$
$-\frac{K}{2 \pi} \int_{0}^{\infty}\left\{\frac{\Gamma^{\prime}}{\Gamma}\left(\frac{1}{2}+i \rho\right)+\frac{\Gamma^{\prime}}{\Gamma}\left(\frac{1}{2}-i \rho\right)\right\} h(\rho) d \rho$
$+g(0) \log \frac{\pi^{K}}{A}+2 \sum_{\chi} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{\Lambda(n) \chi(n)}{n} g(2 \log n)$, the contour in $\mathscr{H}(h)$ avoids the poles $\kappa_{n}\left(0<\kappa_{n}\right.$ $\left.\leq \frac{1}{2}\right)$ to the right, $A$ is a positive integer composed of the primes dividing $N$, and the product over $\chi$ has $K$ terms, in each of which $\chi$ is a Dirichlet character to some modulus dividing $N$ (cf. [6]).

Proof. We consider the following Cauchy integral
$\mathscr{Q}(h)=\frac{1}{2 \pi i} \int_{C} h(-i \kappa) d \log \operatorname{det}_{D}\left(\Delta-\frac{1}{4}+\kappa^{2}\right)$ where $C$ is a suitable contour containing all $i \rho_{n}$ in the inside. We calculate $\mathscr{Q}(h)$ by two different ways.

First, according to the definition of $\operatorname{det}_{D}$, a little computation shows

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathscr{Q}(h) & =\frac{1}{2 \pi i} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \int_{C} h(-i \kappa) \cdot \frac{2 \kappa}{\rho_{n}^{2}+\kappa^{2}} d \kappa \\
& =\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} h\left(\rho_{n}\right),
\end{aligned}
$$

where the last equality follows from the residue theorem. In this computation, we remark the fact that the series $\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \rho_{n}^{-2-\delta}$ is convergent for $\delta>0$.

Secondly we divide the contour $C$ into two parts corresponding to $\operatorname{Re} \kappa>0$ and $\operatorname{Re} \kappa<0$, and denote by $C^{+}, C^{-}$the respective parts. From the determinant expression in $\S 2, \operatorname{det}_{D}\left(\Delta-\frac{1}{4}+\right.$ $\kappa^{2}$ ) is equal to

$$
\begin{aligned}
& e^{-c-c^{\prime}\left(\frac{1}{4}-\kappa^{2}\right)} Z_{I}\left(\frac{1}{2}+\kappa\right) Z_{r}\left(\frac{1}{2}+\kappa\right) \\
& Z_{E}\left(\frac{1}{2}+\kappa\right) Z_{C P}\left(\frac{1}{2}+\kappa\right), \text { on } C^{+} \\
& e^{-c-c^{\prime}\left(\frac{1}{4}-\kappa^{2}\right)} Z_{I}\left(\frac{1}{2}-\kappa\right) Z_{I}\left(\frac{1}{2}-\kappa\right) \\
& Z_{E}\left(\frac{1}{2}-\kappa\right) Z_{C P}\left(\frac{1}{2}-\kappa\right), \text { on } C^{-} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Since each of $Z_{X}(X=I, E, C P)$ for our $\Gamma$ are known by Koyama[6], we can compute explicitly the integrals

$$
\int_{C^{+}} h(-i \kappa) d \log Z_{X}\left(\frac{1}{2}+\kappa\right)
$$

$$
+\int_{C^{-}} h(-i \kappa) d \log Z_{X}\left(\frac{1}{2}-\kappa\right)
$$

This yields the right hand side of the identity (1), for the Stirling formula and the assertion (2), (3) of the condition B allow us to change the contour $C^{+}\left(C^{-}\right)$with the one along the real or imaginary axis. Hence the proof is complete.
4. Application of theorem 1. In this section, we assume that $\Delta$ has no eigenvalues equal to $\frac{1}{4}$, and we consider the theta type function $\Theta_{\Gamma}(t)$ associated with the operator $\sqrt{\Delta-\frac{1}{4}}$ which is defined by

$$
\Theta_{\Gamma}(t):=\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} e^{-t \rho_{n}}, \quad \text { for } t>0
$$

Now we fix $t>0$, and we put $h(t, \rho):=e^{-t \rho}$. Since $h(t, \rho)$ as a function of $\rho$ satisfies the assumptions of theorem 1, we can apply theorem 1 to this function. Then the left-hand side $\mathscr{D}(h)$ of (1) becomes $\Theta_{\Gamma}(t)$. Thus we obtain our second main theorem.

Theorem 2. The theta function $\Theta_{\Gamma}(t)$ has the following properties.
(1) $\Theta_{\Gamma}(t)$ has the meromorphic continuation to the region $\mathfrak{D}:=\mathbf{C}-\{x \in \mathbf{R}: x \leq 0\}$.
(2) $\Theta_{\Gamma}(t), t \in \mathfrak{D}$ satisfies the functional equation.

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \quad \Theta_{\Gamma}(t)+\Theta_{\Gamma}(-t)=\frac{\operatorname{vol}(\Gamma \backslash \mathbf{H})}{4 \pi} \cdot \frac{\cos \frac{t}{2}}{\sin ^{2} \frac{t}{2}} \\
& +\frac{n_{2}}{4} \sec \frac{t}{2}+\frac{n_{3}}{3 \sqrt{3}}\left\{\csc \left(\frac{t}{2}+\frac{\pi}{3}\right)+\csc \left(\frac{t}{2}+\frac{2}{3} \pi\right)\right\} \\
& + \\
& \frac{K+K_{0}}{2}-\frac{K}{2}\left(1 \mp i \cot \frac{t}{4}\right),
\end{aligned}
$$

where the double sign depends on whether $\operatorname{Im} t$ $>0$ or $\operatorname{Im} t<0$.
(3) $\Theta_{\Gamma}(t), t \in \mathfrak{D}$ has at most simple poles at $\pm i m \log N(P)$
( $P$ : prim. hyp. conj. class, $m=1,2,3, \ldots$ ), $\pm 2 \mathrm{im} \log p(p:$ prime number, $m=1,2,3, \ldots)$.

Proof. By a little calculation of the right side of the identity (1) for $h(t, \rho)$, we have the following expression of $\Theta_{\Gamma}(t)$ for $t>0$ :

$$
\begin{aligned}
\Theta_{\Gamma}(t)= & \frac{v o l(\Gamma \backslash \mathbf{H})}{2 \pi}\left\{\frac{1}{t^{2}}+2 \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \frac{(-1)^{m}}{(t+2 \pi m)^{2}}\right\} \\
& +\frac{1}{\pi} \int_{0}^{a} \sin \kappa t d \log Z_{\Gamma}\left(\frac{1}{2}+\kappa\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\left.\begin{array}{l}
+\frac{1}{2 \pi} \sum_{P} \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \frac{K_{P, m}}{N(P)^{m a}} \\
\left(\frac{e^{i a t}}{t+i m} \log N(P)\right.
\end{array}+\frac{e^{-i a t}}{t-i m \log N(P)}\right) ~ \begin{aligned}
& +\frac{n_{2}}{2} \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} \frac{(-1)^{m}}{t+(2 m+1) \pi} \\
& +\frac{2 n_{3}}{3 \sqrt{3}}\left(\sum_{m=0}^{\infty} \frac{(-1)^{m}}{t+\left(2 m+\frac{2}{3}\right) \pi}\right. \\
& \left.\quad+\sum_{m=0}^{\infty} \frac{(-1)^{m}}{t+\left(2 m+\frac{4}{3}\right) \pi}\right) \\
& +\frac{1}{\pi t} \log \frac{\pi^{K}}{2^{K} A}+\frac{K+K_{0}}{4}-\frac{K}{2 \pi} \mathscr{C P} \mathscr{P}_{3,4}(t) \\
& +\frac{1}{\pi} \sum_{\chi} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{\Lambda(n) \chi(n)}{n} \\
& \left(\frac{1}{t-2 i \log n}+\frac{1}{t+2 i \log n}\right),
\end{aligned}
$$

where $a>\frac{1}{2}$ is any constant and

$$
\begin{aligned}
K_{P, m}= & \frac{\log N(P)}{2 \sinh \frac{m \log N(P)}{2}}, \\
\mathscr{C} \mathscr{P}_{3,4}(t)= & 2 \int_{0}^{\infty}\left\{\frac{\Gamma^{\prime}}{\Gamma}(1+2 i \rho)+\right. \\
& \left.\frac{\Gamma^{\prime}}{\Gamma}(1-2 i \rho)\right\} e^{-t \rho} d \rho-\frac{4 \log 2}{t} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Clearly each term of the right hand side of the above identity except $\mathscr{C} \mathscr{P}_{3,4}(t)$ has a meromorphic continuation to the region $t \in \mathscr{D}$, and its poles are simple and at $\pm i m \log N(P), \pm 2 i m \log p$. Using the formula $\Gamma(1+z) \Gamma(1-z)=\pi z \csc$ $\pi z$, we have

$$
\begin{gathered}
\frac{\Gamma^{\prime}}{\Gamma}(1+2 i \rho)+\frac{\Gamma^{\prime}}{\Gamma}(1-2 i \rho)= \\
2 \frac{\Gamma^{\prime}}{\Gamma}(1+2 i \rho)+i\left(\frac{1}{2 \rho}-\pi \operatorname{coth} 2 \pi \rho\right)
\end{gathered}
$$

Hence we have the expression

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \mathscr{C P} \mathscr{P}_{3,4}(t)=\frac{4}{t} \int_{0}^{\infty} \frac{\Gamma^{\prime}}{\Gamma}\left(1+\frac{2 i \rho}{t}\right) e^{-\rho} d \rho+i \\
& \quad\left\{\frac{\Gamma^{\prime}}{\Gamma}\left(\frac{t}{4 \pi}\right)+\frac{2 \pi}{t}-\log \frac{t}{4 \pi}\right\}-\frac{4 \log 2}{t},
\end{aligned}
$$

for $t>0$. Therefore $\mathscr{C} \mathscr{P}_{3,4}(t)$ has the analytic continuation to $-\pi<\arg t<\frac{\pi}{2}$. Similarly from the expression

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \mathscr{C} \mathscr{P}_{3,4}(t)=\frac{4}{t} \int_{0}^{\infty} \frac{\Gamma^{\prime}}{\Gamma}\left(1-\frac{2 i \rho}{t}\right) e^{-\rho} d \rho-i \\
& \left\{\frac{\Gamma^{\prime}}{\Gamma}\left(\frac{t}{4 \pi}\right)+\frac{2 \pi}{t}-\log \frac{t}{4 \pi}\right\}-\frac{4 \log 2}{t},
\end{aligned}
$$ $\mathscr{C} \mathscr{P}_{3,4}(t)$ has the analytic continuation to $-\frac{\pi}{2}$ $<\arg t<\pi$. Hence the first assertion (1) of the theorem is proved. Now (2) and (3) are clear from the explicit expression of $\Theta_{\Gamma}(t)$. The proof is complete.

Remark 1. When $\Delta$ has the eigenvalue $\lambda_{n}=\frac{1}{4}$, Theorem 2 remains true if we suppose that the contribution of the term $e^{-t p_{n}}$ of $\Theta_{\Gamma}(t)$ for $\rho_{n}=0$ equals to $\frac{1}{2}$.

Remark 2. According to the expression of $\Theta_{\Gamma}(t)$, it is a many-valued function of $t \in \mathbf{C}$. This property is not observed when $\Gamma$ is a co-compact subgroup.
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