

## A Decomposition of $R$ -polynomials and Kazhdan-Lusztig Polynomials

By Hiroyuki TAGAWA

Department of Mathematical Sciences, University of Tokyo  
(Communicated by Shokichi IYANAGA, M. J. A., June 13, 1995)

The  $R$ -polynomial is defined for two elements in an arbitrary Coxeter group. These polynomials are intimately related to Kazhdan-Lusztig polynomials introduced by Kazhdan and Lusztig in 1979 ([4]). For example, it is well known that

$$q^{l(w)-l(x)} P_{x,w} \left( \frac{1}{q} \right) = \sum_{x \leq y \leq w} R_{x,y}(q) P_{y,w}(q),$$

where  $P_{x,w}(q)$  (resp.  $R_{x,w}(q)$ ) is the Kazhdan-Lusztig polynomial (resp. the  $R$ -polynomial).

In [2], F. Brenti found a decomposition formula of  $R$ -polynomials for symmetric groups and he showed that products of  $R$ -polynomials for symmetric groups are also  $R$ -polynomials for symmetric groups. The purpose of this article is to find a decomposition formula of  $R$ -polynomials and Kazhdan-Lusztig polynomials for arbitrary Coxeter groups in extension of Brenti's result.

First, we recall the definition of the Bruhat order and  $R$ -polynomials. Throughout this article,  $(W, S)$  is an arbitrary Coxeter system, where  $S$  denotes a privileged set of involutions in  $W$ . The standard references are [1] and [3] for the Bruhat order and  $R$ -polynomials.

**Definition** (Bruhat order). We put  $T := \{wsw^{-1}; s \in S, w \in W\}$ . For  $y, z \in W$ , we denote  $y <' z$  if and only if there exists an element  $t$  of  $T$  such that  $l(tz) < l(z)$  and  $y = tz$ , where  $l$  is the length function. Then the Bruhat order denoted by  $\leq$  is defined as follows. For  $x, w \in W$ ,  $x \leq w$  if and only if there exists a sequence  $x_0, x_1, \dots, x_r$  in  $W$  such that  $x = x_0 <' x_1 <' \dots <' x_r = w$ .

The following is well known. For  $w \in W$ , let  $s_1 s_2 \dots s_m$  be a reduced expression of  $w$ , i.e.  $w = s_1 s_2 \dots s_m, s_i \in S$  for all  $i \in [m] (= \{1, 2, \dots, m\})$  and  $l(w) = m$ . For  $x \in W$ ,  $x \leq w$  if and only if there exists a sequence of natural numbers  $i_1, i_2, \dots, i_t$  such that  $1 \leq i_1 < i_2 < \dots < i_t \leq m$  and  $x = s_{i_1} s_{i_2} \dots s_{i_t}$ . This expression of  $x$  is not reduced in general, i.e. it may happen that  $l(x) < t$ . However it is known that one can

find a sequence of natural numbers  $j_1, j_2, \dots, j_k$  such that  $1 \leq j_1 < j_2 < \dots < j_k \leq m, x = s_{j_1} s_{j_2} \dots s_{j_k}$  and  $l(x) = k$ .

Also, the following decomposition called the coset decomposition is well known. Let  $J$  be a subset of  $S$ . We put  $W_J :=$  subgroup of  $W$  generated by  $J$  and  $W^J := \{y \in W; l(yz) = l(y) + l(z) \text{ for any } z \in W_J\}$ . Then, for  $w \in W$ , there uniquely exist  $w^J \in W^J$  and  $w_J \in W_J$  such that  $w = w^J w_J$ , whence follows:

**Lemma A.** *Let  $y, z \in W$ . If  $G(y) \cap G(z) = \phi$ , where  $G(y) := \{s \in S; s \leq y\}$ , then we have  $l(yz) = l(y) + l(z)$ .*

$R$ -polynomials are defined as follows:

**Definition-Proposition** ( $R$ -polynomial).  $\mathcal{H}(W)$  is the Hecke algebra associated to  $W$ . That is,  $\mathcal{H}(W)$  is the free  $\mathbf{Z}[q, q^{-1}]$ -module having the set  $\{T_w; w \in W\}$  as a basis with the multiplication such that

$$T_w T_s = \begin{cases} T_{ws} & \text{if } l(ws) > l(w), \\ qT_{ws} + (q-1)T_w & \text{if } l(ws) < l(w) \end{cases}$$

for all  $w \in W$  and  $s \in S$ . For  $w \in W$ , there exists a unique family of polynomials  $\{R_{x,w}(q)\}_{x \leq w} \subset \mathbf{Z}[q]$  satisfying  $(T_{w^{-1}})^{-1} = q^{-l(w)} \sum_{x \leq w} (-1)^{l(w)-l(x)} R_{x,w}(q) T_x$ .

We put  $R_{x,w}(q) := 0$  if  $x \not\leq w$  for convenience.  $R_{x,w}(q)$  is called the  $R$ -polynomial for  $x, w \in W$ .

By using  $R$ -polynomials, we can define Kazhdan-Lusztig polynomials as follows:

**Definition-Proposition** (Kazhdan-Lusztig polynomial). *There exists a unique family of polynomials  $\{P_{x,w}(q)\}_{x,w \in W} \subset \mathbf{Z}[q]$  satisfying the following conditions:*

- (i)  $P_{x,w}(q) = 0$  if  $x \not\leq w$ ,
  - (ii)  $P_{x,x}(q) = 1$ ,
  - (iii)  $\deg P_{x,w}(q) \leq \frac{1}{2} (l(w) - l(x) - 1)$  if  $x < w$ ,
  - (iv)  $q^{l(w)-l(x)} P_{x,w} \left( \frac{1}{q} \right) = \sum_{x \leq y \leq w} R_{x,y}(q) P_{y,w}(q)$  if  $x \leq w$ .
- $P_{x,w}(q)$  is called the Kazhdan-Lusztig polynomial

for  $x, w \in W$ .

Our main result is the following.

**Theorem A.** *Let  $x_1, x_2, w_1, w_2 \in W$  with  $x_1 \leq w_1$  and  $x_2 \leq w_2$ . If  $G(w_1) \cap G(w_2) = \phi$ , then we have*

- (i)  $R_{x_1, w_1}(q)R_{x_2, w_2}(q) = R_{x_1x_2, w_1w_2}(q)$ ,
- (ii)  $P_{x_1, w_1}(q)P_{x_2, w_2}(q) = P_{x_1x_2, w_1w_2}(q)$ .

*Proof.* (i) Let  $s_1s_2 \cdots s_r$  (resp.  $s_{r+1}s_{r+2} \cdots s_m$ ) be a reduced expression of  $w_1$  (resp.  $w_2$ ). Note that  $s_1s_2 \cdots s_m$  is a reduced expression of  $w_1w_2$  by Lemma A. So, by the definition of  $R$ -polynomials and the fact that  $(T_{(w_1w_2)^{-1}})^{-1} = (T_{w_1^{-1}})^{-1}(T_{w_2^{-1}})^{-1}$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \sum_{x \leq w_1w_2} (-1)^{l(w_1w_2)-l(x)} R_{x, w_1w_2}(q) T_x \\ &= \sum_{x'_1 \leq w_1} (-1)^{l(w_1)-l(x'_1)} R_{x'_1, w_1}(q) T_{x'_1} \\ & \quad \sum_{x'_2 \leq w_2} (-1)^{l(w_2)-l(x'_2)} R_{x'_2, w_2}(q) T_{x'_2} \\ &= \sum_{x'_1 \leq w_1, x'_2 \leq w_2} (-1)^{l(w_1w_2)-l(x'_1x'_2)} \\ & \quad R_{x'_1, w_1}(q) R_{x'_2, w_2}(q) T_{x'_1x'_2}. \end{aligned}$$

We suppose that there exist  $x'_1 \leq w_1$  and  $x'_2 \leq w_2$  such that  $T_{x_1x_2} = T_{x'_1x'_2}$ . Then, we have  $x_1x_2 = x'_1x'_2$ . We put  $J := S \setminus G(w_1)$  and then we can easily check that  $x_1, x'_1 \in W^J$  and  $x_2, x'_2 \in W_J$ . Hence, by the uniqueness of the coset decomposition, we see that  $x'_1 = x_1$  and  $x'_2 = x_2$ . So, the coefficient of  $T_{x_1x_2}$  in the right hand side is equal to  $(-1)^{l(w_1w_2)-l(x_1x_2)} R_{x_1, w_1}(q) R_{x_2, w_2}(q)$ . Hence, it turns out that

$$R_{x_1, w_1}(q)R_{x_2, w_2}(q) = R_{x_1x_2, w_1w_2}(q).$$

(ii) We will show (ii) by induction on  $l(w_1w_2) - l(x_1x_2)$ . In case  $l(w_1w_2) - l(x_1x_2) = 0$ , then we see that  $x_1x_2 = w_1w_2$ ,  $x_1 = w_1$  and  $x_2 = w_2$ . So, we have  $P_{x_1, w_1}(q)P_{x_2, w_2}(q) = 1 = P_{x_1x_2, w_1w_2}(q)$ . We suppose that (ii) is correct up to the case where  $l(w_1w_2) - l(x_1x_2) = k - 1$  ( $k \geq 1$ ) and we will show (ii) in case  $l(w_1w_2) - l(x_1x_2) = k$ . By Definition-Proposition (Kazhdan-Lusztig polynomial)-(iv) and our inductive hypothesis, we have

$$\begin{aligned} & q^{l(w_1w_2)-l(x_1x_2)} P_{x_1x_2, w_1w_2}\left(\frac{1}{q}\right) \\ &= \sum_{x_1x_2 \leq y \leq w_1w_2} R_{x_1x_2, y}(q) P_{y, w_1w_2}(q) \\ &= \sum_{x_1 \leq y_1 \leq w_1, x_2 \leq y_2 \leq w_2} R_{x_1x_2, y_1y_2}(q) P_{y_1y_2, w_1w_2}(q) \\ &= \sum_{x_1 < y_1 \leq w_1, x_2 < y_2 \leq w_2} R_{x_1, y_1}(q) R_{x_2, y_2}(q) \\ & \quad P_{y_1, w_1}(q) P_{y_2, w_2}(q) + P_{x_1x_2, w_1w_2}(q) \\ & \quad + \sum_{x_1 < y_1 \leq w_1} R_{x_1, y_1}(q) P_{y_1, w_1}(q) P_{x_2, w_2}(q) \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} & + \sum_{x_2 < y_2 \leq w_2} R_{x_2, y_2}(q) P_{x_1, w_1}(q) P_{y_2, w_2}(q) \\ &= q^{l(w_1w_2)-l(x_1x_2)} P_{x_1, w_1}\left(\frac{1}{q}\right) P_{x_2, w_2}\left(\frac{1}{q}\right) \\ & \quad - P_{x_1, w_1}(q) P_{x_2, w_2}(q) + P_{x_1x_2, w_1w_2}(q). \end{aligned}$$

Hence, we have

$$\begin{aligned} & q^{l(w_1w_2)-l(x_1x_2)} P_{x_1x_2, w_1w_2}\left(\frac{1}{q}\right) - P_{x_1x_2, w_1w_2}(q) \\ &= q^{l(w_1w_2)-l(x_1x_2)} P_{x_1, w_1}\left(\frac{1}{q}\right) P_{x_2, w_2}\left(\frac{1}{q}\right) \\ & \quad - P_{x_1, w_1}(q) P_{x_2, w_2}(q). \end{aligned}$$

Note that  $\deg P_{x_1x_2, w_1w_2}(q) \leq \frac{1}{2}(l(w_1w_2) - l(x_1x_2) - 1)$  and  $\deg P_{x_1, w_1}(q) + \deg P_{x_2, w_2}(q) \leq \frac{1}{2}(l(w_1w_2) - l(x_1x_2) - 1)$ . It follows that

$$P_{x_1x_2, w_1w_2}(q) = P_{x_1, w_1}(q) P_{x_2, w_2}(q).$$

Thus we have shown (ii) by induction.  $\square$

By Theorem A, we can easily obtain the following.

**Corollary A.** *Let  $S_1, S_2, \dots, S_k$  be subsets of  $S$  satisfying  $S_i \cap S_j = \phi$  for all  $i \neq j$  in  $[k]$ . Then, we have*

$$\begin{aligned} & R(W_{S_1})R(W_{S_2}) \cdots R(W_{S_k}) \subset R(W), \\ & P(W_{S_1})P(W_{S_2}) \cdots P(W_{S_k}) \subset P(W), \end{aligned}$$

where  $R(G)$  (resp.  $P(G)$ ) is the set of  $R$ -polynomials (resp. Kazhdan-Lusztig polynomials) for a Coxeter group  $G$ .

For example, let  $W(A_n), W(B_m)$  and  $W(D_r)$  be Weyl groups of type  $A_n, B_m$  and  $D_r$  respectively, then we have

$$\begin{aligned} & R(W(A_n))R(W(A_m)) \subset R(W(A_{n+m})), \\ & P(W(A_n))P(W(A_m)) \subset P(W(A_{n+m})), \\ & R(W(A_n))R(W(B_m)) \subset R(W(B_{n+m})), \\ & P(W(A_n))P(W(B_m)) \subset P(W(B_{n+m})), \\ & R(W(A_n))R(W(D_m)) \subset R(W(D_{n+m})), \\ & P(W(A_n))P(W(D_m)) \subset P(W(D_{n+m})). \end{aligned}$$

### References

- [1] N. Bourbaki: Groupes et algèbres de Lie. Ch. 4, 5, et 6, Hermann, Paris (1968).
- [2] F. Brenti: Combinatorial properties of the Kazhdan-Lusztig polynomials for  $S_n$  (to appear in Adv. in Math.).
- [3] J. E. Humphreys: Reflection Groups and Coxeter Groups. Cambridge Univ. Press (1990).
- [4] D. Kazhdan and G. Lusztig: Representation of Coxeter groups and Hecke algebras. Invent. Math., **53**, 165–184 (1979).