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$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { Abstract: Let } M_{n+p-1}(\alpha)(p \in N=\{1,2, \ldots\}, n>-p .0 \leq \alpha<p) \\
& \text { deonte the class of functions of the form } \\
& \qquad f(z)=\frac{1}{z^{p}}+\frac{a_{0}}{z^{p-1}}+\frac{a_{1}}{z^{p-2}}+\cdots \\
& \text { which are regular and } p \text {-valent in the punctured disc } U^{*}=\{z: 0< \\
& |z|<1\} \text { and satisfy the condition } \\
& \qquad \operatorname{Re}\left\{\frac{D^{n+p} f(z)}{D^{n+p-1} f(z)}-(p+1)\right\}<-\frac{p(n+p-1)+\alpha}{n+p},|z|<1, \\
& 0 \leq \alpha<p \text {, where } \\
& \qquad D^{n+p-1} f(z)=\frac{1}{z^{p}(1-z)^{n+p}} * f(z) \quad(n>-p) . \\
& \text { It is proved that } M_{n+p}(\alpha) \subset M_{n+p-1}(\alpha)(0 \leq \alpha<p, n>-p) \text {. Since } \\
& M_{o}(\alpha) \text { is the class of } p \text {-valent meromorphically starlike functions of order } \\
& \alpha(0 \leq \alpha<p) \text { all functions in } M_{n+p-1}(\alpha) \text { are } p \text {-valent meromorphically } \\
& \text { starlike functions of order } \alpha \text {. Further we consider the integrals of functions } \\
& \text { in } M_{n+p-1}(\alpha) .
\end{aligned}
$$

1. Introduction. Let $\sum_{p}$ denote the class of functions of the form

$$
\begin{equation*}
f(z)=\frac{1}{z^{p}}+\frac{a_{0}}{z^{p-1}}+\frac{a_{1}}{z^{p-2}}+\ldots(p \in N=\{1,2 \ldots\}) \tag{1.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

which are regular and $p$-valent in the punctured disc $U^{*}=\{z: 0<$ $|z|<1\}$ and let $n$ be any integer greater than $-p$. A function $f(z)$ in $\Sigma_{p}$ is said to be $p$-valent meromorphically starlike of order $\alpha(0 \leq \alpha<p)$ if and only if

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{Re}\left\{\frac{z f^{\prime}(z)}{f(z)}\right\}<-\alpha \quad \text { for }|z|<1 \tag{1.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

The Hadamard product or convolution of two functions $f, g$ in $\sum_{p}$ will be denoted by $f * g$. Let

$$
\begin{align*}
D^{n+p-1} f(z) & =\frac{1}{z^{p}(1-z)^{n+p}} * f(z) \quad(n>-p)  \tag{1.3}\\
& =\frac{1}{z^{p}}\left[\frac{z^{n+2 p-1} f(z)}{(n+p-1)!}\right]^{(n+p-1)}  \tag{1.4}\\
& =\frac{1}{z^{p}}+\frac{n+p}{z^{p-1}} a_{o}+\frac{(n+p)(n+p+1)}{2!z^{p-2}} a_{1}+\cdots . \tag{1.5}
\end{align*}
$$
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In this paper along with other things we shall show that a function $f(z) \in \sum_{p}$ which satisfies one of the conditions

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{Re}\left\{\frac{D^{n+p} f(z)}{D^{n+p-1} f(z)}-(p+1)\right\}<-\frac{p(n+p-1)+\alpha}{n+p}, \quad|z|<1 \tag{1.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

for some $\alpha(0 \leq \alpha<p)$ and $n \in \boldsymbol{N}_{o}=\boldsymbol{N} \cup\{0\}$, is meromorphically $p$-valent starlike in $U^{*}$. More precisely, it is proved that, for the classes $M_{n+p-1}(\alpha)$ of functions in $\sum_{p}$ satisfying (1.6).

$$
\begin{equation*}
M_{n+p}(\alpha) \subset M_{n+p-1}(\alpha) \quad(0 \leq \alpha<p, n>-p) \tag{1.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

holds. Since $M_{o}(\alpha)$ equals $\sum_{p}^{*}(\alpha)$ (the class of meromorphically $p$-valent starlike functions of order $\alpha$ [5]), it follows from (1.7) that all functions in $M_{n+p-1}(\alpha)$ are $p$-valent meromorphically starlike of order $\alpha$. Further for $c$ $>p-1$, let

$$
\begin{equation*}
F(z)=\frac{c-p+1}{z^{c+1}} \int_{0}^{z} t^{c} f(t) d t, \tag{1.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

it is shown that $F(z) \in M_{n+p-1}(\alpha)$ whenever $f(z) \in M_{n+p-1}(\alpha)$. Also it is shown that if $f(z) \in M_{n+p-1}(\alpha)$ then

$$
\begin{equation*}
F(z)=\frac{n+p}{z^{n+2 p}} \int_{0}^{z} t^{n+2 p-1} f(t) d t \tag{1.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

belongs to $M_{n+p}(\alpha)$. Some known results of Bajpai [1], Goel and Sohi [3], Ganigi and Uralegaddi [2] and Uralegaddi and Ganigi [7] are extended. In [6] Ruscheweyh obtained the new criteria for univalent functions.
2. The classes $M_{n+p-1}(\alpha)$. In proving our main results (Theorems 1 and 2 below). We shall need the following lemma due to I. S. Jack [4].

Lemma. Let $w(z)$ be non-constant and regular in $U=\{z:|z|<1\}$, $w(0)=0$. If $|w(z)|$ attains its maximum value on the circle $|z|=r<1$ at $z_{0}$, we have $z_{0} w^{\prime}\left(z_{0}\right)=k w\left(z_{0}\right)$, where $k$ ls a real number and $k \geq 1$.

Theorem 1. $M_{n+p}(\alpha) \subset M_{n+p-1}(\alpha), 0 \leq \alpha<p$ and $n$ is any integer greater than $-p$.

Proof. Let $f(z) \in M_{n+p}(\alpha)$. Then

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{Re}\left\{\frac{D^{n+p+1} f(z)}{D^{n+p} f(z)}-(p+1)\right\}<-\frac{p(n+p)+\alpha}{n+p} \tag{2.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

We have to show that (2.1) implies the inequality

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{Re}\left\{\frac{D^{n+p} f(z)}{D^{n+p-1} f(z)}-(p+1)\right\}<-\frac{p(n+p-1)+\alpha}{n+p} \tag{2.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

Define $w(z)$ in $U$ by
(2.3) $\frac{D^{n+p} f(z)}{D^{n+p-1} f(z)}-(p+1)=-\left\{\frac{p(n+p-1)+\alpha}{n+p}+\frac{p-\alpha}{n+p} \frac{1-w(z)}{1+w(z)}\right\}$.

Clearly $w(z)$ is regular and $w(0)=0$. Equation (2.3) may be written as

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{D^{n+p} f(z)}{D^{n+p-1} f(z)}=\frac{(n+p)+(n+3 p-2 \alpha) w(z)}{(n+p)(1+w(z))} \tag{2.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

Differentiating (2.4) logarithmically and using the identity

$$
\begin{equation*}
z\left(D^{n+p-1} f(z)\right)^{\prime}=(n+p) D^{n+p} f(z)-(n+2 p) D^{n+p-1} f(z) \tag{2.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

we obtain

$$
\begin{align*}
& \text { (2.6) } \frac{D^{n+p+1} f(z)}{D^{n+p} f(z)}-(p+1)+\frac{p(n+p)+\alpha}{n+p+1}  \tag{2.6}\\
& =\frac{p-\alpha}{n+p+1}\left\{-\frac{1-w(z)}{1+w(z)}+\frac{2 z w^{\prime}(z)}{(1+w(z))[n+p+(n+3 p-2 \alpha) w(z)]}\right\}
\end{align*}
$$

We claim that $|w(z)|<1$ in $U$. For otherwise (by Jack's lemma) there exists $z_{0}$ in $U$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
z_{0} w^{\prime}\left(z_{0}\right)=k w\left(z_{0}\right) \tag{2.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\left|w\left(z_{0}\right)\right|=1$ and $k \geq 1$. From (2.6) and (2.7) we obtain

$$
\begin{align*}
& \frac{D^{n+p+1} f\left(z_{0}\right)}{D^{n+p} f\left(z_{0}\right)}-(p+1)+\frac{p(n+p)+\alpha}{n+p+1}  \tag{2.8}\\
= & \frac{p-\alpha}{n+p+1}\left\{-\frac{1-w\left(z_{0}\right)}{1+w\left(z_{0}\right)}+\frac{2 k w\left(z_{0}\right)}{\left(1+w\left(z_{0}\right)\right)\left[n+p+(n+3 p-2 \alpha) w\left(z_{0}\right)\right]}\right\}
\end{align*}
$$

Thus

$$
\begin{gather*}
\operatorname{Re}\left\{\frac{D^{n+p+1} f\left(z_{0}\right)}{D^{n+p} f\left(z_{0}\right)}-(p+1)+\frac{p(n+p)+\alpha}{n+p+1}\right\}  \tag{2.9}\\
\geq \frac{p-\alpha}{2(n+p+1)(n+2 p-\alpha)}>0
\end{gather*}
$$

which contradicts (2.1). Hence $|w(z)|<1$ and from (2.3) it follows that $f(z) \in M_{n+p-1}(\alpha)$.

Theorem 2. Let $f(z) \in \sum_{p}$ satisfy the condition

$$
\begin{align*}
\operatorname{Re}\left\{\frac{D^{n+p} f(z)}{D^{n+p-1} f\left(z_{0}\right)}-\right. & (p+1)\}  \tag{2.10}\\
& <\frac{(p-\alpha)-2(p(n+p-1)+\alpha)(c+1-\alpha)}{2(n+p)(c+1-\alpha)}
\end{align*}
$$

for $0 \leq \alpha<p, n>-p$, and $c>p-1$. Then

$$
\begin{equation*}
F(z)=\frac{c-p+1}{z^{c+1}} \int_{0}^{z} t^{c} f(t) d t \tag{2.11}
\end{equation*}
$$

belongs to $M_{n+p-1}(\alpha)$.
Proof. From the definition of $F(z)$, we have
(2.12) $z\left(D^{n+p-1} F(z)\right)^{\prime}=(c-p+1) D^{n+p-1} f(z)-(c+1) D^{n+p-1} F(z)$.

Using (2.12) and the identity (2.5), the condition (2.10) may be written as
(2.13) $\operatorname{Re}\left\{\frac{(n+p+1) \frac{D^{n+p+1} F(z)}{D^{n+p} F(z)}-(n+2 p-c)}{(n+p)-(n+2 p-c-1) \frac{D^{n+p-1} F(z)}{D^{n+p} F(z)}}-(p+1)\right\}$

$$
<\frac{(p-\alpha)-2(p(n+p-1)+\alpha)(c+1-\alpha)}{2(n+p)(c+1-\alpha)}
$$

We have to prove that (2.13) implies the inequality

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{Re}\left\{\frac{D^{n+p} f(z)}{D^{n+p-1} f(z)}-(p+1)\right\}<-\frac{p(n+p-1)+\alpha}{n+p} \tag{2.14}
\end{equation*}
$$

Define $w(z)$ in $U$ by

$$
\begin{align*}
\frac{D^{n+p} F(z)}{D^{n+p-1} F(z)}-(p+1) &  \tag{2.15}\\
& =-\left\{\frac{p(n+p-1)+\alpha}{n+p}+\frac{p-\alpha}{n+p} \frac{1-w(z)}{1-w(z)}\right\}
\end{align*}
$$

Clearly $w(z)$ is regular and $w(0)=0$. The equation (2.15) may be written as

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{D^{n+p} F(z)}{D^{n+p-1} F(z)}=\frac{(n+p)+(n+3 p-2 \alpha) w(z)}{(n+p)(1+w(z))} \tag{2.16}
\end{equation*}
$$

Differentiating (2.16) logarithmically and simplifying we obtain

$$
\begin{align*}
& \frac{(n+p+1) \frac{D^{n+p+1} F(z)}{D^{n+p} F(z)}-(n+2 p-c)}{(n+p)-(n+2 p-c-1) \frac{D^{n+p-1} F(z)}{D^{n+p} F(z)}-(p+1)}  \tag{2.17}\\
& \quad=-\left\{\frac{p(n+p-1)+\alpha}{n+p}+\frac{(p-\alpha)}{(n+p)} \frac{1-w(z)}{1+w(z)}\right\} \\
& \quad+\frac{2(p-a) z w^{\prime}(z)}{(n+p)(1+w(z))[(c+1-p)+(p-2 \alpha+c+1) w(z)]}
\end{align*}
$$

The remaining part of the proof is similar to that of Theorem 1.
Putting $p=c=1$ and $n=\alpha=0$ in Theorem 2, we obtain the following result obtained by Goel and Sohi [3] and Ganigi and Uralegaddi[2].

Corollary 1. If $f(z)=\frac{1}{z}+\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} a_{k} z^{k}$ and satisfies the condition

$$
\operatorname{Re}\left\{\frac{z f^{\prime}(z)}{f(z)}\right\}<\frac{1}{4}
$$

then

$$
F(z)=\frac{1}{z^{2}} \int_{o}^{z} t f(t) d t
$$

belongs to $\Sigma^{*}$ (the class of meromorphically starlike functions).
Remark 1. Corollary 1 extends a result of Bajpai [1].
Theorem 3. If $f(z) \in M_{n+p-1}(\alpha)$, then

$$
F(z)=\frac{n+p}{z^{n+2 p}} \int_{0}^{z} t^{n+2 p-1} f(t) d t
$$

belongs to $M_{n+p}(\alpha)$.
Proof. For

$$
F(z)=\frac{c-p+1}{z^{c+1}} \int_{o}^{z} t^{c} f(t) d t,
$$

we have
$(c-p+1) D^{n+p-1} f(z)=(n+p) D^{n+p} F(z)-(n+2 p-c-1) D^{n+p-1} F(z)$ and

$$
(c-p+1) D^{n+p} f(z)=(n+p+1) D^{n+p+1} F(z)-(n+2 p-c) D^{n+p} F(z) .
$$

Taking $c=n+2 p-1$ in the above relations we obtain

$$
\frac{(n+p+1) D^{n+p+1} F(z)-D^{n+p} F(z)}{(n+p) D^{n+p} F(z)}=\frac{D^{n+p} f(z)}{D^{n+p-1} f(z)},
$$

which reduces to

$$
\frac{(n+p+1) D^{n+p+1} F(z)}{(n+p) D^{n+p} F(z)}-\frac{1}{n+p}=\frac{D^{n+p} f(z)}{D^{n+p-1} f(z)}
$$

Thus

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \operatorname{Re}\left\{\frac{(n+p+1) D^{n+p+1} F(z)}{(n+p) D^{n+p} F(z)}-\frac{1}{n+p}-(p+1)\right\} \\
& \quad=\operatorname{Re}\left\{\frac{D^{n+p} f(z)}{D^{n+p-1} f(z)}-(p+1)\right\}<-\frac{p(n+p-1)+\alpha}{n+p},
\end{aligned}
$$

from which it follows that

$$
\operatorname{Re}\left\{\frac{D^{n+p+1} F(z)}{D^{n+p} F(z)}-(p+1)\right\}<-\frac{p(n+p)+\alpha}{n+p+1}
$$

This completes the proof of Theorem 3.
Remark 2. Taking $p=1$ and $\alpha=0$ in the above theorems, we get the results obtained by Ganigi and Uralegaddi [2].
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