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1. Let $E$ be an elliptic curve (i.e., an abelian variety of dimension one) defined over an algebraic number field $k$. For any finite field extension $K$ of $k$, we denote by $E(K)$ the group of $K$-rational points of $E$. We define the Mordell-Weil rank over $K$ of $E$ by

$$
\operatorname{rank}(E ; K)=\operatorname{dim}_{\boldsymbol{Q}} E(K) \otimes_{\boldsymbol{Z}} \boldsymbol{Q}
$$

which is known to be finite.
The extension $K / k$ is called an elementary 2 -extension if it is a (Galois) (pro-) 2 -extension with the Galois group of exponent 2.

This note grew out of an effort to generalize Ono's theorem [7] on the relative Mordell-Weil rank (his $E(\kappa)$ is our $E_{\kappa}$ ) and its aim is to construct elliptic curves whose Mordell-Weil rank becomes infinite in a tower of elementary 2 -extensions.

We should note here that Kurcanov ([4],[5]) constructed elliptic curves defined over $\boldsymbol{Q}$ whose ranks are infinite or stable in a $\boldsymbol{Z}_{\boldsymbol{p}}$-extension based on the theory of Mazur.
2. Let $k$ be an algebraic number field and suppose we are given a (finite or infinite) subset $\sum=\left\{d_{\lambda}\right\}_{\lambda \in A}$ of $k^{\times} /\left(k^{\times}\right)^{2}$. We can assign a quadratic extension $k_{\lambda}=k\left(\sqrt{d_{\lambda}}\right)$ to each $d_{\lambda}$ in the set $\sum$.

For any non-empty finite subset $S$ of $\Lambda$, we set

$$
k_{S}=k\left(\sqrt{\prod_{i \in S} d_{i}}\right) \text { and } k(S)=k\left(\left\{\sqrt{d_{i}} \mid i \in S\right\}\right)
$$

We call the set $\Sigma$ a primitive set if $[k(S): k]=2^{* S}$ holds for all finite subsets $S$ of $\sum$. If $\sum$ is primitive, then the fields $k_{T}$ 's $(T \neq \phi, T \subseteq S)$ are exactly $2^{* S}-1$ different quadratic extensions over $k$ in $k(S)$. For an elliptic curve $E$ defined over $k$, we denote by $E^{S}$ the twist of $E$ by the quadratic character of $k_{s} / k$.

The following proposition is the key to our construction.
Proposition 1. Suppose that $\sum=\left\{d_{\lambda}\right\}_{\lambda \in \Lambda}$ is primitive and let $S$ be any finite subset of $\Lambda$. Then we have

$$
\operatorname{rank}(E ; k(S))=\sum_{T \subseteq S} \operatorname{rank}\left(E^{T} ; k\right)
$$

where the sum is taken for all subsets $T$ of $S$.
Proof. Put $S=\{1,2, \ldots, m\}$ and $S^{\prime}=\{1,2, \ldots, m-1\}$. When $m=1$, the proposition is classical (for instance, see [1]). It is easy to see that $\left[k(S): k\left(S^{\prime}\right)\right]=2$ and $k(S)=k\left(S^{\prime}\right)\left(\sqrt{d_{m}}\right)$. Therefore we obtain

$$
\operatorname{rank}(E ; k(S))=\operatorname{rank}\left(E ; k\left(S^{\prime}\right)\right)+\operatorname{rank}\left(E^{[m\rangle} ; k\left(S^{\prime}\right)\right)
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& =\sum_{T^{\prime} \subseteq S^{\prime}} \operatorname{rank}\left(E^{T^{\prime}} ; k\right)+\sum_{T^{\prime} \subseteq S^{\prime}} \operatorname{rank}\left(E^{\{m\} \cup T^{\prime}} ; k\right) \\
& =\sum_{T \subseteq S} \operatorname{rank}\left(E^{T} ; k\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

as claimed.
Remark. Proposition 1 may follow from a general result of A. Satoh [9].

Now we can start the explicit construction.
Let $n$ be a square-free positive integer and $E_{n}$ the elliptic curve over $\boldsymbol{Q}$ defined by

$$
E_{n}: y^{2}=x^{3}-n^{2} x .
$$

It is easy to see that the structure of the subgroup $E_{n}(\boldsymbol{Q})_{\text {tors }}$ of the points of finite order in $\boldsymbol{E}_{n}(\boldsymbol{Q})$ is $\boldsymbol{Z} / 2 \boldsymbol{Z} \times \boldsymbol{Z} / 2 \boldsymbol{Z}$. And it is well-known that finding a point of infinite order on $E_{n}$ is equivalent to determining whether $n$ is the area of some right triangle with rational sides. In a classical language, we know that $\operatorname{rank}\left(E_{n} ; \boldsymbol{Q}\right) \geq 1$ if and only if $n$ is a congruent number, concerning which we have the following result.

Proposition 2 ([6] Corollary 5.15). Let $p_{1}, p_{3}, p_{5}$ and $p_{7}$ denote prime numbers congruent to $1,3,5$ and $7(\bmod 8)$, respectively.

The following are all congruent numbers:

$$
\begin{gathered}
p_{5}, p_{7}, p_{3} p_{7}, p_{3} p_{5} \\
\text { and } p_{1} p_{5} \text { when }\left(\frac{p_{1}}{p_{5}}\right)=-1
\end{gathered}
$$

From now on, we assume $k=\boldsymbol{Q}$. When we write $n=p_{i}(i=1,3,5,7)$, this will mean that $n$ is a prime number congruent to $i(\bmod 8)$. Let $\sum=$ $\left\{q_{j}\right\}_{j \in N}$ be an infinite set of prime numbers and set $S_{m}=\{1,2, \ldots, m\}$. The set $\sum$ is primitive.

Our main theorem is as follows.
Theorem. If the number $n$ and the set $\Sigma$ satisfies one of the conditions below, then $\operatorname{rank}\left(E_{n} ; \boldsymbol{Q}\left(S_{m}\right)\right)$ becomes arbitrarily large as $m$ goes to infinity.
(1) $n=1$ or $p_{3}$, and infinitely many $q_{j}$ 's are congruent to 5 or $7(\bmod 8)$.
(2) $n=p_{1}$, and infinitely many $q_{j}$ 's are congruent to $5(\bmod 8)$ and $\left(\frac{n}{q_{j}}\right)=-1$.
(3) $n=p_{5}$, and infinitely many $q_{j}$ 's are congruent to $3(\bmod 8)$ or else they are congruent to $1(\bmod 8)$ and $\left(\frac{q_{j}}{n}\right)=-1$.
(4) $n=p_{7}$, and infinitely many $q_{j}$ 's are congruent to $3(\bmod 8)$.

Proof. As we saw in Proposition 1, one has $\operatorname{rank}\left(E_{n} ; \boldsymbol{Q}\left(S_{m}\right)\right)=\sum_{T \subseteq S_{m}} \operatorname{rank}\left(E_{n}^{T} ; \boldsymbol{Q}\right) \geq \sum_{j \in S_{m}} \operatorname{rank}\left(E_{n}^{\left\{q_{j}\right\}} ; \boldsymbol{Q}\right)$.
An explicit computation shows that

$$
E_{n}^{\left\{q_{j}\right\rangle}=E_{n q_{j}} .
$$

Combining with the remark on the congruent number above, we obtain
$\operatorname{rank}\left(E_{n} ; \boldsymbol{Q}\left(S_{m}\right)\right) \geq \#\left\{l=n q_{j} \mid j \in S_{m}, l\right.$ is a congruent number $\}$.
By Proposition 2 and the conditions of the theorem, the right hand side becomes arbitrarily large as $m$ goes to infinity. This completes the proof.
3. In this section, we consider the following question.

For the elliptic curve $E_{n}$, is there an elementary 2-extension such that the rank under the extension is unchanged?
We have the following example.
Example 1. Put $E=E_{1}$ and let $p, q$ be prime numbers congruent to 3 modulo 8 . Then we have

$$
\operatorname{rank}(E ; \boldsymbol{Q}(\sqrt{p}, \sqrt{q}))=\operatorname{rank}(E ; \boldsymbol{Q})=0
$$

In fact, $p, q, p q$ are all non-congruent numbers (cf. [10]).
Example 2. Let $p, q, r$ be prime numbers congruent to 3 modulo 8 whose product $p q r$ is not 1419 and less that 4500. (Note that $1419=3 \cdot 11$. 43 is the area of the right triangle with rational sides $\left(72, \frac{473}{12}, \frac{985}{12}\right)$. See [3]). Then we have

$$
\operatorname{rank}\left(E_{r} ; \boldsymbol{Q}(\sqrt{p}, \sqrt{q})\right)=\operatorname{rank}\left(E_{r} ; \boldsymbol{Q}\right)=0
$$

As in Example 1, it is shown that $r, p q, q r, r p$ are non-congruent numbers. For the product $p q r$, we can check that it is not congruent by the method described in Theorem 3.3 and Corollary 3.4 of [10] which gives us an upper bound for the rank of $E_{n}$. A machine computation using this algorithm shows that Example 2 is valid for many such $p, q, r$.

One may naturally ask also the following question.
Can we find an infinite set of prime numbers congruent to $3(\bmod 8)$ such that any product of primes in the set is a non-congruent number?
If this is true, we can construct an elementary 2-extension of infinite degree which gives an affirmative answer to the question posed in the beginning of this section. But the author has no evidence for it to be valid.
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