

15. A Note on a Deformation of Dirichlet's Class Number Formula

By Shigeru KATAYAMA

College of Engineering, Tokushima Bunri University

(Communicated by Shokichi IYANAGA, M. J. A., March 12, 1992)

§ 0. Introduction. In [3], Prof. T. Ono obtained interesting results from a deformation of Dirichlet's class number formula for real quadratic fields $Q(\sqrt{p})$, where p is a prime number of the form $p=4N+1$. In [2], the author gave a similar deformation in the case where p is a prime number of the form $p=4N+3$.

After the completion of [2], the author found that Dirichlet had already given a deformation of the class number formula for binary quadratic forms ([1], § 107–§ 110 and § 138–§ 140), which is, however, somewhat complicated. The purpose of this note is to give a more simple formula for any real quadratic field using the same methods as [2] and [3]. To be more precise, let m be a square-free positive integer, ε the fundamental unit >1 of the real quadratic field $Q(\sqrt{m})$ and h the class number of $Q(\sqrt{m})$. We denote by d the discriminant of $Q(\sqrt{m})$. The discriminant d is written in the form $d=P(\equiv 1 \pmod{4})$, $4P$ or $8P$, where $P=1$ or $P=p_1 p_2 \cdots p_r$ (p_1, p_2, \dots, p_r are distinct odd prime numbers). ζ denotes a primitive d th root of unity. Let χ be a Kronecker character belonging to $Q(\sqrt{m})$, and $L(s, \chi)$ the corresponding L -series. As usual, we denote by ϕ the Euler function, and by μ the Möbius function. For the sake of simplicity, we denote $\phi(d)/4$ by v . For any integer $1 \leq t \leq v$, define τ_t by putting

$$\tau_t = ((\phi(d)/\phi(d/n)) \cdot \mu(d/n) - \chi(t)\sqrt{d})/2, \quad \text{where } n=(t, d).$$

We also define W as follows

$$W = \begin{cases} 0, & \text{if } d \text{ has at least two distinct prime factors,} \\ 1, & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Then our main theorem reads.

Theorem. *With the above notations, we have*

$$\sqrt{m^W} \varepsilon^h = 2 \sum_{j=0}^{v-1} d_j + d_v.$$

Here d_j are determined by the following recurrence relation.

$$j d_j = \sum_{i=1}^j \alpha_i d_{j-i} \quad (d_0=1, 1 \leq j \leq v),$$

where $\alpha_i = -\tau_i$.

§ 1. Dirichlet's formula. It is known that (cf. [4])

$$h\kappa = L(1, \chi), \quad \text{where } \kappa = \frac{\log \varepsilon^2}{\sqrt{d}} \quad \text{and} \quad L(1, \chi) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{\chi(n)}{n}$$

and

$$\sum_{r \pmod{d}} \chi(r) \zeta^{nr} = \chi(n) \sqrt{d} \quad (\text{the Gauss sum}).$$

Therefore

$$\begin{aligned}\log \varepsilon^{2h} &= \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{n} \left(\sum_{r \bmod d} \chi(r) \zeta^{nr} \right) \\ &= \sum_{r=0}^{d-1} \chi(r) \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{n} \zeta^{nr} = - \sum_{r=0}^{d-1} \chi(r) \log(1 - \zeta^r).\end{aligned}$$

Then we consider two sets of integers

$$A = \{a \in Z; 1 \leq a \leq d-1, \chi(a) = +1\}, \quad B = \{b \in Z; 1 \leq b \leq d-1, \chi(b) = -1\},$$

and put

$$A(x) = \prod_{a \in A} (1 - x\zeta^a), \quad B(x) = \prod_{b \in B} (1 - x\zeta^b).$$

It is easy to see

$$(1) \quad \varepsilon^{2h} = B(1)/A(1).$$

$\Phi_n(x)$ denotes the n th cyclotomic polynomial, that is,

$$\Phi_n(x) = \prod_{0 < i < n, (i, n) = 1} (x - \zeta_n^i), \text{ where } \zeta_n \text{ is a primitive } n\text{th root of unity.}$$

Then it is well-known that

$$\Phi_n(x) = \prod_{z|n} (x^z - 1)^{\mu(n/z)},$$

and one can easily show that

$$(2) \quad \Phi_d(1) = A(1)B(1) = m^w \quad (\text{cf. [5]}).$$

From (1) and (2), one gets

$$(3) \quad \sqrt{m^w} \varepsilon^h = B(1).$$

For the proof of our theorem, we have to determine the coefficients of $B(x)$.

Lemma 1 (Newton's formula, cf. [1] § 139, [3] § 2). *For any complex numbers a_i ($1 \leq i \leq M$), we put*

$$F(t) = \prod_{i=1}^M (1 - ta_i) = \sum_{j=0}^M b_j t^j.$$

Then

$$b_j = \frac{F^{(j)}(0)}{j!}, \quad \text{and} \quad j b_j = - \sum_{i=1}^j b_{j-i} S_i \quad (1 \leq j \leq M), \quad \text{where} \quad S_i = \sum_{t=1}^M a_t^i.$$

Put

$$A(x) = \sum_{j=0}^{2v} c_j x^j, \quad B(x) = \sum_{j=0}^{2v} d_j x^j.$$

Applying Lemma 1 to polynomials $A(x)$, $B(x)$, we get

$$j c_j = - \sum_{i=1}^j c_{j-i} \sigma_i, \quad \text{where} \quad \sigma_i = \sum_{a \in A} \zeta^{a i}, \quad (1 \leq j \leq 2v),$$

$$j d_j = - \sum_{i=1}^j d_{j-i} \tau_i, \quad \text{where} \quad \tau_i = \sum_{b \in B} \zeta^{b i}, \quad (1 \leq j \leq 2v).$$

Lemma 2. $A(x)$, $B(x)$ are reciprocal polynomials, i.e.,

$$a_u = a_{2v-u}, \quad b_u = b_{2v-u}, \quad 0 \leq u \leq 2v \quad (\text{cf. [1]}).$$

Then, from Lemmas 1-2 and the equation (3) one gets

$$(4) \quad \sqrt{m^w} \varepsilon^h = B(1) = \sum_{j=0}^{2v} d_j = 2 \sum_{j=0}^{v-1} d_j + d_v.$$

Thus, to finish the proof of our theorem, we have only to determine the values of τ_i .

§ 2. The determination of τ_i . Next lemma is easily shown by the

Möbius's inversion formula (cf. [4] § 9, problem 2).

Lemma 3. *Let $f(n)$ be the sum of all the roots of $\Phi_n(x)=0$, then $f(n)=\mu(n)$.*

In the following we consider two cases (i) $(t, d)=1$ and (ii) $(t, d)\neq 1$.

(i) By Lemma 3, we get

$$\sigma_t + \tau_t = \sum_{x \in (\mathbb{Z}/d\mathbb{Z})^\times} \zeta^{xt} = f(d) = \mu(d).$$

On the other hand, it is easy to see that

$$\sigma_t - \tau_t = \sum_{x \in (\mathbb{Z}/d\mathbb{Z})^\times} \chi(x)\zeta^{xt} = \chi(t)\sqrt{d} \quad (\text{the Gauss sum}).$$

Hence, we have

$$(5) \quad \tau_t = (\mu(d) - \chi(t)\sqrt{d})/2.$$

(ii) In this case, it is easy to see $\sigma_t - \tau_t = \chi(t)\sqrt{d} = 0$. We put $n=(t, d)$. Then ζ^{na} and ζ^{nb} are primitive (d/n) th roots of unity, each of which appears $\phi(d)/\phi(d/n)$ times. Then, by Lemma 3 we see that

$$\sigma_t + \tau_t = (\phi(d)/\phi(d/n)) \cdot \mu(d/n).$$

Hence one gets

$$(6) \quad \tau_t = (\phi(d)/\phi(d/n)) \cdot \mu(d/n)/2.$$

By unifying (5) and (6), we have

$$\tau_t = ((\phi(d)/\phi(d/n)) \cdot \mu(d/n) - \chi(t)\sqrt{d})/2.$$

Hence we have shown our main theorem in § 0.

§ 3. Some illustrations. (1) The case $d=33$ ($m=33$). Then one has $\phi(33)=20$, $v=5$, $W=0$.

Put $\omega=(1+\sqrt{33})/2$, then $\omega^2=\omega+8$.

For $t=1$ to 5, $\chi(t)$, α_t and d_t are as follows.

t	0	1	2	3	4	5
$\chi(t)$		+1	+1	0	+1	-1
α_t		$\omega-1$	$\omega-1$	1	$\omega-1$	$-\omega$
d_t	1	$\omega-1$	4	$\omega+2$	2ω	7

Hence $\varepsilon^h = 2(d_0 + d_1 + d_2 + d_3 + d_4) + d_5 = 23 + 4\sqrt{33}$.

On the other hand, $\varepsilon = 23 + 4\sqrt{33}$. Hence $h=1$.

(2) The case $d=60$ ($m=15$). Then one has $\phi(60)=16$, $v=4$, $W=0$.

Put $\omega=\sqrt{15}$, then $\chi(t)$, α_t and d_t are as follows.

t	0	1	2	3	4
$\chi(t)$		+1	0	0	0
α_t		ω	1	0	-1
d_t	1	ω	8	3ω	13

Hence $\varepsilon^h = 2(d_0 + d_1 + d_2 + d_3) + d_4 = 31 + 8\sqrt{15}$.

On the other hand, $\varepsilon = 4 + \sqrt{15}$. Hence $h=2$.

(3) The case $d=8$ ($m=2$). Then one has $\phi(8)=4$, $v=1$, $W=1$, $\alpha_1=\sqrt{2}$, and $d_1=\sqrt{2}$. Hence $\sqrt{2}\varepsilon^h=2+\sqrt{2}$. On the other hand $\varepsilon=1+\sqrt{2}$. Hence $h=1$.

Acknowledgment. I thank Dr. Shin-ichi Katayama for bringing [1] to my attention and for his useful comments.

References

- [1] P. G. L. Dirichlet and J. W. R. Dedekind: Vorlesungen über Zahlentheorie. Braunschweig (1894).
- [2] S.-G. Katayama: On a deformation of Dirichlet's class number formula (to appear in Res. Bull. of Tokushima Bunri Univ., vol. 42).
- [3] T. Ono: A deformation of Dirichlet's class number formula. Algebraic Analysis. vol. II, Academic Press, Boston, MA, pp. 659–666 (1988).
- [4] T. Takagi: Lectures on Elementary Number Theory. Kyoritsu, Tokyo (1931) (in Japanese).
- [5] —: Algebraic Number Theory. Iwanami, Tokyo (1935) (in Japanese).