

## 74. On Certain Multivalent Functions<sup>\*)</sup>

By Hitoshi SAITOH

Department of Mathematics, Gunma College of Technology

(Communicated by Shokichi IYANAGA, M. J. A., Oct. 14, 1991)

1. **Introduction.** Let  $A(p)$  denote the class of functions of the form

$$(1.1) \quad f(z) = z^p + \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} a_{p+k} z^{p+k} \quad (p \in N = \{1, 2, 3, \dots\})$$

which are analytic in the unit disk  $U = \{z : |z| < 1\}$ .

Further, we define a function  $F(z)$  by

$$(1.2) \quad F(z) = (1-\lambda)f(z) + \lambda z f'(z)$$

for  $\lambda \in \mathcal{C}$  and  $f(z) \in A(p)$ .

We cite the following well-known definition of convex functions in the unit disk  $U$  (cf. [5]). Suppose that  $f(z)$  is analytic in  $U$ . Then the function  $f(z)$  with  $f'(0) \neq 0$  is said to be *convex* if and only if it satisfies

$$(1.3) \quad \operatorname{Re} \left\{ 1 + \frac{z f''(z)}{f'(z)} \right\} > 0 \quad (z \in U).$$

We denote by  $K$  the subclass of  $A = A(1)$  consisting of functions which are convex in  $U$ .

Let the functions  $f(z)$  and  $g(z)$  be analytic in  $U$ . Then the  $f(z)$  is said to be *subordinate* to  $g(z)$  if there exists a function  $w(z)$  analytic in  $U$ , with  $w(0) = 0$  and  $|w(z)| < 1$  ( $z \in U$ ), such that  $f(z) = g(w(z))$  ( $z \in U$ ). We denote this subordination by  $f(z) \prec g(z)$ .

In [7], Saitoh proved the following theorems.

**Theorem A.** *Let a function  $f(z)$  defined by (1.1) be in the class  $A(p)$ .*

*If*

$$\operatorname{Re} \left\{ \frac{f^{(j)}(z)}{z^{p-j}} \right\} > \alpha \left( 0 \leq \alpha < \frac{p!}{(p-j)!}; z \in U \right),$$

*then we have*

$$\operatorname{Re} \left\{ \frac{f^{(j-1)}(z)}{z^{p-j+1}} \right\} > \frac{1}{(p-j+1)!} \frac{(p-j+1)! 2\alpha + p!}{2(p-j+1) + 1} \quad (z \in U),$$

*where  $1 \leq j \leq p$ .*

**Theorem B.** *Let a function  $F(z)$  be defined by (1.2) for  $\lambda > 0$  and  $f(z) \in A(p)$ . If*

$$\operatorname{Re} \left\{ \frac{F^{(j)}(z)}{z^{p-j}} \right\} > \alpha \left( 0 \leq \alpha < \frac{p!(1-\lambda+p\lambda)}{(p-j)!}; z \in U \right),$$

*then*

$$\operatorname{Re} \left\{ \frac{f^{(j)}(z)}{z^{p-j}} \right\} > \frac{(p-j)! 2\alpha + p! \lambda}{(p-j)!(2-\lambda+2p\lambda)} \quad (z \in U),$$

---

<sup>\*)</sup> 1990 Mathematics Subject Classifications. 30C45.

where  $0 \leq j \leq p$ .

These estimates are not sharp. In this paper, we give sharp results for above theorems.

2. Main results. New, we prove the following theorem.

**Theorem 1.** *Let a function  $f(z)$  defined by (1.1) be in the class  $A(p)$ . If*

$$(2.1) \quad \operatorname{Re}\left\{\frac{f^{(j)}(z)}{z^{p-j}}\right\} > \alpha \quad \left(0 \leq \alpha < \frac{p!}{(p-j)!}; z \in U\right),$$

then we have

$$(2.2) \quad \operatorname{Re}\left\{\frac{f^{(j-1)}(z)}{z^{p-j+1}}\right\} > \frac{2\alpha - q}{p-j+1} + 2(q-\alpha) \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{(-1)^{k-1}}{p-j+k} \quad (z \in U),$$

where  $1 \leq j \leq p$ ,  $q = p!/(p-j)!$ .

*Proof.* It follows from (2.1) that  $\frac{f^{(j)}(z)}{z^{p-j}} < h(z)$  for  $f(z) \in A(p)$ , where  $h(z) = \frac{q + (q-2\alpha)z}{1-z}$ . Then we have

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{f^{(j-1)}(z)}{z^{p-j+1}} &= \frac{1}{z^{p-j+1}} \int_0^z t^{p-j} h(t) dt \\ &= \frac{1}{r^{p-j+1}} \int_0^r \rho^{p-j} h(\rho e^{i\theta}) d\rho \quad (z = r e^{i\theta}, t = \rho e^{i\theta}). \end{aligned}$$

Therefore,

$$\begin{aligned} \operatorname{Re}\left\{\frac{f^{(j-1)}(z)}{z^{p-j+1}}\right\} &= \frac{1}{r^{p-j+1}} \int_0^r \rho^{p-j} \operatorname{Re}h(\rho e^{i\theta}) d\rho \geq \frac{1}{r^{p-j+1}} \int_0^r \rho^{p-j} \frac{q + (2\alpha - q)\rho}{1 + \rho} d\rho \\ &= \frac{2\alpha - q}{p-j+1} + 2(q-\alpha) \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} (-1)^{k-1} \frac{1}{p-j+k} r^{k-1} \\ &> \frac{2\alpha - q}{p-j+1} + 2(q-\alpha) \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{(-1)^{k-1}}{p-j+k}, \end{aligned}$$

which completes the proof of Theorem 1.

Taking  $j=p$  in Theorem 1, we have

**Corollary 1.** [8] *If*

$$\operatorname{Re}\{f^{(p)}(z)\} > \alpha \quad (0 \leq \alpha < p!; z \in U),$$

then we have

$$\operatorname{Re}\left\{\frac{f^{(p-1)}(z)}{z}\right\} > 2\alpha - p! + 2(p! - \alpha) \log 2 \quad (z \in U).$$

Letting  $j=1$  in Theorem 1, we have

**Corollary 2.** *If*

$$\operatorname{Re}\left\{\frac{f'(z)}{z^{p-1}}\right\} > \alpha \quad (0 \leq \alpha < p; z \in U),$$

then we have

$$\operatorname{Re}\left\{\frac{f(z)}{z^p}\right\} > \frac{2\alpha - p}{p} + 2(p-\alpha) \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{(-1)^{k-1}}{p-1+k} \quad (z \in U).$$

Making  $j=p=1$  in Theorem 1, we have

**Corollary 3.** *If*

$$\operatorname{Re}\{f'(z)\} > \alpha \quad (0 \leq \alpha < 1; z \in U),$$

then we have

$$\operatorname{Re}\left\{\frac{f(z)}{z}\right\} > 2\alpha - 1 + 2(1 - \alpha) \log 2 \quad (z \in U).$$

Corollary 3 is a well-known result (cf. [3], [4]). In order to obtain the next result, we need the following lemma due to Eenigenburg, Miller, Mocanu and Reade [1].

**Lemma.** *Let  $\beta$  and  $\gamma$  be complex numbers, and let  $h(z)$  be convex in  $U$ , with  $h(0) = c$  and  $\operatorname{Re}(\beta h(z) + \gamma) > 0$  ( $z \in U$ ). Let  $p(z) = c + p_1 z + p_2 z^2 + \dots$  be analytic in  $U$  and let it satisfy the differential subordination*

$$(2.3) \quad p(z) + \frac{z p'(z)}{\beta p(z) + \gamma} < h(z).$$

If the differential equation

$$(2.4) \quad q(z) + \frac{z q'(z)}{\beta q(z) + \gamma} = h(z), \quad q(0) = c$$

has a univalent solution  $q(z)$ , then

$$p(z) < q(z) < h(z)$$

and  $q(z)$  is the best dominant of the differential subordination (2.3).

We note that the univalent function  $q(z)$  is said to be a *dominant* of the differential subordination (2.3) if  $p(z) < q(z)$  for all  $p(z)$  which satisfy the differential subordination (2.3). If  $\bar{q}(z)$  is a dominant of (2.3) and  $\bar{q}(z) < q(z)$  for all dominants  $q(z)$  of (2.3), the  $\bar{q}(z)$  is said to be the *best dominant* of the differential subordination (2.3). We can find more about differential subordinations in [2].

With the aid of the above lemma, we derive

**Theorem 2.** *Let a function  $F(z)$  be defined by (1.2) for  $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}$  with  $\operatorname{Re}(\lambda) > 0$ , for  $f(z) \in A(p)$ . If*

$$(2.5) \quad \frac{F^{(j)}(z)}{(1 - \lambda + \lambda p) z^{p-j}} < \frac{q + (q - 2\alpha)z}{1 - z} = h(z) \quad \left(0 \leq \alpha < q = \frac{p!}{(p-j)!}; z \in U\right),$$

then

$$(2.6) \quad \frac{f^{(j)}(z)}{z^{p-j}} < q(z),$$

where

$$(2.7) \quad q(z) = q + \frac{2(p - \alpha)(1 - \lambda + \lambda p)}{\lambda z^{(1 - \lambda + \lambda p)/\lambda}} \cdot \int_0^z \frac{t^{(1 - \lambda + \lambda p)/\lambda}}{1 - t} dt,$$

$0 \leq j \leq p$  and  $q(z)$  is the best dominant.

*Proof.* In lemma, we choose

$$\beta = 0, \quad \gamma = \frac{1 - \lambda + \lambda p}{\lambda}, \quad h(z) = \frac{q + (q - 2\alpha)z}{1 - z}.$$

Then the function  $h(z)$  is convex in  $U$  with  $h(0) = q$ . Further, for such function  $h(z)$ , the differential equation has the form

$$(2.8) \quad q(z) + \frac{\lambda}{1 - \lambda + \lambda p} \cdot z q'(z) = \frac{q + (q - 2\alpha)z}{1 - z}.$$

It follows that the equation (2.8) has the solution

$$(2.9) \quad \begin{aligned} q(z) &= \frac{1-\lambda+\lambda p}{\lambda z^{(1-\lambda+\lambda p)/\lambda}} \cdot \int_0^z t^{(1-\lambda+\lambda p)/\lambda-1} \cdot h(t) dt \\ &= q + \frac{2(q-\alpha)(1-\lambda+\lambda p)}{\lambda z^{(1-\lambda+\lambda p)/\lambda}} \cdot \int_0^z \frac{t^{(1-\lambda+\lambda p)/\lambda}}{1-t} dt, \end{aligned}$$

which is also convex in  $U$  (the proof is similar to the proof in the class  $K$ , see for example [6], Theorem 5), hence univalent in  $U$  with  $q(0)=q$ . By applying lemma, we have if  $p(z)$  is analytic in  $U$  with  $p(0)=q$  and if

$$(2.10) \quad p(z) + \frac{\lambda}{1-\lambda+\lambda p} \cdot zp'(z) < h(z),$$

then

$$p(z) < q(z),$$

where  $h(z)$  and  $q(z)$  are defined in (2.5) and (2.8), respectively, any  $q(z)$  is the best dominant of the differential subordination (2.10).

Letting

$$p(z) = \frac{f^{(j)}(z)}{z^{p-j}},$$

we have

$$p(z) + \frac{\lambda}{1-\lambda+\lambda p} \cdot zp'(z) = \frac{F^{(j)}(z)}{(1-\lambda+\lambda p)z^{p-j}}.$$

Therefore, we conclude that if

$$\frac{F^{(j)}(z)}{(1-\lambda+\lambda p)z^{p-j}} < \frac{q+(q-2\alpha)z}{1-z} = h(z),$$

then we have

$$\frac{f^{(j)}(z)}{z^{p-j}} < q(z) = q + \frac{2(q-\alpha)(1-\lambda+\lambda p)}{\lambda z^{(1-\lambda+\lambda p)/\lambda}} \cdot \int_0^z \frac{t^{(1-\lambda+\lambda p)/\lambda}}{1-t} dt.$$

Thus we complete the proof of Theorem 2.

Letting  $p=1, j=1$  and  $\lambda=1/2$  in Theorem 2, we have

**Corollary 4.** [4] *Let  $f(z) \in A$  and  $\alpha < 1$ . If*

$$(2.11) \quad f'(z) + \frac{1}{2}zf''(z) < \frac{1+(1-2\alpha)z}{1-z},$$

then we have

$$(2.12) \quad f'(z) < 2\alpha - 1 - 4(1-\alpha) \frac{z + \log(1-z)}{z^2}$$

and the right hand side of (2.12) is the best dominant.

Next, Theorem 2 leads to

**Theorem 3.** *If the function  $F(z)$  is defined by (1.2) with  $\lambda > 0$ , for  $f(z) \in A(p)$ . If*

$$\operatorname{Re} \left\{ \frac{F^{(j)}(z)}{(1-\lambda+\lambda p)z^{p-j}} \right\} > \alpha \quad \left( 0 \leq \alpha < q = \frac{p!}{(p-j)!}; z \in U \right),$$

then

$$\operatorname{Re} \left\{ \frac{f^{(j)}(z)}{z^{p-j}} \right\} > q + 2(q-\alpha) \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} (-1)^k \frac{\gamma}{\gamma+k},$$

where

$$\gamma = \frac{1-\lambda+\lambda p}{\lambda}, \quad 0 \leq j \leq p.$$

This estimate is sharp.

Taking  $j=0$  in Theorem 3, we have

**Corollary 5.** *If*

$$\operatorname{Re} \left\{ \frac{F(z)}{(1-\lambda+\lambda p)z^p} \right\} > \alpha \quad (0 \leq \alpha < 1; z \in U),$$

then

$$\operatorname{Re} \left\{ \frac{f(z)}{z^p} \right\} > 1 + 2(1-\alpha) \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} (-1)^k \frac{\gamma}{\gamma+k}.$$

Putting  $j=p$  in Theorem 3, we have

**Corollary 6.** *If*

$$\operatorname{Re}\{F^{(p)}(z)\} > (1-\lambda+\lambda p)\alpha \quad (0 \leq \alpha < p!; z \in U),$$

then

$$\operatorname{Re}\{f^{(p)}(z)\} > p! + 2(p!-\alpha) \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} (-1)^k \frac{\gamma}{\gamma+k}.$$

Letting  $j=1$  in Theorem 3, we have

**Corollary 7.** *If*

$$\operatorname{Re} \left\{ \frac{F'(z)}{(1-\lambda+\lambda p)z^{p-1}} \right\} > \alpha \quad (0 \leq \alpha < p; z \in U),$$

then

$$\operatorname{Re} \left\{ \frac{f'(z)}{z^{p-1}} \right\} > p + 2(p-\alpha) \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} (-1)^k \frac{\gamma}{\gamma+k}.$$

Making  $p=1$  and  $j=0$ , and  $p=1$  and  $j=1$  in Theorem 3, we have the following corollaries.

**Corollary 8.** *Let  $f(z) \in A$ . If*

$$\operatorname{Re} \left\{ \frac{F(z)}{z} \right\} > \alpha \quad (0 \leq \alpha < 1; z \in U),$$

then

$$\operatorname{Re} \left\{ \frac{F(z)}{z} \right\} > 1 + 2(1-\alpha) \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{(-1)^k}{1+\lambda k}.$$

**Corollary 9.** *Let  $f(z) \in A$ . If*

$$\operatorname{Re}\{F'(z)\} > \alpha \quad (0 \leq \alpha < 1; z \in U),$$

then

$$\operatorname{Re}\{f'(z)\} > 1 + 2(1-\alpha) \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{(-1)^k}{1+\lambda k}.$$

**Remark.** Putting  $\lambda=1$  in Corollary 8, we have Corollary 3.

## References

- [1] P. Eenigenburg *et al.*: On a Briot-Buquest differential subordination. *Rev. Roumanie Math. Pures Appl.*, **29**, 567-573 (1984).
- [2] S. S. Miller and P. T. Mocanu: Differential subordinations and univalent functions. *Michigan Math. J.*, **28**, 157-171 (1981).

- [3] M. Obradović: One property of the classes of functions whose derivative has a positive real part (to appear).
- [4] S. Owa, M. Obradović and M. Nunokawa: On certain analytic functions and subordinations. *Appl. Math. Letter*, **3**, 41–45 (1990).
- [5] Ch. Pommerenke: *Univalent Functions*. Vanderhoeck and Ruprecht, Göttingen (1975).
- [6] S. Ruscheweyh: New criteria for univalent functions. *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.*, **49**, 109–115 (1975).
- [7] H. Saitoh: Properties of certain analytic functions. *Proc. Japan Acad.*, **65A**, 131–134 (1989).
- [8] —: Some properties of certain analytic functions. *Sûrikaisekikenkyûsho Kôkyûroku, Topics in Univalent Functions and Its Applications*, Kyoto, 1989, **714**, 160–167 (1990).
- [9] D. K. Thomas: A note on Bazilevič functions. *ibid.*, 18–21.